# Chapter 11

# **One-Sided** Communications

#### 11.1 Introduction

Remote Memory Access (RMA) extends the communication mechanisms of MPI by allowing one process to specify all communication parameters, both for the sending side and for the receiving side. This mode of communication facilitates the coding of some applications with dynamically changing data access patterns where the data distribution is fixed or slowly changing. In such a case, each process can compute what data it needs to access or to update at other processes. However, the programmer may not be able to easily determine which data in a process may need to be accessed or to be updated by operations executed by a different process, and may not even know which processes may perform such updates. Thus, the transfer parameters are all available only on one side. Regular send/receive communication requires matching operations by sender and receiver. In order to issue the matching operations, an application needs to distribute the transfer parameters. This distribution may require all processes to participate in a time-consuming global computation, or to poll for potential communication requests to receive and upon which to act periodically. The use of RMA communication mechanisms avoids the need for global computations or explicit polling. A generic example of this nature is the execution of an assignment of the form A =B(map), where map is a permutation vector, and A, B, and map are distributed in the same manner.

Message-passing communication achieves two effects: *communication* of data from sender to receiver and *synchronization* of sender with receiver. The RMA design separates these two functions. The following communication calls are provided:

- Remote write: MPI\_PUT, MPI\_RPUT
- Remote read: MPI\_GET, MPI\_RGET
- Remote update: MPI\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RACCUMULATE
- Remote read and update: MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, and MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP
- Remote atomic swap operations: MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP

This chapter refers to an operations set that includes all remote update, remote read and update, and remote atomic swap operations as "accumulate" operations.

7 8 9

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

> 39 40

41

42

43 44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

1 MPI supports two fundamentally different memory models: separate and unified. The  $\mathbf{2}$ separate model makes no assumption about memory consistency and is highly portable. 3 This model is similar to that of weakly coherent memory systems: the user must impose 4 correct ordering of memory accesses through synchronization calls. The unified model can  $\mathbf{5}$ exploit cache-coherent hardware and hardware-accelerated, one-sided operations that are 6 commonly available in high-performance systems. The two different models are discussed 7in detail in Section 11.4. Both models support several synchronization calls to support 8 different synchronization styles.

<sup>9</sup> The design of the RMA functions allows implementors to take advantage of fast or <sup>10</sup> asynchronous communication mechanisms provided by various platforms, such as coherent <sup>11</sup> or noncoherent shared memory, DMA engines, hardware-supported put/get operations, and <sup>12</sup> communication coprocessors. The most frequently used RMA communication mechanisms <sup>13</sup> can be layered on top of message-passing. However, certain RMA functions might need <sup>14</sup> support for asynchronous communication agents in software (handlers, threads, etc.) in a <sup>15</sup> distributed memory environment.

<sup>16</sup> We shall denote by **origin** the process that performs the call, and by **target** the <sup>17</sup> process in which the memory is accessed. Thus, in a put operation, source=origin and <sup>18</sup> destination=target; in a get operation, source=target and destination=origin.

19 20

21

## 11.2 Initialization

<sup>22</sup> MPI provides the following window initialization functions: MPI\_WIN\_CREATE, MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE, MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED, and

<sup>24</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC that are collective on an intracommunicator.

<sup>25</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE allows each process to specify a "window" in its memory that is made <sup>26</sup> accessible to accesses by remote processes. The call returns an opaque object that represents <sup>27</sup> the group of processes that own and access the set of windows, and the attributes of each <sup>28</sup> window, as specified by the initialization call. MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE differs from

<sup>29</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE in that the user does not pass allocated memory;

MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE returns a pointer to memory allocated by the MPI implementation. MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED differs from MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE in that the allocated memory can be accessed from all processes in the window's group with direct load/store instructions. Some restrictions may apply to the specified communicator.

MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC creates a window that allows the user to dynamically control which memory is exposed by the window.

11.2.1 Window Creation

38 39 40

41

37

MPI\_WIN\_CREATE(base, size, disp\_unit, info, comm, win)

| 42       | IN | base      | initial address of window (choice)                        |
|----------|----|-----------|---|
| 43<br>44 | IN | size      | size of window in bytes (non-negative integer)            |
| 45       | IN | disp_unit | local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in- |
| 46       |    |           | teger)  |
| 47       | IN | info      | info argument (handle)                                    |
| 48       |    |           | - , ,   |

| IN     | comm                 | intra-communicator (handle)                                    | 1        |
|--------|----------------------|--|----------|
|        | win                  | window object returned by the call (handle)                    | 2        |
| 001    | WIII                 | window object returned by the can (nandle)                     | 3        |
|        |                      |  | 4        |
| int MI | PI_Win_create(void > | <pre>*base, MPI_Aint size, int disp_unit, MPI_Info info,</pre> | <b>5</b> |
|        | MPI_Comm com         | mm, MPI_Win *win)  | 6        |
| MPI W: | in create(base, size | e, disp unit, info, comm, win, ierror) BIND(C)                 | 7        |
| T      | YPE(*), DIMENSION(.  | .), ASYNCHRONOUS :: base                                       | 8        |
| II     | NTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADD  | RESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size                                 | 9        |
| II     | NTEGER, INTENT(IN)   | :: disp unit   | 10       |
| T      | YPE(MPI_Info), INTEN | NT(IN) :: info   | 11       |
| T      | YPE(MPI_Comm), INTEN | NT(IN) :: comm   | 12       |
| T      | YPE(MPI_Win), INTEN  | Γ(OUT) :: win  | 13       |
| II     | NTEGER, OPTIONAL, IN | VTENT(OUT) :: ierror   | 14       |
|        |                      |  | 15       |
| MPI_W  | IN_CREATE(BASE, SIZE | I, DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR)                         | 16       |
| <1     | type> BASE(*)        |  | 17       |
| II     | NTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDE | RESS_KIND) SIZE  | 18       |
| II     | NTEGER DISP_UNIT, IN | JFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR   | 19       |

This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. It returns a window object that can be used by these processes to perform RMA operations. Each process specifies a window of existing memory that it exposes to RMA accesses by the processes in the group of comm. The window consists of size bytes, starting at address base. In C, base is the starting address of a memory region. In Fortran, one can pass the first element of a memory region or a whole array, which must be 'simply contiguous' (for 'simply contiguous', see also Section 17.1.12 on page 628). A process may elect to expose no memory by specifying size = 0.

The displacement unit argument is provided to facilitate address arithmetic in RMA operations: the target displacement argument of an RMA operation is scaled by the factor disp\_unit specified by the target process, at window creation.

*Rationale.* The window size is specified using an address-sized integer, to allow windows that span more than 4 GB of address space. (Even if the physical memory size is less than 4 GB, the address range may be larger than 4 GB, if addresses are not contiguous.) (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. Common choices for disp\_unit are 1 (no scaling), and (in C syntax) sizeof(type), for a window that consists of an array of elements of type type. The later choice will allow one to use array indices in RMA calls, and have those scaled correctly to byte displacements, even in a heterogeneous environment. (End of advice to users.)

The info argument provides optimization hints to the runtime about the expected usage pattern of the window. The following info keys are predefined:

no\_locks — if set to true, then the implementation may assume that passive target synchronization (i.e., MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, MPI\_LOCK\_ALL) will not be used on the given
 window. This implies that this window is not used for 3-party communication, and
 RMA can be implemented with no (less) asynchronous agent activity at this process.

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

- accumulate\_ordering controls the ordering of accumulate operations at the target. See Section 11.7.2 for details.
  - accumulate\_ops if set to same\_op, the implementation will assume that all concurrent accumulate calls to the same target address will use the same operation. If set to same\_op\_no\_op, then the implementation will assume that all concurrent accumulate calls to the same target address will use the same operation or MPI\_NO\_OP. This can eliminate the need to protect access for certain operation types where the hardware can guarantee atomicity. The default is same\_op\_no\_op.

Advice to users. The info query mechanism described in Section 11.2.7 can be used to query the specified info arguments windows that have been passed to a library. It is recommended that libraries check attached info keys for each passed window. (*End* of advice to users.)

The various processes in the group of comm may specify completely different target windows, in location, size, displacement units, and info arguments. As long as all the get, put and accumulate accesses to a particular process fit their specific target window this should pose no problem. The same area in memory may appear in multiple windows, each associated with a different window object. However, concurrent communications to distinct, overlapping windows may lead to undefined results.

- Rationale. The reason for specifying the memory that may be accessed from another process in an RMA operation is to permit the programmer to specify what memory can be a target of RMA operations and for the implementation to enforce that specification. For example, with this definition, a server process can safely allow a client process to use RMA operations, knowing that (under the assumption that the MPI implementation does enforce the specified limits on the exposed memory) an error in the client cannot affect any memory other than what was explicitly exposed. (End of rationale.)
- 30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

Advice to users. A window can be created in any part of the process memory. However, on some systems, the performance of windows in memory allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM (Section 8.2, page 339) will be better. Also, on some systems, performance is improved when window boundaries are aligned at "natural" boundaries (word, double-word, cache line, page frame, etc.). (End of advice to users.)

- Advice to implementors. In cases where RMA operations use different mechanisms 38 in different memory areas (e.g., load/store in a shared memory segment, and an asyn-39 chronous handler in private memory), the MPI\_WIN\_CREATE call needs to figure out 40 which type of memory is used for the window. To do so, MPI maintains, internally, the 41 list of memory segments allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM, or by other, implementa-42tion-specific, mechanisms, together with information on the type of memory segment 43 allocated. When a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE occurs, then MPI checks which segment 44 contains each window, and decides, accordingly, which mechanism to use for RMA 45 operations. 46
- <sup>47</sup> Vendors may provide additional, implementation-specific mechanisms to allocate or <sup>48</sup> to specify memory regions that are preferable for use in one-sided communication. In

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| par     | rticular, such mechan | nisms can be used to place static variables into such preferred     | 1          |
|---------|-----------------------|---|------------|
| reg     | gions.                |   | 2          |
| Im      | plementors should do  | ocument any performance impact of window alignment. (End            | 4          |
| of      | advice to implemente  | )rs.)   | 5          |
|         |                       |   | 6          |
| 11.2.2  | Window That Alloca    | ates Memory   | 7          |
|         |                       |   | 8          |
|         |                       |   | 9          |
| MPI_WI  | N_ALLOCATE(size, d    | isp_unit, info, comm, baseptr, win)                                 | 11         |
| IN      | size                  | size of window in bytes (non-negative integer)                      | 12         |
| IN      | disp_unit             | local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in-<br>teger) | $13 \\ 14$ |
| IN      | info                  | info argument (handle)  | 15         |
| IN      | comm                  | intra-communicator (handle)   | 16         |
| OUT     | baseptr               | initial address of window (choice)                                  | 18         |
| OUT     | win                   | window object returned by the call (handle)                         | 19         |
|         |                       |   | 20         |
| int MPI | _Win_allocate(MPI     | _Aint size, int disp_unit, MPI_Info info,                           | 21         |
|         | MPI_Comm co           | mm, void *baseptr, MPI_Win *win)                                    | 22         |
| MPI Win | allocate(size, d      | isp unit, info, comm, baseptr, win, ierror) BIND(C)                 | $^{24}$    |
| USE     | , INTRINSIC :: IS     | SO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR  | 25         |
| INT     | EGER(KIND=MPI_ADD     | RESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size                                      | 26         |
| INT     | EGER, INTENT(IN)      | :: disp_unit  | 27         |
| TYP     | E(MPI_Info), INTE     | NT(IN) :: info  | 28         |
| TYP     | E(MPI_Comm), INTE     | NT(IN) :: comm  | 29         |
| TYP     | E(C_PTR), INTENT((    | JUT) :: baseptr   | 30         |
| TYP     | E(MPI_Win), INTEN     | Γ(OUT) :: win   | 31         |
| LNI     | EGER, UPIIUNAL, II    | NIENI(UUI) :: lerror  | 32<br>33   |
| MPI_WIN | _ALLOCATE(SIZE, D     | ISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR)                         | 34         |
| INT     | EGER DISP_UNIT, II    | NFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR  | 35         |
| INT     | EGER(KIND=MPI_ADD     | RESS_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR  | 36         |
|         |                       |   |            |

This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. On each process, it allocates memory of at least size bytes, returns a pointer to it, and returns a window object that can be used by all processes in comm to perform RMA operations. The returned memory consists of size bytes local to each process, starting at address baseptr and is associated with the window as if the user called MPI\_WIN\_CREATE on existing memory. The size argument may be different at each process and size = 0 is valid; however, a library might allocate and expose more memory in order to create a fast, globally symmetric allocation. The discussion of and rationales for MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM and MPI\_FREE\_MEM in Section 8.2 also apply to MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE; in particular, see the rationale in Section 8.2 for an explanation of the type used for **baseptr**.

If the Fortran compiler provides TYPE(C\_PTR), then the following interface must be provided in the mpi module and should be provided in mpif.h through overloading, i.e., with 48

1 the same routine name as the routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) BASEPTR,  $\mathbf{2}$ but with a different linker name: 3 4 INTERFACE MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE SUBROUTINE MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_CPTR(SIZE, DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, & 5WIN, IERROR) 6 USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO\_C\_BINDING, ONLY : C\_PTR 7 8 INTEGER :: DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: SIZE 9 TYPE(C\_PTR) :: BASEPTR 10 END SUBROUTINE 11 END INTERFACE 1213 The linker name base of this overloaded function is MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_CPTR. The 14 implied linker names are described in Section 17.1.5 on page 607. 1516*Rationale.* By allocating (potentially aligned) memory instead of allowing the user 17 to pass in an arbitrary buffer, this call can improve the performance for systems with 18 remote direct memory access. This also permits the collective allocation of memory 19 and supports what is sometimes called the "symmetric allocation" model that can be 20more scalable (for example, the implementation can arrange to return an address for 21the allocated memory that is the same on all processes). (End of rationale.) 22 23The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for  $^{24}$ MPI\_WIN\_CREATE and MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM. The following info key is predefined: 2526same\_size — if set to true, then the implementation may assume that the argument size is 27identical on all processes. 2829 30 11.2.3 Window That Allocates Shared Memory  $^{31}$ 32 33 MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED(size, disp\_unit, info, comm, baseptr, win) 34 IN size size of local window in bytes (non-negative integer) 35 36 IN disp\_unit local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in-37 teger) 38 IN info info argument (handle) 39 IN comm intra-communicator (handle) 4041 OUT baseptr address of local allocated window segment (choice) 42OUT win window object returned by the call (handle) 43 44int MPI\_Win\_allocate\_shared(MPI\_Aint size, int disp\_unit, MPI\_Info info, 45MPI\_Comm comm, void \*baseptr, MPI\_Win \*win) 4647MPI\_Win\_allocate\_shared(size, disp\_unit, info, comm, baseptr, win, ierror) 48 BIND(C)

MP

|    | USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR                           |
|----|---|
|    | <pre>INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size</pre>           |
|    | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: disp_unit  |
|    | TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info                                      |
|    | TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm                                      |
|    | TYPE(C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: baseptr                                     |
|    | TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(OUT) :: win                                       |
|    | INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror                                |
| Ι. | _WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED(SIZE, DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR) |
|    | INTEGER DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR                              |

```
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR
```

This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. On each process i, it allocates memory of at least size bytes that is shared among all processes in comm, and returns a pointer to the locally allocated segment in **baseptr** that can be used for load/store accesses on the calling process. The locally allocated memory can be the target of load/store accesses by remote processes; the base pointers for other processes can be queried using the function MPI\_WIN\_SHARED\_QUERY. The call also returns a window object that can be used by all processes in comm to perform RMA operations. The size argument may be different at each process and size = 0 is valid. It is the user's responsibility to ensure that the communicator comm represents a group of processes that can create a shared memory segment that can be accessed by all processes in the group. The discussions of rationales for MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM and MPI\_FREE\_MEM in Section 8.2  $^{24}$ also apply to MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED; in particular, see the rationale in Section 8.2 for an explanation of the type used for **baseptr**. The allocated memory is contiguous across process ranks unless the info key alloc\_shared\_noncontig is specified. Contiguous across process ranks means that the first address in the memory segment of process i is consecutive with the last address in the memory segment of process i-1. This may enable the user to calculate remote address offsets with local information only.

If the Fortran compiler provides TYPE(C\_PTR), then the following interface must be provided in the mpi module and should be provided in mpif.h through overloading, i.e., with the same routine name as the routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) BASEPTR, but with a different linker name:

```
INTERFACE MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED
SUBROUTINE MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED_CPTR(SIZE, DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, &
BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR)
USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
INTEGER :: DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: SIZE
TYPE(C_PTR) :: BASEPTR
END SUBROUTINE
END INTERFACE
```

The linker name base of this overloaded function is MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED\_CPTR. The implied linker names are described in Section 17.1.5 on page 607.

The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for MPI\_WIN\_CREATE, MPI\_WIN\_ALLOC, and MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM. The additional info key

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1 alloc\_shared\_noncontig allows the library to optimize the layout of the shared memory seg- $\mathbf{2}$ ments in memory.

Advice to users. If the info key alloc\_shared\_noncontig is not set to true, the allocation strategy is to allocate contiguous memory across process ranks. This may limit the performance on some architectures because it does not allow the implementation to modify the data layout (e.g., padding to reduce access latency). (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. If the user sets the info key alloc\_shared\_noncontig to true, the implementation can allocate the memory requested by each process in a location that is close to this process. This can be achieved by padding or allocating memory in special memory segments. Both techniques may make the address space across consecutive ranks noncontiguous. (End of advice to implementors.)

15The consistency of load/store accesses from/to the shared memory as observed by the 16user program depends on the architecture. A consistent view can be created in the unified 17memory model (see Section 11.4) by utilizing the window synchronization functions (see Section 11.5) or explicitly completing outstanding store accesses (e.g., by calling 19

MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH). MPI does not define semantics for accessing shared memory windows in the separate memory model.

```
21
22
23
```

```
MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY(win, rank, size, disp_unit, baseptr)
```

```
^{24}
       IN
                 win
                                            shared memory window object (handle)
25
       IN
                                            rank in the group of window win (non-negative inte-
26
                 rank
                                            ger) or MPI_PROC_NULL
27
28
       OUT
                 size
                                            size of the window segment (non-negative integer)
29
       OUT
                 disp_unit
                                            local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in-
30
                                             teger)
^{31}
       OUT
                 baseptr
                                            address for load/store access to window segment
32
                                             (choice)
33
34
35
     int MPI_Win_shared_query(MPI_Win win, int rank, MPI_Aint *size,
36
                    int *disp_unit, void *baseptr)
37
     MPI_Win_shared_query(win, rank, size, disp_unit, baseptr, ierror) BIND(C)
38
          USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
39
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
40
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank
41
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: size
42
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: disp_unit
43
          TYPE(C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: baseptr
44
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
45
46
     MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY(WIN, RANK, SIZE, DISP_UNIT, BASEPTR, IERROR)
47
          INTEGER WIN, RANK, DISP_UNIT, IERROR
48
          INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR
```

**Unofficial Draft for Comment Only** 

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

18

This function queries the process-local address for remote memory segments created  $\mathbf{2}$ with MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED. This function can return different process-local ad-dresses for the same physical memory on different processes. The returned memory can be used for load/store accesses subject to the constraints defined in Section 11.7. This function can only be called with windows of type MPI\_WIN\_FLAVOR\_SHARED. If the passed window is not of flavor MPI\_WIN\_FLAVOR\_SHARED, the error MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_WRONG\_FLAVOR is  $\overline{7}$ raised. When rank is MPI\_PROC\_NULL, the pointer, disp\_unit, and size returned are the pointer, disp\_unit, and size of the memory segment belonging the lowest rank that specified size > 0. If all processes in the group attached to the window specified size = 0, then the call returns size = 0 and a baseptr as if MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM was called with size = 0. 

If the Fortran compiler provides TYPE(C\_PTR), then the following interface must be provided in the mpi module and should be provided in mpif.h through overloading, i.e., with the same routine name as the routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) BASEPTR, but with a different linker name:

```
INTERFACE MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY
SUBROUTINE MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY_CPTR(WIN, RANK, SIZE, DISP_UNIT, &
BASEPTR, IERROR)
USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
INTEGER :: WIN, RANK, DISP_UNIT, IERROR
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: SIZE
TYPE(C_PTR) :: BASEPTR
END SUBROUTINE
END INTERFACE
```

The linker name base of this overloaded function is MPI\_WIN\_SHARED\_QUERY\_CPTR. The implied linker names are described in Section 17.1.5 on page 607.

#### 11.2.4 Window of Dynamically Attached Memory

The MPI-2 RMA model requires the user to identify the local memory that may be a target of RMA calls at the time the window is created. This has advantages for both the programmer (only this memory can be updated by one-sided operations and provides greater safety) and the MPI implementation (special steps may be taken to make one-sided access to such memory more efficient). However, consider implementing a modifiable linked list using RMA operations; as new items are added to the list, memory must be allocated. In a C or C++ program, this memory is typically allocated using malloc or new respectively. In MPI-2 RMA, the programmer must create a window with a predefined amount of memory and then implement routines for allocating memory from within the window's memory. In addition, there is no easy way to handle the situation where the predefined amount of memory turns out to be inadequate. To support this model, the routine MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC creates a window that makes it possible to expose memory without remote synchronization. It must be used in combination with the local routines MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH and MPI\_WIN\_DETACH.

 $^{31}$ 

1 MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC(info, comm, win) 2 IN info info argument (handle) 3 IN comm intra-communicator (handle) 4 5OUT window object returned by the call (handle) win 6  $\overline{7}$ int MPI\_Win\_create\_dynamic(MPI\_Info info, MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Win \*win) 8 MPI\_Win\_create\_dynamic(info, comm, win, ierror) BIND(C) 9 TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info 10 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 11 TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(OUT) :: win 12INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 13 14MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC(INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR) 15INTEGER INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR 1617This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. It returns a window win without memory attached. Existing process memory can be attached as 18 described below. This routine returns a window object that can be used by these processes to 19perform RMA operations on attached memory. Because this window has special properties, 2021it will sometimes be referred to as a *dynamic* window. The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for 22MPI\_WIN\_CREATE. 23In the case of a window created with MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC, the target\_disp for  $^{24}$ all RMA functions is the address at the target; i.e., the effective window\_base is MPI\_BOTTOM 25and the disp\_unit is one. Users should use MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS at the target process to 26determine the address of a target memory location and communicate this address to the 27origin process. 2829 Advice to implementors. In environments with heterogeneous data representations, 30 care must be exercised in communicating addresses between processes. For example, 31it is possible that an address valid at the target process (for example, a 64-bit pointer) 32 cannot be expressed as an address at the origin (for example, the origin uses 32-bit 33 pointers). For this reason, a portable MPI implementation should ensure that the type 34 MPI\_AINT (see Table 3.3 on Page 27) is able to store addresses from any process. (End 35 of advice to implementors.) 36 37 Memory in this window may not be used as the target of one-sided accesses in this 38 window until it is attached using the function MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH. That is, in addition to 39 using MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC to create an MPI window, the user must use 40 MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH before any local memory may be the target of an MPI RMA operation. 41 Only memory that is currently accessible may be attached. 4243 44454647 48

#### MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH(win, base, size)

| INI      | win                               | mindom chiest (hendle)  | 2  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|----|
| IIN      | VVIII                             | window object (nandle)  | 3  |
| IN       | base                              | initial address of memory to be attached                              | 4  |
| IN       | size                              | size of memory to be attached in bytes                                | 5  |
|          |                                   |   | 6  |
| int MP   | T Win attach(MPT                  | Win win, void *base, MPT Aint size)                                   | 7  |
| 1110 111 | 1_#1H_40046H(H 1_                 | win win, vola voabo, mi_mino bizo,                                    | 8  |
| MPI_Wi   | n_attach(win, bas                 | e, size, ierror) BIND(C)  | 9  |
| TY       | PE(MPI_Win), INTE                 | NT(IN) :: win   | 10 |
| TY       | PE(*), DIMENSION(                 | ), ASYNCHRONOUS :: base   | 11 |
| IN       | TEGER(KIND=MPI_AI                 | DRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size                                       | 12 |
| IN       | TEGER, OPTIONAL,                  | INTENT(OUT) :: ierror   | 13 |
|          |                                   |   | 14 |
| TN'      | TECED WIN TEDDOL                  | E, SIZE, TEMOR)   | 15 |
|          | TEGEN WIN, IENNOP                 |   | 16 |
|          | YPEZ DASE(*)<br>TECED (VIND-MDI A | NNDEGG KIND) GIZE   | 17 |
| 11       | IEGER (KIND-MFI_F                 | DDRESS_KIND/ SIZE   | 18 |
| Att      | taches a local memo               | ry region beginning at <b>base</b> for remote access within the given | 19 |
| window   | The memory region                 | on specified must not contain any part that is already attached       | 20 |

window. The memory region specified must not contain any part that is already attached to the window win, that is, attaching overlapping memory concurrently within the same window is erroneous. The argument win must be a window that was created with MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC. Multiple (but non-overlapping) memory regions may be attached to the same window.

Requiring that memory be explicitly attached before it is exposed to Rationale. one-sided access by other processes can significantly simplify implementations and improve performance. The ability to make memory available for RMA operations without requiring a collective MPI\_WIN\_CREATE call is needed for some one-sided programming models. (End of rationale.)

Attaching memory to a window may require the use of scarce Advice to users. resources; thus, attaching large regions of memory is not recommended in portable programs. Attaching memory to a window may fail if sufficient resources are not available; this is similar to the behavior of MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM.

The user is also responsible for ensuring that MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH at the target has returned before a process attempts to target that memory with an MPI RMA call.

Performing an RMA operation to memory that has not been attached to a window created with MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC is erroneous. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will attempt to make as much memory available for attaching as possible. Any limitations should be documented by the implementor. (End of advice to implementors.)

Attaching memory is a local operation as defined by MPI, which means that the call 4546is not collective and completes without requiring any MPI routine to be called in any other 47process. Memory may be detached with the routine MPI\_WIN\_DETACH. After memory has

1

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

```
1
     been detached, it may not be the target of an MPI RMA operation on that window (unless
\mathbf{2}
     the memory is re-attached with MPI_WIN_ATTACH).
3
4
     MPI_WIN_DETACH(win, base)
5
6
       IN
                                             window object (handle)
                 win
7
       IN
                 base
                                             initial address of memory to be detached
8
9
     int MPI_Win_detach(MPI_Win win, const void *base)
10
11
     MPI_Win_detach(win, base, ierror) BIND(C)
12
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
13
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: base
14
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
15
     MPI_WIN_DETACH(WIN, BASE, IERROR)
16
          INTEGER WIN, IERROR
17
          <type> BASE(*)
18
19
          Detaches a previously attached memory region beginning at base. The arguments base
20
     and win must match the arguments passed to a previous call to MPI_WIN_ATTACH.
21
22
           Advice to users. Detaching memory may permit the implementation to make more
23
           efficient use of special memory or provide memory that may be needed by a subsequent
^{24}
           MPI_WIN_ATTACH. Users are encouraged to detach memory that is no longer needed.
25
           Memory should be detached before it is freed by the user. (End of advice to users.)
26
27
          Memory becomes detached when the associated dynamic memory window is freed, see
     Section 11.2.5.
28
29
30
     11.2.5 Window Destruction
^{31}
32
33
     MPI_WIN_FREE(win)
34
                 win
       INOUT
                                             window object (handle)
35
36
     int MPI_Win_free(MPI_Win *win)
37
38
     MPI_Win_free(win, ierror) BIND(C)
39
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(INOUT) :: win
40
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
41
42
     MPI_WIN_FREE(WIN, IERROR)
          INTEGER WIN, IERROR
43
44
         Frees the window object win and returns a null handle (equal to MPI_WIN_NULL). This
45
     is a collective call executed by all processes in the group associated with
46
     win. MPI_WIN_FREE(win) can be invoked by a process only after it has completed its
47
```

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS

414

48

involvement in RMA communications on window win: e.g., the process has called

MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, or called MPI\_WIN\_WAIT to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_POST or called MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_START or called MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK. The memory associated with windows created by a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE may be freed after the call returns. If the window was created with MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE, MPI\_WIN\_FREE will free the window memory that was allocated in MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE. If the window was created with MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED, MPI\_WIN\_FREE will free the window memory that was allocated in MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED.

Freeing a window that was created with a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC detaches all associated memory; i.e., it has the same effect as if all attached memory was detached by calls to MPI\_WIN\_DETACH.

MPI\_WIN\_FREE requires a barrier synchronization: no Advice to implementors. process can return from free until all processes in the group of win call free. This ensures that no process will attempt to access a remote window (e.g., with lock/unlock) after it was freed. The only exception to this rule is when the user sets the no\_locks info key to true when creating the window. In that case, an MPI implementation may free the local window without barrier synchronization. (End of advice to implementors.)

#### 11.2.6 Window Attributes

The following attributes are cached with a window when the window is created.

| MPI_WIN_BASE          | window base address.                          | 24 |
|-----------------------|---|----|
| MPI_WIN_SIZE          | window size, in bytes.                        | 25 |
| MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT     | displacement unit associated with the window. | 26 |
| MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR | how the window was created.                   | 27 |
| MPI_WIN_MODEL         | memory model for window.                      | 28 |

29 In C, calls to MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_BASE, &base, &flag), 30 MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_SIZE, &size, &flag), 31MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_DISP\_UNIT, &disp\_unit, &flag), 32 MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_FLAVOR, & create\_kind, & flag), and 33 MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_MODEL, & memory\_model, & flag) will return in base a 34pointer to the start of the window win, and will return in size, disp\_unit, create\_kind, and 35 memory\_model pointers to the size, displacement unit of the window, the kind of routine 36 used to create the window, and the memory model, respectively. A detailed listing of the 37 type of the pointer in the attribute value argument to MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR and 38 MPI\_WIN\_SET\_ATTR is shown in Table 11.1. 39 In Fortran, calls to MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_BASE, base, flag, ierror), 40 MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_SIZE, size, flag, ierror), 41 MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_DISP\_UNIT, disp\_unit, flag, ierror), 42MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_FLAVOR, create\_kind, flag, ierror), and 43 MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_MODEL, memory\_model, flag, ierror) will return in 44base, size, disp\_unit, create\_kind, and memory\_model the (integer representation of) the

base address, the size, the displacement unit of the window win, the kind of routine used to create the window, and the memory model, respectively.

The values of create\_kind are

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4  $\mathbf{5}$ 

> 6  $\overline{7}$

> > 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

45

46

47

```
416
                                        CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS
                                                       C Type
1
                            Attribute
2
                            MPI_WIN_BASE
                                                       void *
3
                            MPI_WIN_SIZE
                                                       MPI_Aint *
4
                            MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT
                                                       int *
5
                            MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR
                                                       int *
6
                            MPI_WIN_MODEL
                                                       int *
7
8
     Table 11.1: C types of attribute value argument to MPI_WIN_GET_ATTR and
9
     MPI_WIN_SET_ATTR.
10
11
12
                                            Window was created with MPI_WIN_CREATE.
     MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_CREATE
13
     MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_ALLOCATE
                                            Window was created with MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE.
14
     MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_DYNAMIC
                                            Window was created with
15
                                            MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC.
16
     MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_SHARED
                                            Window was created with
17
                                            MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED.
18
         The values of memory_model are MPI_WIN_SEPARATE and MPI_WIN_UNIFIED. The mean-
19
     ing of these is described in Section 11.4.
20
         In the case of windows created with MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC, the base address
21
     is MPL_BOTTOM and the size is 0. In C, pointers are returned, and in Fortran, the values are
22
     returned, for the respective attributes. (The window attribute access functions are defined
23
     in Section 6.7.3, page 272.) The value returned for an attribute on a window is constant
24
     over the lifetime of the window.
25
         The other "window attribute," namely the group of processes attached to the window,
26
     can be retrieved using the call below.
27
28
29
     MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP(win, group)
30
       IN
                win
                                            window object (handle)
^{31}
                                            group of processes which share access to the window
       OUT
32
                group
33
                                            (handle)
34
35
     int MPI_Win_get_group(MPI_Win win, MPI_Group *group)
36
     MPI_Win_get_group(win, group, ierror) BIND(C)
37
         TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
38
         TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: group
39
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
40
41
     MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP(WIN, GROUP, IERROR)
42
         INTEGER WIN, GROUP, IERROR
43
         MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP returns a duplicate of the group of the communicator used to
44
     create the window associated with win. The group is returned in group.
45
46
47
48
```

#### 11.2.7 Window Info

Hints specified via info (see Section 9, page 367) allow a user to provide information to direct optimization. Providing hints may enable an implementation to deliver increased performance or use system resources more efficiently. However, hints do not change the semantics of any MPI interfaces. In other words, an implementation is free to ignore all hints. Hints are specified on a per window basis, in window creation functions and MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO, via the opaque info object. When an info object that specifies a subset of valid hints is passed to MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO there will be no effect on previously set or default hints that the info does not specify.

Advice to implementors. It may happen that a program is coded with hints for one system, and later executes on another system that does not support these hints. In general, unsupported hints should simply be ignored. Needless to say, no hint can be mandatory. However, for each hint used by a specific implementation, a default value must be provided when the user does not specify a value for the hint. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO(win, info)

| INOUT | win  | window object (handle) |
|-------|------|------------------------|
| IN    | info | info object (handle)   |
|       |      |                        |

int MPI\_Win\_set\_info(MPI\_Win win, MPI\_Info info)

```
MPI_Win_set_info(win, info, ierror) BIND(C)
   TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
   TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
   INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
```

```
MPI_WIN_SET_INFO(WIN, INFO, IERROR)
INTEGER WIN, INFO, IERROR
```

OUT

info\_used

MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO sets new values for the hints of the window associated with win. The call is collective on the group of win. The info object may be different on each process, but any info entries that an implementation requires to be the same on all processes must appear with the same value in each process's info object.

Advice to users. Some info items that an implementation can use when it creates a window cannot easily be changed once the window has been created. Thus, an implementation may ignore hints issued in this call that it would have accepted in a creation call. (End of advice to users.)

new info object (handle)

 MPI\_WIN\_GET\_INFO(win, info\_used)

 IN
 win

 window object (handle)

```
int MPI_Win_get_info(MPI_Win win, MPI_Info *info_used)
MPI_Win_get_info(win, info_used, ierror) BIND(C)
TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(OUT) :: info_used
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
```

```
MPI_WIN_GET_INFO(WIN, INFO_USED, IERROR)
INTEGER WIN, INFO_USED, IERROR
```

MPI\_WIN\_GET\_INFO returns a new info object containing the hints of the window associated with win. The current setting of all hints actually used by the system related to this window is returned in info\_used. If no such hints exist, a handle to a newly created info object is returned that contains no key/value pair. The user is responsible for freeing info\_used via MPI\_INFO\_FREE.

Advice to users. The info object returned in info\_used will contain all hints currently active for this window. This set of hints may be greater or smaller than the set of hints specified when the window was created, as the system may not recognize some hints set by the user, and may recognize other hints that the user has not set. (End of advice to users.)

#### 21 22 23

 $^{24}$ 

# 11.3 Communication Calls

MPI supports the following RMA communication calls: MPI\_PUT and MPI\_RPUT transfer data from the caller memory (origin) to the target memory; MPI\_GET and MPI\_RGET transfer data from the target memory to the caller memory; MPI\_ACCUMULATE and MPI\_RACCUMULATE update locations in the target memory, e.g., by adding to these locations values sent from the caller memory; MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE,

MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, and MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP perform atomic read-modify-write 30 and return the data before the accumulate operation; and MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP  $^{31}$ performs a remote atomic compare and swap operation. These operations are *nonblocking*: 32 the call initiates the transfer, but the transfer may continue after the call returns. The 33 transfer is completed, at the origin or both the origin and the target, when a subsequent 34 synchronization call is issued by the caller on the involved window object. These synchro-35 nization calls are described in Section 11.5, page 437. Transfers can also be completed 36 with calls to flush routines; see Section 11.5.4, page 449 for details. For the MPI\_RPUT, 37 MPI\_RGET, MPI\_RACCUMULATE, and MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE calls, the transfer can 38 be locally completed by using the MPI test or wait operations described in Section 3.7.3, 39 page 52. 40

The local communication buffer of an RMA call should not be updated, and the local communication buffer of a get call should not be accessed after the RMA call until the operation completes at the origin.

The outcome of concurrent conflicting accesses to the same memory locations is undefined; if a location is updated by a put or accumulate operation, then the outcome of loads or other RMA operations is undefined until the updating operation has completed at the target. There is one exception to this rule; namely, the same location can be updated by several concurrent accumulate calls, the outcome being as if these updates occurred

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

IN

target\_disp

 $\mathbf{2}$ the same memory location is undefined. These restrictions are described in more detail in 3 Section 11.7, page 453. The calls use general datatype arguments to specify communication buffers at the origin 4  $\mathbf{5}$ and at the target. Thus, a transfer operation may also gather data at the source and scatter 6 it at the destination. However, all arguments specifying both communication buffers are  $\overline{7}$ provided by the caller. For all RMA calls, the target process may be identical with the origin process; i.e., a 8 9 process may use an RMA operation to move data in its memory. 10 *Rationale.* The choice of supporting "self-communication" is the same as for message-11 passing. It simplifies some coding, and is very useful with accumulate operations, to 12allow atomic updates of local variables. (*End of rationale.*) 13 14MPI\_PROC\_NULL is a valid target rank in all MPI RMA communication calls. The effect 15is the same as for MPI\_PROC\_NULL in MPI point-to-point communication. After any RMA 16 operation with rank MPI\_PROC\_NULL, it is still necessary to finish the RMA epoch with the 17 synchronization method that started the epoch. 18 1911.3.1 Put 2021The execution of a put operation is similar to the execution of a send by the origin process 22and a matching receive by the target process. The obvious difference is that all arguments 23are provided by one call — the call executed by the origin process. 2425MPI\_PUT(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, target\_rank, target\_disp, target\_count, 26target\_datatype, win) 2728 origin\_addr IN initial address of origin buffer (choice) 29 IN origin\_count number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-30 ger) 31IN origin\_datatype datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) 32 33 IN target\_rank rank of target (non-negative integer)

in some order. In addition, the outcome of concurrent load/store and RMA updates to

36 IN target\_count number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-37 ger) 38 39 IN target\_datatype datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle) 40 IN win window object used for communication (handle) 41 42

(non-negative integer)

displacement from start of window to target buffer

Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

1

34

35

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

| 1  | <pre>TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr</pre>   |
|--|--|
| 2  | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin_count, target_rank, target_count   |
| 3  | TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype   |
| 4  | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp  |
| 5  | TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win   |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | <pre>INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI_PUT(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,</pre>   |
| 11   | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISP   |
| 12   | INTEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,  |
| 13   | TARGET_DATATYPE, WIN, IERROR   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35 | Transfers origin_count successive entries of the type specified by the origin_datatype,<br>starting at address origin_addr on the origin node, to the target node specified by the win,<br>target_rank pair. The data are written in the target buffer at address target_addr=<br>window_base+ target_disp×disp_unit, where window_base and disp_unit are the base address<br>and window displacement unit specified at window initialization, by the target process.<br>The target buffer is specified by the arguments target_count and target_datatype.<br>The data transfer is the same as that which would occur if the origin process executed<br>a send operation with arguments origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, tag,<br>comm, and the target process executed a receive operation with arguments target_addr,<br>target_count, target_datatype, source, tag, comm, where target_addr is the target buffer<br>address computed as explained above, the values of tag are arbitrary valid matching tag<br>values, and comm is a communicator for the group of win.<br>The communication must satisfy the same constraints as for a similar message-passing<br>communication. The target_datatype may not specify overlapping entries in the target<br>buffer. The message sent must fit, without truncation, in the target buffer. Furthermore,<br>the target buffer must fit in the target window or in attached memory in a dynamic window.<br>The target_datatype argument is a handle to a datatype object defined at the origin<br>process. However, this object is interpreted at the target process: the outcome is as if<br>the target datatype object was defined at the target process by the same sequence of calls<br>used to define it at the origin process. The same holds for get and accumulate. |
| 36   | Advice to users. The target_datatype argument is a handle to a datatype object that  |
| 37   | is defined at the origin process, even though it defines a data layout in the target   |
| 38   | process memory. This causes no problems in a homogeneous environment, or in a  |
| 39   | heterogeneous environment if only portable datatypes are used (portable datatypes  |
| 40   | are defined in Section 2.4, page 11).  |
| 41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47   | The performance of a put transfer can be significantly affected, on some systems, by<br>the choice of window location and the shape and location of the origin and target<br>buffer: transfers to a target window in memory allocated by MPI_ALLOC_MEM or<br>MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE may be much faster on shared memory systems; transfers from<br>contiguous buffers will be faster on most, if not all, systems; the alignment of the<br>communication buffers may also impact performance. ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )   |

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will attempt to prevent remote accesses to memory outside the window that was exposed by the process. This, both for debugging purposes, and for protection with client-server codes that use RMA. I.e., a high-quality implementation will check, if possible, window bounds on each RMA call, and raise an MPI exception at the origin call if an out-of-bound situation occurs. Note that the condition can be checked at the origin. Of course, the added safety achieved by such checks has to be weighed against the added cost of such checks. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

11.3.2 Get

MPI\_GET(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, target\_rank, target\_disp, target\_count, target\_datatype, win)

| OUT | origin_addr     | initial address of origin buffer (choice)  | 16 |
|-----|-----------------|--|----|
| IN  | origin_count    | number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-   | 17 |
|     |                 | ger)   | 18 |
| IN  | origin_datatype | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)   | 19 |
| IN  | target rank     | rank of target (non negative integer)  | 20 |
|     |                 | Tank of target (non-negative integer)  | 21 |
| IN  | target_disp     | displacement from window start to the beginning of<br>the target buffer (non possible integer) | 22 |
|     |                 | the target buller (non-negative integer)   | 24 |
| IN  | target_count    | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-   | 25 |
|     |                 | ger)   | 26 |
| IN  | target_datatype | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)   | 27 |
| IN  | win             | window object used for communication (handle)  | 28 |
|     |                 |  |    |

| TARGET_DISP, TARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, WIN, IEF | ROR) |
|--|------|
| <tvpe> ORIGIN ADDR(*)</tvpe>                         | 46   |
| TNTEGER (KIND=MPI ADDRESS KIND) TARGET DISP          | 47   |
|  | 48   |

1 INTEGER ORIGIN\_COUNT, ORIGIN\_DATATYPE, TARGET\_RANK, TARGET\_COUNT,  $\mathbf{2}$ TARGET\_DATATYPE, WIN, IERROR 3 Similar to MPI\_PUT, except that the direction of data transfer is reversed. Data 4 are copied from the target memory to the origin. The origin\_datatype may not specify 5overlapping entries in the origin buffer. The target buffer must be contained within the 6 target window or within attached memory in a dynamic window, and the copied data must 7 fit, without truncation, in the origin buffer. 8 9 11.3.3 Examples for Communication Calls 10 11 These examples show the use of the MPI\_GET function. As all MPI RMA communication 12functions are nonblocking, they must be completed. In the following, this is accomplished 13with the routine MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, introduced in Section 11.5. 1415**Example 11.1** We show how to implement the generic indirect assignment A = B(map), 16where A, B, and map have the same distribution, and map is a permutation. To simplify, we 17assume a block distribution with equal size blocks. 18 19SUBROUTINE MAPVALS(A, B, map, m, comm, p) USE MPI 2021INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p REAL A(m), B(m) 2223 $^{24}$ INTEGER otype(p), oindex(m), & ! used to construct origin datatypes ttype(p), tindex(m), & ! used to construct target datatypes 2526count(p), total(p), **&**. disp\_int, win, ierr 27INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp\_aint 2829! This part does the work that depends on the locations of B. 30 ! Can be reused while this does not change  $^{31}$ 32 CALL MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT(MPI\_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, ierr) 33 34disp\_int = realextent size = m \* realextent 35 CALL MPI\_WIN\_CREATE(B, size, disp\_int, MPI\_INFO\_NULL, 36 & 37 comm, win, ierr) 38 ! This part does the work that depends on the value of map and 39 ! the locations of the arrays. 4041 ! Can be reused while these do not change 42! Compute number of entries to be received from each process 43 44 45DO i=1,p count(i) = 04647END DO 48 DO i=1,m

```
1
  j = map(i)/m+1
                                                                                      \mathbf{2}
  count(j) = count(j)+1
                                                                                      3
END DO
                                                                                      4
total(1) = 0
                                                                                      5
                                                                                      6
DO i=2,p
                                                                                      7
  total(i) = total(i-1) + count(i-1)
                                                                                      8
END DO
                                                                                      9
                                                                                      10
DO i=1,p
                                                                                      11
  count(i) = 0
END DO
                                                                                      12
                                                                                      13
                                                                                      14
! compute origin and target indices of entries.
! entry i at current process is received from location
                                                                                      15
                                                                                      16
! k at process (j-1), where map(i) = (j-1)*m + (k-1),
                                                                                      17
! j = 1...p and k = 1...m
                                                                                      18
                                                                                      19
DO i=1,m
                                                                                      20
  j = map(i)/m+1
                                                                                      21
  k = MOD(map(i), m) + 1
  count(j) = count(j)+1
                                                                                      22
  oindex(total(j) + count(j)) = i
                                                                                      23
                                                                                      24
  tindex(total(j) + count(j)) = k
                                                                                      25
END DO
                                                                                      26
! create origin and target datatypes for each get operation
                                                                                      27
                                                                                      28
DO i=1,p
                                                                                      29
  CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK(count(i), 1, &
                                                                                      30
                                        oindex(total(i)+1:total(i)+count(i)), &
                                                                                      31
                                        MPI_REAL, otype(i), ierr)
                                                                                      32
  CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(otype(i), ierr)
                                                                                      33
  CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK(count(i), 1, &
                                                                                      34
                                        tindex(total(i)+1:total(i)+count(i)), &
                                        MPI_REAL, ttype(i), ierr)
                                                                                      35
  CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(ttype(i), ierr)
                                                                                      36
                                                                                      37
END DO
                                                                                      38
                                                                                      39
! this part does the assignment itself
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
                                                                                      40
                                                                                      41
disp_aint = 0
                                                                                      42
DO i=1,p
  CALL MPI_GET(A, 1, otype(i), i-1, disp_aint, 1, ttype(i), win, ierr)
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
END DO
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
                                                                                      45
                                                                                      46
                                                                                      47
CALL MPI_WIN_FREE(win, ierr)
                                                                                      48
DO i=1,p
```

```
1
       CALL MPI_TYPE_FREE(otype(i), ierr)
\mathbf{2}
       CALL MPI_TYPE_FREE(ttype(i), ierr)
3
     END DO
4
     RETURN
5
     END
6
7
     Example 11.2
8
          A simpler version can be written that does not require that a datatype be built for the
9
     target buffer. But, one then needs a separate get call for each entry, as illustrated below.
10
     This code is much simpler, but usually much less efficient, for large arrays.
11
12
     SUBROUTINE MAPVALS(A, B, map, m, comm, p)
13
     USE MPI
14
     INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p
15
     REAL A(m), B(m)
16
     INTEGER disp_int, win, ierr
17
     INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp_aint
18
19
     CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, ierr)
20
     disp_int = realextent
21
     size = m * realextent
22
     CALL MPI_WIN_CREATE(B, size, disp_int, MPI_INFO_NULL, &
23
                            comm, win, ierr)
24
25
     CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
26
     DO i=1,m
27
       j = map(i)/m
28
       disp_aint = MOD(map(i),m)
29
       CALL MPI_GET(A(i), 1, MPI_REAL, j, disp_aint, 1, MPI_REAL, win, ierr)
30
     END DO
^{31}
     CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
32
     CALL MPI_WIN_FREE(win, ierr)
33
     RETURN
34
     END
35
36
     11.3.4 Accumulate Functions
37
38
     It is often useful in a put operation to combine the data moved to the target process with the
39
     data that resides at that process, rather then replacing the data there. This will allow, for
40
```

data that resides at that process, rather then replacing the data there. This will allow, for example, the accumulation of a sum by having all involved processes add their contributions to the sum variable in the memory of one process. The accumulate functions have slightly different semantics with respect to overlapping data accesses than the put and get functions; see Section 11.7 for details.

```
45 Accumulate Function
```

- 46
- 47 48

| MPI  | _ACCUMULATE(origin_addr, origi<br>target_count, target_d      | n_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp,<br>atatype, op, win)                       | 1<br>2         |
|------|---|--|----------------|
| IN   | origin_addr   | initial address of buffer (choice)   | 3              |
| IN   | origin_count  | number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)   | 4<br>5         |
| IN   | origin_datatype   | datatype of each entry (handle)  | 6              |
| IN   | target_rank   | rank of target (non-negative integer)  | 7              |
| IN   | target_disp   | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-<br>get buffer (non-negative integer)    | 8<br>9<br>10   |
| IN   | target_count  | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)                                      | 11<br>12       |
| IN   | target_datatype   | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)   | 13             |
| IN   | ор  | reduce operation (handle)  | 14<br>15       |
| IN   | win   | window object (handle)   | 16             |
|      |   |  | 17             |
| int  | <pre>MPI_Accumulate(const void *</pre>                        | origin_addr, int origin_count,   | 18             |
|      | MPI_Datatype origi  | n_datatype, int target_rank,   | 20             |
|      | MPI_Aint target_di  | sp, int target_count,<br>t datature MPI On an MPI Win win)                                     | 21             |
|      | mri_Datatype targe  | c_datatype, Mr1_op op, Mr1_win win)  | 22             |
| MPI_ | Accumulate(origin_addr, ori,<br>target_disp, targe<br>BIND(C) | <pre>gin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, t_count, target_datatype, op, win, ierror)</pre> | 23<br>24<br>25 |
|      | TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INT                                     | ENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr   | 26             |
|      | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: ori                                    | gin_count, target_rank, target_count   | 27             |
|      | <pre>TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(</pre>                        | <pre>IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype</pre>   | 28             |
|      | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KI                                   | ND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp   | 29             |
|      | TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) ::                                   | op   | 30             |
|      | IYPE(MPI_Win), INIENI(IN) :<br>INTECED OPTIONAL INTENT(O      | : Win  | 31             |
|      | INTEGER, OFITOMAE, INTENI(O                                   |  | 32             |
| MPI_ | _ACCUMULATE(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORI                                  | GIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,   | 34             |
|      | TARGET_DISP, TARGE  | T_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, IERROR)   | 35             |
|      | <type> URIGIN_ADDR(*)</type>                                  |  | 36             |
|      | INTEGER (AIND-MPI_ADDRESS_AI)                                 | ND/ TANGET_DISP<br>N DATATYPE TARGET BANK TARGET COUNT   | 37             |
|      | TARGET_DATATYPE. OP. WIN. I                                   | ERROR  | 38             |
|      |   | ····   | 39             |
|      | Accumulate the contents of the or                             | igin buffer (as defined by origin_addr, origin_count, and                                      | 40             |

Accumulate the contents of the origin buffer (as defined by origin\_addr, origin\_count, and origin\_datatype) to the buffer specified by arguments target\_count and target\_datatype, at offset target\_disp, in the target window specified by target\_rank and win, using the operation op. This is like MPI\_PUT except that data is combined into the target area instead of overwriting it.

Any of the predefined operations for MPI\_REDUCE can be used. User-defined functions cannot be used. For example, if **op** is MPI\_SUM, each element of the origin buffer is added to the corresponding element in the target, replacing the former value in the target.

 $^{41}$ 

| 1  | Each datatype argument must be a predefined datatype or a derived datatype, where                          |
|----|--|
| 2  | all basic components are of the same predefined datatype. Both datatype arguments must                     |
| 3  | be constructed from the same predefined datatype. The operation <b>op</b> applies to elements of           |
| 4  | that predefined type. The parameter target_datatype must not specify overlapping entries,                  |
| 5  | and the target buffer must fit in the target window.   |
| 6  | A new predefined operation, MPI_REPLACE, is defined. It corresponds to the associative                     |
| 7  | function $f(a,b) = b$ ; i.e., the current value in the target memory is replaced by the value              |
| 8  | supplied by the origin.  |
| 9  | MPI_REPLACE can be used only in MPI_ACCUMULATE, MPI_RACCUMULATE,   |
| 10 | $MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP, \mathrm{and}~MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, \mathrm{but}~\mathbf{not}$ |
| 11 | in collective reduction operations such as MPI_REDUCE.   |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Advice to users. MPI_PUT is a special case of MPI_ACCUMULATE, with the op-                                 |
| 14 | eration MPI_REPLACE. Note, nowever, that MPI_PUT and MPI_ACCUMULATE nave                                   |
| 15 | different constraints on concurrent updates. (End of advice to users.)                                     |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | <b>Example 11.3</b> We want to compute $B(j) = \sum_{map(j)=j} A(j)$ . The arrays A, B, and map are        |
| 18 | distributed in the same manner. We write the simple version.   |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | SUBROUTINE SUM(A, B, map, m, comm, p)  |
| 21 | USE MPI  |
| 23 | INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p, win, ierr, disp_int  |
| 24 | REAL A(m), B(m)  |
| 25 | INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp_aint                                    |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, lerr)   |
| 28 | Size = m * realextent  |
| 29 | disp_int = realextent  |
| 30 | CALL MPI_WIN_CREATE(B, SIZE, disp_int, MPI_INFU_NULL, &  |
| 31 | comm, win, ierr)   |
| 32 | CALL MDI WIN FENCE (O win iorr)  |
| 33 | DD i=1 m   |
| 34 | i = man(i)/m   |
| 35 | $\int -map(1)/m$ disp pint = MOD(map(i) m)   |
| 36 | CALL MPT ACCUMULATE(A(i) 1 MPT REAL i disp sint 1 MPT REAL &   |
| 37 | MPI SIM win ierr)  |
| 38 | FND DO   |
| 39 | CALL MPI WIN FENCE(0 win ierr)   |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | CALL MPI WIN FREE(win, ierr)   |
| 42 | RETURN   |
| 43 | END  |
| 44 |  |
| 45 | This code is identical to the code in Example 11.2, page 424, except that a call to                        |

<sup>46</sup> get has been replaced by a call to accumulate. (Note that, if map is one-to-one, the code <sup>47</sup> computes  $B = A(map^{-1})$ , which is the reverse assignment to the one computed in that <sup>48</sup> previous example.) In a similar manner, we can replace in Example 11.1, page 422, the call to

get by a call to accumulate, thus performing the computation with only one communication between any two processes.

#### Get Accumulate Function

It is often useful to have fetch-and-accumulate semantics such that the remote data is returned to the caller before the sent data is accumulated into the remote data. The get and accumulate steps are executed atomically for each basic element in the datatype (see Section 11.7 for details). The predefined operation MPI\_REPLACE provides fetch-and-set behavior.

| MPI_GE  | T_ACCUMULATE(origin_a                    | ddr, origin_count, origin_datatype, result_addr,  | 13       |
|---|--|---|----------|
|   | result_count, result                     | _datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count,  | 14       |
|   | target_datatype, op                      | o, win)   | 15       |
| IN  | origin_addr                              | initial address of buffer (choice)  | 16       |
| IN  | origin_count                             | number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-<br>ger)                              | 17<br>18 |
| IN  | origin_datatype                          | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)  | 19<br>20 |
| OUT   | result_addr                              | initial address of result buffer (choice)   | 20       |
| IN  | result_count                             | number of entries in result buffer (non-negative integer)                                   | 22<br>23 |
| IN  | result_datatype                          | datatype of each entry in result buffer (handle)  | 24       |
| IN  | target_rank                              | rank of target (non-negative integer)   | 25<br>26 |
| IN  | target_disp                              | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-<br>get buffer (non-negative integer) | 27<br>28 |
| IN  | target_count                             | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)                                   | 29<br>30 |
| IN  | target_datatype                          | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)  | 31<br>32 |
| IN  | op                                       | reduce operation (handle)   | 33       |
| IN  | win                                      | window object (handle)  | 34       |
| IIN   | vv i i i                                 | window object (nandie)  | 35       |
| int MDT   | Cot pequalite(const                      | word torrigin oddr int origin count   | 36       |
| IIIC MFI  | _Get_accumulate(Const<br>MPT_Datature_or | igin datatype woid *result addr   | 37       |
| int result count MPI Datatype result datatype         |  |   |          |
|   | int target rank                          | . MPT Aint target disp. int target count.   | 39       |
| MPI_Datatype target_datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Win win) |  |   |          |

Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

| 1  | TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype,                             |
|----|---|
| 2  | result_datatype   |
| 3  | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp                                       |
| 4  | TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op  |
| 5  | TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win  |
| 6  | INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror  |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | MP1_GET_ACCUMULATE(URIGIN_ADDR, URIGIN_CUUNT, URIGIN_DATATYPE, RESULT_ADDR,                     |
| 9  | RESULT_CUUNT, RESULT_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_DISP,  |
| 10 | TARGET_CUUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, UP, WIN, IERRUR)   |
| 11 | <type> URIGIN_ADDR(*), RESULT_ADDR(*)</type>  |
| 12 | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISP  |
| 13 | INTEGER URIGIN_CUUNT, URIGIN_DATATYPE, RESULT_CUUNT, RESULT_DATATYPE,                           |
| 14 | TARGET_RANK, TARGET_CUUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, UP, WIN, IERRUR                                     |
| 15 | Accumulate origin_count elements of type origin_datatype from the origin buffer (               |
| 16 | origin_addr) to the buffer at offset target_disp, in the target window specified by target_rank |
| 17 | and win, using the operation op and return in the result buffer result_addr the content of      |
| 18 | the target buffer before the accumulation.  |
| 19 | The origin and result buffers (origin_addr and result_addr) must be disjoint. Each              |
| 20 | datatype argument must be a predefined datatype or a derived datatype where all basic           |
| 21 | components are of the same predefined datatype. All datatype arguments must be con-             |
| 22 | structed from the same predefined datatype. The operation op applies to elements of that        |
| 23 | predefined type. target_datatype must not specify overlapping entries, and the target buffer    |
| 24 | must fit in the target window or in attached memory in a dynamic window. The operation          |
| 25 | is executed atomically for each basic datatype; see Section 11.7 for details.                   |
| 26 | Any of the predefined operations for MPI_REDUCE, as well as MPI_NO_OP or                        |
| 27 | MPI_REPLACE can be specified as op. User-defined functions cannot be used. A new                |
| 28 | predefined operation, MPI_NO_OP, is defined. It corresponds to the associative function         |
| 29 | f(a,b) = a; i.e., the current value in the target memory is returned in the result buffer at    |
| 30 | the origin and no operation is performed on the target buffer. MPI_NO_OP can be used only       |
| 31 | in MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE, MPI_RGET_ACCUMULATE, and MPI_FETCH_AND_OP.                               |
| 32 | MPI_NO_OP cannot be used in MPI_ACCUMULATE, MPI_RACCUMULATE, or collective                      |
| 33 | reduction operations, such as MPI_REDUCE and others.  |
| 34 |   |
| 35 | Advice to users. MPI_GET is similar to MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE, with the opera-                      |
| 36 | tion MPI_NO_OP. Note, however, that MPI_GET and MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE have                         |
| 37 | different constraints on concurrent updates. (End of advice to users.)                          |
| 38 |   |
| 39 | Fetch and Op Function   |
| 40 | The generic functionality of MDL CET ACCUMULATE might limit the performance of forch            |
| 41 | and increment or fatch and add calls that might he sure set a last residue to a                 |
| 42 | and-increment or retch-and-add cans that might be supported by special nardware oper-           |
| 43 | auons. IVIFI_FEICH_AND_OF thus allows for a fast implementation of a commonly used              |
| 44 | subset of the functionality of WFI_GET_ACCOMULATE.  |
| 45 |   |
| 46 |   |
| 47 |   |
| 48 |   |

| MPI_  | FETCH_AND_OP(origin_addr,                    | result_addr, datatype, target_rank, target_disp, op, win)                                   | 1        |
|-------|--|---|----------|
|       |  |   | 2        |
| IN    | origin_addr                                  | initial address of buffer (choice)  | 4        |
| 00    | T result_addr                                | initial address of result buffer (choice)   | 5        |
| IN    | datatype                                     | datatype of the entry in origin, result, and target buf-                                    | 6        |
|       |  | fers (handle)   | 7        |
| IN    | target_rank                                  | rank of target (non-negative integer)   | 8        |
| IN    | target_disp                                  | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-<br>get buffer (non-negative integer) | 10<br>11 |
| IN    | ор   | reduce operation (handle)   | 12       |
| IN    | win  | window object (handle)  | 13       |
|       |  |   | 14       |
| int M | MPI_Fetch_and_op(const voi                   | d *origin_addr, void *result_addr,  | 15       |
|       | MPI_Datatype data                            | atype, int target_rank, MPI_Aint target_disp,   | 10       |
|       | MPI_Op op, MPI_Wi                            | in win)   | 18       |
| MPT F | Setch and op(origin addr.                    | result addr. datatype, target rank.   | 19       |
|       | target_disp, op,                             | win, ierror) BIND(C)  | 20       |
| Т     | TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), IN                     | TENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr   | 21       |
| Т     | <pre>TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), AS</pre>          | YNCHRONOUS :: result_addr   | 22       |
| I     | TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT                   | (IN) :: datatype  | 23       |
| I     | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: ta                    | rget_rank   | 24       |
| I     | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K                   | <pre>IND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp</pre>  | 25       |
| I     | <pre>TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :</pre>        | : op  | 26       |
| I     | CYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN)                    | :: win  | 21       |
| L     | INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(                   | UUT) :: lerror  | 29       |
| MPI_F | FETCH_AND_OP(ORIGIN_ADDR,                    | RESULT_ADDR, DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,   | 30       |
|       | TARGET_DISP, OP,                             | WIN, IERROR)  | 31       |
| <     | <pre><type> ORIGIN_ADDR(*), RES</type></pre> | ULT_ADDR(*)   | 32       |
| 1     | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K                   | IND) TARGET_DISP  | 33       |
| I     | INTEGER DATATYPE, TARGET_R                   | ANK, OP, WIN, IERROR  | 34       |
| ٨     | agumulate one element of tw                  | a datature from the origin buffer (origin addr) to the                                      | 35       |

Accumulate one element of type datatype from the origin buffer (origin\_addr) to the buffer at offset target\_disp, in the target window specified by target\_rank and win, using the operation op and return in the result buffer result\_addr the content of the target buffer before the accumulation.

The origin and result buffers (origin\_addr and result\_addr) must be disjoint. Any of the predefined operations for MPI\_REDUCE, as well as MPI\_NO\_OP or MPI\_REPLACE, can be specified as op; user-defined functions cannot be used. The datatype argument must be a predefined datatype. The operation is executed atomically.

#### Compare and Swap Function

Another useful operation is an atomic compare and swap where the value at the origin is compared to the value at the target, which is atomically replaced by a third value only if the values at origin and target are equal.

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

44 45

46

47

 $^{48}$ 

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

| 1<br>2   | MPI_COI  | MPARE_AND_SWAP(or<br>target_disp, win)  | rigin_addr, compare_addr, result_addr, datatype, target_rank,  |
|--|--|---|--|
| 3  | IN   | origin_addr   | initial address of buffer (choice)   |
| 4<br>5   | IN   | compare_addr  | initial address of compare buffer (choice)   |
| 6  | OUT  | result_addr   | initial address of result buffer (choice)  |
| 7  | IN   | datatype  | datatype of the element in all buffers (handle)  |
| 8<br>9   | IN   | target_rank   | rank of target (non-negative integer)  |
| 10<br>11   | IN   | target_disp   | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-<br>get buffer (non-negative integer)  |
| 12<br>13   | IN   | win   | window object (handle)   |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17   | int MPI  | Compare_and_swap(co<br>void *result_a<br>MPI_Aint targe   | onst void *origin_addr, const void *compare_addr,<br>addr, MPI_Datatype datatype, int target_rank,<br>et_disp, MPI_Win win)  |
| <ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> </ol> | MPI_Comy<br>TYPI<br>TYPI<br>TYPI<br>INTI<br>INTI<br>INTI<br>MPI_COMI<br><typ<br>INTI<br/>INTI</typ<br> | <pre>pare_and_swap(origin</pre>   | <pre>h_addr, compare_addr, result_addr, datatype,<br/>target_disp, win, ierror) BIND(C)<br/>INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr<br/>INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: compare_addr<br/>ASYNCHRONOUS :: result_addr<br/>TENT(IN) :: datatype<br/>target_rank<br/>SS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp<br/>IN) :: win<br/>ENT(OUT) :: ierror<br/>I_ADDR, COMPARE_ADDR, RESULT_ADDR, DATATYPE,<br/>IARGET_DISP, WIN, IERROR)<br/>COMPARE_ADDR(*), RESULT_ADDR(*)<br/>SS_KIND) TARGET_DISP<br/>ET BANK, WIN, IERBOR</pre> |
| <ol> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> </ol>   | This<br>compare_<br>target_ra<br>origin_ad<br>the targe<br>one of th<br>Multi-lar<br>result bu         | function compares one<br>addr with the buffer at<br>nk and win and replaces<br>dr if the compare buffer<br>et is returned in the bu<br>e following categories o<br>aguage types, or Byte a<br>ffers (origin_addr and re | e element of type datatype in the compare buffer<br>to offset target_disp in the target window specified by<br>s the value at the target with the value in the origin buffer<br>r and the target buffer are identical. The original value at<br>uffer result_addr. The parameter datatype must belong to<br>f predefined datatypes: C integer, Fortran integer, Logical,<br>as specified in Section 5.9.2 on page 176. The origin and<br>esult_addr) must be disjoint.   |
| 44<br>45<br>46<br>47   | 11.3.5<br>Request-<br>with the<br>functions  | Request-based RMA C<br>based RMA communica<br>RMA operations and t<br>described in Section 3.   | ommunication Operations<br>tion operations allow the user to associate a request handle<br>est or wait for the completion of these requests using the<br>7.3, page 52. Request-based RMA operations are only valid   |

<sup>48</sup> within a passive target epoch (see Section 11.5.

Upon returning from a completion call in which an RMA operation completes, the MPI\_ERROR field in the associated status object is set appropriately (see Section 3.2.5 on page 30). All other fields of status and the results of status query functions (e.g., MPI\_GET\_COUNT) are undefined. It is valid to mix different request types (e.g., any combination of RMA requests, collective requests, I/O requests, generalized requests, or point-to-point requests) in functions that enable multiple completions (e.g., MPI\_WAITALL). It is erroneous to call MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE or MPI\_CANCEL for a request associated with an RMA operation. RMA requests are not persistent.

The end of the epoch, or explicit bulk synchronization using MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL, or MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL\_ALL, also indicates completion of the RMA operations. However, users must still wait or test on the request handle to allow the MPI implementation to clean up any resources associated with these requests; in such cases the wait operation will complete locally.

MPI\_RPUT(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, target\_rank, target\_disp, target\_count, target\_datatype, win, request)

| IN  | origin_addr     | initial address of origin buffer (choice)                                    | 19             |
|-----|-----------------|--|----------------|
| IN  | origin_count    | number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-<br>ger)               | 20<br>21       |
| IN  | origin_datatype | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)                             | 22             |
| IN  | target_rank     | rank of target (non-negative integer)  | 24             |
| IN  | target_disp     | displacement from start of window to target buffer<br>(non-negative integer) | 25<br>26       |
| IN  | target_count    | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)                    | 27<br>28<br>29 |
| IN  | target_datatype | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)                             | 30             |
| IN  | win             | window object used for communication (handle)                                | 31             |
| OUT | request         | RMA request (handle)   | 32<br>33       |

| <pre>int MPI_Rput(const void *origin_addr, int origin_count,</pre> | 35  |
|--|-----|
| MPI_Datatype origin_datatype, int target_rank,                     | 36  |
| MPI_Aint target_disp, int target_count,                            | 37  |
| MPI_Datatype target_datatype, MPI_Win win,                         | 38  |
| MPI_Request *request)  | 39  |
| NDT Daut (animin a like animin anumt animin datatuma tanınt mark   | 40  |
| MP1_Rput(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank,  | 4.1 |

target\_disp, target\_count, target\_datatype, win, request, 42ierror) BIND(C) TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin\_addr 44INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin\_count, target\_rank, target\_count TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin\_datatype, target\_datatype INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target\_disp TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

141516

17

18

34

41

43

45

46

47

| 1<br>2   | TYPE<br>INTE   | (MPI_Request), INTENT<br>GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT  | (OUT) :: request<br>(OUT) :: ierror   |
|--|--|---|---|
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10  | MPI_RPUT<br><typ<br>INTE<br/>INTE<br/>TARG</typ<br>                                | (ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_(<br>TARGET_DISP, TAR<br>IERROR)<br>e> ORIGIN_ADDR(*)<br>GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_H<br>GER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORI(<br>ET_DATATYPE, WIN, REQU  | COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,<br>GET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST,<br>(IND) TARGET_DISP<br>GIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,<br>JEST, IERROR  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                                       | MPI_<br>nication r<br>The comp<br>dicates th<br>not indica<br>quired, N<br>MPI_WIN | RPUT is similar to MPI_F<br>equest object and associa-<br>oletion of an MPI_RPUT of<br>at the sender is now free<br>ate that the data is availa-<br>IPI_WIN_FLUSH, MPI_W<br>_UNLOCK_ALL can be us | PUT (Section 11.3.1), except that it allocates a commu-<br>tes it with the request handle (the argument request).<br>operation (i.e., after the corresponding test or wait) in-<br>e to update the locations in the origin buffer. It does<br>able at the target window. If remote completion is re-<br>IN_FLUSH_ALL, MPI_WIN_UNLOCK, or<br>ed. |
| 19<br>20<br>21   | MPI_RGE  | T(origin_addr, origin_coun<br>target_datatype, wir  | t, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count,<br>n, request)  |
| 22   | OUT  | origin_addr   | initial address of origin buffer (choice)   |
| 23<br>24<br>25   | IN   | origin_count  | number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative integer)   |
| 26   | IN   | origin_datatype   | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)  |
| 27   | IN   | target_rank   | rank of target (non-negative integer)   |
| 28<br>29<br>30   | IN   | target_disp   | displacement from window start to the beginning of<br>the target buffer (non-negative integer)  |
| 31<br>32   | IN   | target_count  | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)   |
| 33   | IN   | target_datatype   | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)  |
| 34   | IN   | win   | window object used for communication (handle)   |
| 36   | OUT  | request   | RMA request (handle)  |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ol> | int MPI_   | Rget(void *origin_add<br>MPI_Datatype ori<br>MPI_Aint target_<br>MPI_Datatype tar<br>MPI_Request *req   | r, int origin_count,<br>gin_datatype, int target_rank,<br>disp, int target_count,<br>get_datatype, MPI_Win win,<br>uest)  |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48   | MPI_Rget<br>TYPE<br>INTE   | <pre>(origin_addr, origin_d<br/>target_disp, tar<br/>ierror) BIND(C)<br/>(*), DIMENSION(), AS<br/>GER, INTENT(IN) :: or</pre>   | count, origin_datatype, target_rank,<br>get_count, target_datatype, win, request,<br>SYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr<br>rigin_count, target_rank, target_count   |

| TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype                       | 1  |
|--|----|
| INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp                                | 2  |
| TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win   | 3  |
| TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request  | 4  |
| INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror   | 5  |
|  | 6  |
| MPI_RGET(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,                        | 7  |
| TARGET_DISP, TARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST,                                | 8  |
| IERROR)  | 9  |
| <type> ORIGIN_ADDR(*)</type>   | 10 |
| INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISP   | 11 |
| INTEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,                        | 10 |
| TARGET DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST, TERROR  | 12 |
|  | 13 |
| MPI_RGET is similar to MPI_GET (Section 11.3.2), except that it allocates a commu-       | 14 |
| nication request object and associates it with the request handle (the argument request) | 15 |
| that can be used to wait or test for completion. The completion of an MPL RCFT operation | 16 |

that can be used to wait or test for completion. The completion of an MPI\_RGET operation indicates that the data is available in the origin buffer. If origin\_addr points to memory attached to a window, then the data becomes available in the private copy of this window.

| MPI_RACCUMULATE(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_d | lisp, |
|---|-------|
| target_count, target_datatype, op, win, request)                                  |       |

| IN  | origin_addr     | initial address of buffer (choice)                     | 23       |
|-----|-----------------|--|----------|
| IN  | origin_count    | number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)     | 24       |
| IN  | origin_datatype | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)       | 25<br>26 |
| IN  | target_rank     | rank of target (non-negative integer)                  | 27       |
| IN  | target_disp     | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- | 28       |
|     |                 | get buffer (non-negative integer)                      | 29<br>30 |
| IN  | target_count    | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte- | 31       |
|     |                 | ger)   | 32       |
| IN  | target_datatype | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)       | 33       |
| IN  | OD              | reduce operation (handle)                              | 34       |
|     |                 | $\cdot 1 = 1 \cdot (1 + 11)$                           | 35       |
| IN  | win             | window object (handle)                                 | 36       |
| OUT | request         | RMA request (handle)                                   | 37       |
|     |                 |  | 38       |
|     |                 |  | 30       |

| int MPI_Racc | umulate(const void *origin_addr, int origin_count,                       |    |
|--------------|--|----|
|              | MPI_Datatype origin_datatype, int target_rank,                           | 40 |
|              | MPI Aint target disp. int target count.                                  | 41 |
|              | MPI Datatune target datatune MPI On on MPI Win win                       | 42 |
|              | MPI Request *request)  | 43 |
|              |  | 44 |
| MPI_Raccumul | ate(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank,             | 45 |
|              | <pre>target_disp, target_count, target_datatype, op, win, request,</pre> | 46 |
|              | ierror) BIND(C)  | 47 |
| TYPE(*),     | <pre>DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr</pre>          | 48 |

#### **Unofficial Draft for Comment Only**

17

18

| 1        | INTE   | GER, INTENT(IN) ::       | origin_count, target_rank, target_count                              |
|----------|--|--------------------------|--|
| 2        | TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype |                          |  |
| 3        | INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp          |                          |  |
| 4        | TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op                                     |                          |  |
| 5        | TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win                                   |                          |  |
| 6        | TYPE   | C(MPI_Request), INTEN    | NT(OUT) :: request   |
| 7        | INTE   | GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN     | NT(OUT) :: ierror  |
| 8        | MPI RACC   | UMULATE(ORIGIN ADDR.     | ORIGIN COUNT. ORIGIN DATATYPE. TARGET RANK.                          |
| 9        |  | TARGET_DISP, T           | ARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, REQUEST,                      |
| 10       |  | IERROR)                  |  |
| 12       | <typ< td=""><td>e&gt; ORIGIN_ADDR(*)</td><td></td></typ<>          | e> ORIGIN_ADDR(*)        |  |
| 12       | INTE   | GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS     | S_KIND) TARGET_DISP  |
| 14       | INTE   | GER ORIGIN_COUNT, OF     | RIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,                           |
| 15       | TARC   | ET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN     | I, REQUEST, IERROR   |
| 16       | MPI  | RACCUMULATE is sim       | ilar to MPL $\Delta C(I)MIII \Delta TE$ (Section 11.3.4) except that |
| 17       | it allocate  | es a communication requ  | uest object and associates it with the request handle (the           |
| 18       | argument   | request) that can be us  | sed to wait or test for completion. The completion of an             |
| 19       | MPI RAC  | CUMULATE operation       | indicates that the origin buffer is free to be updated. It           |
| 20       | does not   | indicate that the operat | ion has completed at the target window.                              |
| 21       |  | •                        |  |
| 22       |  |                          |  |
| 23       | MPI_RGE  | I_ACCUMULATE(origin      | 1_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, result_addr,                  |
| 24       |  | result_count, resu       | It_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count,                 |
| 25       |  | target_datatype, d       | op, win, request)  |
| 26       | IN   | origin_addr              | initial address of buffer (choice)                                   |
| 28       | IN   | origin_count             | number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-               |
| 29       |  |                          | $\operatorname{ger})$  |
| 30       | IN   | origin_datatype          | datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)                     |
| 31       | OUT  | result_addr              | initial address of result buffer (choice)                            |
| 32       | IN   | result_count             | number of entries in result buffer (non-negative inte-               |
| 33       |  |                          | ger)   |
| 35       | IN   | result_datatype          | datatype of each entry in result buffer (handle)                     |
| 36       | IN   | target_rank              | rank of target (non-negative integer)                                |
| 37       | IN   | target disp              | displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-               |
| 38<br>39 |  | taiBer-aish              | get buffer (non-negative integer)                                    |
| 40       | IN   | target count             | number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-               |
| 41       |  |                          | ger)   |
| 42       | IN   | target_datatype          | datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)                     |
| 43       | IN   | ор                       | reduce operation (handle)  |
| 45       | IN   | win                      | window object (handle)   |
| 46       | OUT  | request                  | RMA request (handle)   |
| 47       |  |                          | • • · /  |
| 48       |  |                          |  |

```
1
int MPI_Rget_accumulate(const void *origin_addr, int origin_count,
                                                                                  \mathbf{2}
              MPI_Datatype origin_datatype, void *result_addr,
                                                                                  3
              int result_count, MPI_Datatype result_datatype,
                                                                                  4
              int target_rank, MPI_Aint target_disp, int target_count,
              MPI_Datatype target_datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Win win,
                                                                                  5
                                                                                  6
              MPI_Request *request)
                                                                                  7
MPI_Rget_accumulate(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype,
                                                                                   8
              result_addr, result_count, result_datatype, target_rank,
                                                                                  9
              target_disp, target_count, target_datatype, op, win, request,
                                                                                  10
              ierror) BIND(C)
                                                                                  11
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr
                                                                                  12
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), ASYNCHRONOUS :: result_addr
                                                                                  13
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin_count, result_count, target_rank,
                                                                                  14
    target_count
                                                                                  15
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype,
                                                                                  16
    result_datatype
                                                                                  17
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp
                                                                                  18
    TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
                                                                                  19
    TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
                                                                                  20
    TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                        request
                                                                                  21
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                  22
                                                                                  23
MPI_RGET_ACCUMULATE(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE,
                                                                                  24
              RESULT_ADDR, RESULT_COUNT, RESULT_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,
                                                                                  25
              TARGET_DISP, TARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, REQUEST,
                                                                                  26
              IERROR)
                                                                                  27
    <type> ORIGIN_ADDR(*), RESULT_ADDR(*)
                                                                                  28
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISP
                                                                                  29
    INTEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, RESULT_COUNT, RESULT_DATATYPE,
                                                                                  30
    TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, REQUEST, IERROR
                                                                                  31
```

MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE is similar to MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE (Section 11.3.4), except that it allocates a communication request object and associates it with the request handle (the argument request) that can be used to wait or test for completion. The completion of an MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE operation indicates that the data is available in the result buffer and the origin buffer is free to be updated. It does not indicate that the operation has been completed at the target window.

# 11.4 Memory Model

The memory semantics of RMA are best understood by using the concept of public and 41 private window copies. We assume that systems have a public memory region that is 42addressable by all processes (e.g., the shared memory in shared memory machines or the 43exposed main memory in distributed memory machines). In addition, most machines have 44fast private buffers (e.g., transparent caches or explicit communication buffers) local to each 45process where copies of data elements from the main memory can be stored for faster access. 46Such buffers are either coherent, i.e., all updates to main memory are reflected in all private 47copies consistently, or non-coherent, i.e., conflicting accesses to main memory need to be 48

## Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39



Figure 11.1: Schematic description of the public/private window operations in the MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE memory model for two overlapping windows.

synchronized and updated in all private copies explicitly. Coherent systems allow direct
updates to remote memory without any participation of the remote side. Non-coherent
systems, however, need to call RMA functions in order to reflect updates to the public
window in their private memory. Thus, in coherent memory, the public and the private
window are identical while they remain logically separate in the non-coherent case. MPI
thus differentiates between two memory models called *RMA unified*, if public and private
window are logically identical, and *RMA separate*, otherwise.

28In the RMA separate model, there is only one instance of each variable in process 29 memory, but a distinct *public* copy of the variable for each window that contains it. A load 30 accesses the instance in process memory (this includes MPI sends). A local store accesses 31and updates the instance in process memory (this includes MPI receives), but the update 32 may affect other public copies of the same locations. A get on a window accesses the public 33 copy of that window. A put or accumulate on a window accesses and updates the public 34copy of that window, but the update may affect the private copy of the same locations 35 in process memory, and public copies of other overlapping windows. This is illustrated in 36 Figure 11.1.

In the RMA unified model, public and private copies are identical and updates via put
 or accumulate calls are eventually observed by load operations without additional RMA
 calls. A store access to a window is eventually visible to remote get or accumulate calls
 without additional RMA calls. These stronger semantics of the RMA unified model allow
 the user to omit some synchronization calls and potentially improve performance.

42

18

19 20

Advice to users. If accesses in the RMA unified model are not synchronized (with
 locks or flushes, see Section 11.5.3), load and store operations might observe changes
 to the memory while they are in progress. The order in which data is written is not
 specified unless further synchronization is used. This might lead to inconsistent views
 on memory and programs that assume that a transfer is complete by only checking
 parts of the message are erroneous. (End of advice to users.)

The memory model for a particular RMA window can be determined by accessing the attribute MPI\_WIN\_MODEL. If the memory model is the unified model, the value of this attribute is MPI\_WIN\_UNIFIED; otherwise, the value is MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE.

# 11.5 Synchronization Calls

RMA communications fall in two categories:

- active target communication, where data is moved from the memory of one process to the memory of another, and both are explicitly involved in the communication. This communication pattern is similar to message passing, except that all the data transfer arguments are provided by one process, and the second process only participates in the synchronization.
- **passive target** communication, where data is moved from the memory of one process to the memory of another, and only the origin process is explicitly involved in the transfer. Thus, two origin processes may communicate by accessing the same location in a target window. The process that owns the target window may be distinct from the two communicating processes, in which case it does not participate explicitly in the communication. This communication paradigm is closest to a shared memory model, where shared data can be accessed by all processes, irrespective of location.

RMA communication calls with argument win must occur at a process only within an **access epoch** for win. Such an epoch starts with an RMA synchronization call on win; it proceeds with zero or more RMA communication calls (e.g., MPI\_PUT, MPI\_GET or MPI\_ACCUMULATE) on win; it completes with another synchronization call on win. This allows users to amortize one synchronization with multiple data transfers and provide implementors more flexibility in the implementation of RMA operations.

Distinct access epochs for win at the same process must be disjoint. On the other hand, epochs pertaining to different win arguments may overlap. Local operations or other MPI calls may also occur during an epoch.

In active target communication, a target window can be accessed by RMA operations only within an **exposure epoch**. Such an epoch is started and completed by RMA synchronization calls executed by the target process. Distinct exposure epochs at a process on the same window must be disjoint, but such an exposure epoch may overlap with exposure epochs on other windows or with access epochs for the same or other win arguments. There is a one-to-one matching between access epochs at origin processes and exposure epochs on target processes: RMA operations issued by an origin process for a target window will access that target window during the same exposure epoch if and only if they were issued during the same access epoch.

In passive target communication the target process does not execute RMA synchronization calls, and there is no concept of an exposure epoch.

MPI provides three synchronization mechanisms:

1. The MPI\_WIN\_FENCE collective synchronization call supports a simple synchronization pattern that is often used in parallel computations: namely a loosely-synchronous model, where global computation phases alternate with global communication phases. This mechanism is most useful for loosely synchronous algorithms where the graph

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

1 2

3

 $\frac{4}{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

of communicating processes changes very frequently, or where each process communicates with many others.

This call is used for active target communication. An access epoch at an origin process or an exposure epoch at a target process are started and completed by calls to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE. A process can access windows at all processes in the group of win during such an access epoch, and the local window can be accessed by all processes in the group of win during such an exposure epoch.

2. The four functions MPI\_WIN\_START, MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE, MPI\_WIN\_POST, and MPI\_WIN\_WAIT can be used to restrict synchronization to the minimum: only pairs of communicating processes synchronize, and they do so only when a synchronization is needed to order correctly RMA accesses to a window with respect to local accesses to that same window. This mechanism may be more efficient when each process communicates with few (logical) neighbors, and the communication graph is fixed or changes infrequently.

These calls are used for active target communication. An access epoch is started at the origin process by a call to MPI\_WIN\_START and is terminated by a call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE. The start call has a group argument that specifies the group of target processes for that epoch. An exposure epoch is started at the target process by a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST and is completed by a call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT. The post call has a group argument that specifies the set of origin processes for that epoch.

- 3. Finally, shared lock access is provided by the functions MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL. MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK also provide exclusive lock capability. Lock synchronization is useful for MPI applications that emulate a shared memory model via MPI calls; e.g., in a "billboard" model, where processes can, at random
- times, access or update different parts of the billboard.
   These four calls provide passive target communication. An access epoch is started
- These four calls provide passive target communication. An access epoch is started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK or MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL and terminated by a call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, respectively.

33 Figure 11.2 illustrates the general synchronization pattern for active target communi-34cation. The synchronization between **post** and **start** ensures that the put call of the origin 35 process does not start until the target process exposes the window (with the **post** call); 36 the target process will expose the window only after preceding local accesses to the window 37 have completed. The synchronization between complete and wait ensures that the put call 38 of the origin process completes before the window is unexposed (with the wait call). The 39 target process will execute following local accesses to the target window only after the wait 40returned.

Figure 11.2 shows operations occurring in the natural temporal order implied by the synchronizations: the **post** occurs before the matching **start**, and **complete** occurs before the matching **wait**. However, such **strong** synchronization is more than needed for correct ordering of window accesses. The semantics of MPI calls allow **weak** synchronization, as illustrated in Figure 11.3. The access to the target window is delayed until the window is exposed, after the **post**. However the **start** may complete earlier; the **put** and **complete** may also terminate earlier, if put data is buffered by the implementation. The synchronization

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26



Figure 11.2: Active target communication. Dashed arrows represent synchronizations (ordering of events).

calls order correctly window accesses, but do not necessarily synchronize other operations. This weaker synchronization semantic allows for more efficient implementations.

Figure 11.4 illustrates the general synchronization pattern for passive target communication. The first origin process communicates data to the second origin process, through the memory of the target process; the target process is not explicitly involved in the communication. The lock and unlock calls ensure that the two RMA accesses do not occur concurrently. However, they do *not* ensure that the put by origin 1 will precede the get by origin 2.

*Rationale.* RMA does not define fine-grained mutexes in memory (only logical coarsegrained process locks). MPI provides the primitives (compare and swap, accumulate, send/receive, etc.) needed to implement high-level synchronization operations. (*End of rationale.*)

47 48

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

```
ORIGIN
                                                     TARGET
1
                             PROCESS
                                                      PROCESS
2
3
                                                        wait
4
5
                                start
                                                                   Local
                                                        load
                                                                   window
6
                                                                   accesses
                                put
7
                                                        store
8
                                     put
                                                        post
9
                                     executed
10
                                     in origin
11
                                                                   Window is
12
                                     memory
                                                put
                                                                   exposed
                                                                   to RMA
13
                                                executed
                                                                   accesses
14
                                                in target
15
                                                memory
                              complete
16
17
                                                        wait
18
19
                                                                   Local
                                                       load
                                                                   window
20
                                                                   accesses
                                                        store
21
22
                                                        post
23
24
      Figure 11.3: Active target communication, with weak synchronization. Dashed arrows
25
      represent synchronizations (ordering of events)
26
27
      11.5.1 Fence
28
29
30
      MPI_WIN_FENCE(assert, win)
31
32
        IN
                                                program assertion (integer)
                  assert
33
        IN
                                                 window object (handle)
                  win
34
35
      int MPI_Win_fence(int assert, MPI_Win win)
36
37
      MPI_Win_fence(assert, win, ierror) BIND(C)
38
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: assert
39
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::
                                               win
40
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                      ierror
41
      MPI_WIN_FENCE(ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)
42
          INTEGER ASSERT, WIN, IERROR
43
44
          The MPI call MPI_WIN_FENCE(assert, win) synchronizes RMA calls on win. The call
45
      is collective on the group of win. All RMA operations on win originating at a given process
46
      and started before the fence call will complete at that process before the fence call returns.
```

440

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS



Figure 11.4: Passive target communication. Dashed arrows represent synchronizations (ordering of events).

operations on win started by a process after the fence call returns will access their target window only after MPI\_WIN\_FENCE has been called by the target process.

The call completes an RMA access epoch if it was preceded by another fence call and 36 the local process issued RMA communication calls on win between these two calls. The call 37 completes an RMA exposure epoch if it was preceded by another fence call and the local 38 window was the target of RMA accesses between these two calls. The call starts an RMA 39 access epoch if it is followed by another fence call and by RMA communication calls issued 40 between these two fence calls. The call starts an exposure epoch if it is followed by another 41 fence call and the local window is the target of RMA accesses between these two fence calls. 42Thus, the fence call is equivalent to calls to a subset of post, start, complete, wait. 43

A fence call usually entails a barrier synchronization: a process completes a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE only after all other processes in the group entered their matching call. However, a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE that is known not to end any epoch (in particular, a call with assert equal to MPI\_MODE\_NOPRECEDE) does not necessarily act as a barrier.

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

44

45

46

47 48

```
1
          The assert argument is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may
\mathbf{2}
     be used for various optimizations. This is described in Section 11.5.5. A value of assert =
3
     0 is always valid.
4
           Advice to users. Calls to MPI_WIN_FENCE should both precede and follow calls to
5
           RMA communication functions that are synchronized with fence calls. (End of advice
6
           to users.)
7
8
9
     11.5.2 General Active Target Synchronization
10
11
12
     MPI_WIN_START(group, assert, win)
13
       IN
                                              group of target processes (handle)
                 group
14
       IN
15
                                              program assertion (integer)
                 assert
16
       IN
                 win
                                              window object (handle)
17
18
     int MPI_Win_start(MPI_Group group, int assert, MPI_Win win)
19
     MPI_Win_start(group, assert, win, ierror) BIND(C)
20
21
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) ::
                                               group
22
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: assert
23
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
^{24}
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
25
     MPI_WIN_START(GROUP, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)
26
          INTEGER GROUP, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR
27
28
          Starts an RMA access epoch for win. RMA calls issued on win during this epoch must
29
     access only windows at processes in group. Each process in group must issue a matching
30
     call to MPI_WIN_POST. RMA accesses to each target window will be delayed, if necessary,
^{31}
     until the target process executed the matching call to MPI_WIN_POST. MPI_WIN_START
32
     is allowed to block until the corresponding MPI_WIN_POST calls are executed, but is not
33
     required to.
34
          The assert argument is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may
35
     be used for various optimizations. This is described in Section 11.5.5. A value of assert =
36
     0 is always valid.
37
38
     MPI_WIN_COMPLETE(win)
39
40
       IN
                                              window object (handle)
                 win
41
42
     int MPI_Win_complete(MPI_Win win)
43
     MPI_Win_complete(win, ierror) BIND(C)
44
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
45
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
46
                                                   ierror
47
     MPI_WIN_COMPLETE(WIN, IERROR)
```

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS

INTEGER WIN, IERROR

Completes an RMA access epoch on win started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_START. All RMA communication calls issued on win during this epoch will have completed at the origin when the call returns.

MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE enforces completion of preceding RMA calls at the origin, but not at the target. A put or accumulate call may not have completed at the target when it has completed at the origin.

Consider the sequence of calls in the example below.

#### Example 11.4

```
MPI_Win_start(group, flag, win);
MPI_Put(..., win);
MPI_Win_complete(win);
```

The call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE does not return until the put call has completed at the origin; and the target window will be accessed by the put operation only after the call to MPI\_WIN\_START has matched a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST by the target process. This still leaves much choice to implementors. The call to MPI\_WIN\_START can block until the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurs at all target processes. One can also 20have implementations where the call to MPI\_WIN\_START is nonblocking, but the call to 21MPI\_PUT blocks until the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurs; or implementations 22where the first two calls are nonblocking, but the call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE blocks 23until the call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurred; or even implementations where all three calls can complete before any target process has called MPI\_WIN\_POST — the data put must be buffered, in this last case, so as to allow the put to complete at the origin ahead of its completion at the target. However, once the call to MPI\_WIN\_POST is issued, the sequence above must complete, without further dependencies.

MPI\_WIN\_POST(group, assert, win)

|        | <b>v</b> = .        | ·                                   | 31 |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----|
| IN     | group               | group of origin processes (handle)  | 32 |
| IN     | assert              | program assertion (integer)         | 33 |
| IN     | win                 | window object (handle)              | 34 |
|        |                     |                                     | 35 |
|        |                     | MDT Uin min)                        | 36 |
| int I  | MP1_win_post(MP1_Gr | bup group, int assert, MPI_win win) | 37 |
| MPI_   | Win_post(group, ass | ert, win, ierror) BIND(C)           | 38 |
|        | TYPE(MPI_Group), IN | TENT(IN) :: group                   | 39 |
|        | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) | :: assert                           | 40 |
|        | TYPE(MPI_Win), INTE | VT(IN) :: win                       | 41 |
|        | INTEGER, OPTIONAL,  | INTENT(OUT) :: ierror               | 42 |
| י דרוא |                     |                                     | 43 |
| MPI_\  | WIN_PUSI(GRUUP, ASS | LKI, WIN, ILKKUK)                   | 44 |
|        | INTEGER GROUP, ASSE | XI, WIN, IEKKUK                     | 45 |

46Starts an RMA exposure epoch for the local window associated with win. Only processes 47in group should access the window with RMA calls on win during this epoch. Each process 48 in group must issue a matching call to MPI\_WIN\_START. MPI\_WIN\_POST does not block.

#### **Unofficial Draft for Comment Only**

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27



```
MPI_Win_test(win, flag, ierror) BIND(C)
    TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
    LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_WIN_TEST(WIN, FLAG, IERROR)
```

```
INTEGER WIN, IERROR
LOGICAL FLAG
```

This is the nonblocking version of MPI\_WIN\_WAIT. It returns flag = true if all accesses to the local window by the group to which it was exposed by the corresponding MPI\_WIN\_POST call have been completed as signalled by matching MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE calls, and flag = false otherwise. In the former case MPI\_WIN\_WAIT would have returned immediately. The effect of return of MPI\_WIN\_TEST with flag = true is the same as the effect of a return of MPI\_WIN\_WAIT. If flag = false is returned, then the call has no visible effect.

MPI\_WIN\_TEST should be invoked only where MPI\_WIN\_WAIT can be invoked. Once the call has returned flag = true, it must not be invoked anew, until the window is posted anew.

Assume that window win is associated with a "hidden" communicator wincomm, used for communication by the processes of win. The rules for matching of post and start calls and for matching complete and wait calls can be derived from the rules for matching sends and receives, by considering the following (partial) model implementation.

- MPI\_WIN\_POST(group,0,win) initiate a nonblocking send with tag tag0 to each process in group, using wincomm. There is no need to wait for the completion of these sends.
- MPI\_WIN\_START(group,0,win) initiates a nonblocking receive with tag tag0 from each process in group, using wincomm. An RMA access to a window in target process i is delayed until the receive from i is completed.
- **MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE(win)** initiate a nonblocking send with tag tag1 to each process in the group of the preceding start call. No need to wait for the completion of these sends.
- **MPI\_WIN\_WAIT(win)** initiate a nonblocking receive with tag tag1 from each process in the group of the preceding post call. Wait for the completion of all receives.

No races can occur in a correct program: each of the sends matches a unique receive, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The design for general active target synchronization requires the user to provide complete information on the communication pattern, at each end of a com-munication link: each origin specifies a list of targets, and each target specifies a list of origins. This provides maximum flexibility (hence, efficiency) for the implementor: each synchronization can be initiated by either side, since each "knows" the identity of the other. This also provides maximum protection from possible races. On the other hand, the design requires more information than RMA needs: in general, it is sufficient for the origin to know the rank of the target, but not vice versa. Users that want more "anonymous" communication will be required to use the fence or lock mechanisms. (End of rationale.)

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

 $^{24}$ 

| 1        | Advice to users. Assume a communication pattern that is represented by a directed                       |                          |                             |   |
|----------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2        | graph $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ , where $V = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $ij \in E$ if origin process i ac- |                          |                             |   |
| 3        | cesses the window at target process $j$ . Then each process $i$ issues a call to                        |                          |                             |   |
| 4        | MPI_WIN_POST( $ingroup_i, \ldots$ ), followed by a call to MPI_WIN_START( $outgroup_i, \ldots$ ),       |                          |                             |   |
| 5        | whe   | ere $outgroup_i = \cdot$ | $\{j : ij \in E\}$ and $ii$ | $ngroup_i = \{j : ji \in E\}$ . A call is a noop, and |
| 6        | can   | be skipped, if th        | he group argument i         | s empty. After the communications calls, each         |
| 7        | pro   | cess that issued         | a start will issue a        | complete. Finally, each process that issued a         |
| 8        | pos   | st will issue a wa       | it.                         |   |
| 9        | I ····  | 4                        |                             |   |
| 10       | INO<br>(E   | te that each proc        | cess may can with a         | a group argument that has different members.          |
| 11       | (E)   | ia of advice to us       | sers.)                      |   |
| 12       | 11 = 0  |                          |                             |   |
| 13       | 11.5.3  | Lock                     |                             |   |
| 14       |   |                          |                             |   |
| 15       |   |                          |                             |   |
| 16       | MPI_WIN   | J_LOCK(lock_typ          | pe, rank, assert, win       |   |
| 17       | IN  | lock type                | eitl                        | ner MPLLOCK EXCLUSIVE or                              |
| 18       | IIN   | lock_type                | MF                          | PLIOCK SHARED (state)                                 |
| 19       |   |                          | 1711                        |   |
| 20       | IN  | rank                     | ran                         | k of locked window (non-negative integer)             |
| 21       | IN  | assert                   | pro                         | gram assertion (integer)                              |
| 22<br>23 | IN  | win                      | wir                         | idow object (handle)                                  |
| 24       |   | _                        |                             |   |
| 25       | int MPI   | _Win_lock(int            | lock_type, int r            | ank, int assert, MPI_Win win)                         |
| 26       | MPI Win   | lock(lock tvp            | e. rank. assert.            | win. ierror) BIND(C)                                  |
| 27       | INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: lock_type, rank, assert  |                          |                             |   |
| 28       | ТҮРІ  | E(MPT Win), TN           | TENT(IN) :: win             | ,,  |
| 20       | ТИТІ  | EGER OPTIONAL            | TNTENT(OUT) ··              | ierror  |
| 30       |   |                          | , 1.1.2                     | 101101  |
| 31       | MPI_WIN_LOCK(LOCK_TYPE, RANK, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)  |                          |                             |   |
| 32       | INTH  | GER LOCK_TYPE            | , RANK, ASSERT,             | WIN, IERROR   |
| 33       | Star  | ts an RMA acces          | ss epoch Only the           | window at the process with rank rank can be           |
| 34       | accessed by RMA operations on win during that epoch   |                          |                             |   |
| 35       | accessed  | by rain roporation       |                             |   |
| 36       |   |                          |                             |   |
| 37       | MPI_WIN   | J_LOCK_ALL(ass           | sert, win)                  |   |
| 38       | IN  | assert                   | pro                         | gram assertion (integer)                              |
| 39       | INI   | win                      |                             | dow abject (bandle)                                   |
| 40       | IIN   | VVIII                    | WII                         | idow object (nandle)                                  |
| 41       |   |                          |                             |   |
| 42       | int MPI   | _Win_lock_all(           | int assert, MPI_            | Win Win)  |
| 43       | MPI_Win   | _lock_all(asse           | rt, win, ierror)            | BIND(C)   |
| 44       | INT   | EGER, INTENT(I           | N) :: assert                |   |
| 45       | TYPI  | E(MPI_Win), IN           | TENT(IN) :: win             |   |
| 46       | INT   | EGER, OPTIONAL           | , INTENT(OUT) ::            | ierror  |
| 47       |   |                          |                             |   |
| 48       | MPI_WIN_  | _LUCK_ALL(ASSE           | RT, WIN, IERROR)            |   |
|          |   |                          |                             |   |

#### INTEGER ASSERT, WIN, IERROR

Starts an RMA access epoch to all processes in win, with a lock type of MPI\_LOCK\_SHARED. During the epoch, the calling process can access the window memory on all processes in win by using RMA operations. A window locked with MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL must be unlocked with MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL. This routine is not collective — the ALL refers to a lock on all members of the group of the window.

Advice to users. There may be additional overheads associated with using MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL concurrently on the same window. These overheads could be avoided by specifying the assertion MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK when possible (see Section 11.5.5). (End of advice to users.)

MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK(rank, win)

| IN  | rank   | rank of window (non-negative integer) |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| IN  | win  | window object (handle)                |  |  |  |
| int MPI   | int MPI_Win_unlock(int rank, MPI_Win win)  |                                       |  |  |  |
| INT<br>INT<br>TYP<br>INT  | MPI_win_unlock(rank, win, lerror) BIND(C)<br>INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank<br>TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win<br>INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror |                                       |  |  |  |
| MPI_WIN_UNLOCK(RANK, WIN, IERROR)<br>INTEGER RANK, WIN, IERROR  |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Completes an RMA access epoch started by a call to MPI_WIN_LOCK(,win). RMA operations issued during this period will have completed both at the origin and at the target when the call returns. |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL(win)   |  |                                       |  |  |  |
| IN  | win  | window object (handle)                |  |  |  |

int MPI\_Win\_unlock\_all(MPI\_Win win)

MPI\_Win\_unlock\_all(win, ierror) BIND(C)
 TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL(WIN, IERROR) INTEGER WIN, IERROR

Completes a shared RMA access epoch started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL(assert, win). RMA operations issued during this epoch will have completed both at the origin and at the target when the call returns.

Locks are used to protect accesses to the locked target window effected by RMA calls <sup>47</sup> issued between the lock and unlock calls, and to protect load/store accesses to a locked local <sup>48</sup>

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

or shared memory window executed between the lock and unlock calls. Accesses that are
 protected by an exclusive lock will not be concurrent at the window site with other accesses
 to the same window that are lock protected. Accesses that are protected by a shared lock
 will not be concurrent at the window site with accesses protected by an exclusive lock to
 the same window.

<sup>6</sup> It is erroneous to have a window locked and exposed (in an exposure epoch) concur-<sup>7</sup> rently. For example, a process may not call MPI\_WIN\_LOCK to lock a target window if <sup>8</sup> the target process has called MPI\_WIN\_POST and has not yet called MPI\_WIN\_WAIT; it <sup>9</sup> is erroneous to call MPI\_WIN\_POST while the local window is locked.

Rationale. An alternative is to require MPI to enforce mutual exclusion between exposure epochs and locking periods. But this would entail additional overheads when locks or active target synchronization do not interact in support of those rare interactions between the two mechanisms. The programming style that we encourage here is that a set of windows is used with only one synchronization mechanism at a time, with shifts from one mechanism to another being rare and involving global synchronization. (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. Users need to use explicit synchronization code in order to enforce mutual exclusion between locking periods and exposure epochs on a window. (End of advice to users.)

Implementors may restrict the use of RMA communication that is synchronized by lock calls to windows in memory allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM (Section 8.2, page 339), MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE (Section 11.2.2, page 407), or attached with MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH (Section 11.2.4, page 411). Locks can be used portably only in such memory.

*Rationale.* The implementation of passive target communication when memory is not shared may require an asynchronous software agent. Such an agent can be implemented more easily, and can achieve better performance, if restricted to specially allocated memory. It can be avoided altogether if shared memory is used. It seems natural to impose restrictions that allows one to use shared memory for third party communication in shared memory machines.

- The downside of this decision is that passive target communication cannot be used without taking advantage of nonstandard Fortran features: namely, the availability of C-like pointers; these are not supported by some Fortran compilers. (End of *rationale.*)
  - Consider the sequence of calls in the example below.

```
40
41 Example 11.5
```

```
<sup>42</sup> MPI_Win_lock(MPI_LOCK_EXCLUSIVE, rank, assert, win);
<sup>43</sup> MPI_Put(..., rank, ..., win);
<sup>44</sup> MPI_Win_unlock(rank_win);
```

44 MPI\_Win\_unlock(rank, win);
45

The call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK will not return until the put transfer has completed at the origin and at the target. This still leaves much freedom to implementors. The call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK may block until an exclusive lock on the window is acquired; or, the first

## Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

38

two calls may not block, while MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK blocks until a lock is acquired — the update of the target window is then postponed until the call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK occurs. However, if the call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK is used to lock a local window, then the call must block until the lock is acquired, since the lock may protect local load/store accesses to the window issued after the lock call returns.

## 11.5.4 Flush and Sync

All flush and sync functions can be called only within passive target epochs.

MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(rank, win) IN rank of target window (non-negative integer) rank IN win window object (handle) int MPI\_Win\_flush(int rank, MPI\_Win win) MPI\_Win\_flush(rank, win, ierror) BIND(C) INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(RANK, WIN, IERROR) INTEGER RANK, WIN, IERROR MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH completes all outstanding RMA operations initiated by the calling process to the target rank on the specified window. The operations are completed both at the origin and at the target. MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL(win) IN win window object (handle) int MPI\_Win\_flush\_all(MPI\_Win win) MPI\_Win\_flush\_all(win, ierror) BIND(C) TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL(WIN, IERROR) INTEGER WIN, IERROR

All RMA operations issued by the calling process to any target on the specified window prior to this call and in the specified window will have completed both at the origin and at the target when this call returns.

1 2

3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28 29

30

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

```
1
     MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL(rank, win)
\mathbf{2}
       IN
                                             rank of target window (non-negative integer)
                 rank
3
       IN
                 win
                                             window object (handle)
4
5
6
     int MPI_Win_flush_local(int rank, MPI_Win win)
\overline{7}
     MPI_Win_flush_local(rank, win, ierror) BIND(C)
8
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank
9
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::
                                           win
10
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
11
     MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL(RANK, WIN, IERROR)
12
13
          INTEGER RANK, WIN, IERROR
14
          Locally completes at the origin all outstanding RMA operations initiated by the calling
15
     process to the target process specified by rank on the specified window. For example, after
16
     this routine completes, the user may reuse any buffers provided to put, get, or accumulate
17
     operations.
18
19
20
     MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL_ALL(win)
21
       IN
                 win
                                             window object (handle)
22
23
     int MPI_Win_flush_local_all(MPI_Win win)
^{24}
25
     MPI_Win_flush_local_all(win, ierror) BIND(C)
26
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
27
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
28
     MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL_ALL(WIN, IERROR)
29
          INTEGER WIN, IERROR
30
^{31}
          All RMA operations issued to any target prior to this call in this window will have
32
     completed at the origin when MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL_ALL returns.
33
34
35
     MPI_WIN_SYNC(win)
36
       IN
                 win
                                             window object (handle)
37
38
     int MPI_Win_sync(MPI_Win win)
39
40
     MPI_Win_sync(win, ierror) BIND(C)
41
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
42
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
43
     MPI_WIN_SYNC(WIN, IERROR)
44
          INTEGER WIN, IERROR
45
46
          The call MPI_WIN_SYNC synchronizes the private and public window copies of win.
47
     For the purposes of synchronizing the private and public window, MPI_WIN_SYNC has the
48
```

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS

effect of ending and reopening an access and exposure epoch on the window (note that it does not actually end an epoch or complete any pending MPI RMA operations).

#### 11.5.5 Assertions

The assert argument in the calls MPI\_WIN\_POST, MPI\_WIN\_START, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, and MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may be used to optimize performance. The assert argument does not change program semantics if it provides correct information on the program — it is erroneous to provide incorrect information. Users may always provide assert = 0 to indicate a general case where no guarantees are made.

Advice to users. Many implementations may not take advantage of the information in assert; some of the information is relevant only for noncoherent shared memory machines. Users should consult their implementation's manual to find which information is useful on each system. On the other hand, applications that provide correct assertions whenever applicable are portable and will take advantage of assertion specific optimizations whenever available. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. Implementations can always ignore the assert argument. Implementors should document which assert values are significant on their implementation. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

assert is the bit-vector OR of zero or more of the following integer constants: MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK, MPI\_MODE\_NOSTORE, MPI\_MODE\_NOPUT, MPI\_MODE\_NOPRECEDE, and MPI\_MODE\_NOSUCCEED. The significant options are listed below for each call.

Advice to users. C/C++ users can use bit vector or (|) to combine these constants; Fortran 90 users can use the bit-vector IOR intrinsic. Fortran 77 users can use (nonportably) bit vector IOR on systems that support it. Alternatively, Fortran users can portably use integer addition to OR the constants (each constant should appear at most once in the addition!). (End of advice to users.)

## MPI\_WIN\_START:

MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK — the matching calls to MPI\_WIN\_POST have already completed on all target processes when the call to MPI\_WIN\_START is made. The nocheck option can be specified in a start call if and only if it is specified in each matching post call. This is similar to the optimization of "ready-send" that may save a handshake when the handshake is implicit in the code. (However, ready-send is matched by a regular receive, whereas both start and post must specify the nocheck option.)

## MPI\_WIN\_POST:

MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK — the matching calls to MPI\_WIN\_START have not yet occurred on any origin processes when the call to MPI\_WIN\_POST is made. The nocheck option can be specified by a post call if and only if it is specified by each matching start call.

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

1

2

3 4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

| 1                                | $MPI_MODE_NOSTORE$ — the local window was not updated by stores (or local get   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2<br>3                           | or receive calls) since last synchronization. This may avoid the need for cache synchronization at the post call.   |
| 4                                | MPI_MODE_NOPUT — the local window will not be updated by put or accumulate  |
| 5<br>6                           | calls after the post call, until the ensuing (wait) synchronization. This may avoid   |
| 7                                | the need for cache synchronization at the wait call.  |
| 8                                | MPI_WIN_FENCE:  |
| 9<br>10                          | MPI_MODE_NOSTORE — the local window was not updated by stores (or local get or receive calls) since last synchronization.   |
| 11<br>12                         | MPI_MODE_NOPUT — the local window will not be updated by put or accumulate calls after the fence call, until the ensuing (fence) synchronization.   |
| 13<br>14                         | MPI_MODE_NOPRECEDE — the fence does not complete any sequence of locally issued   |
| 15<br>16                         | RMA calls. If this assertion is given by any process in the window group, then it must be given by all processes in the group.  |
| 17                               | MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED — the fence does not start any sequence of locally issued  |
| 18<br>19                         | RMA calls. If the assertion is given by any process in the window group, then it must be given by all processes in the group.   |
| 20<br>21                         | MPI_WIN_LOCK, MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL:   |
| 22                               | $MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK$ — no other process holds, or will attempt to acquire, a con-   |
| 23<br>24<br>25                   | flicting lock, while the caller holds the window lock. This is useful when mutual exclusion is achieved by other means, but the coherence operations that may be attached to the lock and unlock calls are still required.  |
| 26<br>27<br>28<br>29             | Advice to users. Note that the nostore and noprecede flags provide information on what happened before the call; the noput and nosucceed flags provide information on what will happen after the call. (End of advice to users.)  |
| 30<br>31                         | 11.5.6 Miscellaneous Clarifications   |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37 | Once an RMA routine completes, it is safe to free any opaque objects passed as arguments to that routine. For example, the datatype argument of a MPI_PUT call can be freed as soon as the call returns, even though the communication may not be complete. As in message-passing, datatypes must be committed before they can be used in RMA communication.  |
| 38<br>39                         | 11.6 Error Handling   |
| 40<br>41                         | 11.6.1 Error Handlers   |
| 42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47 | Errors occurring during calls to routines that create MPI windows (e.g., MPI_WIN_CREATE (,comm,)) cause the error handler currently associated with comm to be invoked. All other RMA calls have an input win argument. When an error occurs during such a call, the error handler currently associated with win is invoked.<br>The default error handler associated with win is MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL. Users may change this default by explicitly associating a new error handler with win (see Section 8.3) |

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS

#### 11.6.2 Error Classes

The error classes for one-sided communication are defined in Table 11.2. RMA routines may (and almost certainly will) use other MPI error classes, such as MPI\_ERR\_OP or MPI\_ERR\_RANK.

| MPI_ERR_WIN              | invalid win argument                                 | 6       |
|--------------------------|--|---------|
| MPI_ERR_BASE             | invalid base argument                                | 7       |
| MPI_ERR_SIZE             | invalid size argument                                | 8       |
| MPI_ERR_DISP             | invalid disp argument                                | 9       |
| MPI_ERR_LOCKTYPE         | invalid locktype argument                            | 10      |
| MPI_ERR_ASSERT           | invalid assert argument                              | 11      |
| MPI_ERR_RMA_CONFLICT     | conflicting accesses to window                       | 12      |
| MPI_ERR_RMA_SYNC         |  | 13      |
|                          | invalid synchronization of RMA calls                 | 14      |
| MPI_ERR_RMA_RANGE        | target memory is not part of the window (in the case | 15      |
|                          | of a window created with                             | 16      |
|                          | MPI WIN CREATE DYNAMIC target memory is not          | 17      |
|                          | attached)  | 18      |
| MPI FRR RMA ATTACH       | and actively   | 19      |
|                          | memory cannot be attached (e.g., because of resource | 20      |
|                          | exhaustion)  | 21      |
| MPI_ERR_RMA_SHARED       |  | 22      |
|                          | memory cannot be shared (e.g., some process in the   | 23      |
|                          | group of the specified communicator cannot expose    | $^{24}$ |
|                          | snared memory)                                       | 25      |
| MPI_ERR_RMA_WRONG_FLAVOR | passed window has the wrong flavor for the called    | 26      |
|                          | function   | 27      |
|                          |  | 28      |

Table 11.2: Error classes in one-sided communication routines

#### 11.7 Semantics and Correctness

The following rules specify the latest time at which an operation must complete at the origin or the target. The update performed by a get call in the origin process memory is visible when the get operation is complete at the origin (or earlier); the update performed by a put or accumulate call in the public copy of the target window is visible when the put or accumulate has completed at the target (or earlier). The rules also specify the latest time at which an update of one window copy becomes visible in another overlapping copy.

- 1. An RMA operation is completed at the origin by the ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL that synchronizes this access at the origin.
- 472. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE then 48 the operation is completed at the target by the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE by

## **Unofficial Draft for Comment Only**

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

29

30  $^{31}$ 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the target process.

- 3. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE then the operation is completed at the target by the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT by the target process.
- 4. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(rank=target), or MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, then the operation is completed at the target by that same call.
- 5. An update of a location in a private window copy in process memory becomes visible in the public window copy at latest when an ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_POST, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, or
  - MPI\_WIN\_SYNC is executed on that window by the window owner. In the RMA unified memory model, an update of a location in a private window in process memory becomes visible without additional RMA calls.
- 6. An update by a put or accumulate call to a public window copy becomes visible in the private copy in process memory at latest when an ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL, or MPI\_WIN\_SYNC is executed on that window by the window owner. In the RMA unified memory model, an update by a put or accumulate call to a public window copy eventually becomes visible in the private copy in process memory without additional RMA calls.
- 24The MPI\_WIN\_FENCE or MPI\_WIN\_WAIT call that completes the transfer from public 25copy to private copy (6) is the same call that completes the put or accumulate operation in 26the window copy (2, 3). If a put or accumulate access was synchronized with a lock, then 27the update of the public window copy is complete as soon as the updating process executed 28MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL. In the RMA separate memory model, the 29 update of a private copy in the process memory may be delayed until the target process 30 executes a synchronization call on that window (6). Thus, updates to process memory can  $^{31}$ always be delayed in the RMA separate memory model until the process executes a suitable 32 synchronization call, while they must complete in the RMA unified model without additional 33 synchronization calls. If fence or post-start-complete-wait synchronization is used, updates 34 to a public window copy can be delayed in both memory models until the window owner 35 executes a synchronization call. When passive target synchronization (lock/unlock or even 36 flush) is used, it is necessary to update the public window copy in the RMA separate model, 37 or the private window copy in the RMA unified model, even if the window owner does not 38 execute any related synchronization call. 39
- The rules above also define, by implication, when an update to a public window copy becomes visible in another overlapping public window copy. Consider, for example, two overlapping windows, win1 and win2. A call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE(0, win1) by the window owner makes visible in the process memory previous updates to window win1 by remote processes. A subsequent call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE(0, win2) makes these updates visible in the public copy of win2.
- The behavior of some MPI RMA operations may be *undefined* in certain situations. For example, the result of several origin processes performing concurrent MPI\_PUT operations to the same target location is undefined. In addition, the result of a single origin process

performing multiple MPI\_PUT operations to the same target location within the same access epoch is also undefined. The result at the target may have all of the data from one of the MPI\_PUT operations (the "last" one, in some sense), bytes from some of each of the operations, or something else. In MPI-2, such operations were *erroneous*. That meant that an MPI implementation was permitted to signal an MPI exception. Thus, user programs or tools that used MPI RMA could not portably permit such operations, even if the application code could function correctly with such an undefined result. In MPI-3, these operations are not erroneous, but do not have a defined behavior.

Rationale. As discussed in [6], requiring operations such as overlapping puts to be erroneous makes it difficult to use MPI RMA to implement programming models such as Unified Parallel C (UPC) or SHMEM—that permit these operations. Further, while MPI-2 defined these operations as erroneous, the MPI Forum is unaware of any implementation that enforces this rule, as it would require significant overhead. Thus, relaxing this condition does not impact existing implementations or applications. (*End* of rationale.)

Advice to implementors. Overlapping accesses are undefined. However, to assist users in debugging code, implementations may wish to provide a mode in which such operations are detected and reported to the user. Note, however, that in MPI-3, such operations must not generate an MPI exception. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

A program with a well-defined outcome in the MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE memory model must obey the following rules.

- 1. A location in a window must not be accessed with load/store operations once an update to that location has started, until the update becomes visible in the private window copy in process memory.
- 2. A location in a window must not be accessed as a target of an RMA operation once an update to that location has started, until the update becomes visible in the public window copy. There is one exception to this rule, in the case where the same variable is updated by two concurrent accumulates with the same predefined datatype, on the same window. Additional restrictions on the operation apply, see the info key accumulate\_ops in Section 11.2.1.
- 3. A put or accumulate must not access a target window once a load/store update or a put or accumulate update to another (overlapping) target window has started on a location in the target window, until the update becomes visible in the public copy of the window. Conversely, a store to process memory to a location in a window must not start once a put or accumulate update to that target window has started, until the put or accumulate update becomes visible in process memory. In both cases, the restriction applies to operations even if they access disjoint locations in the window.

Rationale. The last constraint on correct RMA accesses may seem unduly restrictive, as it forbids concurrent accesses to nonoverlapping locations in a window. The reason for this constraint is that, on some architectures, explicit coherence restoring operations may be needed at synchronization points. A different operation may be needed for locations that were updated by stores and for locations that were remotely updated by put or accumulate operations. Without this constraint, the MPI

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

| 1<br>2<br>3 | library would have to track precisely which locations in a window were updated by a put or accumulate call. The additional overhead of maintaining such information is considered prohibitive. (End of rationale.) |
|-------------|--|
| 4           | considered promotive. (End of Tationale.)  |
| 5           | Note that MPI WIN SYNC may be used within a passive target epoch to synchronize  |
| 6           | the private and public window copies (that is, updates to one are made visible to the other).  |
| 7           | In the MPI WIN UNIFIED memory model, the rules are much simpler because the public   |
| 8           | and private windows are the same. However, there are restrictions to avoid concurrent  |
| 9           | access to the same memory locations by different processes. The rules that a program with  |
| 10          | a well-defined outcome must obey in this case are:   |
| 11          | v  |
| 12          | 1. A location in a window must not be accessed with load/store operations once an  |
| 13          | update to that location has started, until the update is complete, subject to the  |
| 14          | following special case.  |
| 15          |  |
| 16          | 2. Accessing a location in the window that is also the target of a remote update is valid  |
| 17          | (not erroneous) but the precise result will depend on the behavior of the implemen-  |
| 18          | tation. Updates from a remote process will appear in the memory of the target, but   |
| 19          | there are no atomicity or ordering guarantees if more than one byte is updated. Up-  |
| 20          | remains until replaced by another undate. This permits polling on a location for a   |
| 21          | change from zero to non zero or for a particular value, but not polling and comparing  |
| 22          | the relative magnitude of values. Users are cautioned that polling on one memory   |
| 23          | location and then accessing a different memory location has defined behavior only if   |
| 24          | the other rules given here and in this chapter are followed.   |
| 25          |  |
| 26          | Advice to users. Some compiler optimizations can result in code that maintains   |
| 27          | the sequential semantics of the program, but violates this rule by introducing   |
| 20          | temporary values into locations in memory. Most compilers only apply such  |
| 30          | transformations under very high levels of optimization and users should be aware   |
| 31          | that such aggressive optimization may produce unexpected results. (End of  |
| 32          | advice to users.)  |
| 33          |  |
| 34          | 3. Updating a location in the window with a store operation that is also the target  |
| 35          | will depend on the behavior of the implementation. Store undates will appear in  |
| 36          | memory but there are no atomicity or ordering guarantees if more than one byte is  |
| 37          | underted. Undertes are stable in the sense that once data appears in memory, the data  |
| 38          | remains until replaced by another undate. This permits undates to memory with  |
| 39          | store operations without requiring an RMA epoch. Users are cautioned that remote   |
| 40          | accesses to a window that is updated by the local process has defined behavior only  |
| 41          | if the other rules given here and elsewhere in this chapter are followed.  |
| 42          |  |
| 43          | 4. A location in a window must not be accessed as a target of an RMA operation once  |
| 44          | an update to that location has started and until the update completes at the target.   |
| 45          | There is one exception to this rule: in the case where the same location is updated by   |
| 46          | two concurrent accumulates with the same predefined datatype on the same window.   |
| 47          | Additional restrictions on the operation apply; see the info key accumulate_ops in   |
| 48          | Section 11.2.1.  |

5. A put or accumulate must not access a target window once a store, put, or accumulate update to another (overlapping) target window has started on the same location in the target window and until the update completes at the target window. Conversely, a store operation to a location in a window must not start once a put or accumulate update to the same location in that target window has started and until the put or accumulate update completes at the target.

Note that MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH and MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL may be used within a passive target epoch to complete RMA operations at the target process.

A program that violates these rules has undefined behavior.

Advice to users. A user can write correct programs by following the following rules:

- fence: During each period between fence calls, each window is either updated by put or accumulate calls, or updated by stores, but not both. Locations updated by put or accumulate calls should not be accessed during the same period (with the exception of concurrent updates to the same location by accumulate calls). Locations accessed by get calls should not be updated during the same period.
- **post-start-complete-wait:** A window should not be updated with store operations while posted if it is being updated by put or accumulate calls. Locations updated by put or accumulate calls should not be accessed while the window is posted (with the exception of concurrent updates to the same location by accumulate calls). Locations accessed by get calls should not be updated while the window is posted.

With the post-start synchronization, the target process can tell the origin process that its window is now ready for RMA access; with the complete-wait synchronization, the origin process can tell the target process that it has finished its RMA accesses to the window.

- **lock:** Updates to the window are protected by exclusive locks if they may conflict. Nonconflicting accesses (such as read-only accesses or accumulate accesses) are protected by shared locks, both for load/store accesses and for RMA accesses.
- changing window or synchronization mode: One can change synchronization mode, or change the window used to access a location that belongs to two overlapping windows, when the process memory and the window copy are guaranteed to have the same values. This is true after a local call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, if RMA accesses to the window are synchronized with fences; after a local call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT, if the accesses are synchronized with post-start-completewait; after the call at the origin (local or remote) to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL if the accesses are synchronized with locks.

In addition, a process should not access the local buffer of a get operation until the operation is complete, and should not update the local buffer of a put or accumulate operation until that operation is complete.

The RMA synchronization operations define when updates are guaranteed to become visible in public and private windows. Updates may become visible earlier, but such behavior is implementation dependent. (*End of advice to users.*)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

| 1   | The semantics are illustrat   | ed by the following examples:  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6                               | <b>Example 11.6</b> The following example demonstrates updating a memory location inside a window for the separate memory model, according to Rule 5. The MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_UNLOCK calls around the store to X in process B are necessary to ensure consistency between the public and private copies of the window.   |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9   | Process A:  | Process B:<br>window location X  |  |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                          |   | <pre>MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) store X /* local update to private copy of B */ MPI_Win_unlock(B) /* now visible in public window copy */</pre> |  |  |
| 15<br>16  | MPI_Barrier   | MPI_Barrier  |  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                                | MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)<br>MPI_Get(X) /* ok, read from public window */<br>MPI_Win_unlock(B)  |  |  |  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26                    | <b>Example 11.7</b> In the RMA unified model, although the public and private copies of the windows are synchronized, caution must be used when combining load/stores and multi-process synchronization. Although the following example appears correct, the compiler or hardware may delay the store to $X$ after the barrier, possibly resulting in the MPI_GET returning an incorrect value of $X$ . |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28<br>29                                      | Process A:  | Process B:<br>window location X  |  |  |
| 229<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37 | <pre>MPI_Barrier MPI_Win_lock_all MPI_Get(X) /* ok, read from MPI_Win_flush_local(B) /* read value in X */ MPI_Win_unlock_all</pre>   | <pre>store X /* update to private&amp;public copy of B */ MPI_Barrier m window */</pre>  |  |  |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43                    | MPI_BARRIER provides process synchronization, but not memory synchronization. The example could potentially be made safe through the use of compiler- and hardware-specific notations to ensure the store to X occurs before process B enters the MPI_BARRIER. The use of one-sided synchronization calls, as shown in Example 11.6, also ensures the correct result.                                   |  |  |  |
| 44<br>45  | <b>Example 11.8</b> The following updated by a remote process (I  | ; example demonstrates the reading of a memory location<br>Rule 6) in the RMA separate memory model. Although the                              |  |  |

<sup>45</sup> updated by a remote process (Rule 6) in the RMA separate memory model. Although the <sup>46</sup> MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK on process A and the MPI\_BARRIER ensure that the public copy on <sup>47</sup> process B reflects the updated value of X, the call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK by process B is <sup>48</sup> necessary to synchronize the private copy with the public copy.

| <pre>MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) MPI_Put(X) /* update to public window */ MPI_Win_unlock(B) MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) /* now visible in private copy of B */ load X MPI_Win_unlock(B) Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after MPI_Win_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X. Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. Process A: Process B: window location X MPI_Win_lock_all MPI_Put(X) /* update to window */ MPI_Win_flush(B) MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier load X MPI_Win_unlock_al1 Example 11.10 The following example further charifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process B before the lock. Process A: Process B:</pre>   | Process A:  | Process B:<br>window location X                                | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |
|---|---|--|---------------|--|
| <pre>MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) MPI_Put(X) /* update to public window */ MPI_Win_unlock(B) MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) /* now visible in private copy of B */ load X MPI_Win_unlock(B) Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after MPI_Win_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X. Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. Process A:</pre>  |   |  | 3             |  |
| <pre>MPI_Put(X) /* update to public window */ MPI_Win_unlock(B)  MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier</pre>  | MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)   |  | 4             |  |
| <pre>MPI_WIN_UNICCR(B) MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier MPI_Min_unlock(EXCLUSIVE,B) /* now visible in private copy of B */ load X MPI_Win_unlock(B) Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X. Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. Process A:     Process B:         window location X MPI_Win_lock_all MPI_Win_lock_all Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock. Process A:     Process B:     Process B:</pre> | MPI_Put(X) /* update to put   | blic window */   | 5             |  |
| <pre>MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier</pre>  | MP1_win_unlock(B)   |  | 7             |  |
| MPI_Min_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)         /* now visible in private copy of B */         load X         MPI_Win_unlock(B)         Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after         MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation         looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       MPI_Win_lock_all         MPI_Win_lock_all       MPI_Barrier         MPI_Win_unlock_all       MPI_Win_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_All do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock. <td>MDI Barriar</td> <td>MDI Barriar</td> <td>8</td>   | MDI Barriar   | MDI Barriar  | 8             |  |
| MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)       /* now visible in private copy of B */         load X       MPI_Win_unlock(B)         Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       Process B:<br>window location X         MPI_Win_lock_all       MPI_Barrier<br>load X         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process B before the lock.         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       MPI_WIN_LOCK And MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK Action A         MPI_Win_lock_all       MPI_WIN_LOCK Action A         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that proc  | Mr1_balliel   | Mr1_Dalliel  | 9             |  |
| <pre>/* now visible in private copy of B */<br/>load X<br/>MPI_Win_unlock(B) Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The<br/>use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after<br/>MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation<br/>looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required<br/>to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of<br/>X. Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified<br/>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While<br/>Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the<br/>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br/>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br/>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. Process A: Process B:<br/>window location X<br/>MPI_Win_lock_all<br/>MPI_Win_lock_all<br/>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br/>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br/>MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br/>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br/>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br/>Process A: Process B:<br/>Window the local store by process B before the lock.</pre>  |   | MPI Win lock(EXCLUSIVE B)                                      | 10            |  |
| load X       MPI_Win_unlock(B)         Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private corres of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       MPI_Win_lock_all         MPI_Win_lock_all       MPI_Barrier load X         MPI_Win_unlock_all       MPI_Win_unlock_all         MPI_WiN_LOCK ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.   |   | <pre>/* now visible in private copy of B */</pre>              | 11            |  |
| MPI_Win_unlock(B)13Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The<br>use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation<br>looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required<br>to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of<br>X.Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified<br>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While<br>Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the<br>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.Process A:Process B:<br>window location XMPI_Win_lock_allMPI_Barrier<br>load XMPI_Win_unlock_allMPI_Barrier<br>load XMPI_Win_unlock_all33MPI_Win_unlock_all34Frample 11.10The following example further clarifies Rule 5.MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not<br>update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.Process A:Process B:   |   | load X   | 12            |  |
| Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The<br>use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation<br>looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required<br>to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of<br>X.<br><b>Example 11.9</b> Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified<br>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While<br>Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the<br>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.<br>Process A: Process B:<br>window location X<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier<br>load X<br>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br><b>Example 11.10</b> The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br><b>Process A:</b> Process B:  |   | MPI_Win_unlock(B)  | 13            |  |
| Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The         use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after         MPL_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation         looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required         to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of         X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified         model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPL_BARRIER. While         Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the         window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler         and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or         explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       process B:         window location X         MPI_Win_lock_all         MPI_Barrier         load X         MPI_Win_unlock_all         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock  | Note that is this second the  | - hermien is used suitised to the successive successory (The   | 14            |  |
| use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process win not modify the public copy after         MPI_WIN_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation         17         looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required         to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of         X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified         model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While         Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies of the         window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler         and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or         explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       Process B:         window location X         MPI_Win_lock_all         MPI_Win_inlock_all         MPI_Win_unlock_all         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.   | Note that in this example, the  | e barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The     | 15            |  |
| Win_EVOR EVER synchronizes the private and private only graphene intraction of the private and private only graphene is a prioring implementation of the private sequence is a perform the private only operation before process B performs the load of X.         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       30         MPI_Win_lock_all       31         MPI_Barrier       32         MPI_Win_unlock_all       33         MPI_Win_unlock_all       34         MPI_Win_unlock_all       35         MPI_Win_unlock_all       36         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.         Process A:       Process B:   | MPL WIN LOCK synchronizes   | the private and public copies. A polling implementation        | 16            |  |
| Noning for that grocess B would be schmanically correct. The barrier is required to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of X.       10         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While       11         Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.       12         Process A:       Process B:       13         window location X       14       14         MPI_Win_lock_all       16       17         MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier load X       16         MPI_Win_unlock_all       17       18         MPI_Win_unlock_all       18       18         MPI_Win_unlock_all       18       18         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL       10       10       10         MPI_Win_unlock_all       18       18       18         MPI_Win_unlock_all       18       18       18         Example 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK And <td< td=""><td>looking for changes in X on proc</td><td>ress B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required</td><td>17</td></td<>  | looking for changes in X on proc  | ress B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required  | 17            |  |
| X.       20         Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified       21         model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While       22         Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through       24         MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the       25         window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler       26         and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or       27         explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.       28         Process A:       Process B:       30         window location X       31         MPI_Win_lock_all       31         MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier       33         MPI_Win_unlock_all       33         MPI_Win_unlock_all       34         MPI_Win_unlock_all       36         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         Seample 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the       37         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the va   | to ensure that process A perfor   | ms the put operation before process B performs the load of     | 18            |  |
| Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified<br>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While<br>Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the<br>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.<br>Process A: Process B:<br>window location X<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier<br>load X<br>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br>MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br>Process A: Process B:<br>WIN_CESS A: Process B:<br>WIN_SECS A: MPI_SECS A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.  | X.  | nie nie put operation servic process is performs the foad of   | 20            |  |
| Example 11.9 Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified<br>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While<br>Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the<br>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.<br>Process A:<br>window location X<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Win_flush(B)<br>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br>MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br>Process A:<br>Process B:<br>Wincess A:<br>MPI_Win_COCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.  |   |  | 20            |  |
| <pre>model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. Process A:</pre>   | <b>Example 11.9</b> Similar to Exam   | nple 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified | 22            |  |
| Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through       24         MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the       26         window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler       26         and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or       27         explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.       28         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       30         MPI_Win_lock_all       31         MPI_Barrier       36         Ioad X       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier         Ioad X       37         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL       0 not update the public copy of a window with changes to the         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       43         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       44         Process A:       Process B:       45  | model, because the load of X can  | n not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI_BARRIER. While      | 23            |  |
| MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the       25         window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler       26         and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or       27         explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.       28         Process A:       Process B:         window location X       30         MPI_Win_lock_all       31         MPI_Win_flush(B)       34         MPI_Win_unlock_all       35         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         Process A:       MPI_Barrier         load X       37         MPI_Win_LOCK_ALL       0 not update the public copy of a window with changes to the         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       43         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       44         Process A:       Process B:       45   | Process B does not need to ex   | plicitly synchronize the public and private copies through     | $^{24}$       |  |
| <pre>window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler<br/>and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br/>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.</pre>   | MPI_WIN_LOCK as the MPI_P   | PUT will update both the public and private copies of the      | 25            |  |
| and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or<br>explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.<br>Process A: Process B:<br>window location X<br>MPI_Win_lock_all<br>MPI_Put(X) /* update to window */<br>MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier<br>load X<br>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do <i>not</i> update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br>Process A: Process B:   | window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler |  |               |  |
| explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result.  Process A: Process B: Window location X  PI_Win_lock_all  PPI_Win_flush(B)  PPI_Win_unlock_all  Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and PI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.  Process A: Process B:  | and nardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or  |  |               |  |
| Process A:       Process B:       30         window location X       31         MPI_Win_lock_all       32         MPI_Win_flush(B)       34         MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier         load X       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       38         Example 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       42         Process A:       Process B:       45   | explicit one-sided synchronizati  | on calls can be used to ensure the proper result.              | 28            |  |
| <pre>window location X MPI_Win_lock_all MPI_Put(X) /* update to window */ MPI_Win_flush(B) MPI_Barrier load X MPI_Win_unlock_all Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock. Process A: Process B: 45</pre>   | Process A:  | Process B:   | 29            |  |
| MPI_Win_lock_all       32         MPI_Put(X) /* update to window */       33         MPI_Win_flush(B)       34         MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier         load X       36         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         Example 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       41         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       42         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45  |   | window location X  | 30            |  |
| <pre>MPI_Put(X) /* update to window */ MPI_Win_flush(B)  MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier load X MPI_Win_unlock_all  Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.  Process A: Process B: 45</pre>   | MPI_Win_lock_all  |  | 32            |  |
| MPI_Win_flush(B)       34         MPI_Barrier       35         load X       36         MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         Example 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45  | <pre>MPI_Put(X) /* update to win</pre>  | ndow */  | 33            |  |
| MPI_Barrier       MPI_Barrier       35         load X       37         MPI_Win_unlock_all       38         Example 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       40         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       41         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       42         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45  | MPI_Win_flush(B)  |  | 34            |  |
| MPI_Barrier MPI_Barrier<br>load X<br>MPI_Win_unlock_all<br>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and<br>MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the<br>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will<br>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.<br>Process A: Process B: 45   | WDT D   |  | 35            |  |
| MPI_Win_unlock_all       37         Bexample 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and       40         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       41         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       42         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45   | MP1_Barrier   | MP1_Barrier  | 36            |  |
| Image: Second Structure       38         Becample 11.10       The following example further clarifies Rule 5.       MPI_WIN_LOCK and         MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       41         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       42         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45   | MDI Win unlock all  | Toad Y   | 37            |  |
| <ul> <li>39</li> <li>Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and</li> <li>MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the</li> <li>private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will</li> <li>42</li> <li>see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.</li> <li>Process A: Process B:</li> </ul>   | MF1_WIII_UIIIOCK_AII  |  | 38            |  |
| Example 11.10The following example further clarifies Rule 5.MPI_WIN_LOCK and40MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the41private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will42see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.43Process A:Process B:45  |   |  | 39            |  |
| MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not update the public copy of a window with changes to the       41         private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will       42         see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.       43         Process A:       Process B:       45  | Example 11.10 The following   | g example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI_WIN_LOCK and           | 40            |  |
| private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will42see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.43Process A:Process B:45  | MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL do not   | update the public copy of a window with changes to the         | 41            |  |
| see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock. 43 Process A: Process B: 45  | private copy. Therefore, there is   | s no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will   | 42            |  |
| Process A: Process B: 45  | see the value of X as updated by  | y the local store by process B before the lock.                | 43            |  |
| FIOCESS A: Process B: 45  | Dracoar Ar  | Dracogg R.   | 44<br>45      |  |
| trinder leastion V  | Process A:  | rideess B:   | 40            |  |
|   |   | WINDOW IOCALION V  | 47            |  |
| store X /* update to private copy of B */ 48  |   | store X /* update to private copy of B */                      | 48            |  |

```
1
                                    MPI_Win_lock(SHARED,B)
\mathbf{2}
                                    MPI_Barrier
     MPI_Barrier
3
4
     MPI_Win_lock(SHARED,B)
\mathbf{5}
     MPI_Get(X) /* X may be the X before the store */
6
     MPI_Win_unlock(B)
7
                                    MPI_Win_unlock(B)
8
                                    /* update on X now visible in public window */
9
10
     The addition of an MPI_WIN_SYNC before the call to MPI_BARRIER by process B would
     guarantee process A would see the updated value of X, as the public copy of the window
11
     would be explicitly synchronized with the private copy.
12
13
     Example 11.11 Similar to the previous example, Rule 5 can have unexpected implications
14
     for general active target synchronization with the RMA separate memory model. It is not
15
     guaranteed that process B reads the value of X as per the local update by process A, because
16
     neither MPI_WIN_WAIT nor MPI_WIN_COMPLETE calls by process A ensure visibility in
17
     the public window copy.
18
19
     Process A:
                                    Process B:
20
     window location X
21
     window location Y
22
23
     store Y
^{24}
     MPI_Win_post(A,B) /* Y visible in public window */
25
     MPI_Win_start(A)
                                    MPI_Win_start(A)
26
27
     store X /* update to private window */
28
29
     MPI_Win_complete
                                    MPI_Win_complete
30
     MPI_Win_wait
^{31}
     /* update on X may not yet visible in public window */
32
33
     MPI_Barrier
                                    MPI_Barrier
34
35
                                    MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,A)
36
                                    MPI_Get(X) /* may return an obsolete value */
37
                                    MPI_Get(Y)
38
                                    MPI_Win_unlock(A)
39
40
     To allow process B to read the value of X stored by A the local store must be replaced by
41
     a local MPI_PUT that updates the public window copy. Note that by this replacement X
42
     may become visible in the private copy of process A only after the MPI_WIN_WAIT call in
43
     process A. The update to Y made before the MPI_WIN_POST call is visible in the public
44
     window after the MPI_WIN_POST call and therefore process B will read the proper value
45
     of Y. The MPI_GET(Y) call could be moved to the epoch started by the MPI_WIN_START
46
     operation, and process B would still get the value stored by process A.
47
```

**Example 11.12** The following example demonstrates the interaction of general active target synchronization with local read operations with the RMA separate memory model. Rules 5 and 6 do *not* guarantee that the private copy of X at process B has been updated before the load takes place.

| Process A:  | Process B:<br>window location X   |
|---|---|
| MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)<br>MPI_Put(X) /* update to pub<br>MPI_Win_unlock(B) | olic window */  |
| MPI_Barrier   | MPI_Barrier   |
|   | MPI_Win_post(B)<br>MPI_Win_start(B)   |
|   | <pre>load X /* access to private window */     /* may return an obsolete value */</pre> |
|   | MPI_Win_complete<br>MPI_Win_wait  |

To ensure that the value put by process A is read, the local load must be replaced with a local MPI\_GET operation, or must be placed after the call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT.

#### 11.7.1 Atomicity

The outcome of concurrent accumulate operations to the same location with the same predefined datatype is as if the accumulates were done at that location in some serial order. Additional restrictions on the operation apply; see the info key accumulate\_ops in Section 11.2.1. Concurrent accumulate operations with different origin and target pairs are not ordered. Thus, there is no guarantee that the entire call to an accumulate operation is executed atomically. The effect of this lack of atomicity is limited: The previous correctness conditions imply that a location updated by a call to an accumulate operation has completed (at the target). Different interleavings can lead to different results only to the extent that computer arithmetics are not truly associative or commutative. The outcome of accumulate operations with overlapping types of different sizes or target displacements is undefined.

#### 11.7.2 Ordering

Accumulate calls enable element-wise atomic read and write to remote memory locations. <sup>43</sup> MPI specifies ordering between accumulate operations from one process to the same (or overlapping) memory locations at another process on a per-datatype granularity. The default ordering is strict ordering, which guarantees that overlapping updates from the same source to a remote location are committed in program order and that reads (e.g., with MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE) and writes (e.g., with MPI\_ACCUMULATE) are executed and <sup>43</sup>

#### Unofficial Draft for Comment Only

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

committed in program order. Ordering only applies to operations originating at the same
 origin that access overlapping target memory regions. MPI does not provide any guarantees
 for accesses or updates from different origins to overlapping target memory regions.

4 The default strict ordering may incur a significant performance penalty. MPI specifies  $\mathbf{5}$ the info key accumulate\_ordering to allow relaxation of the ordering semantics when specified 6 to any window creation function. The values for this key are as follows. If set to none, 7then no ordering will be guaranteed for accumulate calls. This was the behavior for RMA 8 in MPI-2 but is not the default in MPI-3. The key can be set to a comma-separated list of 9 required access orderings at the target. Allowed values in the comma-separated list are rar, 10 war, raw, and waw for read-after-read, write-after-read, read-after-write, and write-after-write 11ordering, respectively. These indicate whether operations of the specified type complete in 12the order they were issued. For example, raw means that any writes must complete at the 13target before any reads. These ordering requirements apply only to operations issued by 14The default value for the same origin process and targeting the same target process. 15accumulate\_ordering is rar, raw, war, waw, which implies that writes complete at the target in the 16order in which they were issued, reads complete at the target before any writes that are 17issued after the reads, and writes complete at the target before any reads that are issued after 18 the writes. Any subset of these four orderings can be specified. For example, if only read-19after-read and write-after-write ordering is required, then the value of the accumulate\_ordering 20key could be set to rar, waw. The order of values is not significant.

Note that the above ordering semantics apply only to accumulate operations, not put
 and get. Put and get within an epoch are unordered.

#### 11.7.3 Progress

23 24

25

One-sided communication has the same progress requirements as point-to-point communication: once a communication is enabled it is guaranteed to complete. RMA calls must have local semantics, except when required for synchronization with other RMA calls.

There is some fuzziness in the definition of the time when a RMA communication 29becomes enabled. This fuzziness provides to the implementor more flexibility than with 30 point-to-point communication. Access to a target window becomes enabled once the corre- $^{31}$ sponding synchronization (such as MPI\_WIN\_FENCE or MPI\_WIN\_POST) has executed. On 32 the origin process, an RMA communication may become enabled as soon as the correspond-33 ing put, get or accumulate call has executed, or as late as when the ensuing synchronization 34call is issued. Once the communication is enabled both at the origin and at the target, the 35 communication must complete. 36

Consider the code fragment in Example 11.4, on page 443. Some of the calls may block if the target window is not posted. However, if the target window is posted, then the code fragment must complete. The data transfer may start as soon as the put call occurs, but may be delayed until the ensuing complete call occurs.

41 Consider the code fragment in Example 11.5, on page 448. Some of the calls may block
 42 if another process holds a conflicting lock. However, if no conflicting lock is held, then the
 43 code fragment must complete.

Consider the code illustrated in Figure 11.6. Each process updates the window of the other process using a put operation, then accesses its own window. The post calls are nonblocking, and should complete. Once the post calls occur, RMA access to the windows is enabled, so that each process should complete the sequence of calls start-put-complete. Once these are done, the wait calls should complete at both processes. Thus, this communication



should not deadlock, irrespective of the amount of data transferred.

Assume, in the last example, that the order of the post and start calls is reversed at each process. Then, the code may deadlock, as each process may block on the start call, waiting for the matching post to occur. Similarly, the program will deadlock if the order of the complete and wait calls is reversed at each process.

The following two examples illustrate the fact that the synchronization between complete and wait is not symmetric: the wait call blocks until the complete executes, but not vice versa. Consider the code illustrated in Figure 11.7. This code will deadlock: the wait of process 1 blocks until process 0 calls complete, and the receive of process 0 blocks until process 1 calls send. Consider, on the other hand, the code illustrated in Figure 11.8. This code will not deadlock. Once process 1 calls post, then the sequence start, put, complete



#### **Unofficial Draft for Comment Only**

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41 42

43 44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

on process 0 can proceed to completion. Process 0 will reach the send call, allowing the  $\mathbf{2}$ receive call of process 1 to complete.

3 4

56

7

8 9

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

1

Rationale. MPI implementations must guarantee that a process makes progress on all enabled communications it participates in, while blocked on an MPI call. This is true for send-receive communication and applies to RMA communication as well. Thus, in the example in Figure 11.8, the put and complete calls of process 0 should complete while process 1 is blocked on the receive call. This may require the involvement of process 1, e.g., to transfer the data put, while it is blocked on the receive call.

10 A similar issue is whether such progress must occur while a process is busy comput-11 ing, or blocked in a non-MPI call. Suppose that in the last example the send-receive 12pair is replaced by a write-to-socket/read-from-socket pair. Then MPI does not spec-13 ify whether deadlock is avoided. Suppose that the blocking receive of process 1 is 14replaced by a very long compute loop. Then, according to one interpretation of the 15MPI standard, process 0 must return from the complete call after a bounded delay, 16even if process 1 does not reach any MPI call in this period of time. According to 17 another interpretation, the complete call may block until process 1 reaches the wait 18 call, or reaches another MPI call. The qualitative behavior is the same, under both 19 interpretations, unless a process is caught in an infinite compute loop, in which case 20the difference may not matter. However, the quantitative expectations are different. 21Different MPI implementations reflect these different interpretations. While this am-22biguity is unfortunate, it does not seem to affect many real codes. The MPI Forum 23decided not to decide which interpretation of the standard is the correct one, since the  $^{24}$ issue is very contentious, and a decision would have much impact on implementors 25but less impact on users. (End of rationale.) 26

#### Registers and Compiler Optimizations 11.7.4

Advice to users. All the material in this section is an advice to users. (End of advice to users.)

A coherence problem exists between variables kept in registers and the memory values of these variables. An RMA call may access a variable in memory (or cache), while the up-to-date value of this variable is in register. A get will not return the latest variable value, and a put may be overwritten when the register is stored back in memory. Note that these issues are unrelated to the RMA memory model; that is, these issues apply even if the memory model is MPI\_WIN\_UNIFIED.

The problem is illustrated by the following code:

| 40 | Source of Process 1     | Source of Process 2 | Executed in Process 2    |
|----|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 41 | bbbb = 777              | buff = 999          | reg_A:=999               |
| 42 | call MPI_WIN_FENCE      | call MPI_WIN_FENCE  |                          |
| 43 | call MPI_PUT(bbbb       |                     | stop appl.thread         |
| 44 | into buff of process 2) |                     | buff:=777 in PUT handler |
| 45 |                         |                     | continue appl.thread     |
| 46 | call MPI_WIN_FENCE      | call MPI_WIN_FENCE  |                          |
| 47 |                         | ccc = buff          | ccc:=reg_A               |
| 48 |                         |                     |                          |

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6  $\overline{7}$ 

8 9

10

1415

16

1718

192021

22

23

 $^{24}$ 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

In this example, variable buff is allocated in the register reg\_A and therefore ccc will have the old value of buff and not the new value 777.

This problem, which also afflicts in some cases send/receive communication, is discussed more at length in Section 17.1.16.

Programs written in C avoid this problem, because of the semantics of C. Many Fortran compilers will avoid this problem, without disabling compiler optimizations. However, in order to avoid register coherence problems in a completely portable manner, users should restrict their use of RMA windows to variables stored in modules or COMMON blocks. To prevent problems with the argument copying and register optimization done by Fortran compilers, please note the hints in Sections 17.1.10-17.1.20, especially in 11Sections 17.1.12 and 17.1.13 on pages 628–631 about "Problems Due to Data Copying and 12Sequence Association with Subscript Triplets" and "Vector Subscripts", and in Sections 17.1.16 13 to 17.1.19 on pages 633 to 643 about "Optimization Problems", "Code Movements and Register Optimization", "Temporary Data Movements" and "Permanent Data Movements". Sections "Solutions" to "VOLATILE" on pages 636-641 discuss several solutions for the problem in this example.

#### Examples 11.8

**Example 11.13** The following example shows a generic loosely synchronous, iterative code, using fence synchronization. The window at each process consists of array A, which contains the origin and target buffers of the put calls.

```
. . .
while(!converged(A)){
 update(A);
 MPI_Win_fence(MPI_MODE_NOPRECEDE, win);
 for(i=0; i < toneighbors; i++)</pre>
    MPI_Put(&frombuf[i], 1, fromtype[i], toneighbor[i],
                          todisp[i], 1, totype[i], win);
 MPI_Win_fence((MPI_MODE_NOSTORE | MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED), win);
 }
```

The same code could be written with get rather than put. Note that, during the communication phase, each window is concurrently read (as origin buffer of puts) and written (as target buffer of puts). This is OK, provided that there is no overlap between the target buffer of a put and another communication buffer.

**Example 11.14** Same generic example, with more computation/communication overlap. We assume that the update phase is broken into two subphases: the first, where the "boundary," which is involved in communication, is updated, and the second, where the "core," which neither uses nor provides communicated data, is updated.

```
1
     . . .
\mathbf{2}
     while(!converged(A)){
3
       update_boundary(A);
4
       MPI_Win_fence((MPI_MODE_NOPUT | MPI_MODE_NOPRECEDE), win);
5
       for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
6
          MPI_Get(&tobuf[i], 1, totype[i], fromneighbor[i],
7
                            fromdisp[i], 1, fromtype[i], win);
8
       update_core(A);
9
       MPI_Win_fence(MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED, win);
10
       }
11
     The get communication can be concurrent with the core update, since they do not access the
12
     same locations, and the local update of the origin buffer by the get call can be concurrent
13
     with the local update of the core by the update_core call. In order to get similar overlap
14
     with put communication we would need to use separate windows for the core and for the
15
     boundary. This is required because we do not allow local stores to be concurrent with puts
16
     on the same, or on overlapping, windows.
17
18
     Example 11.15 Same code as in Example 11.13, rewritten using post-start-complete-wait.
19
20
21
     while(!converged(A)){
22
       update(A);
23
       MPI_Win_post(fromgroup, 0, win);
24
       MPI_Win_start(togroup, 0, win);
25
       for(i=0; i < toneighbors; i++)</pre>
26
          MPI_Put(&frombuf[i], 1, fromtype[i], toneighbor[i],
27
                                  todisp[i], 1, totype[i], win);
28
       MPI_Win_complete(win);
29
       MPI_Win_wait(win);
30
       }
^{31}
32
     Example 11.16 Same example, with split phases, as in Example 11.14.
33
34
35
     while(!converged(A)){
36
       update_boundary(A);
37
       MPI_Win_post(togroup, MPI_MODE_NOPUT, win);
38
       MPI_Win_start(fromgroup, 0, win);
39
       for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
40
          MPI_Get(&tobuf[i], 1, totype[i], fromneighbor[i],
41
                           fromdisp[i], 1, fromtype[i], win);
42
       update_core(A);
43
       MPI_Win_complete(win);
44
       MPI_Win_wait(win);
45
       }
46
47
48
```

**Example 11.17** A checkerboard, or double buffer communication pattern, that allows more computation/communication overlap. Array A0 is updated using values of array A1, and vice versa. We assume that communication is symmetric: if process A gets data from process B, then process B gets data from process A. Window wini consists of array Ai.

```
. . .
if (!converged(A0,A1))
  MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win0);
MPI_Barrier(comm0);
/* the barrier is needed because the start call inside the
                                                                                    10
loop uses the nocheck option */
                                                                                    11
while(!converged(A0, A1)){
                                                                                    12
  /* communication on AO and computation on A1 */
                                                                                    13
  update2(A1, A0); /* local update of A1 that depends on A0 (and A1) */
                                                                                    14
  MPI_Win_start(neighbors, MPI_MODE_NOCHECK, win0);
                                                                                    15
  for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
                                                                                    16
    MPI_Get(&tobuf0[i], 1, totype0[i], neighbor[i],
                                                                                    17
                fromdisp0[i], 1, fromtype0[i], win0);
                                                                                    18
  update1(A1); /* local update of A1 that is
                                                                                    19
                   concurrent with communication that updates A0 */
                                                                                    20
  MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win1);
                                                                                    21
  MPI_Win_complete(win0);
                                                                                    22
  MPI_Win_wait(win0);
                                                                                    23
                                                                                    ^{24}
  /* communication on A1 and computation on A0 */
                                                                                    25
  update2(A0, A1); /* local update of A0 that depends on A1 (and A0) */
                                                                                    26
  MPI_Win_start(neighbors, MPI_MODE_NOCHECK, win1);
                                                                                    27
  for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
                                                                                    28
    MPI_Get(&tobuf1[i], 1, totype1[i], neighbor[i],
                                                                                    29
                 fromdisp1[i], 1, fromtype1[i], win1);
                                                                                    30
  update1(A0); /* local update of A0 that depends on A0 only,
                                                                                    31
                  concurrent with communication that updates A1 */
                                                                                    32
  if (!converged(A0,A1))
                                                                                    33
    MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win0);
                                                                                    34
  MPI_Win_complete(win1);
                                                                                    35
  MPI_Win_wait(win1);
                                                                                    36
  }
                                                                                    37
```

A process posts the local window associated with win0 before it completes RMA accesses to the remote windows associated with win1. When the wait(win1) call returns, then all neighbors of the calling process have posted the windows associated with win0. Conversely, when the wait(win0) call returns, then all neighbors of the calling process have posted the windows associated with win1. Therefore, the nocheck option can be used with the calls to MPI\_WIN\_START.

44Put calls can be used, instead of get calls, if the area of array A0 (resp. A1) used by the update(A1, A0) (resp. update(A0, A1)) call is disjoint from the area modified by the 4546RMA communication. On some systems, a put call may be more efficient than a get call, 47as it requires information exchange only in one direction.

In the next several examples, for conciseness, the expression

#### **Unofficial Draft for Comment Only**

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

38 39

40 41

42

43

```
1
     z = MPI_Get_accumulate(...)
\mathbf{2}
     means to perform an MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE with the result buffer (given by result_addr
3
     in the description of MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE) on the left side of the assignment, in this
4
     case, z. This format is also used with MPI_COMPARE_AND_SWAP.
5
6
     Example 11.18 The following example implements a naive, non-scalable counting sema-
7
     phore. The example demonstrates the use of MPI_WIN_SYNC to manipulate the public copy
8
     of X, as well as MPI_WIN_FLUSH to complete operations without ending the access epoch
9
     opened with MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL. To avoid the rules regarding synchronization of the
10
     public and private copies of windows, MPI_ACCUMULATE and MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE
11
     are used to write to or read from the local public copy.
12
13
     Process A:
                                                    Process B:
14
     MPI_Win_lock_all
                                                    MPI_Win_lock_all
15
     window location X
16
     X=2
17
     MPI_Win_sync
^{18}
     MPI_Barrier
                                                    MPI_Barrier
19
20
     MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_SUM, -1)
                                                    MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_SUM, -1)
21
22
     stack variable z
                                                     stack variable z
23
     do
                                                     do
^{24}
       z = MPI_Get_accumulate(X,
                                                       z = MPI_Get_accumulate(X,
25
             MPI_NO_OP, 0)
                                                            MPI_NO_OP, 0)
26
       MPI_Win_flush(A)
                                                       MPI_Win_flush(A)
27
     while(z!=0)
                                                     while(z!=0)
28
29
     MPI_Win_unlock_all
                                                    MPI_Win_unlock_all
30
31
     Example 11.19 Implementing a critical region between two processes (Peterson's al-
32
     gorithm). Despite their appearance in the following example, MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL and
33
     MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL are not collective calls, but it is frequently useful to start shared
34
     access epochs to all processes from all other processes in a window. Once the access epochs
35
     are established, accumulate communication operations and flush and sync synchronization
36
     operations can be used to read from or write to the public copy of the window.
37
38
     Process A:
                                                Process B:
39
     window location X
                                                window location Y
40
     window location T
41
42
     MPI_Win_lock_all
                                                MPI_Win_lock_all
43
     X=1
                                                Y=1
44
     MPI_Win_sync
                                                MPI_Win_sync
45
     MPI_Barrier
                                                MPI_Barrier
46
     MPI_Accumulate(T, MPI_REPLACE, 1)
                                                MPI_Accumulate(T, MPI_REPLACE, 0)
47
     stack variables t,y
                                                stack variable t,x
48
     t=1
                                                t=0
```

| y=MPI_Get_accumulate(Y,            | <pre>x=MPI_Get_accumulate(X,</pre>           | 1  |
|------------------------------------|--|----|
| MPI_NO_OP, 0)                      | MPI_NO_OP, 0)                                | 2  |
| while(y==1 && t==1) do             | while(x==1 && t==0) do                       | 3  |
| <pre>y=MPI_Get_accumulate(Y,</pre> | <pre>x=MPI_Get_accumulate(X,</pre>           | 4  |
| MPI_NO_OP, 0)                      | MPI_NO_OP, 0)                                | 5  |
| <pre>t=MPI_Get_accumulate(T,</pre> | <pre>t=MPI_Get_accumulate(T,</pre>           | 6  |
| MPI_NO_OP, 0)                      | MPI_NO_OP, 0)                                | 7  |
| MPI_Win_flush_all                  | MPI_Win_flush(A)                             | 8  |
| done                               | done   | 9  |
| // critical region                 | <pre>// critical region</pre>                | 10 |
| MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_REPLACE, 0)  | <pre>MPI_Accumulate(Y, MPI_REPLACE, 0)</pre> | 11 |
| MPI_Win_unlock_all                 | MPI_Win_unlock_all                           | 12 |
|                                    |  |    |

**Example 11.20** Implementing a critical region between multiple processes with compare and swap. The call to MPI\_WIN\_SYNC is necessary on Process A after local initialization of **A** to guarantee the public copy has been updated with the initialization value found in the private copy. It would also be valid to call MPI\_ACCUMULATE with MPI\_REPLACE to directly initialize the public copy. A call to MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH would be necessary to assure **A** in the public copy of Process A had been updated before the barrier.

| Process A:                                   | Process B:                                   | 21 |
|--|--|----|
| MPI_Win_lock_all                             | MPI_Win_lock_all                             | 22 |
| atomic location A                            |  | 23 |
| A=0  |  | 24 |
| MPI_Win_sync                                 |  | 25 |
| MPI_Barrier                                  | MPI_Barrier                                  | 26 |
| stack variable r=1                           | stack variable r=1                           | 27 |
| while(r != 0) do                             | while(r != 0) do                             | 28 |
| <pre>r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 0, 1)</pre> | r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 0, 1)            | 29 |
| MPI_Win_flush(A)                             | MPI_Win_flush(A)                             | 30 |
| done   | done   | 31 |
| // critical region                           | // critical region                           | 32 |
| r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 1, 0)            | <pre>r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 1, 0)</pre> | 33 |
| MPI_Win_unlock_all                           | MPI_Win_unlock_all                           | 34 |

**Example 11.21** The following example shows how request-based operations can be used to overlap communication with computation. Each process fetches, processes, and writes the result for NSTEPS chunks of data. Instead of a single buffer, M local buffers are used to allow up to M communication operations to overlap with computation.

```
41
int
             i, j;
                                                                                        42
MPI_Win
             win;
MPI_Request put_req[M] = { MPI_REQUEST_NULL };
                                                                                         43
                                                                                         44
MPI_Request get_req;
                                                                                         45
double
             **baseptr;
                                                                                         46
double
             data[M][N];
                                                                                         47
```

MPI\_Win\_allocate(NSTEPS\*N\*sizeof(double), sizeof(double), MPI\_INFO\_NULL,

 $13 \\ 14$ 

15

16

17

18

19

20

35 36

37

38

39

40

```
1
       MPI_COMM_WORLD, baseptr, &win);
\mathbf{2}
3
     MPI_Win_lock_all(0, win);
4
\mathbf{5}
     for (i = 0; i < NSTEPS; i++) {</pre>
6
      if (i<M)
7
         j=i;
8
      else
9
         MPI_Waitany(M, put_req, &j, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
10
11
      MPI_Rget(data[j], N, MPI_DOUBLE, target, i*N, N, MPI_DOUBLE, win,
12
                 &get_req);
13
      MPI_Wait(&get_req,MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
14
      compute(i, data[j], ...);
15
      MPI_Rput(data[j], N, MPI_DOUBLE, target, i*N, N, MPI_DOUBLE, win,
16
                 &put_req[j]);
17
     }
18
19
     MPI_Waitall(M, put_req, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
20
     MPI_Win_unlock_all(win);
21
22
     Example 11.22 The following example constructs a distributed shared linked list using
23
     dynamic windows. Initially process 0 creates the head of the list, attaches it to the window,
24
     and broadcasts the pointer to all processes. All processes then concurrently append N new
25
     elements to the list. When a process attempts to attach its element to the tail of the
26
     list it may discover that its tail pointer is stale and it must chase ahead to the new tail
27
     before the element can be attached. This example requires some modification to work in
28
     an environment where the length of a pointer is different on different processes.
29
30
     . . .
^{31}
     #define NUM_ELEMS 10
32
33
     /* Linked list pointer */
34
     typedef struct {
35
       MPI_Aint disp;
36
        int
                  rank;
37
     } llist_ptr_t;
38
39
     /* Linked list element */
40
     typedef struct {
41
       llist_ptr_t next;
42
        int value;
43
     } llist_elem_t;
44
45
     const llist_ptr_t nil = { -1, (MPI_Aint) MPI_BOTTOM };
46
47
     /* List of locally allocated list elements. */
48
```

```
1
static llist_elem_t **my_elems = NULL;
                                                                                    \mathbf{2}
static int my_elems_size = 0;
                                                                                    3
static int my_elems_count = 0;
                                                                                    4
/* Allocate a new shared linked list element */
                                                                                    5
                                                                                    6
MPI_Aint alloc_elem(int value, MPI_Win win) {
                                                                                    7
  MPI_Aint disp;
                                                                                    8
  llist_elem_t *elem_ptr;
                                                                                    9
                                                                                   10
  /* Allocate the new element and register it with the window */
                                                                                   11
  MPI_Alloc_mem(sizeof(llist_elem_t), MPI_INFO_NULL, &elem_ptr);
  elem_ptr->value = value;
                                                                                   12
                                                                                   13
  elem_ptr->next = nil;
  MPI_Win_attach(win, elem_ptr, sizeof(llist_elem_t));
                                                                                   14
                                                                                   15
                                                                                   16
  /* Add the element to the list of local elements so we can free
                                                                                   17
     it later. */
                                                                                   18
  if (my_elems_size == my_elems_count) {
                                                                                   19
    my_elems_size += 100;
    my_elems = realloc(my_elems, my_elems_size*sizeof(void*));
                                                                                   20
                                                                                   21
  }
  my_elems[my_elems_count] = elem_ptr;
                                                                                   22
                                                                                   23
  my_elems_count++;
                                                                                   24
                                                                                   25
  MPI_Get_address(elem_ptr, &disp);
                                                                                    26
  return disp;
}
                                                                                   27
                                                                                   28
                                                                                   29
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
                                                                                   30
 int
               procid, nproc, i;
                                                                                   31
  MPI_Win
                llist_win;
                                                                                   32
  llist_ptr_t head_ptr, tail_ptr;
                                                                                   33
                                                                                   34
  MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
                                                                                   35
  MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &procid);
                                                                                   36
  MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nproc);
                                                                                   37
                                                                                   38
                                                                                   39
  MPI_Win_create_dynamic(MPI_INFO_NULL, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &llist_win);
                                                                                    40
                                                                                   41
  /* Process 0 creates the head node */
                                                                                   42
  if (procid == 0)
    head_ptr.disp = alloc_elem(-1, llist_win);
                                                                                   43
                                                                                   44
  /* Broadcast the head pointer to everyone */
                                                                                   45
                                                                                   46
  head_ptr.rank = 0;
                                                                                    47
  MPI_Bcast(&head_ptr.disp, 1, MPI_AINT, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
                                                                                    48
  tail_ptr = head_ptr;
```

```
1
2
       /* Lock the window for shared access to all targets */
3
       MPI_Win_lock_all(0, llist_win);
4
5
       /* All processes concurrently append NUM_ELEMS elements to the list */
6
       for (i = 0; i < NUM_ELEMS; i++) {</pre>
7
         llist_ptr_t new_elem_ptr;
8
         int success;
9
10
         /* Create a new list element and attach it to the window */
11
         new_elem_ptr.rank = procid;
12
         new_elem_ptr.disp = alloc_elem(procid, llist_win);
13
14
         /* Append the new node to the list. This might take multiple
15
            attempts if others have already appended and our tail pointer
            is stale. */
16
17
         do {
18
           llist_ptr_t next_tail_ptr = nil;
19
20
           MPI_Compare_and_swap((void*) &new_elem_ptr.rank, (void*) &nil.rank,
21
                (void*)&next_tail_ptr.rank, MPI_INT, tail_ptr.rank,
22
                (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.rank),
23
               llist_win);
24
25
           MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
26
           success = (next_tail_ptr.rank == nil.rank);
27
28
           if (success) {
29
             MPI_Accumulate(&new_elem_ptr.disp, 1, MPI_AINT, tail_ptr.rank,
30
                  (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.disp), 1,
31
                 MPI_AINT, MPI_REPLACE, llist_win);
32
33
             MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
34
             tail_ptr = new_elem_ptr;
35
36
           } else {
37
             /* Tail pointer is stale, fetch the displacement. May take
38
                multiple tries if it is being updated. */
39
             do {
40
               MPI_Get_accumulate( NULL, 0, MPI_AINT, &next_tail_ptr.disp,
41
                    1, MPI_AINT, tail_ptr.rank,
42
                    (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.disp),
43
                    1, MPI_AINT, MPI_NO_OP, llist_win);
44
45
               MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
46
             } while (next_tail_ptr.disp == nil.disp);
47
             tail_ptr = next_tail_ptr;
48
           }
```

```
} while (!success);
                                                                                        1
 }
                                                                                        \mathbf{2}
                                                                                        3
                                                                                        4
 MPI_Win_unlock_all(llist_win);
 MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
                                                                                        5
                                                                                        6
                                                                                        7
 /* Free all the elements in the list */
 for ( ; my_elems_count > 0; my_elems_count--) {
                                                                                         8
    MPI_Win_detach(llist_win,my_elems[my_elems_count-1]);
                                                                                        9
   MPI_Free_mem(my_elems[my_elems_count-1]);
                                                                                        11
 }
                                                                                        12
 MPI_Win_free(&llist_win);
                                                                                        13
• • •
                                                                                        14
                                                                                        15
                                                                                        16
                                                                                        17
                                                                                        18
                                                                                        19
                                                                                        20
                                                                                        21
                                                                                        22
                                                                                        23
                                                                                        24
                                                                                        25
                                                                                        26
                                                                                        27
                                                                                        28
                                                                                        29
                                                                                        30
```