#349: Dynamic Widow Displacements

RMA WG Plenary Presentation June 6, 2013

https://svn.mpi-forum.org/trac/mpi-forum-web/ticket/349

What's the problem?

- Dynamic windows require arithmetic on MPI_Aint values that represent addresses
 - Indexing into an array
 - Accessing a field in a struct
- Arithmetic on MPI_Aints that represent addresses cannot be done portably
 - MPI_Aints are signed integers, but addresses ain't (are not)
 - Value is relative to MPI_BOTTOM, which varies across processes and language bindings (e.g., F****N)
 - MPI_BOTTOM is address of a variable in a common block
 - Arithmetic can overflow!
 - Arithmetic overflow behavior is not specified

Dynamic Window Displacement Arithmetic Example

Rank p

Rank q

```
MPI_Aint array_disp;
...
MPI_Bcast(&array_disp,p);
...
MPI_Get(array_disp +
    i*sizeof(double), ...);
```

This can overflow!

Proposed Solution

 Add a function that can "safely" add an address and a displacement

- Implementation:
 - Must correctly handle overflows
 - Just add them, if system is two's complement

Proposed Text (draft)

MPI_Aint MPI_Aint_add(MPI_Aint base, MPI_Aint disp)

This function produces a new MPI_Aint value that is equivalent to the sum of the base and disp arguments, where base represents an address and disp represents a signed integer displacement. The value of base may be relative to a non-zero value of MPI_BOTTOM that is unknown at the process performing the call to MPI_Aint_add. The addition is performed in a manner that results in the correct MPI_Aint representation of the output address, as if the process that originally produced base had called:

MPI_Get_address((char *) base + disp, &out_addr)

Straw Polls

- How would you vote for this proposal?
 - () yes, () no, () abstain

- Can we handle this as an erratum?
 - () yes, () no, () abstain