## MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard Version 3.1

Message Passing Interface Forum

September 21, 2012

1	This document describes the Message-Passing Interface (MPI) standard, version 3.0.
2	The MPI standard includes point-to-point message-passing, collective communications, group
3	and communicator concepts, process topologies, environmental management, process cre-
4	ation and management, one-sided communications, extended collective operations, external
5	interfaces, I/O, some miscellaneous topics, and a profiling interface. Language bindings for
6	C and Fortran are defined.
7	Historically, the evolution of the standards is from MPI-1.0 (June 1994) to MPI-1.1
8	(June 12, 1995) to MPI-1.2 (July 18, 1997), with several clarifications and additions and
9	published as part of the MPI-2 document, to MPI-2.0 (July 18, 1997), with new functionality,
10	to MPI-1.3 (May 30, 2008), combining for historical reasons the documents 1.1 and 1.2
11	and some errata documents to one combined document, and to MPI-2.1 (June 23, 2008),
12	combining the previous documents. Version MPI-2.2 (September 2009) added additional
13	clarifications and seven new routines. This version, MPI-3.0, is an extension of MPI-2.2.
14	
15	Comments. Please send comments on MPI to the MPI Forum as follows:
16	comments. I lease send comments on with to the with Forum as follows.
17	1. Subscribe to http://lists.mpi-forum.org/mailman/listinfo.cgi/mpi-comments
18	
19	2. Send your comment to: mpi-comments@mpi-forum.org, together with the URL of
20	the version of the MPI standard and the page and line numbers on which you are
21	commenting. Only use the official versions.
22	Your comment will be forwarded to MPI Forum committee members for consideration.
23	Messages sent from an unsubscribed e-mail address will not be considered.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	©1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 2008, 2009, 2012 University of Tennessee, Knoxville,
46	Tennessee. Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted, provided
47	the University of Tennessee copyright notice and the title of this document appear, and
48	notice is given that copying is by permission of the University of Tennessee.

ii

Version 3.0: September 21, 2012. Coincident with the development of MPI-2.2, the MPI Forum began discussions of a major extension to MPI. This document contains the MPI-3 Standard. This draft version of the MPI-3 standard contains significant extensions to MPI functionality, including nonblocking collectives, new one-sided communication operations, and Fortran 2008 bindings. Unlike MPI-2.2, this standard is considered a major update to the MPI standard. As with previous versions, new features have been adopted only when there were compelling needs for the users. Some features, however, may have more than a minor impact on existing MPI implementations.

Version 2.2: September 4, 2009. This document contains mostly corrections and clarifications to the MPI-2.1 document. A few extensions have been added; however all correct MPI-2.1 programs are correct MPI-2.2 programs. New features were adopted only when there were compelling needs for users, open source implementations, and minor impact on existing MPI implementations.

Version 2.1: June 23, 2008. This document combines the previous documents MPI-1.3 (May 30, 2008) and MPI-2.0 (July 18, 1997). Certain parts of MPI-2.0, such as some sections of Chapter 4, Miscellany, and Chapter 7, Extended Collective Operations, have been merged into the Chapters of MPI-1.3. Additional errata and clarifications collected by the MPI Forum are also included in this document.

Version 1.3: May 30, 2008. This document combines the previous documents MPI-1.1 (June 12, 1995) and the MPI-1.2 Chapter in MPI-2 ¡(July 18, 1997). Additional errata collected by the MPI Forum referring to MPI-1.1 and MPI-1.2 are also included in this document.

Version 2.0: July 18, 1997. Beginning after the release of MPI-1.1, the MPI Forum began meeting to consider corrections and extensions. MPI-2 has been focused on process creation and management, one-sided communications, extended collective communications, external interfaces and parallel I/O. A miscellany chapter discusses items that do not fit elsewhere, in particular language interoperability.

Version 1.2: July 18, 1997. The MPI-2 Forum introduced MPI-1.2 as Chapter 3 in the standard "MPI-2: Extensions to the Message-Passing Interface", July 18, 1997. This section contains clarifications and minor corrections to Version 1.1 of the MPI Standard. The only new function in MPI-1.2 is one for identifying to which version of the MPI Standard the implementation conforms. There are small differences between MPI-1 and MPI-1.1. There are very few differences between MPI-1.1 and MPI-1.2, but large differences between MPI-1.2 and MPI-2.

Version 1.1: June, 1995. Beginning in March, 1995, the Message-Passing Interface Forum reconvened to correct errors and make clarifications in the MPI document of May 5, 1994, referred to below as Version 1.0. These discussions resulted in Version 1.1. The changes from Version 1.0 are minor. A version of this document with all changes marked is available.

Version 1.0: May, 1994. The Message-Passing Interface Forum (MPIF), with participation from over 40 organizations, has been meeting since January 1993 to discuss and define a set

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

of library interface standards for message passing. MPIF is not sanctioned or supported by
 any official standards organization.

The goal of the Message-Passing Interface, simply stated, is to develop a widely used
 standard for writing message-passing programs. As such the interface should establish a
 practical, portable, efficient, and flexible standard for message-passing.

<sup>6</sup> This is the final report, Version 1.0, of the Message-Passing Interface Forum. This <sup>7</sup> document contains all the technical features proposed for the interface. This copy of the <sup>8</sup> draft was processed by LATEX on May 5, 1994.

# Contents

A	cknov	wledgments	ix
1	Intr	oduction to MPI	1
	1.1	Overview and Goals	1
	1.2	Background of MPI-1.0	2
	1.3	Background of MPI-1.1, MPI-1.2, and MPI-2.0	2
	1.4	Background of MPI-1.3 and MPI-2.1	3
	1.5	Background of MPI-2.2	4
	1.6	Background of MPI-3.0	4
	1.7	Who Should Use This Standard?	4
	1.8	What Platforms Are Targets For Implementation?	5
	1.9	What Is Included In The Standard?	5
	1.10	What Is Not Included In The Standard?	6
		Organization of this Document	6
2	MPI	Terms and Conventions	9
	2.1	Document Notation	9
	2.2	Naming Conventions	9
	2.3	Procedure Specification	10
	2.4	Semantic Terms	11
	2.5	Data Types	12
		2.5.1 Opaque Objects	12
		2.5.2 Array Arguments	14
		2.5.3 State	14
		2.5.4 Named Constants	15
		2.5.5 Choice	16
		2.5.6 Addresses	16
		2.5.7 File Offsets	16
		2.5.8 Counts	16
	2.6	Language Binding	17
		2.6.1 Deprecated and Removed Names and Functions	17
		2.6.2 Fortran Binding Issues	18
		2.6.3 C Binding Issues	19
		2.6.4 Functions and Macros	19
	2.7	Processes	20
	2.8	Error Handling	20
	2.9	Implementation Issues	21

		2.9.1	Independence of Basic Runtime Routines .											21
		2.9.2	Interaction with Signals											22
	2.10	Examp	$\hat{\mathrm{ples}}$											22
3	Poir	nt-to-P	Point Communication											23
Ŭ	3.1		$\mathbf{uction}$											$\frac{-3}{23}$
	3.2		ng Send and Receive Operations											$\frac{20}{24}$
	0.2	3.2.1	Blocking Send											24
		3.2.2	Message Data											25
		3.2.3	Message Envelope											27
		3.2.4	Blocking Receive											$\frac{21}{28}$
		3.2.5	Return Status											$\frac{20}{30}$
		3.2.6	Passing MPI_STATUS_IGNORE for Status											$30 \\ 32$
	3.3		Type Matching and Data Conversion											33
	0.0	3.3.1	Type Matching Rules											33
		0.0.1	Type MPI_CHARACTER											$\frac{33}{34}$
		3.3.2	Data Conversion											$\frac{54}{35}$
	9.4													$\frac{35}{37}$
	3.4		unication Modes											
	3.5		tics of Point-to-Point Communication											40
	3.6		Allocation and Usage											44
	~ -	3.6.1	Model Implementation of Buffered Mode											46
	3.7		ocking Communication											47
		3.7.1	Communication Request Objects											48
		3.7.2	Communication Initiation											48
		3.7.3	Communication Completion											52
		3.7.4	Semantics of Nonblocking Communications											56
		3.7.5	Multiple Completions											57
		3.7.6	Non-destructive Test of status											63
	3.8	Probe	and Cancel	•		•				•				64
		3.8.1	Probe							•				64
		3.8.2	Matching Probe			•								67
		3.8.3	Matched Receives											69
		3.8.4	Cancel											71
	3.9	Persist	cent Communication Requests											73
		Send-F												78
	3.11	Null P	rocesses	•		•							•	80
4	Dat	atypes												83
1	4.1		d Datatypes											83
	1.1	4.1.1	Type Constructors with Explicit Addresses											85
		4.1.2	Datatype Constructors											85
		4.1.2	Subarray Datatype Constructor											94
		4.1.3	Distributed Array Datatype Constructor											94 96
		4.1.4	Address and Size Functions											90 101
		4.1.6	Lower-Bound and Upper-Bound Markers .											103
		4.1.7	Extent and Bounds of Datatypes											105
		4.1.8 4 1 9	True Extent of Datatypes	•	•••	·	•••	• •	• •	•	•••	·	•	107 108
		419	LOMMIT AND FREE											

		4.1.10	Duplicating a Datatype		. 110
		4.1.11	Use of General Datatypes in Communication		. 110
		4.1.12	Correct Use of Addresses		. 114
		4.1.13	Decoding a Datatype		. 115
		4.1.14	Examples		. 121
	4.2		and Unpack		
	4.3		nical MPI_PACK and MPI_UNPACK		
5	Coll	lective	Communication		141
	5.1		luction and Overview		. 141
	5.2	Comm	nunicator Argument		. 144
		5.2.1	Specifics for Intracommunicator Collective Operations		. 144
		5.2.2	Applying Collective Operations to Intercommunicators		
		5.2.3	Specifics for Intercommunicator Collective Operations		
	5.3	Barrie	er Synchronization		
	5.4		cast		
		5.4.1	Example using MPI_BCAST		
	5.5	Gather	$\mathbf{r}$		
		5.5.1	Examples using MPI_GATHER, MPI_GATHERV		
	5.6	Scatte	$\mathbf{r}$		
		5.6.1	Examples using MPI_SCATTER, MPI_SCATTERV		
	5.7		er-to-all		
		5.7.1	Example using MPI_ALLGATHER		
	5.8		-All Scatter/Gather		
	5.9		l Reduction Operations		
		5.9.1	Reduce		
		5.9.2	Predefined Reduction Operations		
		5.9.3	Signed Characters and Reductions		
		5.9.4	MINLOC and MAXLOC		
		5.9.5	User-Defined Reduction Operations		
		0.0.0	Example of User-defined Reduce		
		5.9.6	All-Reduce		
		5.9.7	Process-Local Reduction		
	5 10		ce-Scatter		
	0.10		MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK		
			MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER		
	5 11				
	0.11		Inclusive Scan		
			Exclusive Scan		
			Example using MPI_SCAN		
	5.12		ocking Collective Operations		
	0.12		Nonblocking Barrier Synchronization		
			Nonblocking Broadcast		
		5.12.2	Example using MPI_IBCAST		
		5 12 3	Nonblocking Gather		
			Nonblocking Scatter		
			Nonblocking Gather-to-all		
			Nonblocking All-to-All Scatter/Gather		
		0.14.0		•••	. 400

		5.12.7	Nonblocking Reduce	209
		5.12.8	Nonblocking All-Reduce	210
		5.12.9	Nonblocking Reduce-Scatter with Equal Blocks	211
		5.12.10	Nonblocking Reduce-Scatter	212
		5.12.11	Nonblocking Inclusive Scan	213
				214
	5.13		tness	214
6			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	223
	6.1			223
		6.1.1		223
		6.1.2	11	224
	6.2		*	226
		6.2.1	1	226
		6.2.2	Contexts	226
		6.2.3		227
		6.2.4	Predefined Intra-Communicators	227
	6.3	Group	0	228
		6.3.1	Group Accessors	228
		6.3.2	*	230
		6.3.3	Group Destructors	235
	6.4	Comm	unicator Management	235
		6.4.1	Communicator Accessors	235
		6.4.2		237
		6.4.3		248
		6.4.4	Communicator Info	248
	6.5	Motiva	ating Examples	250
		6.5.1	Current Practice #1	250
		6.5.2	Current Practice $#2$	251
		6.5.3	(Approximate) Current Practice $#3$	251
		6.5.4		252
		6.5.5		253
		6.5.6	5 I 11	255
	6.6	Inter-C	Communication	257
		6.6.1	Inter-communicator Accessors	259
		6.6.2	Inter-communicator Operations	260
		6.6.3	Inter-Communication Examples	263
			Example 1: Three-Group "Pipeline"	263
			Example 2: Three-Group "Ring"	264
	6.7	Cachin	ng	265
		6.7.1	Functionality	266
		6.7.2	Communicators	267
		6.7.3	Windows	272
		6.7.4	Datatypes	275
		6.7.5	Error Class for Invalid Keyval	279
		6.7.6	Attributes Example	279
	6.8	Namin	g Objects	281
	6.9	Forma	lizing the Loosely Synchronous Model	285

		6.9.1	Basic Statements					285
		6.9.2	Models of Execution					286
			Static Communicator Allocation					286
			Dynamic Communicator Allocation					286
			The General Case					287
7	Pro	cess T	opologies					289
	7.1		uction $\ldots$					289
	7.2		l Topologies					290
	7.3		lding in MPI					290
	7.4		iew of the Functions					290
	7.5		by Constructors					292
	1.0	7.5.1	Cartesian Constructor					292
		7.5.2	Cartesian Convenience Function: MPI_DIMS_CREATE					292
		7.5.3	Graph Constructor					293 294
		7.5.4	Distributed Graph Constructor					294 296
		7.5.5	Topology Inquiry Functions					302
		7.5.6	Cartesian Shift Coordinates					$302 \\ 310$
		7.5.7						$310 \\ 311$
			Partitioning of Cartesian Structures					
	76	7.5.8	Low-Level Topology Functions					312
	7.6		Dorhood Collective Communication					314
		7.6.1	Neighborhood Gather					315
		7.6.2	Neighbor Alltoall					318
	7.7		ocking Neighborhood Communication					323
		7.7.1	Nonblocking Neighborhood Gather					324
	-	7.7.2	Nonblocking Neighborhood Alltoall					326
	7.8	An Ap	oplication Example	•••	• •	•••	 •	329
8			onmental Management					333
	8.1	-	nentation Information					333
		8.1.1	Version Inquiries					333
		8.1.2	Environmental Inquiries					334
			Tag Values	•••			 •	335
			Host Rank					335
			IO Rank					335
			Clock Synchronization				 •	336
			Inquire Processor Name					336
	8.2	Memo	ry Allocation					337
	8.3	Error	Handling					340
		8.3.1	Error Handlers for Communicators					341
		8.3.2	Error Handlers for Windows					343
		8.3.3	Error Handlers for Files					345
		8.3.4	Freeing Errorhandlers and Retrieving Error Strings .					346
	8.4	Error	Codes and Classes					347
	8.5	Error	Classes, Error Codes, and Error Handlers					350
	8.6		s and Synchronization					354
	8.7	Startu						355
		8.7.1	Allowing User Functions at Process Termination					361

	8.8		0	$361 \\ 362$
9		Info C		365
10			0	371
				371
	10.2			372
			0	372
	10.0			372
	10.3		9	374
				374
			0	374
				379
				382
		10.3.5		383
	10.4	<b>D</b> ( 11	· · · · · ·	383
	10.4		0	385
				385
				386
				388
			0	390
				392
		10.4.6		392
				392
			, · · ·	393
	10 5			393
	10.5			395
				395
		10.5.2	8 -	396
				396
			9	397
		10.5.5	Another Way to Establish MPI Communication	399
11				401
				401
	11.2	Initiali		402
				403
			5	405
			5	407
				410
				413
				414
				415
	11.3			417
		11.3.1		418
		11.3.2		420
		11.3.3	Examples for Communication Calls	421

	11.3.4 Accumulate Functions
	Accumulate Function
	Get Accumulate Function
	Fetch and Op Function
	Compare and Swap Function
	11.3.5 Request-based RMA Communication Operations
11.4	Memory Model
11.5	Synchronization Calls
	11.5.1 Fence
	11.5.2 General Active Target Synchronization
	11.5.3 Lock
	11.5.4 Flush and Sync
	11.5.5 Assertions
	11.5.6 Miscellaneous Clarifications
11.6	Error Handling
	11.6.1 Error Handlers
	11.6.2 Error Classes
11.7	Semantics and Correctness
	11.7.1 Atomicity
	11.7.2 Ordering
	11.7.3 Progress
	11.7.4 Registers and Compiler Optimizations
11.8	Examples
12 Exte	ernal Interfaces 473
12.1	Introduction
12.2	Generalized Requests
	12.2.1 Examples
12.3	Associating Information with Status
12.4	MPI and Threads
	12.4.1 General
	12.4.2 Clarifications
	12.4.3 Initialization
13 I/O	489
13.1	Introduction
	13.1.1 Definitions
13.2	File Manipulation    491
	13.2.1 Opening a File
	13.2.2 Closing a File
	13.2.3 Deleting a File
	13.2.4 Resizing a File
	13.2.5 Preallocating Space for a File
	13.2.6 Querying the Size of a File $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 496$
	13.2.7 Querying File Parameters
	13.2.8 File Info
	Reserved File Hints
13.3	File Views

13.4	Data A	Access	 				 •	 504
	13.4.1	Data Access Routines	 					 504
		Positioning	 					 505
13.5			 					 505
		Synchronism	 					 506
		Coordination	 					 506
		Data Access Conventions	 					 506
	13.5.1	Data Access with Explicit Offsets	 					 507
		Data Access with Individual File Pointers .						
		Data Access with Shared File Pointers						
		Noncollective Operations						
		Collective Operations						
		Seek						
	1354	Split Collective Data Access Routines						
13.6		teroperability						
13.7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
10.1		Datatypes for File Interoperability						
		External Data Representation: "external32"						
		User-Defined Data Representations						
	10.7.0	Extent Callback						
	1974	Datarep Conversion Functions						
19.0		Matching Data Representations						
13.8		stency and Semantics						
		File Consistency						
		Random Access vs. Sequential Files						
		Progress						
		Collective File Operations						
		Type Matching						
		Miscellaneous Clarifications						
		MPI_Offset Type						
		Logical vs. Physical File Layout						
		File Size						
	13.8.10	Examples						
		Asynchronous I/O						
		rror Handling						
13.10	0I/O E	rror Classes	 	• •	• •	•	 •	 550
13.1		$\overline{ples}$						
	13.11.1	I Double Buffering with Split Collective I/O	 					 550
	13.11.2	2 Subarray Filetype Constructor	 					 553
13.12	2		 					 554
	l Supp							555
		uction						
14.2		ng Interface						
		Requirements						
		Discussion						
		Logic of the Design						
	14.2.4	Miscellaneous Control of Profiling	 		• •	•	 •	 557

	14.2.5	Profiler Implementation Example	558
	14.2.6	MPI Library Implementation Example	558
		Systems with Weak Symbols	558
		Systems Without Weak Symbols	559
	14.2.7	Complications	559
		Multiple Counting	559
		Linker Oddities	560
		Fortran Support Methods	560
	14.2.8	Multiple Levels of Interception	560
14.3		IPI Tool Information Interface	561
		Verbosity Levels	562
		Binding MPI Tool Information Interface Variables to MPI Objects .	562
		Convention for Returning Strings	563
		Initialization and Finalization	564
		Datatype System	565
		Control Variables	567
		Control Variable Query Functions	567
		Example: Printing All Control Variables	570
		Handle Allocation and Deallocation	571
		Control Variable Access Functions	572
		Example: Reading the Value of a Control Variable	573
	14.3.7	Performance Variables	574
		Performance Variable Classes	574
		Performance Variable Query Functions	576
		Performance Experiment Sessions	579
		Handle Allocation and Deallocation	579
		Starting and Stopping of Performance Variables	581
		Performance Variable Access Functions	582
		Example: Tool to Detect Receives with Long Unexpected Message	
		Queues	584
	14.3.8	Variable Categorization	586
		Return Codes for the MPI Tool Information Interface	590
		OProfiling Interface	590
15 Dep	recate	d Functions	<b>593</b>
15.1	Depree	cated since MPI-2.0	593
15.2	Depree	cated since MPI-2.2	596
16 Ren	noved	Interfaces	<b>597</b>
16.1		ved MPI-1 Bindings	597
		Overview	597
	16.1.2	Removed MPI-1 Functions	597
		Removed MPI-1 Datatypes	597
	16.1.4	Removed MPI-1 Constants	597
	16.1.5	Removed MPI-1 Callback Prototypes	598
16.2	C++ ]	Bindings	598

17 Language Bindings	<b>599</b>
17.1 Fortran Support	. 599
17.1.1 Overview	. 599
17.1.2 Fortran Support Through the mpi_f08 Module	. 600
17.1.3 Fortran Support Through the mpi Module	
17.1.4 Fortran Support Through the mpif.h Include File	
17.1.5 Interface Specifications, Procedure Names, and the Profiling Interface	
17.1.6 MPI for Different Fortran Standard Versions	
17.1.7 Requirements on Fortran Compilers	
17.1.8 Additional Support for Fortran Register-Memory-Synchronization	
17.1.9 Additional Support for Fortran Numeric Intrinsic Types	
Parameterized Datatypes with Specified Precision and Exponent Ran	
Support for Size-specific MPI Datatypes	-
Communication With Size-specific Types	
17.1.10 Problems With Fortran Bindings for MPI	
17.1.11 Problems Due to Strong Typing	
17.1.12 Problems Due to Data Copying and Sequence Association with Sub	
script Triplets	
17.1.13 Problems Due to Data Copying and Sequence Association with Vector	
Subscripts	
17.1.14 Special Constants	
17.1.15 Fortran Derived Types	
17.1.16 Optimization Problems, an Overview	
17.1.17 Problems with Code Movement and Register Optimization	
Nonblocking Operations	
One-sided Communication	
MPI_BOTTOM and Combining Independent Variables in Datatype	
Solutions	
The Fortran ASYNCHRONOUS Attribute	
Calling MPI_F_SYNC_REG	
A User Defined Routine Instead of MPI_F_SYNC_REG	
Module Variables and COMMON Blocks	
The (Poorly Performing) Fortran VOLATILE Attribute	
The Fortran TARGET Attribute	
17.1.18 Temporary Data Movement and Temporary Memory Modification	
17.1.19 Permanent Data Movement	
17.1.20 Comparison with C	
17.2 Language Interoperability	
17.2.1 Introduction	
17.2.2 Assumptions	
17.2.3 Initialization	
17.2.4 Transfer of Handles	
17.2.5 Status	
17.2.6 MPI Opaque Objects	
Datatypes	
Callback Functions	
Error Handlers	
Reduce Operations	. 000

		17.2.7 Attributes	655					
		17.2.8 Extra-State	659					
		17.2.9 Constants	659					
		17.2.10 Interlanguage Communication	660					
Α	Lan	guage Bindings Summary	663					
	A.1	Defined Values and Handles	663					
		A.1.1 Defined Constants	663					
		A.1.2 Types	676					
		A.1.3 Prototype Definitions	678					
		C Bindings	678					
		Fortran 2008 Bindings with the mpi_f08 Module	678					
		Fortran Bindings with mpif.h or the mpi Module	681					
		A.1.4 Deprecated Prototype Definitions	683					
		A.1.5 Info Keys	684					
		A.1.6 Info Values	684					
	A.2	C Bindings	686					
	A.3	Fortran 2008 Bindings with the mpi_f08 Module	687					
	A.4	Fortran Bindings with mpif.h or the mpi Module	688					
В	Cha	ange-Log	689					
	B.1	Changes from Version 2.2 to Version 3.0	689					
		B.1.1 Fixes to Errata in Previous Versions of MPI	689					
		B.1.2 Changes in MPI-3.0	690					
	B.2	Changes from Version 2.1 to Version 2.2	695					
	B.3	Changes from Version 2.0 to Version 2.1	697					
B	ibliog	graphy	703					
E	xamp	bles Index	708					
Μ	PI C	Constant and Predefined Handle Index	711					
Μ	PI D	Declarations Index	716					
Μ	PI C	Callback Function Prototype Index	717					
Μ	MPI Function Index							

# List of Figures

5.1	Collective communications, an overview	43
5.2	Intercommunicator allgather	46
5.3	Intercommunicator reduce-scatter	47
5.4	Gather example	53
5.5	Gatherv example with strides	54
5.6	Gatherv example, 2-dimensional	55
5.7	Gatherv example, 2-dimensional, subarrays with different sizes 1	56
5.8	Gatherv example, 2-dimensional, subarrays with different sizes and strides . 15	58
5.9	Scatter example	63
5.10	Scatterv example with strides	63
5.11	Scatterv example with different strides and counts 16	64
5.12	Race conditions with point-to-point and collective communications 21	17
5.13	Overlapping Communicators Example 22	21
6.1	Intercommunicator creation using MPI_COMM_CREATE	42
6.2		46
6.3		63
6.4	· · · ·	64
		10
7.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16
7.2		30
7.3	Communication routine with local data copying and sparse neighborhood	0.1
-		31
7.4	Communication routine with sparse neighborhood all-to-all-w and without	ഹ
	local data copying	32
11.1	Schematic description of the public/private window operations in the	
		36
11.2	Active target communication	38
11.3	Active target communication, with weak synchronization	39
11.4	Passive target communication	40
11.5	Active target communication with several processes	44
11.6	Symmetric communication	62
		62
		63
13.1	Etypes and filetypes	90
1.1.4		90
	Partitioning a file among parallel processes	90 03

13.4	Example array file layout	553
13.5	Example local array filetype for process 1	554
17.1	Status conversion routines	651

# List of Tables

<sup>12</sup> 3.1 Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to Fortran datatypes	
5 I Predenned WPI datatypes corresponding to Fortran datatypes	. 25
3.2 Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C datatypes	
3.3 Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to both C and Fortran datatypes	
<ul> <li><sup>15</sup> 3.4 Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to both C and Fortian datatypes</li> <li>3.4 Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C++ datatypes</li></ul>	
4.1 combiner values returned from MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE	. 116
<sup>19</sup> 6.1 MPI_COMM_* Function Behavior (in Inter-Communication Mode)	. 259
8.1 Error classes (Part 1)	. 348
22         8.2 Error classes (Part 2)	
<sup>23</sup> <sup>24</sup> 11.1 C types of attribute value argument to MPI_WIN_GET_ATTR and	41.4
25 MPI_WIN_SET_ATTR	
<ul> <li><sup>27</sup></li> <li>13.1 Data access routines</li> <li><sup>28</sup></li> <li><sup>29</sup></li> <li>13.2 "external32" sizes of predefined datatypes</li> <li><sup>29</sup></li> <li>13.3 I/O Error Classes</li> </ul>	. 535
<sup>30</sup> 14.1 MPI tool information interface verbosity levels	. 562
<sup>32</sup> 14.2 Constants to identify associations of variables	
<sup>33</sup> 14.3 MPI datatypes that can be used by the MPI tool information interface	
<sup>34</sup> 14.4 Scopes for control variables	
<sup>35</sup> 14.5 Return codes used in functions of the MPI tool information interface	
36	
<sup>37</sup> 16.1 Removed MPI-1 functions and their replacements	. 597
<sup>38</sup> 16.2 Removed MPI-1 datatypes and their replacements	. 598
<sup>39</sup> 16.3 Removed MPI-1 constants	. 598
40 16.4 Removed MPI-1 callback prototypes and their replacements	. 598
<ul> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>17.1 Specific Fortran procedure names and related calling conventions.</li> <li>MPI_ISEND is used as an example. For routines without choice buffers, only</li> </ul>	
1A and 2A apply	
17.2 Occurrence of Fortran optimization problems $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	. 633
46	
47	

xviii

# Acknowledgments

This document is the product of a number of distinct efforts in three distinct phases: one for each of MPI-1, MPI-2, and MPI-3. This section describes these in historical order, starting with MPI-1. Some efforts, particularly parts of MPI-2, had distinct groups of individuals associated with them, and these efforts are detailed separately.

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

40

This document represents the work of many people who have served on the MPI Forum. The meetings have been attended by dozens of people from many parts of the world. It is the hard and dedicated work of this group that has led to the MPI standard.

The technical development was carried out by subgroups, whose work was reviewed by the full committee. During the period of development of the Message-Passing Interface (MPI), many people helped with this effort.

Those who served as primary coordinators in MPI-1.0 and MPI-1.1 are:

18 19• Jack Dongarra, David Walker, Conveners and Meeting Chairs 2021• Ewing Lusk, Bob Knighten, Minutes 22 • Marc Snir, William Gropp, Ewing Lusk, Point-to-Point Communication 23 $^{24}$ • Al Geist, Marc Snir, Steve Otto, Collective Communication 2526• Steve Otto, Editor 27• Rolf Hempel, Process Topologies 28 29 • Ewing Lusk, Language Binding 30 31• William Gropp, Environmental Management 32 • James Cownie, Profiling 33 34 • Tony Skjellum, Lyndon Clarke, Marc Snir, Richard Littlefield, Mark Sears, Groups, 35Contexts, and Communicators 36 37 • Steven Huss-Lederman, Initial Implementation Subset 38 39

The following list includes some of the active participants in the MPI-1.0 and MPI-1.1 process not mentioned above.

1	Ed Anderson	Robert Babb	Joe Baron	Eric Barszcz		
2	Scott Berryman	Rob Bjornson	Nathan Doss	Anne Elster		
3	Jim Feeney	Vince Fernando	Sam Fineberg	Jon Flower		
4	Daniel Frye	Ian Glendinning	Adam Greenberg	Robert Harrison		
5	Leslie Hart	Tom Haupt	Don Heller	Tom Henderson		
6	Alex Ho	C.T. Howard Ho	Gary Howell	John Kapenga		
7	James Kohl	Susan Krauss	Bob Leary	Arthur Maccabe		
8	Peter Madams	Alan Mainwaring	Oliver McBryan	Phil McKinley		
9	Charles Mosher	Dan Nessett	Peter Pacheco	Howard Palmer		
10	Paul Pierce	Sanjay Ranka	Peter Rigsbee	Arch Robison		
11	Erich Schikuta	Ambuj Singh	Alan Sussman	Robert Tomlinson		
12	Robert G. Voigt	Dennis Weeks	Stephen Wheat	Steve Zenith		
13	The University	y of Tennessee and (	Oak Ridge National	Laboratory made the draft avail-		
14				tal in distributing the document.		
15	The work on t	he MPI-1 standard w	vas supported in par	t by ARPA and NSF under grant		
16	ASC-9310330, the	National Science Fou	undation Science and	d Technology Center Cooperative		
17	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the Commission of th	ne European Community through		
18	Esprit project P66	43 (PPPE).				
19						
20	MPI-1.2 and MPI-	-2.0:				
21	These whe served	aa mimamu aaandina	tong in MDI 1.0 and	MDI 2.0 and		
22	Those who served	as primary coordina	uois in MFI-1.2 and	WFI-2.0 are:		
23	• Ewing Lusk, Convener and Meeting Chair					
24						
25 26	• Steve Huss-L	ederman, Editor				
27	• Ewing Lusk,	Miscellany				
28 29	• Bill Saphir, I	Process Creation and	d Management			
30	• Marc Snir, C	ne-Sided Communic	cations			
31 32	• Bill Gropp a:	nd Anthony Skjellur	n, Extended Collect	ive Operations		
33 34	• Steve Huss-Lederman, External Interfaces					
35	• Bill Nitzberg, I/O					
36 37	• Andrew Lum	nsdaine, Bill Saphir,	and Jeff Squyres, L	anguage Bindings		
38	• Anthony Skj	ellum and Arkady K	anevsky, Real-Time	9		
39						
40	0			ants who attended MPI-2 Forum		
41	meetings and are r	not mentioned above	2.			
42						
43						
44						
45 46						
46 47						
47						
48						

Greg Astfalk	Robert Babb	Ed Benson	Rajesh Bordawekar	1
Pete Bradley	Peter Brennan	Ron Brightwell	Maciej Brodowicz	2 3
Eric Brunner Ving Chan	Greg Burns	Margaret Cahir	Pang Chen Lool Clark	
Ying Chen	Albert Cheng Laurie Costello	Yong Cho Dennis Cottel	Joel Clark Jim Cownie	4
Lyndon Clarke				5
Zhenqian Cui	Suresh Damodaran-Kar		Raja Daoud	6
Judith Devaney	David DiNucci	Doug Doefler Anne Elster	Jack Dongarra	7 8
Terry Dontje Karl Feind	Nathan Doss		Mark Fallon	8 9
Ian Foster	Sam Fineberg Hubertus Franke	Craig Fischberg Richard Frost	Stephen Fleischman Al Geist	9 10
			Kei Harada	10
Robert George Leslie Hart	David Greenberg Shane Hebert	John Hagedorn	Tom Henderson	
		Rolf Hempel Joefon Jann		12
Alex Ho Karl Kazalman	Hans-Christian Hoppe Koichi Konishi	Susan Kraus	Terry Jones Steve Kubica	13 14
Karl Kesselman Steve Landherr	Mario Lauria	Mark Law	Juan Leon	14
		Bob Madahar	Peter Madams	15
Lloyd Lewins	Ziyang Lu Oliver McBryan	Bob Madahar Brian McCandless		10
John May Thom McMahon	0	Nick Nevin	Tyce McLarty	18
Ron Oldfield	Harish Nag Peter Ossadnik	Steve Otto	Jarek Nieplocha Peter Pacheco	18
Yoonho Park	Perry Partow	Pratap Pattnaik	Elsie Pierce	20
Paul Pierce	Heidi Poxon	Jean-Pierre Prost	Boris Protopopov	20 21
James Pruyve	Rolf Rabenseifner	Joe Rieken	Peter Rigsbee	21
Tom Robey	Anna Rounbehler	Nobutoshi Sagawa	Arindam Saha	22
Eric Salo	Darren Sanders	Eric Sharakan	Andrew Sherman	23 24
Fred Shirley	Lance Shuler	A. Gordon Smith	Ian Stockdale	24 25
Ŷ		Greg Tensa	Rajeev Thakur	23 26
David Taylor Marydell Tholburn	Stephen Taylor Dick Treumann	Simon Tsang	Manuel Ujaldon	20
David Walker	Jerrell Watts	Klaus Wolf	Parkson Wong	28
Dave Wright				
The MPI Forum also acknowledges and appreciates the valuable input from people via				
e-mail and in person.	also acknowledges and ap	preciates the valuable	e input nom people via	30 31
-	stitutions supported the N	MPI-2 effort through	time and travel support	32
for the people listed a		un 12 chort through	time and traver support	33
Argonne Nationa				34
Bolt, Beranek, a				35
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ite of Technology			36
Center for Comp	00			37
Convex Compute	_			38
Cray Research				39
Digital Equipmen	nt Corporation			40
o	nect Solutions, Inc.			41
_	lel Computing Centre			42
General Electric				43
	l Research Center for Inf	ormation Technology		44
Hewlett-Packard		- 07		45
Hitachi				46
Hughes Aircraft	Company			47
Intel Corporation				48
L				

1	International Business Machines
2	Khoral Research
3	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
4	Los Alamos National Laboratory
5	MPI Software Techology, Inc.
6	Mississippi State University
7	NEC Corporation
8	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
9	National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center
10	National Institute of Standards and Technology
11	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
12	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
13	Ohio State University
14	PALLAS GmbH
15	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
16	Pratt & Whitney
17	San Diego Supercomputer Center
18	Sanders, A Lockheed-Martin Company
19	Sandia National Laboratories
20	Schlumberger
21	Scientific Computing Associates, Inc.
22	Silicon Graphics Incorporated
23	Sky Computers
24	Sun Microsystems Computer Corporation
25	Syracuse University
26	The MITRE Corporation
27	Thinking Machines Corporation
28	United States Navy
29	University of Colorado
30	University of Denver
31	University of Houston
32	University of Illinois
33	University of Maryland
34	University of Notre Dame
35	University of San Fransisco
36	University of Stuttgart Computing Center
37	University of Wisconsin
38	MPI-2 operated on a very tight budget (in reality, it had no budget when the first
39	meeting was announced). Many institutions helped the MPI-2 effort by supporting the
40	efforts and travel of the members of the MPI Forum. Direct support was given by NSF and
41	DARPA under NSF contract CDA-9115428 for travel by U.S. academic participants and
42	Esprit under project HPC Standards (21111) for European participants.
43	
44	MPI-1.3 and MPI-2.1:
45	
46	The editors and organizers of the combined documents have been:

• Richard Graham, Convener and Meeting Chair

47

48

• Jack Dongarra, Steering Committee	1
• Al Geist, Steering Committee	2 3
• Bill Gropp, Steering Committee	4
• Rainer Keller, Merge of MPI-1.3	5 6
<ul> <li>Andrew Lumsdaine, Steering Committee</li> </ul>	7
• Andrew Lumsdame, Steering Committee	8
• Ewing Lusk, Steering Committee, MPI-1.1-Errata (Oct. 12, 1998) MPI-2.1-Errata Ballots 1, 2 (May 15, 2002)	9 10 11
• Rolf Rabenseifner, Steering Committee, Merge of MPI-2.1 and MPI-2.1-Errata Ballots 3, 4 (2008)	12 13
All chapters have been revisited to achieve a consistent MPI-2.1 text. Those who served as authors for the necessary modifications are:	14 15 16
• Bill Gropp, Front matter, Introduction, and Bibliography	17
• Richard Graham, Point-to-Point Communication	18 19
• Adam Moody, Collective Communication	20
<ul><li>Richard Treumann, Groups, Contexts, and Communicators</li></ul>	21 22
• Richard Treumann, Groups, Contexts, and Communicators	23
• Jesper Larsson Träff, Process Topologies, Info-Object, and One-Sided Communica- tions	24 25
• George Bosilca, Environmental Management	26
	27 28
• David Solt, Process Creation and Management	29
• Bronis R. de Supinski, External Interfaces, and Profiling	30 31
• Rajeev Thakur, I/O	32
• Jeffrey M. Squyres, Language Bindings and MPI-2.1 Secretary	33 34
• Rolf Rabenseifner, Deprecated Functions and Annex Change-Log	35
• Alexander Supalov and Denis Nagorny, Annex Language Bindings	36 37
The following list includes some of the active participants who attended MDI 2 Forum	38
The following list includes some of the active participants who attended MPI-2 Forum meetings and in the e-mail discussions of the errata items and are not mentioned above.	39
incomigs and in the c man discussions of the cirata nems and are not incheroned above.	40
	41
	42
	43
	44 45
	46
	47
	48

1	Pavan Balaji	Purushotham V. Bangalore	Brian Barrett		
2	Richard Barrett	Christian Bell	Robert Blackmore		
3	Gil Bloch	Ron Brightwell	Jeffrey Brown		
4	Darius Buntinas	Jonathan Carter	Nathan DeBardeleben		
5	Terry Dontje	Gabor Dozsa	Edric Ellis		
6	Karl Feind	Edgar Gabriel	Patrick Geoffray		
7	David Gingold	Dave Goodell	Erez Haba		
8	Robert Harrison	Thomas Herault	Steve Hodson		
9	Torsten Hoefler	Joshua Hursey	Yann Kalemkarian		
10	Matthew Koop	Quincey Koziol	Sameer Kumar		
11	Miron Livny	Kannan Narasimhan	Mark Pagel		
12	Avneesh Pant	Steve Poole	Howard Pritchard		
13	Craig Rasmussen	Hubert Ritzdorf	Rob Ross		
14	Tony Skjellum	Brian Smith	Vinod Tipparaju		
15	Jesper Larsson Träff	Keith Underwood	110		
16	1		tes the valuable input from people via		
17	e-mail and in person.				
18	The following insti	itutions supported the MPI-2 $\epsilon$	effort through time and travel support		
19	for the people listed ab	pove.			
20	Argonne National	Laboratory			
21	Bull				
22	Cisco Systems, Inc	с.			
23	Cray Inc.				
24	The HDF Group				
25	Hewlett-Packard				
26	IBM T.J. Watson	Research			
27	Indiana University	7			
28		le Recherche en Informatique	et Automatique (INRIA)		
29	Intel Corporation				
30	-	v National Laboratory			
31	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory				
32	Los Alamos National Laboratory				
33	Mathworks				
34	Mellanox Technolo	ogies			
35	Microsoft				
36	Myricom				
37		Europe, NEC Europe Ltd.			
38	Oak Ridge Nation	Ū.			
39	Ohio State Univer	0			
40	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory				
41	QLogic Corporatio				
42	Sandia National L	aboratories			
43	SiCortex	. 1			
44	Silicon Graphics In	-			
45	Sun Microsystems	,			
46	=	ama at Birmingham			
47	University of Hous				
48	University of Illino	ois at Urbana-Champaign			

University of Stuttgart, High Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS) University of Tennessee, Knoxville	1 2
University of Wisconsin	3
Funding for the MPI Forum meetings was partially supported by award $\#$ CCF-0816909	4
from the National Science Foundation. In addition, the HDF Group provided travel support	5 5
for one U.S. academic.	6
MPI-2.2:	7 8
	9
All chapters have been revisited to achieve a consistent MPI-2.2 text. Those who served as authors for the necessary modifications are:	5 10 11
$\bullet$ William Gropp, Front matter, Introduction, and Bibliography; MPI-2.2 chair.	12 13
• Richard Graham, Point-to-Point Communication and Datatypes	14 15
• Adam Moody, Collective Communication	16
• Torsten Hoefler, Collective Communication and Process Topologies	17 18
• Richard Treumann, Groups, Contexts, and Communicators	19
• Jesper Larsson Träff, Process Topologies, Info-Object and One-Sided Communications	20 3 21
• George Bosilca, Datatypes and Environmental Management	22 23
• David Solt, Process Creation and Management	24
• Bronis R. de Supinski, External Interfaces, and Profiling	25 26
• Rajeev Thakur, I/O	27 28
• Jeffrey M. Squyres, Language Bindings and MPI-2.2 Secretary	29
• Rolf Rabenseifner, Deprecated Functions, Annex Change-Log, and Annex Language Bindings	30 31 32
• Alexander Supalov, Annex Language Bindings	33 34
The following list includes some of the active participants who attended MPI-2 Forum	
meetings and in the e-mail discussions of the errata items and are not mentioned above.	- 36
	37
	38
	39
	40
	41 42
	43
	44
	45
	46
	47
	48

1	Pavan Balaji	Purushotham V. Bangalore	Brian Barrett	
2	Richard Barrett	Christian Bell	Robert Blackmore	
3	Gil Bloch	Ron Brightwell	Greg Bronevetsky	
4	Jeff Brown	Darius Buntinas	Jonathan Carter	
5	Nathan DeBardeleben	Terry Dontje	Gabor Dozsa	
6	Edric Ellis	Karl Feind	Edgar Gabriel	
7	Patrick Geoffray	Johann George	David Gingold	
8	David Goodell	Erez Haba	Robert Harrison	
9	Thomas Herault	Marc-André Hermanns	Steve Hodson	
10	Joshua Hursey	Yutaka Ishikawa	Bin Jia	
11	Hideyuki Jitsumoto	Terry Jones	Yann Kalemkarian	
12	Ranier Keller	Matthew Koop	Quincey Koziol	
13	Manojkumar Krishnan	Sameer Kumar	Miron Livny	
14	Andrew Lumsdaine	Miao Luo	Ewing Lusk	
15	Timothy I. Mattox	Kannan Narasimhan	Mark Pagel	
16	Avneesh Pant	Steve Poole	Howard Pritchard	
17	Craig Rasmussen	Hubert Ritzdorf	Rob Ross	
18	Martin Schulz	Pavel Shamis	Galen Shipman	
19	Christian Siebert	Anthony Skjellum	Brian Smith	
20	Naoki Sueyasu	Vinod Tipparaju	Keith Underwood	
21	Rolf Vandevaart	Abhinav Vishnu	Weikuan Yu	
22	The MPI Forum also acknowledges and appreciates the valuable input from people via			
23	e-mail and in person.			
24	The following institutions supported the $MPI-2.2$ effort through time and travel support			
25	for the people listed above.			
26	Argonne National Laboratory			
27	Auburn University			
28	Bull			
29	Cisco Systems, Inc.			
30	Cray Inc.			
31	Forschungszentrum J	lülich		
32	$\operatorname{Fujitsu}$			
33	The HDF Group			
34	Hewlett-Packard			
35	International Busines	ss Machines		
36	Indiana University			
37		Recherche en Informatique et	- ( /	
38		ed Science & Engineering Cor	poration	
39	Intel Corporation			
40	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory			
41	Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory			
42	Los Alamos National	Laboratory		
43	Mathworks			
44	Mellanox Technologi	es		
45	Microsoft			
46	Myricom			
47	NEC Corporation	<b>T</b> 1 .		
48	Oak Ridge National	Laboratory		

Ohio State University	1
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	2
QLogic Corporation	3
RunTime Computing Solutions, LLC	4
Sandia National Laboratories	5
SiCortex, Inc.	6
Silicon Graphics Inc.	7
Sun Microsystems, Inc.	8
Tokyo Institute of Technology	9
University of Alabama at Birmingham	10
University of Houston	11
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	12
University of Stuttgart, High Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS)	13
University of Tennessee, Knoxville	14 15
University of Tokyo	16
University of Wisconsin	17
Funding for the MPI Forum meetings was partially supported by awards $\#$ CCF-0816909 and $\#$ CCF-1144042 from the National Science Foundation. In addition, the HDF Group	18
provided travel support for one U.S. academic.	19
provided travel support for one 0.5. academic.	20
MPI-3:	21
IWI 1-5.	22
MPI-3 is a significant effort to extend and modernize the MPI Standard.	23
The editors and organizers of the MPI-3 have been:	24
• William Gropp, Steering committee, Front matter, Introduction, Groups, Contexts,	25
and Communicators, One-Sided Communications, and Bibliography	26
and communicators, one-sided communications, and biolography	27
• Richard Graham, Steering committee, Point-to-Point Communication, Meeting Con-	28
vener, and MPI-3 chair	29
Torston Hoeffor Collective Communication One Sided Communications and Process	30
• Torsten Hoefler, Collective Communication, One-Sided Communications, and Process Topologies	31
Topologies	32
• George Bosilca, Datatypes and Environmental Management	33
	34 35
• David Solt, Process Creation and Management	36
• Bronis R. de Supinski, External Interfaces and Tool Support	37
	38
• Rajeev Thakur, I/O and One-Sided Communications	39
• Darius Buntinas, Info Object	40
	41
• Jeffrey M. Squyres, Language Bindings and MPI-3 Secretary	42
• Rolf Rabenseifner, Steering committee, Terms and Definitions, and Fortran Bindings,	43
Deprecated Functions, Annex Change-Log, and Annex Language Bindings	44
	45
• Craig Rasmussen, Fortran Bindings	46
	47
	48

The following list includes some of the active participants who attended MPI-3 Forum
 meetings or participated in the e-mail discussions and who are not mentioned above.

-	meetings or participated in the e-	man discussions and w	no are not mentioned above.
3	Tatsuya Abe	Tomoya Adachi	Sadaf Alam
4	Reinhold Bader	Pavan Balaji	Purushotham V. Bangalore
5	Brian Barrett	<b>Richard Barrett</b>	Robert Blackmore
6	Aurelien Bouteiller	Ron Brightwell	Greg Bronevetsky
7	Jed Brown	Darius Buntinas	Devendar Bureddy
8	Arno Candel	George Carr	Mohamad Chaarawi
9	Raghunath Raja Chandrasekar	James Dinan	Terry Dontje
10	Edgar Gabriel	Balazs Gerofi	Brice Goglin
11	David Goodell	Manjunath Gorentla	Erez Haba
12	Jeff Hammond	Thomas Herault	Marc-André Hermanns
13	Jennifer Herrett-Skjellum	Nathan Hjelm	Atsushi Hori
14	Joshua Hursey	Marty Itzkowitz	Yutaka Ishikawa
15	Nysal Jan	Bin Jia	Hideyuki Jitsumoto
16	Yann Kalemkarian	Krishna Kandalla	Takahiro Kawashima
17	Chulho Kim	Dries Kimpe	Christof Klausecker
18	Alice Koniges	Quincey Koziol	Dieter Kranzlmueller
19	Manojkumar Krishnan	Sameer Kumar	Eric Lantz
20	Jay Lofstead	Bill Long	Andrew Lumsdaine
21	Miao Luo	Ewing Lusk	Adam Moody
22	Nick M. Maclaren	Amith Mamidala	Guillaume Mercier
23	Scott McMillan	Douglas Miller	Kathryn Mohror
24	Tim Murray	Tomotake Nakamura	Takeshi Nanri
25	Steve Oyanagi	Mark Pagel	Swann Perarnau
26	Sreeram Potluri	Howard Pritchard	Rolf Riesen
27	Hubert Ritzdorf	Kuninobu Sasaki	Timo Schneider
28	Martin Schulz	Gilad Shainer	Christian Siebert
29	Anthony Skjellum	Brian Smith	Marc Snir
30	Raffaele Giuseppe Solca	Shinji Sumimoto	Alexander Supalov
31	Sayantan Sur	Masamichi Takagi	Fabian Tillier
32	Vinod Tipparaju	Jesper Larsson Träff	Richard Treumann
33	Keith Underwood	Rolf Vandevaart	Anh Vo
34	Abhinav Vishnu	Min Xie	Enqiang Zhou
35	The MPI Forum also acknow	ledges and appreciates t	the valuable input from people vi

The MPI Forum also acknowledges and appreciates the valuable input from people via e-mail and in person.

The MPI Forum also thanks those that provided feedback during the public comment period. In particular, the Forum would like to thank Jeremiah Wilcock for providing detailed comments on the entire draft standard.

The following institutions supported the MPI-3 effort through time and travel support
 for the people listed above.

<sup>42</sup> Argonne National Laboratory

43 Bull

- <sup>44</sup> Cisco Systems, Inc.
- <sup>45</sup> Cray Inc.
- 46 CSCS
- 47 ETH Zurich
- 48 Fujitsu Ltd.

German Research School for Simulation Sciences	1
The HDF Group	2
Hewlett-Packard	3
International Business Machines	4
IBM India Private Ltd	5
Indiana University	6
Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et Automatique (INRIA)	7
Institute for Advanced Science & Engineering Corporation	8
Intel Corporation	9
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	10
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	11
Los Alamos National Laboratory	12
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.	13
Microsoft Corporation	14
NEC Corporation	15
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Global Systems Division	16
NVIDIA Corporation	17
Oak Ridge National Laboratory	18
The Ohio State University	19
Oracle America	20
Platform Computing	21
RIKEN AICS	22
RunTime Computing Solutions, LLC	23
Sandia National Laboratories	24
Technical University of Chemnitz	25
Tokyo Institute of Technology	26
University of Alabama at Birmingham	27
University of Chicago	28
University of Houston	29
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	30
University of Stuttgart, High Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS)	31
University of Tennessee, Knoxville	32
University of Tokyo	33
Funding for the MPI Forum meetings was partially supported by awards $\#$ CCF-0816909	34
and $\#CCF-1144042$ from the National Science Foundation. In addition, the HDF Group	35
	36
and Sandia National Laboratories provided travel support for one U.S. academic each.	37
	38
MPI-3.1:	39
This is the initial stub for the MPI-3.1 credits. We use this to collect information on the	40
participants and their institutions.	41
Marc-Andre Hermanns Forschungszentrum Jülich	42
German Research School for Simulation Sciences	43
and	
Jülich Aachen Research Alliance, High-Performance Computing (JARA-HPC)	44 45
	46 47
	48

## Chapter 1

## Introduction to MPI

### 1.1 Overview and Goals

MPI (Message-Passing Interface) is a *message-passing library interface specification*. All parts of this definition are significant. MPI addresses primarily the message-passing parallel programming model, in which data is moved from the address space of one process to that of another process through cooperative operations on each process. Extensions to the "classical" message-passing model are provided in collective operations, remote-memory access operations, dynamic process creation, and parallel I/O. MPI is a *specification*, not an implementation; there are multiple implementations of MPI. This specification is for a *library interface*; MPI is not a language, and all MPI operations are expressed as functions, subroutines, or methods, according to the appropriate language bindings which, for C and Fortran, are part of the MPI standard. The standard has been defined through an open process by a community of parallel computing vendors, computer scientists, and application developers. The next few sections provide an overview of the history of MPI's development.

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $45 \\ 46$ 

The main advantages of establishing a message-passing standard are portability and ease of use. In a distributed memory communication environment in which the higher level routines and/or abstractions are built upon lower level message-passing routines the benefits of standardization are particularly apparent. Furthermore, the definition of a messagepassing standard, such as that proposed here, provides vendors with a clearly defined base set of routines that they can implement efficiently, or in some cases for which they can provide hardware support, thereby enhancing scalability.

The goal of the Message-Passing Interface simply stated is to develop a widely used standard for writing message-passing programs. As such the interface should establish a practical, portable, efficient, and flexible standard for message passing.

A complete list of goals follows.

- Design an application programming interface (not necessarily for compilers or a system implementation library).
- Allow efficient communication: Avoid memory-to-memory copying, allow overlap of computation and communication, and offload to communication co-processors, where available.
- Allow for implementations that can be used in a heterogeneous environment.
- Allow convenient C and Fortran bindings for the interface.

- Assume a reliable communication interface: the user need not cope with communication failures. Such failures are dealt with by the underlying communication subsystem.
- Define an interface that can be implemented on many vendor's platforms, with no significant changes in the underlying communication and system software.
- Semantics of the interface should be language independent.
- The interface should be designed to allow for thread safety.

## 1.2 Background of MPI-1.0

MPI sought to make use of the most attractive features of a number of existing message-passing systems, rather than selecting one of them and adopting it as the standard. Thus, MPI was strongly influenced by work at the IBM T. J. Watson Research Center [1, 2], Intel's NX/2 [50], Express [13], nCUBE's Vertex [46], p4 [8, 9], and PARMACS [5, 10]. Other important contributions have come from Zipcode [53, 54], Chimp [19, 20], PVM [4, 17], Chameleon [27], and PICL [25].

18 The MPI standardization effort involved about 60 people from 40 organizations mainly 19from the United States and Europe. Most of the major vendors of concurrent computers 20were involved in MPI, along with researchers from universities, government laboratories, and 21industry. The standardization process began with the Workshop on Standards for Message-22Passing in a Distributed Memory Environment, sponsored by the Center for Research on 23Parallel Computing, held April 29-30, 1992, in Williamsburg, Virginia 60. At this workshop  $^{24}$ the basic features essential to a standard message-passing interface were discussed, and a 25working group established to continue the standardization process. 26

A preliminary draft proposal, known as MPI-1, was put forward by Dongarra, Hempel, Hey, and Walker in November 1992, and a revised version was completed in February 1993 [18]. MPI-1 embodied the main features that were identified at the Williamsburg workshop as being necessary in a message passing standard. Since MPI-1 was primarily intended to promote discussion and "get the ball rolling," it focused mainly on point-to-point communications. MPI-1 brought to the forefront a number of important standardization issues, but did not include any collective communication routines and was not thread-safe.

In November 1992, a meeting of the MPI working group was held in Minneapolis, at 34which it was decided to place the standardization process on a more formal footing, and to 35 generally adopt the procedures and organization of the High Performance Fortran Forum. 36 Subcommittees were formed for the major component areas of the standard, and an email 37 discussion service established for each. In addition, the goal of producing a draft MPI 38 standard by the Fall of 1993 was set. To achieve this goal the MPI working group met every 39 6 weeks for two days throughout the first 9 months of 1993, and presented the draft MPI 40 standard at the Supercomputing 93 conference in November 1993. These meetings and the 41 email discussion together constituted the MPI Forum, membership of which has been open 42to all members of the high performance computing community. 43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1.3 Background of MPI-1.1, MPI-1.2, and MPI-2.0

Beginning in March 1995, the MPI Forum began meeting to consider corrections and extensions to the original MPI Standard document [22]. The first product of these deliberations

1

2

3

4

5 6

> 7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

was Version 1.1 of the MPI specification, released in June of 1995 [23] (see http://www.mpi-forum.org for official MPI document releases). At that time, effort focused in five areas.

- 1. Further corrections and clarifications for the MPI-1.1 document.
- 2. Additions to MPI-1.1 that do not significantly change its types of functionality (new datatype constructors, language interoperability, etc.).
- 3. Completely new types of functionality (dynamic processes, one-sided communication, parallel I/O, etc.) that are what everyone thinks of as "MPI-2 functionality."
- 4. Bindings for Fortran 90 and C++. MPI-2 specifies C++ bindings for both MPI-1 and MPI-2 functions, and extensions to the Fortran 77 binding of MPI-1 and MPI-2 to handle Fortran 90 issues.
- 5. Discussions of areas in which the MPI process and framework seem likely to be useful, but where more discussion and experience are needed before standardization (e.g., zero-copy semantics on shared-memory machines, real-time specifications).

Corrections and clarifications (items of type 1 in the above list) were collected in Chapter 3 of the MPI-2 document: "Version 1.2 of MPI." That chapter also contains the function for identifying the version number. Additions to MPI-1.1 (items of types 2, 3, and 4 in the above list) are in the remaining chapters of the MPI-2 document, and constitute the specification for MPI-2. Items of type 5 in the above list have been moved to a separate document, the "MPI Journal of Development" (JOD), and are not part of the MPI-2 Standard.

This structure makes it easy for users and implementors to understand what level of MPI compliance a given implementation has:

- MPI-1 compliance will mean compliance with MPI-1.3. This is a useful level of compliance. It means that the implementation conforms to the clarifications of MPI-1.1 function behavior given in Chapter 3 of the MPI-2 document. Some implementations may require changes to be MPI-1 compliant.
- MPI-2 compliance will mean compliance with all of MPI-2.1.
- The MPI Journal of Development is not part of the MPI Standard.

It is to be emphasized that forward compatibility is preserved. That is, a valid MPI-1.1 program is both a valid MPI-1.3 program and a valid MPI-2.1 program, and a valid MPI-1.3 program is a valid MPI-2.1 program.

#### Background of MPI-1.3 and MPI-2.1 1.4

42After the release of MPI-2.0, the MPI Forum kept working on errata and clarifications for both standard documents (MPI-1.1 and MPI-2.0). The short document "Errata for MPI-1.1" was released October 12, 1998. On July 5, 2001, a first ballot of errata and clarifications for 44MPI-2.0 was released, and a second ballot was voted on May 22, 2002. Both votes were done electronically. Both ballots were combined into one document: "Errata for MPI-2," May 15, 2002. This errata process was then interrupted, but the Forum and its e-mail reflectors kept working on new requests for clarification.

1 2

3

4

56

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

 $^{24}$ 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

43

45

46

1 Restarting regular work of the MPI Forum was initiated in three meetings, at Eu- $\mathbf{2}$ roPVM/MPI'06 in Bonn, at EuroPVM/MPI'07 in Paris, and at SC'07 in Reno. In De-3 cember 2007, a steering committee started the organization of new MPI Forum meetings at 4 regular 8-weeks intervals. At the January 14–16, 2008 meeting in Chicago, the MPI Forum 5decided to combine the existing and future MPI documents to one document for each ver-6 sion of the MPI standard. For technical and historical reasons, this series was started with  $\overline{7}$ MPI-1.3. Additional Ballots 3 and 4 solved old questions from the errata list started in 1995 8 up to new questions from the last years. After all documents (MPI-1.1, MPI-2, Errata for 9 MPI-1.1 (Oct. 12, 1998), and MPI-2.1 Ballots 1-4) were combined into one draft document, 10 for each chapter, a chapter author and review team were defined. They cleaned up the 11document to achieve a consistent MPI-2.1 document. The final MPI-2.1 standard document 12was finished in June 2008, and finally released with a second vote in September 2008 in 13the meeting at Dublin, just before EuroPVM/MPI'08. The major work of the current MPI 14Forum is the preparation of MPI-3.

 $15 \\ 16$ 

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

## 1.5 Background of MPI-2.2

MPI-2.2 is a minor update to the MPI-2.1 standard. This version addresses additional errors and ambiguities that were not corrected in the MPI-2.1 standard as well as a small number of extensions to MPI-2.1 that met the following criteria:

- Any correct MPI-2.1 program is a correct MPI-2.2 program.
- Any extension must have significant benefit for users.
- Any extension must not require significant implementation effort. To that end, all such changes are accompanied by an open source implementation.

The discussions of MPI-2.2 proceeded concurrently with the MPI-3 discussions; in some cases, extensions were proposed for MPI-2.2 but were later moved to MPI-3.

## 1.6 Background of MPI-3.0

MPI-3.0 is a major update to the MPI standard. The updates include the extension of collective operations to include nonblocking versions, extensions to the one-sided operations, and a new Fortran 2008 binding. In addition, the deprecated C++ bindings have been removed, as well as many of the deprecated routines and MPI objects (such as the MPI\_UB datatype).

38 39 40

41

## 1.7 Who Should Use This Standard?

This standard is intended for use by all those who want to write portable message-passing programs in Fortran and C (and access the C bindings from C++). This includes individual application programmers, developers of software designed to run on parallel machines, and creators of environments and tools. In order to be attractive to this wide audience, the standard must provide a simple, easy-to-use interface for the basic user while not semantically precluding the high-performance message-passing operations available on advanced machines.

## 1.8 What Platforms Are Targets For Implementation?

The attractiveness of the message-passing paradigm at least partially stems from its wide portability. Programs expressed this way may run on distributed-memory multiprocessors, networks of workstations, and combinations of all of these. In addition, shared-memory implementations, including those for multi-core processors and hybrid architectures, are possible. The paradigm will not be made obsolete by architectures combining the sharedand distributed-memory views, or by increases in network speeds. It thus should be both possible and useful to implement this standard on a great variety of machines, including those "machines" consisting of collections of other machines, parallel or not, connected by a communication network.

The interface is suitable for use by fully general MIMD programs, as well as those written in the more restricted style of SPMD. MPI provides many features intended to improve performance on scalable parallel computers with specialized interprocessor communication hardware. Thus, we expect that native, high-performance implementations of MPI will be provided on such machines. At the same time, implementations of MPI on top of standard Unix interprocessor communication protocols will provide portability to workstation clusters and heterogenous networks of workstations.

### 1.9 What Is Included In The Standard?

The standard includes:

•	Point-to-point	communication
---	----------------	---------------

- Datatypes,
- Collective operations,
- Process groups,
- Communication contexts,
- Process topologies,
- Environmental management and inquiry,
- The Info object,
- Process creation and management,
- One-sided communication,
- External interfaces,
- Parallel file I/O,
- Language bindings for Fortran and C,
- Tool support.

1 2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

## 1.10 What Is Not Included In The Standard?

- The standard does not specify:
  - Operations that require more operating system support than is currently standard; for example, interrupt-driven receives, remote execution, or active messages,
  - Program construction tools,
  - Debugging facilities.

There are many features that have been considered and not included in this standard. This happened for a number of reasons, one of which is the time constraint that was selfimposed in finishing the standard. Features that are not included can always be offered as extensions by specific implementations. Perhaps future versions of MPI will address some of these issues.

## 1.11 Organization of this Document

The following is a list of the remaining chapters in this document, along with a brief description of each.

- Chapter 2, MPI Terms and Conventions, explains notational terms and conventions used throughout the MPI document.
- Chapter 3, Point-to-Point Communication, defines the basic, pairwise communication subset of MPI. *Send* and *receive* are found here, along with many associated functions designed to make basic communication powerful and efficient.
- Chapter 4, Datatypes, defines a method to describe any data layout, e.g., an array of structures in the memory, which can be used as message send or receive buffer.
- Chapter 5, Collective Communication, defines process-group collective communication operations. Well known examples of this are barrier and broadcast over a group of processes (not necessarily all the processes). With MPI-2, the semantics of collective communication was extended to include intercommunicators. It also adds two new collective operations. MPI-3 adds nonblocking collective operations.
- Chapter 6, Groups, Contexts, Communicators, and Caching, shows how groups of processes are formed and manipulated, how unique communication contexts are obtained, and how the two are bound together into a *communicator*.
- Chapter 7, Process Topologies, explains a set of utility functions meant to assist in the mapping of process groups (a linearly ordered set) to richer topological structures such as multi-dimensional grids.
- Chapter 8, MPI Environmental Management, explains how the programmer can manage and make inquiries of the current MPI environment. These functions are needed for the writing of correct, robust programs, and are especially important for the construction of highly-portable message-passing programs.

 $^{24}$ 

- Chapter 9, The Info Object, defines an opaque object, that is used as input in several MPI routines.
- Chapter 10, Process Creation and Management, defines routines that allow for creation of processes.
- Chapter 11, One-Sided Communications, defines communication routines that can be completed by a single process. These include shared-memory operations (put/get) and remote accumulate operations.
- Chapter 12, External Interfaces, defines routines designed to allow developers to layer on top of MPI. This includes generalized requests, routines that decode MPI opaque objects, and threads.
- Chapter 13, I/O, defines MPI support for parallel I/O.
- Chapter 14, Tool Support, covers interfaces that allow debuggers, performance analyzers, and other tools to obtain data about the operation of MPI processes. This chapter includes Section 14.2 (Profiling Interface), which was a chapter in previous versions of MPI.
- Chapter 15, Deprecated Functions, describes routines that are kept for reference. However usage of these functions is discouraged, as they may be deleted in future versions of the standard.
- Chapter 16, Removed Interfaces, describes routines and constructs that have been removed from MPI. These were deprecated in MPI-2, and the MPI Forum decided to remove these from the MPI-3 standard.
- Chapter 17, Language Bindings, discusses Fortran issues, and describes language interoperability aspects between C and Fortran.

The Appendices are:

- Annex A, Language Bindings Summary, gives specific syntax in C and Fortran, for all MPI functions, constants, and types.
- Annex B, Change-Log, summarizes some changes since the previous version of the standard.
- Several Index pages show the locations of examples, constants and predefined handles, callback routine prototypes, and all MPI functions.

MPI provides various interfaces to facilitate interoperability of distinct MPI implementations. Among these are the canonical data representation for MPI I/O and for MPI\_PACK\_EXTERNAL and MPI\_UNPACK\_EXTERNAL. The definition of an actual binding of these interfaces that will enable interoperability is outside the scope of this document.

A separate document consists of ideas that were discussed in the MPI Forum during the MPI-2 development and deemed to have value, but are not included in the MPI Standard. They are part of the "Journal of Development" (JOD), lest good ideas be lost and in order to provide a starting point for further work. The chapters in the JOD are

 $^{24}$ 

1 2 3 4	• Chapter 2, Spawning Independent Processes, includes some elements of dynamic process management, in particular management of processes with which the spawning processes do not intend to communicate, that the Forum discussed at length but ultimately decided not to include in the MPI Standard.
5 6 7	• Chapter 3, Threads and MPI, describes some of the expected interaction between an MPI implementation and a thread library in a multi-threaded environment.
8 9 10	• Chapter 4, Communicator ID, describes an approach to providing identifiers for communicators.
11 12 13	• Chapter 5, Miscellany, discusses Miscellaneous topics in the MPI JOD, in particular single-copy routines for use in shared-memory environments and new datatype constructors.
14 15 16	• Chapter 6, Toward a Full Fortran 90 Interface, describes an approach to providing a more elaborate Fortran 90 interface.
17 18 19	• Chapter 7, Split Collective Communication, describes a specification for certain non- blocking collective operations.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	• Chapter 8, Real-Time MPI, discusses MPI support for real time processing.
41 42 43	
44 45	
46	
47 48	

# Chapter 2

# **MPI** Terms and Conventions

This chapter explains notational terms and conventions used throughout the MPI document, some of the choices that have been made, and the rationale behind those choices.

 $45 \\ 46$ 

## 2.1 Document Notation

*Rationale.* Throughout this document, the rationale for the design choices made in the interface specification is set off in this format. Some readers may wish to skip these sections, while readers interested in interface design may want to read them carefully. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. Throughout this document, material aimed at users and that illustrates usage is set off in this format. Some readers may wish to skip these sections, while readers interested in programming in MPI may want to read them carefully. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. Throughout this document, material that is primarily commentary to implementors is set off in this format. Some readers may wish to skip these sections, while readers interested in MPI implementations may want to read them carefully. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 2.2 Naming Conventions

In many cases MPI names for C functions are of the form MPI\_Class\_action\_subset. This convention originated with MPI-1. Since MPI-2 an attempt has been made to standardize the names of MPI functions according to the following rules.

- 1. In C, all routines associated with a particular type of MPI object should be of the form MPI\_Class\_action\_subset or, if no subset exists, of the form MPI\_Class\_action. In Fortran, all routines associated with a particular type of MPI object should be of the form MPI\_CLASS\_ACTION\_SUBSET or, if no subset exists, of the form MPI\_CLASS\_ACTION.
- 2. If the routine is not associated with a class, the name should be of the form MPI\_Action\_subset in C and MPI\_ACTION\_SUBSET in Fortran.

3. The names of certain actions have been standardized. In particular, *Create* creates a new object, *Get* retrieves information about an object, *Set* sets this information, *Delete* deletes information, *Is* asks whether or not an object has a certain property.

C and Fortran names for some MPI functions (that were defined during the MPI-1 process) violate these rules in several cases. The most common exceptions are the omission of the *Class* name from the routine and the omission of the *Action* where one can be inferred. MPI identifiers are limited to 30 characters (31 with the profiling interface). This is done to avoid exceeding the limit on some compilation systems.

## 2.3 Procedure Specification

MPI procedures are specified using a language-independent notation. The arguments of procedure calls are marked as IN, OUT, or INOUT. The meanings of these are:

- IN: the call may use the input value but does not update the argument from the perspective of the caller at any time during the call's execution,
- OUT: the call may update the argument but does not use its input value,
- INOUT: the call may both use and update the argument.

There is one special case — if an argument is a handle to an opaque object (these terms are defined in Section 2.5.1), and the object is updated by the procedure call, then the argument is marked INOUT or OUT. It is marked this way even though the handle itself is not modified — we use the INOUT or OUT attribute to denote that what the handle *references* is updated.

27 28

29

30 31 Rationale. The definition of MPI tries to avoid, to the largest possible extent, the use of INOUT arguments, because such use is error-prone, especially for scalar arguments. (*End of rationale.*)

MPI's use of IN, OUT, and INOUT is intended to indicate to the user how an argument is to be used, but does not provide a rigorous classification that can be translated directly into all language bindings (e.g., INTENT in Fortran 90 bindings or const in C bindings). For instance, the "constant" MPI\_BOTTOM can usually be passed to OUT buffer arguments. Similarly, MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE can be passed as the OUT status argument.

A common occurrence for MPI functions is an argument that is used as IN by some processes and OUT by other processes. Such an argument is, syntactically, an INOUT argument and is marked as such, although, semantically, it is not used in one call both for input and for output on a single process.

Another frequent situation arises when an argument value is needed only by a subset of the processes. When an argument is not significant at a process then an arbitrary value can be passed as an argument.

<sup>44</sup> Unless specified otherwise, an argument of type OUT or type INOUT cannot be aliased
 <sup>45</sup> with any other argument passed to an MPI procedure. An example of argument aliasing in
 <sup>46</sup> C appears below. If we define a C procedure like this,

- 47
- 48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

```
void copyIntBuffer( int *pin, int *pout, int len )
{    int i;
    for (i=0; i<len; ++i) *pout++ = *pin++;
}
then a call to it in the following code fragment has aliased arguments.
int a[10];</pre>
```

copyIntBuffer( a, a+3, 7);

Although the C language allows this, such usage of MPI procedures is forbidden unless otherwise specified. Note that Fortran prohibits aliasing of arguments.

All MPI functions are first specified in the language-independent notation. Immediately below this, language dependent bindings follow:

- The ISO C version of the function.
- The Fortran version used with USE mpi\_f08.
- The Fortran version of the same function used with USE mpi or INCLUDE 'mpif.h'.

"Fortran" in this document refers to Fortran 90 and higher; see Section 2.6.

## 2.4 Semantic Terms

When discussing MPI procedures the following semantic terms are used.

- **nonblocking** A procedure is nonblocking if the procedure may return before the operation completes, and before the user is allowed to reuse resources (such as buffers) specified in the call. A nonblocking request is *started* by the call that initiates it, e.g., MPI\_ISEND. The word complete is used with respect to operations, requests, and communications. An operation completes when the user is allowed to reuse resources, and any output buffers have been updated; i.e., a call to  $MPI_TEST$  will return flag =true. A request is completed by a call to wait, which returns, or a test or get status call  $^{31}$ which returns flag = true. This completing call has two effects: the status is extracted from the request; in the case of test and wait, if the request was nonpersistent, it is freed, and becomes *inactive* if it was persistent. A *communication completes* when all participating operations complete.
- **blocking** A procedure is blocking if return from the procedure indicates the user is allowed to reuse resources specified in the call.
- *local* A procedure is local if completion of the procedure depends only on the local executing process.
- *non-local* A procedure is non-local if completion of the operation may require the execution of some MPI procedure on another process. Such an operation may require communication occurring with another user process.
- collective A procedure is collective if all processes in a process group need to invoke the
   45
   procedure. A collective call may or may not be synchronizing. Collective calls over
   46
   the same communicator must be executed in the same order by all members of the
   47
   process group.

	12	CHAPTER 2. MPI TERMS AND CONVENTIONS
1 2 3 4 5 6	pred	<i>lefined</i> A predefined datatype is a datatype with a predefined (constant) name (such as MPI_INT, MPI_FLOAT_INT, or MPI_PACKED) or a datatype constructed with MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER, MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL, or MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_COMPLEX. The former are <i>named</i> whereas the latter are <i>unnamed</i> .
7	deri	<b>ved</b> A derived datatype is any datatype that is not predefined.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	port	<i>able</i> A datatype is portable if it is a predefined datatype, or it is derived from a portable datatype using only the type constructors MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS, MPI_TYPE_VECTOR, MPI_TYPE_INDEXED, MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK, MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY, MPI_TYPE_DUP, and MPI_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY. Such a datatype is portable because all displacements in the datatype are in terms of extents of one predefined datatype. Therefore, if such a datatype fits a data layout in one memory, it will fit the corresponding data layout in another memory, if the same declarations were used, even if the two systems have different architectures. On the other hand, if a datatype was constructed using MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED, MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED_BLOCK, MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR or MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT, then the datatype contains explicit byte displace- ments (e.g., providing padding to meet alignment restrictions). These displacements are unlikely to be chosen correctly if they fit data layout on one memory, but are used for data layouts on another process, running on a processor with a different architecture.
25 26 27 28	equi	<i>valent</i> Two datatypes are equivalent if they appear to have been created with the same sequence of calls (and arguments) and thus have the same typemap. Two equivalent datatypes do not necessarily have the same cached attributes or the same names.
29 30	2.5	Data Types

**Opaque Objects** 2.5.1

MPI manages system memory that is used for buffering messages and for storing internal 33 34representations of various MPI objects such as groups, communicators, datatypes, etc. This memory is not directly accessible to the user, and objects stored there are *opaque*: their size 35 and shape is not visible to the user. Opaque objects are accessed via *handles*, which exist 36 in user space. MPI procedures that operate on opaque objects are passed handle arguments 37 to access these objects. In addition to their use by MPI calls for object access, handles can 38 39 participate in assignments and comparisons.

In Fortran with USE mpi or INCLUDE 'mpif.h', all handles have type INTEGER. In 40 Fortran with USE mpi\_f08, and in C, a different handle type is defined for each category of 41objects. With Fortran USE mpi\_f08, the handles are defined as Fortran BIND(C) derived 42types that consist of only one element INTEGER :: MPI\_VAL. The internal handle value is 43 identical to the Fortran INTEGER value used in the mpi module and mpif.h. The operators 44EQ., NE., == and /= are overloaded to allow the comparison of these handles. The type 45names are identical to the names in C, except that they are not case sensitive. For example: 46

4748

31

```
TYPE, :: MPI_Comm
INTEGER :: MPI_VAL
END TYPE MPI_Comm
```

The C types must support the use of the assignment and equality operators.

Advice to implementors. In Fortran, the handle can be an index into a table of opaque objects in a system table; in C it can be such an index or a pointer to the object. (End of advice to implementors.)

Rationale. Since the Fortran integer values are equivalent, applications can easily convert MPI handles between all three supported Fortran methods. For example, an integer communicator handle COMM can be converted directly into an exactly equivalent mpi\_f08 communicator handle named comm\_f08 by comm\_f08%MPI\_VAL=COMM, and vice versa. The use of the INTEGER defined handles and the BIND(C) derived type handles is different: Fortran 2003 (and later) define that BIND(C) derived types can be used within user defined common blocks, but it is up to the rules of the companion C compiler how many numerical storage units are used for these BIND(C) derived type handles. Most compilers use one unit for both, the INTEGER handles and the handles defined as BIND(C) derived types. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. If a user wants to substitute mpif.h or the mpi module by the mpi\_f08 module and the application program stores a handle in a Fortran common block then it is necessary to change the Fortran support method in all application routines that use this common block, because the number of numerical storage units of such a handle can be different in the two modules. (End of advice to users.)

Opaque objects are allocated and deallocated by calls that are specific to each object type. These are listed in the sections where the objects are described. The calls accept a handle argument of matching type. In an allocate call this is an OUT argument that returns a valid reference to the object. In a call to deallocate this is an INOUT argument which returns with an "invalid handle" value. MPI provides an "invalid handle" constant for each object type. Comparisons to this constant are used to test for validity of the handle.

A call to a deallocate routine invalidates the handle and marks the object for deallocation. The object is not accessible to the user after the call. However, MPI need not deallocate the object immediately. Any operation pending (at the time of the deallocate) that involves this object will complete normally; the object will be deallocated afterwards.

An opaque object and its handle are significant only at the process where the object was created and cannot be transferred to another process.

MPI provides certain predefined opaque objects and predefined, static handles to these objects. The user must not free such objects.

*Rationale.* This design hides the internal representation used for MPI data structures, thus allowing similar calls in C and Fortran. It also avoids conflicts with the typing rules in these languages, and easily allows future extensions of functionality. The mechanism for opaque objects used here loosely follows the POSIX Fortran binding standard.

The explicit separation of handles in user space and objects in system space allows space-reclaiming and deallocation calls to be made at appropriate points in the user

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $46 \\ 47$ 

program. If the opaque objects were in user space, one would have to be very careful not to go out of scope before any pending operation requiring that object completed. The specified design allows an object to be marked for deallocation, the user program can then go out of scope, and the object itself still persists until any pending operations are complete.

The requirement that handles support assignment/comparison is made since such operations are common. This restricts the domain of possible implementations. The alternative would have been to allow handles to have been an arbitrary, opaque type. This would force the introduction of routines to do assignment and comparison, adding complexity, and was therefore ruled out. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. A user may accidentally create a dangling reference by assigning to a handle the value of another handle, and then deallocating the object associated with these handles. Conversely, if a handle variable is deallocated before the associated object is freed, then the object becomes inaccessible (this may occur, for example, if the handle is a local variable within a subroutine, and the subroutine is exited before the associated object is deallocated). It is the user's responsibility to avoid adding or deleting references to opaque objects, except as a result of MPI calls that allocate or deallocate such objects. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. The intended semantics of opaque objects is that opaque objects are separate from one another; each call to allocate such an object copies all the information required for the object. Implementations may avoid excessive copying by substituting referencing for copying. For example, a derived datatype may contain references to its components, rather then copies of its components; a call to MPI\_COMM\_GROUP may return a reference to the group associated with the communicator, rather than a copy of this group. In such cases, the implementation must maintain reference counts, and allocate and deallocate objects in such a way that the visible effect is as if the objects were copied. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 2.5.2 Array Arguments

An MPI call may need an argument that is an array of opaque objects, or an array of handles. The array-of-handles is a regular array with entries that are handles to objects of the same type in consecutive locations in the array. Whenever such an array is used, an additional len argument is required to indicate the number of valid entries (unless this number can be derived otherwise). The valid entries are at the beginning of the array; len indicates how many of them there are, and need not be the size of the entire array. The same approach is followed for other array arguments. In some cases NULL handles are considered valid entries. When a NULL argument is desired for an array of statuses, one uses MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE. 

- - 2.5.3 State

<sup>44</sup> <sup>45</sup> MPI procedures use at various places arguments with *state* types. The values of such a data <sup>46</sup> type are all identified by names, and no operation is defined on them. For example, the <sup>47</sup> MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_SUBARRAY routine has a state argument order with values

<sup>48</sup> MPI\_ORDER\_C and MPI\_ORDER\_FORTRAN.

 $^{24}$ 

## 2.5.4 Named Constants

MPI procedures sometimes assign a special meaning to a special value of a basic type argument; e.g., tag is an integer-valued argument of point-to-point communication operations, with a special wild-card value, MPI\_ANY\_TAG. Such arguments will have a range of regular values, which is a proper subrange of the range of values of the corresponding basic type; special values (such as MPI\_ANY\_TAG) will be outside the regular range. The range of regular values, such as tag, can be queried using environmental inquiry functions (Chapter 7 of the MPI-1 document). The range of other values, such as source, depends on values given by other MPI routines (in the case of source it is the communicator size).

MPI also provides predefined named constant handles, such as MPI\_COMM\_WORLD.

All named constants, with the exceptions noted below for Fortran, can be used in initialization expressions or assignments, but not necessarily in array declarations or as labels in C switch or Fortran select/case statements. This implies named constants to be link-time but not necessarily compile-time constants. The named constants listed below are required to be compile-time constants in both C and Fortran. These constants do not change values during execution. Opaque objects accessed by constant handles are defined and do not change value between MPI initialization (MPI\_INIT) and MPI completion (MPI\_FINALIZE). The handles themselves are constants and can be also used in initialization expressions or assignments.

The constants that are required to be compile-time constants (and can thus be used for array length declarations and labels in C switch and Fortran case/select statements) are:

	23
MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME	24
MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING	25
MPI_MAX_ERROR_STRING	26
MPI_MAX_DATAREP_STRING	27
MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY	28
MPI_MAX_INFO_VAL	29
MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME	30
MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME	31
MPI_VERSION	32
MPI_SUBVERSION	33
MPI_STATUS_SIZE (Fortran only)	34
MPI_ADDRESS_KIND (Fortran only)	35
MPI_COUNT_KIND (Fortran only)	36
MPI_INTEGER_KIND (Fortran only)	37
MPI_OFFSET_KIND (Fortran only)	38
MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED (Fortran only)	39
MPI_ASYNC_PROTECTS_NONBLOCKING (Fortran only)	40
The constants that cannot be used in initialization expressions or assignments in For-	41
tran are: MPI_BOTTOM	42
MPI_STATUS_IGNORE	43
MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE	44
MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE	45
MPI_IN_PLACE	46
MPI_ARGV_NULL	40
MPI_ARGVS_NULL	48
	-10

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MPI\_UNWEIGHTED

MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY

Advice to implementors. In Fortran the implementation of these special constants may require the use of language constructs that are outside the Fortran standard. Using special values for the constants (e.g., by defining them through PARAMETER statements) is not possible because an implementation cannot distinguish these values from valid data. Typically, these constants are implemented as predefined static variables (e.g., a variable in an MPI-declared COMMON block), relying on the fact that the target compiler passes data by address. Inside the subroutine, this address can be extracted by some mechanism outside the Fortran standard (e.g., by Fortran extensions or by implementing the function in C). (End of advice to implementors.)

## 12 13

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

## 14 2.5.5 Choice

<sup>15</sup> MPI functions sometimes use arguments with a *choice* (or union) data type. Distinct calls to <sup>16</sup> the same routine may pass by reference actual arguments of different types. The mechanism <sup>17</sup> for providing such arguments will differ from language to language. For Fortran with the <sup>19</sup> include file mpif.h or the mpi module, the document uses <type> to represent a choice <sup>20</sup> variable; with the Fortran mpi\_f08 module, such arguments are declared with the Fortran <sup>21</sup> 2008 + TR 29113 syntax TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..); for C, we use void \*.

Advice to implementors. Implementors can freely choose how to implement choice arguments in the mpi module, e.g., with a non-standard compiler-dependent method that has the quality of the call mechanism in the implicit Fortran interfaces, or with the method defined for the mpi\_f08 module. See details in Section 17.1.1. (End of advice to implementors.)

## 2.5.6 Addresses

Some MPI procedures use *address* arguments that represent an absolute address in the calling program. The datatype of such an argument is MPI\_Aint in C and INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) in Fortran. These types must have the same width and encode address values in the same manner such that address values in one language may be passed directly to another language without conversion. There is the MPI constant MPI\_BOTTOM to indicate the start of the address range.

36 37

## 2.5.7 File Offsets

For I/O there is a need to give the size, displacement, and offset into a file. These quantities can easily be larger than 32 bits which can be the default size of a Fortran integer. To overcome this, these quantities are declared to be INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) in Fortran. In C one uses MPI\_Offset. These types must have the same width and encode address values in the same manner such that offset values in one language may be passed directly to another language without conversion.

## 45

## 46 2.5.8 Counts

<sup>47</sup> As described above, MPI defines types (e.g., MPI\_Aint) to address locations within memory
 <sup>48</sup> and other types (e.g., MPI\_Offset) to address locations within files. In addition, some MPI

procedures use *count* arguments that represent a number of MPI datatypes on which to operate. At times, one needs a single type that can be used to address locations within either memory or files as well as express *count* values, and that type is MPI\_Count in C and INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_COUNT\_KIND) in Fortran. These types must have the same width and encode values in the same manner such that count values in one language may be passed directly to another language without conversion. The size of the MPI\_Count type is determined by the MPI implementation with the restriction that it must be minimally capable of encoding any value that may be stored in a variable of type int, MPI\_Aint, or MPI\_Offset in C and of type INTEGER, INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), or INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) in Fortran.

*Rationale.* Count values logically need to be large enough to encode any value used for expressing element counts, type maps in memory, type maps in file views, etc. For backward compatibility reasons, many MPI routines still use int in C and INTEGER in Fortran as the type of count arguments. (*End of rationale.*)

## 2.6 Language Binding

This section defines the rules for MPI language binding in general and for Fortran, and ISO C, in particular. (Note that ANSI C has been replaced by ISO C.) Defined here are various object representations, as well as the naming conventions used for expressing this standard. The actual calling sequences are defined elsewhere.

MPI bindings are for Fortran 90 or later, though they were originally designed to be usable in Fortran 77 environments. With the mpi\_f08 module, two new Fortran features, assumed type and assumed rank, are also required, see Section 2.5.5.

Since the word **PARAMETER** is a keyword in the Fortran language, we use the word "argument" to denote the arguments to a subroutine. These are normally referred to as parameters in C, however, we expect that C programmers will understand the word "argument" (which has no specific meaning in C), thus allowing us to avoid unnecessary confusion for Fortran programmers.

Since Fortran is case insensitive, linkers may use either lower case or upper case when resolving Fortran names. Users of case sensitive languages should avoid the "mpi\_" and "pmpi\_" prefixes.

### 2.6.1 Deprecated and Removed Names and Functions

A number of chapters refer to deprecated or replaced MPI constructs. These are constructs that continue to be part of the MPI standard, as documented in Chapter 15, but that users are recommended not to continue using, since better solutions were provided with newer versions of MPI. For example, the Fortran binding for MPI-1 functions that have address arguments uses INTEGER. This is not consistent with the C binding, and causes problems on machines with 32 bit INTEGERs and 64 bit addresses. In MPI-2, these functions were given new names with new bindings for the address arguments. The use of the old functions is deprecated. For consistency, here and in a few other cases, new C functions are also provided, even though the new functions are equivalent to the old functions. The old names are deprecated.

Some of the deprecated constructs are now removed, as documented in Chapter 16. They may still be provided by an implementation for backwards compatibility, but are not

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{1}$  required.

Table 2.1 shows a list of all of the deprecated and removed constructs. Note that some
 C typedefs and Fortran subroutine names are included in this list; they are the types of
 callback functions.

_	cambaon ranotions.			
5	Deprecated or removed	deprecated	removed	Replacement
6	construct	since	since	1
7	MPI_ADDRESS	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_GET_ADDRESS
8	MPI_TYPE_HINDEXED	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED
9	MPI_TYPE_HVECTOR	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR
10	MPI_TYPE_STRUCT	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT
	MPI_TYPE_EXTENT	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT
11	MPI_TYPE_UB	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT
12	MPI_TYPE_LB	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT
13	MPI_LB <sup>1</sup>	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED
14	MPI_UB <sup>1</sup>	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED
15	MPI_ERRHANDLER_CREATE	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_COMM_CREATE_ERRHANDLER
	MPI_ERRHANDLER_GET	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_COMM_GET_ERRHANDLER
16	MPI_ERRHANDLER_SET	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	MPI_COMM_SET_ERRHANDLER
17	$MPI_Handler_function^2$	MPI-2.0	MPI-3.0	$MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function^2$
18	MPI_KEYVAL_CREATE	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_CREATE_KEYVAL
19	MPI_KEYVAL_FREE	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_FREE_KEYVAL
	MPI_DUP_FN <sup>3</sup>	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_DUP_FN <sup>3</sup>
20	MPI_NULL_COPY_FN <sup>3</sup>	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN <sup>3</sup>
21	MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN <sup>3</sup>	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_NULL_DELETE_FN <sup>3</sup>
22	MPI_Copy_function <sup>2</sup>	MPI-2.0		MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function <sup>2</sup>
23	COPY_FUNCTION <sup>3</sup>	MPI-2.0		COMM_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION <sup>3</sup>
	$MPI_Delete_function^2$	MPI-2.0		$MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr\_function^2$
24	DELETE_FUNCTION <sup>3</sup>	MPI-2.0		COMM_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION <sup>3</sup>
25	MPI_ATTR_DELETE	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_DELETE_ATTR
26	MPI_ATTR_GET	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR
27	MPI_ATTR_PUT	MPI-2.0		MPI_COMM_SET_ATTR
28	MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR_INTEGER <sup>4</sup>	-	MPI-3.0	MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR <sup>4</sup>
	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_INTEGER <sup>4</sup>	-	MPI-3.0	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED <sup>4</sup>
29	MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT_INTEGER <sup>4</sup>	-	MPI-3.0	$MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT^4$
30	MPI:	MPI-2.2	MPI-3.0	C language binding
81	<sup>1</sup> Predefined datatype.			
32	<sup>2</sup> Callback prototype definition.			
33	<sup>3</sup> Predefined callback routine.			
	<sup>4</sup> Constant.			
34	Other entries are regular MPI routines.			
35				
36				

36 37

## Table 2.1: Deprecated and Removed constructs

- 38 39 40
- 2.6.2 Fortran Binding Issues

<sup>41</sup> Originally, MPI-1.1 provided bindings for Fortran 77. These bindings are retained, but they <sup>42</sup> are now interpreted in the context of the Fortran 90 standard. MPI can still be used with <sup>43</sup> most Fortran 77 compilers, as noted below. When the term "Fortran" is used it means <sup>44</sup> Fortran 90 or later; it means Fortran 2008 + TR 29113 and later if the mpi\_f08 module is <sup>45</sup> used.

All MPI names have an MPI\_ prefix, and all characters are capitals. Programs must not declare names, e.g., for variables, subroutines, functions, parameters, derived types, abstract interfaces, or modules, beginning with the prefix MPI\_. To avoid conflicting with the profiling interface, programs must also avoid subroutines and functions with the prefix PMPI\_. This is mandated to avoid possible name collisions.

All MPI Fortran subroutines have a return code in the last argument. With USE mpi\_f08, this last argument is declared as OPTIONAL, except for user-defined callback functions (e.g., COMM\_COPY\_ATTR\_FUNCTION) and their predefined callbacks (e.g.,

MPI\_NULL\_COPY\_FN). A few MPI operations which are functions do not have the return code argument. The return code value for successful completion is MPI\_SUCCESS. Other error codes are implementation dependent; see the error codes in Chapter 8 and Annex A.

Constants representing the maximum length of a string are one smaller in Fortran than in C as discussed in Section 17.2.9.

Handles are represented in Fortran as INTEGERS, or as a BIND(C) derived type with the mpi\_f08 module; see Section 2.5.1. Binary-valued variables are of type LOGICAL.

Array arguments are indexed from one.

The older MPI Fortran bindings (mpif.h and use mpi) are inconsistent with the Fortran standard in several respects. These inconsistencies, such as register optimization problems, have implications for user codes that are discussed in detail in Section 17.1.16.

### 2.6.3 C Binding Issues

We use the ISO C declaration format. All MPI names have an MPI\_ prefix, defined constants are in all capital letters, and defined types and functions have one capital letter after the prefix. Programs must not declare names (identifiers), e.g., for variables, functions, constants, types, or macros, beginning with the prefix MPI\_. To support the profiling interface, programs must not declare functions with names beginning with the prefix PMPI\_.

The definition of named constants, function prototypes, and type definitions must be supplied in an include file mpi.h.

Almost all C functions return an error code. The successful return code will be MPI\_SUCCESS, but failure return codes are implementation dependent.

Type declarations are provided for handles to each category of opaque objects.

Array arguments are indexed from zero.

Logical flags are integers with value 0 meaning "false" and a non-zero value meaning "true."

Choice arguments are pointers of type void \*.

Address arguments are of MPI defined type MPI\_Aint. File displacements are of type MPI\_Offset. MPI\_Aint is defined to be an integer of the size needed to hold any valid address on the target architecture. MPI\_Offset is defined to be an integer of the size needed to hold any valid file size on the target architecture.

### 2.6.4 Functions and Macros

An implementation is allowed to implement MPI\_WTIME, MPI\_WTICK, PMPI\_WTIME, PMPI\_WTICK, and the handle-conversion functions (MPI\_Group\_f2c, etc.) in Section 17.2.4, and no others, as macros in C.

Advice to implementors. Implementors should document which routines are implemented as macros. (End of advice to implementors.)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $44 \\ 45$ 

Advice to users. If these routines are implemented as macros, they will not work with the MPI profiling interface. (End of advice to users.)

#### 2.7 Processes

An MPI program consists of autonomous processes, executing their own code, in an MIMD style. The codes executed by each process need not be identical. The processes communicate via calls to MPI communication primitives. Typically, each process executes in its own address space, although shared-memory implementations of MPI are possible.

10 This document specifies the behavior of a parallel program assuming that only MPI calls are used. The interaction of an MPI program with other possible means of commu-12nication, I/O, and process management is not specified. Unless otherwise stated in the 13 specification of the standard, MPI places no requirements on the result of its interaction 14with external mechanisms that provide similar or equivalent functionality. This includes, 15but is not limited to, interactions with external mechanisms for process control, shared and 16remote memory access, file system access and control, interprocess communication, process 17signaling, and terminal I/O. High quality implementations should strive to make the results of such interactions intuitive to users, and attempt to document restrictions where deemed 19necessary. 20

> Advice to implementations. Implementations that support such additional mechanisms for functionality supported within MPI are expected to document how these interact with MPI. (End of advice to implementors.)

The interaction of MPI and threads is defined in Section 12.4.

#### Error Handling 2.8

MPI provides the user with reliable message transmission. A message sent is always received 30 correctly, and the user does not need to check for transmission errors, time-outs, or other  $^{31}$ error conditions. In other words, MPI does not provide mechanisms for dealing with failures 32 in the communication system. If the MPI implementation is built on an unreliable underly-33 ing mechanism, then it is the job of the implementor of the MPI subsystem to insulate the 34 user from this unreliability, or to reflect unrecoverable errors as failures. Whenever possible, 35 such failures will be reflected as errors in the relevant communication call. Similarly, MPI 36 itself provides no mechanisms for handling processor failures. 37

Of course, MPI programs may still be erroneous. A program error can occur when 38 an MPI call is made with an incorrect argument (non-existing destination in a send op-39 eration, buffer too small in a receive operation, etc.). This type of error would occur in 40 any implementation. In addition, a resource error may occur when a program exceeds the 41 amount of available system resources (number of pending messages, system buffers, etc.). 42The occurrence of this type of error depends on the amount of available resources in the 43 system and the resource allocation mechanism used; this may differ from system to system. 44A high-quality implementation will provide generous limits on the important resources so 45as to alleviate the portability problem this represents. 46

In C and Fortran, almost all MPI calls return a code that indicates successful completion 47of the operation. Whenever possible, MPI calls return an error code if an error occurred 48

1

2

3 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 6

7

8

9

11

18

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 25

2627

during the call. By default, an error detected during the execution of the MPI library causes the parallel computation to abort, except for file operations. However, MPI provides mechanisms for users to change this default and to handle recoverable errors. The user may specify that no error is fatal, and handle error codes returned by MPI calls by himself or herself. Also, the user may provide his or her own error-handling routines, which will be invoked whenever an MPI call returns abnormally. The MPI error handling facilities are described in Section 8.3.

Several factors limit the ability of MPI calls to return with meaningful error codes when an error occurs. MPI may not be able to detect some errors; other errors may be too expensive to detect in normal execution mode; finally some errors may be "catastrophic" and may prevent MPI from returning control to the caller in a consistent state.

Another subtle issue arises because of the nature of asynchronous communications: MPI calls may initiate operations that continue asynchronously after the call returned. Thus, the operation may return with a code indicating successful completion, yet later cause an error exception to be raised. If there is a subsequent call that relates to the same operation (e.g., a call that verifies that an asynchronous operation has completed) then the error argument associated with this call will be used to indicate the nature of the error. In a few cases, the error may occur after all calls that relate to the operation have completed, so that no error value can be used to indicate the nature of the error (e.g., an error on the receiver in a send with the ready mode). Such an error must be treated as fatal, since information cannot be returned for the user to recover from it.

This document does not specify the state of a computation after an erroneous MPI call has occurred. The desired behavior is that a relevant error code be returned, and the effect of the error be localized to the greatest possible extent. E.g., it is highly desirable that an erroneous receive call will not cause any part of the receiver's memory to be overwritten, beyond the area specified for receiving the message.

Implementations may go beyond this document in supporting in a meaningful manner MPI calls that are defined here to be erroneous. For example, MPI specifies strict type matching rules between matching send and receive operations: it is erroneous to send a floating point variable and receive an integer. Implementations may go beyond these type matching rules, and provide automatic type conversion in such situations. It will be helpful to generate warnings for such non-conforming behavior.

MPI defines a way for users to create new error codes as defined in Section 8.5.

## 2.9 Implementation Issues

There are a number of areas where an MPI implementation may interact with the operating environment and system. While MPI does not mandate that any services (such as signal handling) be provided, it does strongly suggest the behavior to be provided if those services are available. This is an important point in achieving portability across platforms that provide the same set of services.

## 2.9.1 Independence of Basic Runtime Routines

MPI programs require that library routines that are part of the basic language environment (such as write in Fortran and printf and malloc in ISO C) and are executed after MPI\_INIT and before MPI\_FINALIZE operate independently and that their *completion* is independent of the action of other processes in an MPI program.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $45 \\ 46$ 

Note that this in no way prevents the creation of library routines that provide parallel
 services whose operation is collective. However, the following program is expected to complete in an ISO C environment regardless of the size of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD (assuming that
 printf is available at the executing nodes).

```
int rank;
MPI_Init((void *)0, (void *)0);
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
if (rank == 0) printf("Starting program\n");
MPI_Finalize();
```

<sup>11</sup> The corresponding Fortran programs are also expected to complete.

An example of what is *not* required is any particular ordering of the action of these routines when called by several tasks. For example, MPI makes neither requirements nor recommendations for the output from the following program (again assuming that I/O is available at the executing nodes).

<sup>17</sup> MPI\_Comm\_rank(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, &rank); <sup>18</sup> printf("Output from task rank %d\n", rank);

In addition, calls that fail because of resource exhaustion or other error are not considered a violation of the requirements here (however, they are required to complete, just not to complete successfully).

 $23 \\ 24$ 

19

2.9.2 Interaction with Signals

<sup>25</sup> MPI does not specify the interaction of processes with signals and does not require that MPI <sup>26</sup> be signal safe. The implementation may reserve some signals for its own use. It is required <sup>27</sup> that the implementation document which signals it uses, and it is strongly recommended <sup>28</sup> that it not use SIGALRM, SIGFPE, or SIGIO. Implementations may also prohibit the use of <sup>29</sup> MPI calls from within signal handlers.

In multithreaded environments, users can avoid conflicts between signals and the MPI library by catching signals only on threads that do not execute MPI calls. High quality single-threaded implementations will be signal safe: an MPI call suspended by a signal will resume and complete normally after the signal is handled.

34 35 36

37

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

## 2.10 Examples

The examples in this document are for illustration purposes only. They are not intended to specify the standard. Furthermore, the examples have not been carefully checked or verified.

- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

# Chapter 3

# **Point-to-Point Communication**

#### 3.1Introduction

Sending and receiving of messages by processes is the basic MPI communication mechanism. The basic point-to-point communication operations are *send* and *receive*. Their use is illustrated in the example below.

7

9

1516

17

18

19

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

38

40

41

```
20
#include "mpi.h"
                                                                                    21
int main( int argc, char *argv[])
                                                                                    22
{
                                                                                    23
  char message[20];
  int myrank;
 MPI_Status status;
 MPI_Init( &argc, &argv );
                                                                                    27
 MPI_Comm_rank( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &myrank );
                                                                                    28
  if (myrank == 0)
                       /* code for process zero */
                                                                                    29
  ſ
                                                                                    30
      strcpy(message,"Hello, there");
                                                                                    31
      MPI_Send(message, strlen(message)+1, MPI_CHAR, 1, 99, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
                                                                                    32
  }
                                                                                    33
  else if (myrank == 1) /* code for process one */
                                                                                    34
  {
                                                                                    35
      MPI_Recv(message, 20, MPI_CHAR, 0, 99, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
                                                                                    36
      printf("received :%s:\n", message);
                                                                                    37
  }
 MPI_Finalize();
                                                                                    39
  return 0;
}
```

42In this example, process zero (myrank = 0) sends a message to process one using the send operation MPI\_SEND. The operation specifies a send buffer in the sender memory 4344from which the message data is taken. In the example above, the send buffer consists of the storage containing the variable *message* in the memory of process zero. The location, 4546size and type of the send buffer are specified by the first three parameters of the send 47operation. The message sent will contain the 13 characters of this variable. In addition, 48 the send operation associates an *envelope* with the message. This envelope specifies the

1 message destination and contains distinguishing information that can be used by the *receive*  $\mathbf{2}$ operation to select a particular message. The last three parameters of the send operation, 3 along with the rank of the sender, specify the envelope for the message sent. Process one 4 (myrank = 1) receives this message with the *receive* operation MPI\_RECV. The message to 5be received is selected according to the value of its envelope, and the message data is stored 6 into the receive buffer. In the example above, the receive buffer consists of the storage  $\overline{7}$ containing the string **message** in the memory of process one. The first three parameters 8 of the receive operation specify the location, size and type of the receive buffer. The next 9 three parameters are used for selecting the incoming message. The last parameter is used 10 to return information on the message just received.

11The next sections describe the blocking send and receive operations. We discuss send, 12receive, blocking communication semantics, type matching requirements, type conversion in 13heterogeneous environments, and more general communication modes. Nonblocking com-14munication is addressed next, followed by probing and canceling a message, channel-like 15constructs and send-receive operations, ending with a description of the "dummy" process, 16MPI\_PROC\_NULL.

#### 3.2 Blocking Send and Receive Operations

```
3.2.1
       Blocking Send
```

The syntax of the blocking send operation is given below.

```
MPI_SEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)
```

26	IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)
27 28	IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
29 30	IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
31	IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)
32	IN	tag	message tag (integer)
33 34	IN	comm	communicator (handle)

int MPI\_Send(const void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, int dest, int tag, MPI\_Comm comm)

```
38
     MPI_Send(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, ierror)
39
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: buf
40
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag
41
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
42
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
43
                                             ierror
44
```

```
MPI_SEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)
45
         <type> BUF(*)
46
         INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR
47
```

```
48
          The blocking semantics of this call are described in Section 3.4.
```

1718

19 20

21

2223 $^{24}$ 

3 3 35

36

### 3.2.2 Message Data

The send buffer specified by the MPI\_SEND operation consists of count successive entries of the type indicated by datatype, starting with the entry at address buf. Note that we specify the message length in terms of number of *elements*, not number of *bytes*. The former is machine independent and closer to the application level.

The data part of the message consists of a sequence of count values, each of the type indicated by datatype. count may be zero, in which case the data part of the message is empty. The basic datatypes that can be specified for message data values correspond to the basic datatypes of the host language. Possible values of this argument for Fortran and the corresponding Fortran types are listed in Table 3.1.

MPI datatype	Fortran datatype
MPI_INTEGER	INTEGER
MPI_REAL	REAL
MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION	DOUBLE PRECISION
MPI_COMPLEX	COMPLEX
MPI_LOGICAL	LOGICAL
MPI_CHARACTER	CHARACTER(1)
MPI_BYTE	
MPI_PACKED	

Table 3.1: Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to Fortran datatypes

Possible values for this argument for C and the corresponding C types are listed in Table 3.2.

The datatypes MPI\_BYTE and MPI\_PACKED do not correspond to a Fortran or C datatype. A value of type MPI\_BYTE consists of a byte (8 binary digits). A byte is uninterpreted and is different from a character. Different machines may have different representations for characters, or may use more than one byte to represent characters. On the other hand, a byte has the same binary value on all machines. The use of the type MPI\_PACKED is explained in Section 4.2.

MPI requires support of these datatypes, which match the basic datatypes of Fortran and ISO C. Additional MPI datatypes should be provided if the host language has additional data types: MPI\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX for double precision complex in Fortran declared to be of type DOUBLE COMPLEX; MPI\_REAL2, MPI\_REAL4, and MPI\_REAL8 for Fortran reals, declared to be of type REAL\*2, REAL\*4 and REAL\*8, respectively; MPI\_INTEGER1, MPI\_INTEGER2, and MPI\_INTEGER4 for Fortran integers, declared to be of type INTEGER\*1, INTEGER\*2, and INTEGER\*4, respectively; etc.

*Rationale.* One goal of the design is to allow for MPI to be implemented as a library, with no need for additional preprocessing or compilation. Thus, one cannot assume that a communication call has information on the datatype of variables in the communication buffer; this information must be supplied by an explicit argument. The need for such datatype information will become clear in Section 3.3.2. (*End of rationale.*)

The datatypes MPI\_AINT, MPI\_OFFSET, and MPI\_COUNT correspond to the MPIdefined C types MPI\_Aint, MPI\_Offset, and MPI\_Count and their Fortran equivalents  $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

1	MPI datatype	C datatype
2	MPI_CHAR	char
3		(treated as printable character)
4	MPI_SHORT	signed short int
5	MPI_INT	signed int
6	MPI_LONG	signed long int
7	MPI_LONG_LONG_INT	signed long long int
8	MPI_LONG_LONG (as a synonym)	signed long long int
9	MPI_SIGNED_CHAR	signed char
10		(treated as integral value)
11	MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char
12		(treated as integral value)
13	MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT	unsigned short int
14	MPI_UNSIGNED	unsigned int
15	MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG	unsigned long int
16	MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG	unsigned long long int
17	MPI_FLOAT	float
18	MPI_DOUBLE	double
19	MPI_LONG_DOUBLE	long double
20	MPI_WCHAR	wchar_t
21		(defined in <stddef.h>)</stddef.h>
22		(treated as printable character)
23	MPI_C_BOOL	_Bool
24	MPI_INT8_T	int8_t
25	MPI_INT16_T	int16_t
26	MPI_INT32_T	int32_t
27	MPI_INT64_T	int64_t
28	MPI_UINT8_T	uint8_t
29	MPI_UINT16_T	uint16_t
30	MPI_UINT32_T	uint32_t
31	MPI_UINT64_T	uint64_t
32	MPI_C_COMPLEX	float _Complex
33	MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX (as a synonym)	float _Complex
34	MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	double _Complex
35	MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	long double _Complex
36	MPI_BYTE	
37	MPI_PACKED	
38		
39	Table 3.2: Predefined MPI datatypes co	presponding to C datatypes
40	Table 5.2. I recentled with datatypes of	mosponding to C datatypes

INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND), and INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_COUNT\_KIND). This is described in Table 3.3. All predefined datatype handles are available in all language bindings. See Sections 17.2.6 and 17.2.10 on page 652 and 660 for information on interlanguage communication with these types.

<sup>46</sup> If there is an accompanying C++ compiler then the datatypes in Table 3.4 are also <sup>47</sup> supported in C and Fortran.

MPI datatype	C datatype	Fortran datatype
MPI_AINT	MPI_Aint	INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)
MPI_OFFSET	MPI_Offset	INTEGER (KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)
MPI_COUNT	MPI_Count	INTEGER (KIND=MPI_COUNT_KIND)

Table 3.3: Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to both C and Fortran datatypes

MPI datatype	C++ datatype
MPI_CXX_BOOL	bool
MPI_CXX_FLOAT_COMPLEX	std::complex <float></float>
MPI_CXX_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	std::complex <double></double>
MPI_CXX_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	std::complex <long double=""></long>

Table 3.4: Predefined MPI datatypes corresponding to C++ datatypes

### 3.2.3 Message Envelope

In addition to the data part, messages carry information that can be used to distinguish messages and selectively receive them. This information consists of a fixed number of fields, which we collectively call the *message envelope*. These fields are

source
destination
$\operatorname{tag}$
communicator

The message source is implicitly determined by the identity of the message sender. The other fields are specified by arguments in the send operation.

The message destination is specified by the **dest** argument.

The integer-valued message tag is specified by the tag argument. This integer can be used by the program to distinguish different types of messages. The range of valid tag values is  $0, \ldots, UB$ , where the value of UB is implementation dependent. It can be found by querying the value of the attribute MPI\_TAG\_UB, as described in Chapter 8. MPI requires that UB be no less than 32767.

The comm argument specifies the *communicator* that is used for the send operation. Communicators are explained in Chapter 6; below is a brief summary of their usage.

A communicator specifies the communication context for a communication operation. Each communication context provides a separate "communication universe": messages are always received within the context they were sent, and messages sent in different contexts do not interfere.

The communicator also specifies the set of processes that share this communication context. This *process group* is ordered and processes are identified by their rank within this group. Thus, the range of valid values for **dest** is  $0, \ldots, n-1 \cup \{\text{MPI}\_\text{PROC}\_\text{NULL}\}$ , where n is the number of processes in the group. (If the communicator is an inter-communicator, then destinations are identified by their rank in the remote group. See Chapter 6.)

A predefined communicator MPI\_COMM\_WORLD is provided by MPI. It allows communication with all processes that are accessible after MPI initialization and processes are identified by their rank in the group of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD.

 $^{31}$ 

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1 Advice to users. Users that are comfortable with the notion of a flat name space  $\mathbf{2}$ for processes, and a single communication context, as offered by most existing com-3 munication libraries, need only use the predefined variable MPI\_COMM\_WORLD as the 4 comm argument. This will allow communication with all the processes available at 5initialization time. 6 Users may define new communicators, as explained in Chapter 6. Communicators 7 provide an important encapsulation mechanism for libraries and modules. They allow 8 modules to have their own disjoint communication universe and their own process 9 numbering scheme. (End of advice to users.) 10 11 Advice to implementors. The message envelope would normally be encoded by a 12fixed-length message header. However, the actual encoding is implementation depen-13 dent. Some of the information (e.g., source or destination) may be implicit, and need 14not be explicitly carried by messages. Also, processes may be identified by relative 15ranks, or absolute ids, etc. (End of advice to implementors.) 16173.2.4 **Blocking Receive** 18 The syntax of the blocking receive operation is given below. 192021MPI\_RECV (buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status) 22 OUT 23buf initial address of receive buffer (choice)  $^{24}$ IN count number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-25teger) 26IN datatype datatype of each receive buffer element (handle) 2728IN rank of source or MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE (integer) source 29message tag or MPI\_ANY\_TAG (integer) IN tag 30 IN comm communicator (handle)  $^{31}$ 32 OUT status status object (Status) 33 34 int MPI\_Recv(void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, int source, 35 int tag, MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Status \*status) 36 MPI\_Recv(buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status, ierror) 37 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf 38 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, source, tag 39 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 40 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 41 TYPE(MPI\_Status) :: status 42INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 43 44MPI\_RECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS, IERROR) 45<type> BUF(\*) 46INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE), 47 IERROR 48

### 3.2. BLOCKING SEND AND RECEIVE OPERATIONS

The blocking semantics of this call are described in Section 3.4.

The receive buffer consists of the storage containing **count** consecutive elements of the type specified by datatype, starting at address buf. The length of the received message must be less than or equal to the length of the receive buffer. An overflow error occurs if all incoming data does not fit, without truncation, into the receive buffer.

If a message that is shorter than the receive buffer arrives, then only those locations corresponding to the (shorter) message are modified.

Advice to users. The MPI\_PROBE function described in Section 3.8 can be used to receive messages of unknown length. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. Even though no specific behavior is mandated by MPI for erroneous programs, the recommended handling of overflow situations is to return in status information about the source and tag of the incoming message. The receive operation will return an error code. A quality implementation will also ensure that no memory that is outside the receive buffer will ever be overwritten.

In the case of a message shorter than the receive buffer, MPI is quite strict in that it allows no modification of the other locations. A more lenient statement would allow for some optimizations but this is not allowed. The implementation must be ready to end a copy into the receiver memory exactly at the end of the receive buffer, even if it is an odd address. (End of advice to implementors.)

The selection of a message by a receive operation is governed by the value of the 23message envelope. A message can be received by a receive operation if its envelope matches the source, tag and comm values specified by the receive operation. The receiver may specify a wildcard MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE value for source, and/or a wildcard MPI\_ANY\_TAG value for tag, indicating that any source and/or tag are acceptable. It cannot specify a 27wildcard value for comm. Thus, a message can be received by a receive operation only if it is addressed to the receiving process, has a matching communicator, has matching 2930 source unless source=MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE in the pattern, and has a matching tag unless tag=MPI\_ANY\_TAG in the pattern.

The message tag is specified by the tag argument of the receive operation. The argu-33 ment source, if different from MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, is specified as a rank within the process group associated with that same communicator (remote process group, for intercommu-34 35 nicators). Thus, the range of valid values for the source argument is  $\{0, \ldots, n-1\} \cup$  $\{MPI_ANY_SOURCE\}, \cup \{MPI_PROC_NULL\}, where n is the number of processes in this group.$ 36

Note the asymmetry between send and receive operations: A receive operation may accept messages from an arbitrary sender, on the other hand, a send operation must specify a unique receiver. This matches a "push" communication mechanism, where data transfer is effected by the sender (rather than a "pull" mechanism, where data transfer is effected by the receiver).

Source = destination is allowed, that is, a process can send a message to itself. (However, it is unsafe to do so with the blocking send and receive operations described above, since this may lead to deadlock. See Section 3.5.)

Advice to implementors. Message context and other communicator information can 46be implemented as an additional tag field. It differs from the regular message tag 47in that wild card matching is not allowed on this field, and that value setting for 48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

 $^{24}$ 

2526

28

 $^{31}$ 

32

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

this field is controlled by communicator manipulation functions. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The use of dest or source=MPI\_PROC\_NULL to define a "dummy" destination or source in any send or receive call is described in Section 3.11.

3.2.5 Return Status

The source or tag of a received message may not be known if wildcard values were used in the receive operation. Also, if multiple requests are completed by a single MPI function (see Section 3.7.5), a distinct error code may need to be returned for each request. The information is returned by the status argument of MPI\_RECV. The type of status is MPIdefined. Status variables need to be explicitly allocated by the user, that is, they are not system objects.

In C, status is a structure that contains three fields named MPI\_SOURCE, MPI\_TAG, and MPI\_ERROR; the structure may contain additional fields. Thus,

status.MPI\_SOURCE, status.MPI\_TAG and status.MPI\_ERROR contain the source, tag, and
 error code, respectively, of the received message.

In Fortran with USE mpi or INCLUDE 'mpif.h', status is an array of INTEGERs of size MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE. The constants MPI\_SOURCE, MPI\_TAG and MPI\_ERROR are the indices of the entries that store the source, tag and error fields. Thus, status(MPI\_SOURCE), status(MPI\_TAG) and status(MPI\_ERROR) contain, respectively, the source, tag and error code of the received message.

With Fortran USE mpi\_f08, status is defined as the Fortran BIND(C) derived type TYPE(MPI\_Status) containing three public INTEGER fields named MPI\_SOURCE, MPI\_TAG, and MPI\_ERROR. TYPE(MPI\_Status) may contain additional, implementation-specific fields. Thus, status%MPI\_SOURCE, status%MPI\_TAG and status%MPI\_ERROR contain the source, tag, and error code of a received message respectively. Additionally, within both the mpi and the mpi\_f08 modules, the constants MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE, MPI\_SOURCE, MPI\_TAG,

<sup>30</sup> MPI\_ERROR, and TYPE(MPI\_Status) are defined to allow conversion between both status <sup>31</sup> representations. Conversion routines are provided in Section 17.2.5.

- *Rationale.* The Fortran TYPE(MPI\_Status) is defined as a BIND(C) derived type so that it can be used at any location where the status integer array representation can be used, e.g., in user defined common blocks. (*End of rationale.*)
  - *Rationale.* It is allowed to have the same name (e.g., MPI\_SOURCE) defined as a constant (e.g., Fortran parameter) and as a field of a derived type. (*End of rationale.*)

<sup>39</sup> In general, message-passing calls do not modify the value of the error code field of <sup>40</sup> status variables. This field may be updated only by the functions in Section 3.7.5 which <sup>41</sup> return multiple statuses. The field is updated if and only if such function returns with an <sup>42</sup> error code of MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS.

Rationale. The error field in status is not needed for calls that return only one status,
 such as MPI\_WAIT, since that would only duplicate the information returned by the
 function itself. The current design avoids the additional overhead of setting it, in such
 cases. The field is needed for calls that return multiple statuses, since each request
 may have had a different failure. (End of rationale.)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3 4

5 6 7

8

32

33

34

35 36

37

The status argument also returns information on the length of the message received. However, this information is not directly available as a field of the status variable and a call to MPI\_GET\_COUNT is required to "decode" this information.

MPI\_GET\_COUNT(status, datatype, count)

IN	status	return status of receive operation (Status)
IN	datatype	datatype of each receive buffer entry (handle)
OUT	count	number of received entries (integer)

MPI\_Get\_count(status, datatype, count, ierror)
 TYPE(MPI\_Status), INTENT(IN) :: status
 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: count
 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

MPI\_GET\_COUNT(STATUS, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)
INTEGER STATUS(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE), DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR

Returns the number of entries received. (Again, we count *entries*, each of type *datatype*, not *bytes*.) The datatype argument should match the argument provided by the receive call that set the status variable. If the number of entries received exceeds the limits of the count parameter, then MPI\_GET\_COUNT sets the value of count to MPI\_UNDEFINED. There are other situations where the value of count can be set to MPI\_UNDEFINED; see Section 4.1.11.

*Rationale.* Some message-passing libraries use INOUT count, tag and source arguments, thus using them both to specify the selection criteria for incoming messages and return the actual envelope values of the received message. The use of a separate status argument prevents errors that are often attached with INOUT argument (e.g., using the MPI\_ANY\_TAG constant as the tag in a receive). Some libraries use calls that refer implicitly to the "last message received." This is not thread safe.

The datatype argument is passed to MPI\_GET\_COUNT so as to improve performance. A message might be received without counting the number of elements it contains, and the count value is often not needed. Also, this allows the same function to be used after a call to MPI\_PROBE or MPI\_IPROBE. With a status from MPI\_PROBE or MPI\_IPROBE, the same datatypes are allowed as in a call to MPI\_RECV to receive this message. (*End of rationale.*)

The value returned as the count argument of MPI\_GET\_COUNT for a datatype of length zero where zero bytes have been transferred is zero. If the number of bytes transferred is greater than zero, MPI\_UNDEFINED is returned.

*Rationale.* Zero-length datatypes may be created in a number of cases. An important case is MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_DARRAY, where the definition of the particular darray results in an empty block on some MPI process. Programs written in an SPMD style

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

will not check for this special case and may want to use MPI\_GET\_COUNT to check the status. (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. The buffer size required for the receive can be affected by data conversions and by the stride of the receive datatype. In most cases, the safest approach is to use the same datatype with MPI\_GET\_COUNT and the receive. (End of advice to users.)

All send and receive operations use the buf, count, datatype, source, dest, tag, comm, and status arguments in the same way as the blocking MPI\_SEND and MPI\_RECV operations 10 described in this section. 11

1213

4748

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

#### Passing MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE for Status 3.2.6

14Every call to MPI\_RECV includes a status argument, wherein the system can return details 15about the message received. There are also a number of other MPI calls where status 16is returned. An object of type MPI\_Status is not an MPI opaque object; its structure 17is declared in mpi.h and mpif.h, and it exists in the user's program. In many cases, 18 application programs are constructed so that it is unnecessary for them to examine the 19status fields. In these cases, it is a waste for the user to allocate a status object, and it is 20particularly wasteful for the MPI implementation to fill in fields in this object. 21

To cope with this problem, there are two predefined constants, MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE 22and MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, which when passed to a receive, probe, wait, or test function, 23inform the implementation that the status fields are not to be filled in. Note that

 $^{24}$ MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE is not a special type of MPI\_Status object; rather, it is a special value 25for the argument. In C one would expect it to be NULL, not the address of a special 26MPI\_Status. 27

MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE, and the array version MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, can be used every-28where a status argument is passed to a receive, wait, or test function. MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE 29cannot be used when status is an IN argument. Note that in Fortran MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE 30 and MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE are objects like MPI\_BOTTOM (not usable for initialization or  $^{31}$ assignment). See Section 2.5.4. 32

In general, this optimization can apply to all functions for which status or an array of 33 statuses is an OUT argument. Note that this converts status into an INOUT argument. The 34functions that can be passed MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE are all the various forms of MPI\_RECV, 35 MPI\_PROBE, MPI\_TEST, and MPI\_WAIT, as well as MPI\_REQUEST\_GET\_STATUS. When 36 an array is passed, as in the MPI\_{TEST|WAIT}{ALL|SOME} functions, a separate constant, 37 MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, is passed for the array argument. It is possible for an MPI function 38 to return MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS even when MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE 39 has been passed to that function. 40

MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE and MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE are not required to have the same 41 values in C and Fortran. 42

It is not allowed to have some of the statuses in an array of statuses for 43 MPI\_{TEST|WAIT}{ALL|SOME} functions set to MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE; one either specifies 44ignoring all of the statuses in such a call with MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, or *none* of them by 45passing normal statuses in all positions in the array of statuses. 46

#### 3.3 Data Type Matching and Data Conversion

## 3.3.1 Type Matching Rules

One can think of message transfer as consisting of the following three phases.

- 1. Data is pulled out of the send buffer and a message is assembled.
- 2. A message is transferred from sender to receiver.
- 3. Data is pulled from the incoming message and disassembled into the receive buffer.

Type matching has to be observed at each of these three phases: The type of each variable in the sender buffer has to match the type specified for that entry by the send operation; the type specified by the send operation has to match the type specified by the receive operation; and the type of each variable in the receive buffer has to match the type specified for that entry by the receive operation. A program that fails to observe these three rules is erroneous.

To define type matching more precisely, we need to deal with two issues: matching of types of the host language with types specified in communication operations; and matching of types at sender and receiver.

The types of a send and receive match (phase two) if both operations use identical names. That is, MPI\_INTEGER matches MPI\_INTEGER, MPI\_REAL matches MPI\_REAL, and so on. There is one exception to this rule, discussed in Section 4.2: the type MPI\_PACKED can match any other type.

 $^{24}$ The type of a variable in a host program matches the type specified in the communication operation if the datatype name used by that operation corresponds to the basic 26type of the host program variable. For example, an entry with type name MPI\_INTEGER matches a Fortran variable of type INTEGER. A table giving this correspondence for Fortran 2728and C appears in Section 3.2.2. There are two exceptions to this last rule: an entry with type name MPI\_BYTE or MPI\_PACKED can be used to match any byte of storage (on a 2930 byte-addressable machine), irrespective of the datatype of the variable that contains this byte. The type MPI\_PACKED is used to send data that has been explicitly packed, or receive data that will be explicitly unpacked, see Section 4.2. The type MPI\_BYTE allows one to transfer the binary value of a byte in memory unchanged.

To summarize, the type matching rules fall into the three categories below.

- Communication of typed values (e.g., with datatype different from MPI\_BYTE), where the datatypes of the corresponding entries in the sender program, in the send call, in the receive call and in the receiver program must all match.
- Communication of untyped values (e.g., of datatype MPI\_BYTE), where both sender and receiver use the datatype MPI\_BYTE. In this case, there are no requirements on the types of the corresponding entries in the sender and the receiver programs, nor is it required that they be the same.
- Communication involving packed data, where MPI\_PACKED is used.

The following examples illustrate the first two cases.

Example 3.1 Sender and receiver specify matching types. 1 2

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

4445

4647

```
1
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
\mathbf{2}
     IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
3
          CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
4
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
\mathbf{5}
          CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 15, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
6
     END IF
7
          This code is correct if both a and b are real arrays of size \geq 10. (In Fortran, it might be
8
     correct to use this code even if a or b have size < 10: e.g., when a(1) can be equivalenced
9
     to an array with ten reals.)
10
11
                      Sender and receiver do not specify matching types.
     Example 3.2
12
13
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
14
     IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
15
          CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
16
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
17
          CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 40, MPI_BYTE, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
18
     END IF
19
          This code is erroneous, since sender and receiver do not provide matching datatype
20
     arguments.
21
22
     Example 3.3
                      Sender and receiver specify communication of untyped values.
23
24
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
25
     IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
26
          CALL MPI_SEND(a(1), 40, MPI_BYTE, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
27
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
28
          CALL MPI_RECV(b(1), 60, MPI_BYTE, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
29
     END IF
30
          This code is correct, irrespective of the type and size of a and b (unless this results in
^{31}
     an out of bounds memory access).
32
33
           Advice to users. If a buffer of type MPI_BYTE is passed as an argument to MPI_SEND,
34
           then MPI will send the data stored at contiguous locations, starting from the address
35
           indicated by the buf argument. This may have unexpected results when the data
36
           layout is not as a casual user would expect it to be. For example, some Fortran
37
           compilers implement variables of type CHARACTER as a structure that contains the
38
           character length and a pointer to the actual string. In such an environment, sending
39
           and receiving a Fortran CHARACTER variable using the MPI_BYTE type will not have
40
           the anticipated result of transferring the character string. For this reason, the user is
41
           advised to use typed communications whenever possible. (End of advice to users.)
42
43
     Type MPI_CHARACTER
44
45
     The type MPI_CHARACTER matches one character of a Fortran variable of type CHARACTER,
46
     rather than the entire character string stored in the variable. Fortran variables of type
47
     CHARACTER or substrings are transferred as if they were arrays of characters. This is
```

<sup>48</sup> illustrated in the example below.

Example 3.4
Transfer of Fortran CHARACTERs.
CHARACTER*10 a
CHARACTER*10 b
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
CALL MPI_SEND(a, 5, MPI_CHARACTER, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
CALL MPI_RECV(b(6:10), 5, MPI_CHARACTER, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF

The last five characters of string **b** at process 1 are replaced by the first five characters of string **a** at process 0.

*Rationale.* The alternative choice would be for MPI\_CHARACTER to match a character of arbitrary length. This runs into problems.

A Fortran character variable is a constant length string, with no special termination symbol. There is no fixed convention on how to represent characters, and how to store their length. Some compilers pass a character argument to a routine as a pair of arguments, one holding the address of the string and the other holding the length of string. Consider the case of an MPI communication call that is passed a communication buffer with type defined by a derived datatype (Section 4.1). If this communicator buffer contains variables of type CHARACTER then the information on their length will not be passed to the MPI routine.

This problem forces us to provide explicit information on character length with the MPI call. One could add a length parameter to the type MPI\_CHARACTER, but this does not add much convenience and the same functionality can be achieved by defining a suitable derived datatype. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. Some compilers pass Fortran CHARACTER arguments as a structure with a length and a pointer to the actual string. In such an environment, the MPI call needs to dereference the pointer in order to reach the string. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 3.3.2 Data Conversion

One of the goals of MPI is to support parallel computations across heterogeneous environments. Communication in a heterogeneous environment may require data conversions. We use the following terminology.

type conversion changes the datatype of a value, e.g., by rounding a REAL to an INTEGER.

representation conversion changes the binary representation of a value, e.g., from Hex floating point to IEEE floating point.

The type matching rules imply that MPI communication never entails type conversion. <sup>47</sup> On the other hand, MPI requires that a representation conversion be performed when a <sup>48</sup>

typed value is transferred across environments that use different representations for the
 datatype of this value. MPI does not specify rules for representation conversion. Such
 conversion is expected to preserve integer, logical and character values, and to convert a
 floating point value to the nearest value that can be represented on the target system.

<sup>5</sup> Overflow and underflow exceptions may occur during floating point conversions. Con-<sup>6</sup> version of integers or characters may also lead to exceptions when a value that can be <sup>7</sup> represented in one system cannot be represented in the other system. An exception occur-<sup>8</sup> ring during representation conversion results in a failure of the communication. An error <sup>9</sup> occurs either in the send operation, or the receive operation, or both.

<sup>10</sup> If a value sent in a message is untyped (i.e., of type MPI\_BYTE), then the binary <sup>11</sup> representation of the byte stored at the receiver is identical to the binary representation <sup>12</sup> of the byte loaded at the sender. This holds true, whether sender and receiver run in the <sup>13</sup> same or in distinct environments. No representation conversion is required. (Note that <sup>14</sup> representation conversion may occur when values of type MPI\_CHARACTER or MPI\_CHAR <sup>15</sup> are transferred, for example, from an EBCDIC encoding to an ASCII encoding.)

<sup>16</sup> No conversion need occur when an MPI program executes in a homogeneous system,
 <sup>17</sup> where all processes run in the same environment.

18 Consider the three examples, 3.1-3.3. The first program is correct, assuming that **a** and 19b are REAL arrays of size > 10. If the sender and receiver execute in different environments, 20then the ten real values that are fetched from the send buffer will be converted to the 21representation for reals on the receiver site before they are stored in the receive buffer. 22 While the number of real elements fetched from the send buffer equal the number of real 23elements stored in the receive buffer, the number of bytes stored need not equal the number  $^{24}$ of bytes loaded. For example, the sender may use a four byte representation and the receiver 25an eight byte representation for reals.

26

The second program is erroneous, and its behavior is undefined.

The third program is correct. The exact same sequence of forty bytes that were loaded from the send buffer will be stored in the receive buffer, even if sender and receiver run in a different environment. The message sent has exactly the same length (in bytes) and the same binary representation as the message received. If **a** and **b** are of different types, or if they are of the same type but different data representations are used, then the bits stored in the receive buffer may encode values that are different from the values they encoded in the send buffer.

<sup>34</sup> Data representation conversion also applies to the envelope of a message: source, des-<sup>35</sup> tination and tag are all integers that may need to be converted.

Advice to implementors. The current definition does not require messages to carry data type information. Both sender and receiver provide complete data type information. In a heterogeneous environment, one can either use a machine independent encoding such as XDR, or have the receiver convert from the sender representation to its own, or even have the sender do the conversion.

Additional type information might be added to messages in order to allow the system to detect mismatches between datatype at sender and receiver. This might be particularly useful in a slower but safer debug mode. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

44 45

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

<sup>46</sup> MPI requires support for inter-language communication, i.e., if messages are sent by a
 <sup>47</sup> C or C++ process and received by a Fortran process, or vice-versa. The behavior is defined
 <sup>48</sup> in Section 17.2.

## 3.4 Communication Modes

The send call described in Section 3.2.1 is *blocking*: it does not return until the message data and envelope have been safely stored away so that the sender is free to modify the send buffer. The message might be copied directly into the matching receive buffer, or it might be copied into a temporary system buffer.

Message buffering decouples the send and receive operations. A blocking send can complete as soon as the message was buffered, even if no matching receive has been executed by the receiver. On the other hand, message buffering can be expensive, as it entails additional memory-to-memory copying, and it requires the allocation of memory for buffering. MPI offers the choice of several communication modes that allow one to control the choice of the communication protocol.

The send call described in Section 3.2.1 uses the *standard* communication mode. In this mode, it is up to MPI to decide whether outgoing messages will be buffered. MPI may buffer outgoing messages. In such a case, the send call may complete before a matching receive is invoked. On the other hand, buffer space may be unavailable, or MPI may choose not to buffer outgoing messages, for performance reasons. In this case, the send call will not complete until a matching receive has been posted, and the data has been moved to the receiver.

Thus, a send in standard mode can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted. The standard mode send is *non-local*: successful completion of the send operation may depend on the occurrence of a matching receive.

*Rationale.* The reluctance of MPI to mandate whether standard sends are buffering or not stems from the desire to achieve portable programs. Since any system will run out of buffer resources as message sizes are increased, and some implementations may want to provide little buffering, MPI takes the position that correct (and therefore, portable) programs do not rely on system buffering in standard mode. Buffering may improve the performance of a correct program, but it doesn't affect the result of the program. If the user wishes to guarantee a certain amount of buffering, the userprovided buffer system of Section 3.6 should be used, along with the buffered-mode send. (*End of rationale.*)

There are three additional communication modes.

A *buffered* mode send operation can be started whether or not a matching receive has been posted. It may complete before a matching receive is posted. However, unlike the standard send, this operation is *local*, and its completion does not depend on the occurrence of a matching receive. Thus, if a send is executed and no matching receive is posted, then MPI must buffer the outgoing message, so as to allow the send call to complete. An error will occur if there is insufficient buffer space. The amount of available buffer space is controlled by the user — see Section 3.6. Buffer allocation by the user may be required for the buffered mode to be effective.

A send that uses the *synchronous* mode can be started whether or not a matching receive was posted. However, the send will complete successfully only if a matching receive is posted, and the receive operation has started to receive the message sent by the synchronous send. Thus, the completion of a synchronous send not only indicates that the send buffer can be reused, but it also indicates that the receiver has reached a certain point in its

1 2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

execution, namely that it has started executing the matching receive. If both sends and
 receives are blocking operations then the use of the synchronous mode provides synchronous
 communication semantics: a communication does not complete at either end before both
 processes rendezvous at the communication. A send executed in this mode is *non-local*.

5A send that uses the *ready* communication mode may be started *only* if the matching 6 receive is already posted. Otherwise, the operation is erroneous and its outcome is unde-7fined. On some systems, this allows the removal of a hand-shake operation that is otherwise 8 required and results in improved performance. The completion of the send operation does 9 not depend on the status of a matching receive, and merely indicates that the send buffer 10 can be reused. A send operation that uses the ready mode has the same semantics as a 11standard send operation, or a synchronous send operation; it is merely that the sender 12provides additional information to the system (namely that a matching receive is already 13posted), that can save some overhead. In a correct program, therefore, a ready send could 14be replaced by a standard send with no effect on the behavior of the program other than 15performance.

Three additional send functions are provided for the three additional communication
 modes. The communication mode is indicated by a one letter prefix: B for buffered, S for
 synchronous, and R for ready.

19 20

21

MPI\_BSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)

22IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice) 23IN count number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte- $^{24}$ ger) 25datatype of each send buffer element (handle) IN datatype 2627IN dest rank of destination (integer) 28IN message tag (integer) tag 29 IN comm communicator (handle) 30  $^{31}$ int MPI\_Bsend(const void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, int dest, 32 33 int tag, MPI\_Comm comm) 34MPI\_Bsend(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, ierror) 35 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: buf 36 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag 37 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 38 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 39 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 40  $^{41}$ MPI\_BSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR) 42<type> BUF(\*) INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR 43 44 Send in buffered mode. 4546 47 48

MPI.	_SSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, t	ag, comm)	1
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	2
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-	3 4
		ger)	5
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)	6
IN		rank of destination (integer)	7
		· - /	8
IN	0	message tag (integer)	9 10
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	10
int	MPI_Ssend(const void* buf, int int tag, MPI_Comm com	<pre>count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, m)</pre>	12 13 14
MPI_	Ssend(buf, count, datatype, de	st, tag, comm, ierror)	15
	<pre>TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT</pre>		16
	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count,	-	17
	<pre>TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>		18
	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(UN) ::		19 20
			20
MP1_	SSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DE	ST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)	22
	<type> BUF(*) INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST,</type>	TAG COMM TERROR	23
			24
	Send in synchronous mode.		25
			26 27
MPI.	_RSEND (buf, count, datatype, dest, t	tag, comm)	28
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	29
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	30 31
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)	32
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	33 34
		· - /	35
IN	5	message tag (integer)	36
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	37
			38
int	MPI_Rsend(const void* buf, int int tag, MPI_Comm com	<pre>count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, m)</pre>	39 40
мрт	_Rsend(buf, count, datatype, de	st tag comm jerror)	41
··· +_	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT	-	42
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag			
	<pre>TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)</pre>		44
	<pre>TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>		45 46
	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	40
MPI_	RSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DE	ST, TAG, COMM, IERROR)	48

1		
2	<type> BUF(*) INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, IERROR</type>	
3	INTEGER COONT, DATATIFE, DEST, TAG, COMM, TERROR	
4	Send in ready mode.	
5	There is only one receive operation, but it matches any of the send modes. The receive	
6	operation described in the last section is <i>blocking</i> : it returns only after the receive buffer	
7	contains the newly received message. A receive can complete before the matching send has	
8	completed (of course, it can complete only after the matching send has started).	
9	In a multithreaded implementation of MPI, the system may de-schedule a thread that is blocked on a send or receive operation, and schedule another thread for execution in	
10	the same address space. In such a case it is the user's responsibility not to modify a	
11	communication buffer until the communication completes. Otherwise, the outcome of the	
12	computation is undefined.	
13 14	1	
15	Advice to implementors. Since a synchronous send cannot complete before a matching	
16	receive is posted, one will not normally buffer messages sent by such an operation.	
17	It is recommended to choose buffering over blocking the sender, whenever possible,	
18	for standard sends. The programmer can signal his or her preference for blocking the	
19	sender until a matching receive occurs by using the synchronous send mode.	
20	A possible communication protocol for the various communication modes is outlined	
21	below.	
22 23	ready send: The message is sent as soon as possible.	
23 24	synchronous send: The sender sends a request-to-send message. The receiver stores	
25	this request. When a matching receive is posted, the receiver sends back a permission-	
26	to-send message, and the sender now sends the message.	
27	standard send: First protocol may be used for short messages, and second protocol	
28	for long messages.	
29	buffered send: The sender copies the message into a buffer and then sends it with a	
30 31	nonblocking send (using the same protocol as for standard send).	
32	Additional control messages might be needed for flow control and error recovery. Of	
33	course, there are many other possible protocols.	
34	Ready send can be implemented as a standard send. In this case there will be no	
35	performance advantage (or disadvantage) for the use of ready send.	
36	A standard send can be implemented as a synchronous send. In such a case, no data	
37	buffering is needed. However, users may expect some buffering.	
38 30	In a multithreaded environment, the execution of a blocking communication should	
39 40	block only the executing thread, allowing the thread scheduler to de-schedule this	
41	thread and schedule another thread for execution. (End of advice to implementors.)	
42		
43	3.5 Semantics of Point-to-Point Communication	
44		
45 46	A valid MPI implementation guarantees certain general properties of point-to-point com-	

Order Messages are *non-overtaking*: If a sender sends two messages in succession to the same destination, and both match the same receive, then this operation cannot receive the second message if the first one is still pending. If a receiver posts two receives in succession, and both match the same message, then the second receive operation cannot be satisfied by this message, if the first one is still pending. This requirement facilitates matching of sends to receives. It guarantees that message-passing code is deterministic, if processes are single-threaded and the wildcard MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE is not used in receives. (Some of the calls described later, such as MPI\_CANCEL or MPI\_WAITANY, are additional sources of nondeterminism.)

If a process has a single thread of execution, then any two communications executed by this process are ordered. On the other hand, if the process is multithreaded, then the semantics of thread execution may not define a relative order between two send operations executed by two distinct threads. The operations are logically concurrent, even if one physically precedes the other. In such a case, the two messages sent can be received in any order. Similarly, if two receive operations that are logically concurrent receive two successively sent messages, then the two messages can match the two receives in either order.

**Example 3.5** An example of non-overtaking messages.

```
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
    CALL MPI_BSEND(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
    CALL MPI_BSEND(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 0, MPI_ANY_TAG, comm, status, ierr)
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
END IF
```

The message sent by the first send must be received by the first receive, and the message sent by the second send must be received by the second receive.

**Progress** If a pair of matching send and receives have been initiated on two processes, then at least one of these two operations will complete, independently of other actions in the system: the send operation will complete, unless the receive is satisfied by another message, and completes; the receive operation will complete, unless the message sent is consumed by another matching receive that was posted at the same destination process.

**Example 3.6** An example of two, intertwined matching pairs.

```
40
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
                                                                                   41
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
                                                                                   42
    CALL MPI_BSEND(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag1, comm, ierr)
                                                                                   43
    CALL MPI_SSEND(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag2, comm, ierr)
                                                                                   44
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
                                                                                   45
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf1, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag2, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                   46
    CALL MPI_RECV(buf2, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag1, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                   47
END IF
                                                                                   48
```

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

1 Both processes invoke their first communication call. Since the first send of process zero  $\mathbf{2}$ uses the buffered mode, it must complete, irrespective of the state of process one. Since 3 no matching receive is posted, the message will be copied into buffer space. (If insufficient 4 buffer space is available, then the program will fail.) The second send is then invoked. At  $\mathbf{5}$ that point, a matching pair of send and receive operation is enabled, and both operations 6 must complete. Process one next invokes its second receive call, which will be satisfied by  $\overline{7}$ the buffered message. Note that process one received the messages in the reverse order they 8 were sent.

10Fairness MPI makes no guarantee of *fairness* in the handling of communication. Suppose 11that a send is posted. Then it is possible that the destination process repeatedly posts a 12receive that matches this send, yet the message is never received, because it is each time 13overtaken by another message, sent from another source. Similarly, suppose that a receive 14was posted by a multithreaded process. Then it is possible that messages that match this 15receive are repeatedly received, yet the receive is never satisfied, because it is overtaken 16by other receives posted at this node (by other executing threads). It is the programmer's 17responsibility to prevent starvation in such situations. 18

19Resource limitations Any pending communication operation consumes system resources 20that are limited. Errors may occur when lack of resources prevent the execution of an MPI 21call. A quality implementation will use a (small) fixed amount of resources for each pending 22send in the ready or synchronous mode and for each pending receive. However, buffer space 23may be consumed to store messages sent in standard mode, and must be consumed to store  $^{24}$ messages sent in buffered mode, when no matching receive is available. The amount of space 25available for buffering will be much smaller than program data memory on many systems. 26Then, it will be easy to write programs that overrun available buffer space.

<sup>27</sup> MPI allows the user to provide buffer memory for messages sent in the buffered mode. <sup>28</sup> Furthermore, MPI specifies a detailed operational model for the use of this buffer. An MPI <sup>30</sup> implementation is required to do no worse than implied by this model. This allows users to <sup>31</sup> avoid buffer overflows when they use buffered sends. Buffer allocation and use is described <sup>31</sup> in Section 3.6.

32 A buffered send operation that cannot complete because of a lack of buffer space is 33 erroneous. When such a situation is detected, an error is signaled that may cause the 34program to terminate abnormally. On the other hand, a standard send operation that 35 cannot complete because of lack of buffer space will merely block, waiting for buffer space 36 to become available or for a matching receive to be posted. This behavior is preferable in 37 many situations. Consider a situation where a producer repeatedly produces new values 38and sends them to a consumer. Assume that the producer produces new values faster 39 than the consumer can consume them. If buffered sends are used, then a buffer overflow 40will result. Additional synchronization has to be added to the program so as to prevent 41 this from occurring. If standard sends are used, then the producer will be automatically 42throttled, as its send operations will block when buffer space is unavailable. 43

<sup>43</sup> In some situations, a lack of buffer space leads to deadlock situations. This is illustrated <sup>44</sup> by the examples below.

<sup>46</sup> **Example 3.7** An exchange of messages.

42

9

ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN

END IF

```
1
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
                                                                                        2
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
                                                                                        3
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                        4
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
                                                                                        5
                                                                                        6
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)
END IF
                                                                                        8
                                                                                        9
This program will succeed even if no buffer space for data is available. The standard send
                                                                                        10
operation can be replaced, in this example, with a synchronous send.
                                                                                        11
                                                                                        12
Example 3.8
               An errant attempt to exchange messages.
                                                                                        13
                                                                                        14
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
                                                                                        15
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
                                                                                        16
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                        17
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
                                                                                        18
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
                                                                                       19
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                       20
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)
                                                                                       21
END IF
                                                                                       22
The receive operation of the first process must complete before its send, and can complete
                                                                                       23
only if the matching send of the second processor is executed. The receive operation of the
                                                                                        24
second process must complete before its send and can complete only if the matching send
                                                                                        25
of the first process is executed. This program will always deadlock. The same holds for any
                                                                                        26
other send mode.
                                                                                       27
                                                                                       28
Example 3.9
               An exchange that relies on buffering.
                                                                                       29
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
                                                                                        30
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
                                                                                        31
    CALL MPI_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, ierr)
                                                                                        32
    CALL MPI_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, status, ierr)
                                                                                       33
```

Advice to users.When standard send operations are used, then a deadlock situation43may occur where both processes are blocked because buffer space is not available.44same will certainly happen, if the synchronous mode is used.1f the buffered mode isused, and not enough buffer space is available, then the program will not complete46either.However, rather than a deadlock situation, we shall have a buffer overflow47error.48

CALL MPI\_SEND(sendbuf, count, MPI\_REAL, 0, tag, comm, ierr)

communication system can buffer at least count words of data.

CALL MPI\_RECV(recvbuf, count, MPI\_REAL, 0, tag, comm, status, ierr)

The message sent by each process has to be copied out before the send operation returns

and the receive operation starts. For the program to complete, it is necessary that at least

one of the two messages sent be buffered. Thus, this program can succeed only if the

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

A program is "safe" if no message buffering is required for the program to complete. One can replace all sends in such program with synchronous sends, and the program will still run correctly. This conservative programming style provides the best portability, since program completion does not depend on the amount of buffer space available or on the communication protocol used.

Many programmers prefer to have more leeway and opt to use the "unsafe" programming style shown in Example 3.9. In such cases, the use of standard sends is likely to provide the best compromise between performance and robustness: quality implementations will provide sufficient buffering so that "common practice" programs will 10 not deadlock. The buffered send mode can be used for programs that require more buffering, or in situations where the programmer wants more control. This mode 12might also be used for debugging purposes, as buffer overflow conditions are easier to 13 diagnose than deadlock conditions. 14

Nonblocking message-passing operations, as described in Section 3.7, can be used to avoid the need for buffering outgoing messages. This prevents deadlocks due to lack of buffer space, and improves performance, by allowing overlap of computation and communication, and avoiding the overheads of allocating buffers and copying messages into buffers. (End of advice to users.)

19 2021

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

2526

2728

29

30  $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

4748

#### 3.6 Buffer Allocation and Usage

A user may specify a buffer to be used for buffering messages sent in buffered mode. Buffering is done by the sender.

```
MPI_BUFFER_ATTACH(buffer, size)
```

```
IN
           buffer
                                      initial buffer address (choice)
  IN
           size
                                      buffer size, in bytes (non-negative integer)
int MPI_Buffer_attach(void* buffer, int size)
MPI_Buffer_attach(buffer, size, ierror)
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buffer
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: size
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                          ierror
MPI_BUFFER_ATTACH(BUFFER, SIZE, IERROR)
    <type> BUFFER(*)
    INTEGER SIZE, IERROR
```

41 Provides to MPI a buffer in the user's memory to be used for buffering outgoing mes-42sages. The buffer is used only by messages sent in buffered mode. Only one buffer can be 43 attached to a process at a time. In C, buffer is the starting address of a memory region. In 44Fortran, one can pass the first element of a memory region or a whole array, which must be 45'simply contiguous' (for 'simply contiguous,' see also Section 17.1.12. 46

44

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

associated with the process.

MPI\_BUFFER\_DETACH(buffer\_addr, size) 1  $\mathbf{2}$ OUT buffer\_addr initial buffer address (choice) 3 OUT size buffer size, in bytes (non-negative integer) 4 5 int MPI\_Buffer\_detach(void\* buffer\_addr, int\* size) 6 7 MPI\_Buffer\_detach(buffer\_addr, size, ierror) USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO\_C\_BINDING, ONLY : C\_PTR 9 TYPE(C\_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: buffer\_addr 10 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size 11 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 12MPI\_BUFFER\_DETACH(BUFFER\_ADDR, SIZE, IERROR) 13 <type> BUFFER\_ADDR(\*) 14INTEGER SIZE, IERROR 1516Detach the buffer currently associated with MPI. The call returns the address and the 17 size of the detached buffer. This operation will block until all messages currently in the 18 buffer have been transmitted. Upon return of this function, the user may reuse or deallocate 19 the space taken by the buffer. 2021**Example 3.10** Calls to attach and detach buffers. 22 #define BUFFSIZE 10000 23int size;  $^{24}$ char \*buff; 25MPI\_Buffer\_attach( malloc(BUFFSIZE), BUFFSIZE); 26/\* a buffer of 10000 bytes can now be used by MPI\_Bsend \*/ 27MPI\_Buffer\_detach( &buff, &size); 28/\* Buffer size reduced to zero \*/ 29 MPI\_Buffer\_attach( buff, size); 30 /\* Buffer of 10000 bytes available again \*/ 3132 Even though the C functions MPI\_Buffer\_attach and Advice to users. 33 MPI\_Buffer\_detach both have a first argument of type void\*, these arguments are used 34 differently: A pointer to the buffer is passed to MPI\_Buffer\_attach; the address of the 35pointer is passed to MPI\_Buffer\_detach, so that this call can return the pointer value. 36 In Fortran with the mpi module or mpif.h, the type of the buffer\_addr argument is 37 wrongly defined and the argument is therefore unused. In Fortran with the mpi\_f08 38 module, the address of the buffer is returned as TYPE(C\_PTR), see also Example 8.1 39 about the use of C\_PTR pointers. (End of advice to users.) 40 Rationale. Both arguments are defined to be of type void\* (rather than 41 void\* and void\*\*, respectively), so as to avoid complex type casts. E.g., in the last 42example, &buff, which is of type char\*\*, can be passed as argument to 43 MPI\_Buffer\_detach without type casting. If the formal parameter had type void\*\* 44 then we would need a type cast before and after the call. (*End of rationale.*) 4546The statements made in this section describe the behavior of MPI for buffered-mode 47sends. When no buffer is currently associated, MPI behaves as if a zero-sized buffer is

<sup>1</sup> MPI must provide as much buffering for outgoing messages *as if* outgoing message <sup>2</sup> data were buffered by the sending process, in the specified buffer space, using a circular, <sup>3</sup> contiguous-space allocation policy. We outline below a model implementation that defines <sup>4</sup> this policy. MPI may provide more buffering, and may use a better buffer allocation algo-<sup>5</sup> rithm than described below. On the other hand, MPI may signal an error whenever the <sup>6</sup> simple buffering allocator described below would run out of space. In particular, if no buffer <sup>7</sup> is explicitly associated with the process, then any buffered send may cause an error.

MPI does not provide mechanisms for querying or controlling buffering done by standard
 mode sends. It is expected that vendors will provide such information for their implementations.

Rationale. There is a wide spectrum of possible implementations of buffered communication: buffering can be done at sender, at receiver, or both; buffers can be dedicated to one sender-receiver pair, or be shared by all communications; buffering can be done in real or in virtual memory; it can use dedicated memory, or memory shared by other processes; buffer space may be allocated statically or be changed dynamically; etc. It does not seem feasible to provide a portable mechanism for querying or controlling buffering that would be compatible with all these choices, yet provide meaningful information. (End of rationale.)

# 3.6.1 Model Implementation of Buffered Mode

The model implementation uses the packing and unpacking functions described in Section 4.2 and the nonblocking communication functions described in Section 3.7.

We assume that a circular queue of pending message entries (PME) is maintained. Each entry contains a communication request handle that identifies a pending nonblocking send, a pointer to the next entry and the packed message data. The entries are stored in successive locations in the buffer. Free space is available between the queue tail and the queue head.

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

A buffered send call results in the execution of the following code.

- Traverse sequentially the PME queue from head towards the tail, deleting all entries for communications that have completed, up to the first entry with an uncompleted request; update queue head to point to that entry.
- Compute the number, n, of bytes needed to store an entry for the new message. An upper bound on n can be computed as follows: A call to the function

MPI\_PACK\_SIZE(count, datatype, comm, size), with the count, datatype and comm arguments used in the MPI\_BSEND call, returns an upper bound on the amount of space needed to buffer the message data (see Section 4.2). The MPI constant MPI\_BSEND\_OVERHEAD provides an upper bound on the additional space consumed by the entry (e.g., for pointers or envelope information).

- Find the next contiguous empty space of n bytes in buffer (space following queue tail, or space at start of buffer if queue tail is too close to end of buffer). If space is not found then raise buffer overflow error.
- Append to end of PME queue in contiguous space the new entry that contains request handle, next pointer and packed message data; MPI\_PACK is used to pack data.

- Post nonblocking send (standard mode) for packed data.
- Return

#### 3.7 Nonblocking Communication

One can improve performance on many systems by overlapping communication and computation. This is especially true on systems where communication can be executed autonomously by an intelligent communication controller. Light-weight threads are one mechanism for achieving such overlap. An alternative mechanism that often leads to better performance is to use *nonblocking communication*. A nonblocking *send start* call initiates the send operation, but does not complete it. The send start call can return before the message was copied out of the send buffer. A separate send complete call is needed to complete the communication, i.e., to verify that the data has been copied out of the send buffer. With suitable hardware, the transfer of data out of the sender memory may proceed concurrently with computations done at the sender after the send was initiated and before it completed. Similarly, a nonblocking receive start call initiates the receive operation, but does not complete it. The call can return before a message is stored into the receive buffer. A separate *receive complete* call is needed to complete the receive operation and verify that 20the data has been received into the receive buffer. With suitable hardware, the transfer 21of data into the receiver memory may proceed concurrently with computations done after the receive was initiated and before it completed. The use of nonblocking receives may also 22avoid system buffering and memory-to-memory copying, as information is provided early 23on the location of the receive buffer.

Nonblocking send start calls can use the same four modes as blocking sends: *standard*, buffered, synchronous and ready. These carry the same meaning. Sends of all modes, ready excepted, can be started whether a matching receive has been posted or not; a nonblocking ready send can be started only if a matching receive is posted. In all cases, the send start call is local: it returns immediately, irrespective of the status of other processes. If the call causes some system resource to be exhausted, then it will fail and return an error code. Quality implementations of MPI should ensure that this happens only in "pathological" cases. That is, an MPI implementation should be able to support a large number of pending nonblocking operations.

The send-complete call returns when data has been copied out of the send buffer. It may carry additional meaning, depending on the send mode.

If the send mode is synchronous, then the send can complete only if a matching receive has started. That is, a receive has been posted, and has been matched with the send. In this case, the send-complete call is non-local. Note that a synchronous, nonblocking send may complete, if matched by a nonblocking receive, before the receive complete call occurs. (It can complete as soon as the sender "knows" the transfer will complete, but before the receiver "knows" the transfer will complete.)

If the send mode is *buffered* then the message must be buffered if there is no pending receive. In this case, the send-complete call is local, and must succeed irrespective of the status of a matching receive.

If the send mode is *standard* then the send-complete call may return before a matching receive is posted, if the message is buffered. On the other hand, the receive-complete may not complete until a matching receive is posted, and the message was copied into the receive buffer.

1

2

3 4 5

6  $\overline{7}$ 

8 9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

2728

2930

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

4546

47

Nonblocking sends can be matched with blocking receives, and vice-versa.

Advice to users. The completion of a send operation may be delayed, for standard mode, and must be delayed, for synchronous mode, until a matching receive is posted. The use of nonblocking sends in these two cases allows the sender to proceed ahead of the receiver, so that the computation is more tolerant of fluctuations in the speeds of the two processes.

Nonblocking sends in the buffered and ready modes have a more limited impact, e.g., the blocking version of buffered send is capable of completing regardless of when a matching receive call is made. However, separating the start from the completion of these sends still gives some opportunity for optimization within the MPI library. For example, starting a buffered send gives an implementation more flexibility in determining if and how the message is buffered. There are also advantages for both nonblocking buffered and ready modes when data copying can be done concurrently with computation.

The message-passing model implies that communication is initiated by the sender. The communication will generally have lower overhead if a receive is already posted when the sender initiates the communication (data can be moved directly to the receive buffer, and there is no need to queue a pending send request). However, a receive operation can complete only after the matching send has occurred. The use of nonblocking receives allows one to achieve lower communication overheads without blocking the receiver while it waits for the send. (*End of advice to users.*)

#### 23 24 25

## 3.7.1 Communication Request Objects

Nonblocking communications use opaque *request* objects to identify communication operations and match the operation that initiates the communication with the operation that terminates it. These are system objects that are accessed via a handle. A request object identifies various properties of a communication operation, such as the send mode, the communication buffer that is associated with it, its context, the tag and destination arguments to be used for a send, or the tag and source arguments to be used for a receive. In addition, this object stores information about the status of the pending communication operation.

## 3.7.2 Communication Initiation

We use the same naming conventions as for blocking communication: a prefix of B, S, or R is used for *buffered*, *synchronous* or *ready* mode. In addition a prefix of I (for *immediate*) indicates that the call is nonblocking.

38 39

34

35

36

37

- 40 41
- 42

43

- 44
- 45
- 46

47

48

1

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

#### 3.7. NONBLOCKING COMMUNICATION

MPI_ISEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request) <sup>1</sup>					
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	2 3		
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	3 4 5		
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)	6		
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	7		
IN	tag	message tag (integer)	8		
	-		9 10		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	11		
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	12		
int MPI_		t count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, omm, MPI_Request *request)	13 14 15		
		est, tag, comm, request, ierror)	16		
		T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	17 18		
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: count (MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	-	19		
	C(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	• •	20		
	(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT	-	21		
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	22 23		
	D(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, D e> BUF(*)	EST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)	24 25		
INTE	GER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST	, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR	26		
Start	Start a standard mode, nonblocking send. 27				
	ND(huf count datature doct	to a comme vorwart)	29		
	END(buf, count, datatype, dest,		30 31		
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	32		
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	33 34		
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)	35		
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	36		
IN	tag	message tag (integer)	37 38		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	39		
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	40		
			41		
<pre>int MPI_Ibsend(const void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,</pre>					
MPI Ibse	nd(buf, count, datatype)	dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)	44 45		
	• -	T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	46		
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: count		47		
TYPE	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 48				

```
1
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
\mathbf{2}
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 request
3
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
4
     MPI_IBSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
5
          <type> BUF(*)
6
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
7
8
         Start a buffered mode, nonblocking send.
9
10
     MPI_ISSEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request)
11
12
       IN
                 buf
                                             initial address of send buffer (choice)
13
       IN
                                             number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-
                 count
14
                                             ger)
15
                                             datatype of each send buffer element (handle)
       IN
                 datatype
16
17
       IN
                 dest
                                             rank of destination (integer)
18
       IN
                 tag
                                             message tag (integer)
19
       IN
                 comm
                                             communicator (handle)
20
21
       OUT
                 request
                                             communication request (handle)
22
23
     int MPI_Issend(const void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest,
^{24}
                    int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
25
26
     MPI_Issend(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
27
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag
28
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
29
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
30
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
^{31}
                                                 request
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
32
33
     MPI_ISSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
34
          <type> BUF(*)
35
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
36
37
         Start a synchronous mode, nonblocking send.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

MPI_IRSEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request) <sup>1</sup>					
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	2 3		
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	4 5		
IN	datatype	datatype of each send buffer element (handle)	6		
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	7		
IN	tag	message tag (integer)	8 9		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	9 10		
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	11		
001	request	communication request (nanule)	12		
int MPI		<pre>buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int dest, _Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)</pre>	13 14 15		
MPI_Irs	end(buf, count, dat	tatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)	16		
		, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	17		
		count, dest, tag	18 19		
	E(MPI_Datatype), IN E(MPI_Comm), INTENT	NTENT(IN) :: datatype F(IN) :: comm	20		
	-	TENT(OUT) :: request	21		
	EGER, OPTIONAL, INT	-	22		
MPT TRS	MPI_IRSEND(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) <sup>23</sup> <sub>24</sub>				
	pe> BUF(*)	,,,,,,,,,	24 25		
INT	INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR				
Start a ready mode nonblocking send. <sup>27</sup>					
28					
MPI IRE	CV (buf. count. datatv	pe, source, tag, comm, request)	29 30		
OUT	buf	initial address of receive buffer (choice)	31		
IN	count	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-	32		
	count	teger)	33		
IN	datatype	datatype of each receive buffer element (handle)	34 35		
IN	source	rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)	36		
IN		message tag or MPI_ANY_TAG (integer)	37		
	tag		38		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	39 40		
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	41		
int MPT	Trecy(void* buf	int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source,	42		
1110 111 1.	int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)				
MPT Tro	0	atype, source, tag, comm, request, ierror)	44 45		
		), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	46		
INT	EGER, INTENT(IN) ::	count, source, tag	47		
TYPI	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype48				

1 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 2 TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 3 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 4 MPI\_IRECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) 5<type> BUF(\*) 6 INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 7 8 Start a nonblocking receive. 9 These calls allocate a communication request object and associate it with the request 10handle (the argument request). The request can be used later to query the status of the 11communication or wait for its completion. 12A nonblocking send call indicates that the system may start copying data out of the 13send buffer. The sender should not modify any part of the send buffer after a nonblocking 14send operation is called, until the send completes. 15A nonblocking receive call indicates that the system may start writing data into the re-16ceive buffer. The receiver should not access any part of the receive buffer after a nonblocking 17receive operation is called, until the receive completes. 18 19Advice to users. To prevent problems with the argument copying and register optimization done by Fortran compilers, please note the hints in Sections 17.1.10-2017.1.20. (End of advice to users.) 2122 23Communication Completion 3.7.3  $^{24}$ The functions MPI\_WAIT and MPI\_TEST are used to complete a nonblocking communica-25tion. The completion of a send operation indicates that the sender is now free to update the 26locations in the send buffer (the send operation itself leaves the content of the send buffer 27unchanged). It does not indicate that the message has been received, rather, it may have 28 been buffered by the communication subsystem. However, if a synchronous mode send was 29 used, the completion of the send operation indicates that a matching receive was initiated, 30 and that the message will eventually be received by this matching receive.  $^{31}$ The completion of a receive operation indicates that the receive buffer contains the 32 received message, the receiver is now free to access it, and that the status object is set. It 33 does not indicate that the matching send operation has completed (but indicates, of course, 34that the send was initiated). 35 We shall use the following terminology: A *null* handle is a handle with value 36 MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL. A persistent request and the handle to it are *inactive* if the request 37 is not associated with any ongoing communication (see Section 3.9). A handle is *active* if 38 it is neither null nor inactive. An *empty* status is a status which is set to return tag =39 MPI\_ANY\_TAG, source = MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, error = MPI\_SUCCESS, and is also internally 40 configured so that calls to MPI\_GET\_COUNT, MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS, and 41  $MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X$  return count = 0 and  $MPI_TEST_CANCELLED$  returns false. We 42set a status variable to empty when the value returned by it is not significant. Status is set 43 in this way so as to prevent errors due to accesses of stale information. 44

The fields in a status object returned by a call to MPI\_WAIT, MPI\_TEST, or any of the other derived functions (MPI\_{TEST|WAIT}{ALL|SOME|ANY}), where the request corresponds to a send call, are undefined, with two exceptions: The error status field will

52

#### 3.7. NONBLOCKING COMMUNICATION

contain valid information if the wait or test call returned with MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS; and the returned status can be queried by the call MPI\_TEST\_CANCELLED.

Error codes belonging to the error class MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS should be returned only by the MPI completion functions that take arrays of MPI\_Status. For the functions MPI\_TEST, MPI\_TESTANY, MPI\_WAIT, and MPI\_WAITANY, which return a single MPI\_Status value, the normal MPI error return process should be used (not the MPI\_ERROR field in the MPI\_Status argument).

MPI_WAIT(request, status)				
INOUT	request	request (handle)		
OUT	status	status object (Status)		
int MPI_Wa	ait(MPI_Request *request,	MPI_Status *status)		
<pre>MPI_Wait(request, status, ierror)     TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: request     TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>				
MPI_WAIT(REQUEST, STATUS, IERROR) INTEGER REQUEST, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR				

A call to MPI\_WAIT returns when the operation identified by request is complete. If the request is an active persistent request, it is marked inactive. Any other type of request is and the request handle is set to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL. MPI\_WAIT is a non-local operation.

The call returns, in **status**, information on the completed operation. The content of the status object for a receive operation can be accessed as described in Section 3.2.5. The status object for a send operation may be queried by a call to MPI\_TEST\_CANCELLED (see Section 3.8).

One is allowed to call MPI\_WAIT with a null or inactive request argument. In this case the operation returns immediately with empty status.

Advice to users. Successful return of MPI\_WAIT after a MPI\_IBSEND implies that the user send buffer can be reused — i.e., data has been sent out or copied into a buffer attached with MPI\_BUFFER\_ATTACH. Note that, at this point, we can no longer cancel the send (see Section 3.8). If a matching receive is never posted, then the buffer cannot be freed. This runs somewhat counter to the stated goal of MPI\_CANCEL (always being able to free program space that was committed to the communication subsystem). (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. In a multithreaded environment, a call to MPI\_WAIT should block only the calling thread, allowing the thread scheduler to schedule another thread for execution. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     MPI_TEST(request, flag, status)
2
       INOUT
                 request
                                              communication request (handle)
3
       OUT
                 flag
                                              true if operation completed (logical)
4
5
       OUT
                                              status object (Status)
                 status
6
\overline{7}
     int MPI_Test(MPI_Request *request, int *flag, MPI_Status *status)
8
     MPI_Test(request, flag, status, ierror)
9
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: request
10
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
11
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
12
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
13
14
     MPI_TEST(REQUEST, FLAG, STATUS, IERROR)
15
          LOGICAL FLAG
16
          INTEGER REQUEST, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
17
          A call to MPI_TEST returns flag = true if the operation identified by request is complete.
18
     In such a case, the status object is set to contain information on the completed operation.
19
     If the request is an active persistent request, it is marked as inactive. Any other type of
20
     request is deallocated and the request handle is set to MPI_REQUEST_NULL. The call returns
21
     flag = false if the operation identified by request is not complete. In this case, the value of
22
     the status object is undefined. MPI_TEST is a local operation.
23
          The return status object for a receive operation carries information that can be accessed
24
     as described in Section 3.2.5. The status object for a send operation carries information
25
     that can be accessed by a call to MPI_TEST_CANCELLED (see Section 3.8).
26
          One is allowed to call MPI_TEST with a null or inactive request argument. In such a
27
     case the operation returns with flag = true and empty status.
28
          The functions MPI_WAIT and MPI_TEST can be used to complete both sends and
29
     receives.
30
^{31}
                               The use of the nonblocking MPI_TEST call allows the user to
           Advice to users.
32
           schedule alternative activities within a single thread of execution. An event-driven
33
           thread scheduler can be emulated with periodic calls to MPI_TEST. (End of advice to
34
           users.)
35
36
37
     Example 3.11
                       Simple usage of nonblocking operations and MPI_WAIT.
38
39
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
40
     IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
41
          CALL MPI_ISEND(a(1), 10, MPI_REAL, 1, tag, comm, request, ierr)
42
          **** do some computation to mask latency ****
43
          CALL MPI_WAIT(request, status, ierr)
44
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
45
          CALL MPI_IRECV(a(1), 15, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, request, ierr)
46
          **** do some computation to mask latency ****
47
          CALL MPI_WAIT(request, status, ierr)
48
     END IF
```

CALL MPI\_WAIT(req, status, ierr)

A request object can be deallocated without waiting for the associated communication to complete, by using the following operation.

```
4
MPI_REQUEST_FREE(request)
                                                                                         5
                                                                                         6
 INOUT
                                      communication request (handle)
           request
                                                                                         7
                                                                                         8
int MPI_Request_free(MPI_Request *request)
                                                                                        9
MPI_Request_free(request, ierror)
                                                                                        10
    TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: request
                                                                                        11
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                        12
                                                                                        13
MPI_REQUEST_FREE(REQUEST, IERROR)
                                                                                        14
    INTEGER REQUEST, IERROR
                                                                                        15
    Mark the request object for deallocation and set request to MPI_REQUEST_NULL. An
                                                                                        16
ongoing communication that is associated with the request will be allowed to complete. The
                                                                                        17
request will be deallocated only after its completion.
                                                                                        18
                                                                                        19
     Rationale. The MPI_REQUEST_FREE mechanism is provided for reasons of perfor-
                                                                                        20
     mance and convenience on the sending side. (End of rationale.)
                                                                                        21
                                                                                        22
     Advice to users. Once a request is freed by a call to MPI_REQUEST_FREE, it is not
                                                                                        23
     possible to check for the successful completion of the associated communication with
                                                                                        24
     calls to MPI_WAIT or MPI_TEST. Also, if an error occurs subsequently during the
                                                                                        25
     communication, an error code cannot be returned to the user — such an error must
                                                                                        26
     be treated as fatal. An active receive request should never be freed as the receiver
                                                                                        27
     will have no way to verify that the receive has completed and the receive buffer can
                                                                                        28
     be reused. (End of advice to users.)
                                                                                        29
                                                                                        30
                                                                                        31
Example 3.12
                  An example using MPI_REQUEST_FREE.
                                                                                        32
                                                                                        33
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, rank, ierr)
                                                                                        34
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
                                                                                        35
    DO i=1, n
                                                                                        36
      CALL MPI_ISEND(outval, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
                                                                                        37
      CALL MPI_REQUEST_FREE(req, ierr)
      CALL MPI_IRECV(inval, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
                                                                                        38
                                                                                        39
      CALL MPI_WAIT(req, status, ierr)
                                                                                        40
    END DO
                                                                                        41
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
                                                                                        42
    CALL MPI_IRECV(inval, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
    CALL MPI_WAIT(req, status, ierr)
                                                                                        43
                                                                                        44
    DO I=1, n-1
                                                                                        45
       CALL MPI_ISEND(outval, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
                                                                                        46
       CALL MPI_REQUEST_FREE(req, ierr)
                                                                                        47
       CALL MPI_IRECV(inval, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
                                                                                        48
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
1
          END DO
\mathbf{2}
          CALL MPI_ISEND(outval, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, req, ierr)
3
          CALL MPI_WAIT(req, status, ierr)
4
     END IF
5
6
            Semantics of Nonblocking Communications
     3.7.4
\overline{7}
     The semantics of nonblocking communication is defined by suitably extending the definitions
8
     in Section 3.5.
9
10
     Order Nonblocking communication operations are ordered according to the execution order
11
     of the calls that initiate the communication. The non-overtaking requirement of Section 3.5
12
     is extended to nonblocking communication, with this definition of order being used.
13
14
                       Message ordering for nonblocking operations.
     Example 3.13
15
16
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
17
     IF (RANK.EQ.O) THEN
18
            CALL MPI_ISEND(a, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, r1, ierr)
19
            CALL MPI_ISEND(b, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, r2, ierr)
20
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
21
            CALL MPI_IRECV(a, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, MPI_ANY_TAG, comm, r1, ierr)
22
            CALL MPI_IRECV(b, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, comm, r2, ierr)
23
     END IF
^{24}
     CALL MPI_WAIT(r1, status, ierr)
25
     CALL MPI_WAIT(r2, status, ierr)
26
27
     The first send of process zero will match the first receive of process one, even if both messages
28
     are sent before process one executes either receive.
29
30
     Progress A call to MPI_WAIT that completes a receive will eventually terminate and return
^{31}
     if a matching send has been started, unless the send is satisfied by another receive. In
32
     particular, if the matching send is nonblocking, then the receive should complete even if no
33
     call is executed by the sender to complete the send. Similarly, a call to MPI_WAIT that
34
     completes a send will eventually return if a matching receive has been started, unless the
35
     receive is satisfied by another send, and even if no call is executed to complete the receive.
36
                        An illustration of progress semantics.
37
     Example 3.14
38
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
39
     IF (RANK.EQ.O) THEN
40
            CALL MPI_SSEND(a, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, ierr)
41
            CALL MPI_SEND(b, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 1, comm, ierr)
42
     ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
43
            CALL MPI_IRECV(a, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 0, comm, r, ierr)
44
            CALL MPI_RECV(b, 1, MPI_REAL, 0, 1, comm, status, ierr)
45
            CALL MPI_WAIT(r, status, ierr)
46
     END IF
47
48
```

This code should not deadlock in a correct MPI implementation. The first synchronous send of process zero must complete after process one posts the matching (nonblocking) receive even if process one has not yet reached the completing wait call. Thus, process zero will continue and execute the second send, allowing process one to complete execution.

If an MPI\_TEST that completes a receive is repeatedly called with the same arguments, and a matching send has been started, then the call will eventually return flag = true, unless the send is satisfied by another receive. If an MPI\_TEST that completes a send is repeatedly called with the same arguments, and a matching receive has been started, then the call will eventually return flag = true, unless the receive is satisfied by another send.

3.7.5 Multiple Completions

It is convenient to be able to wait for the completion of any, some, or all the operations in a list, rather than having to wait for a specific message. A call to MPI\_WAITANY or MPI\_TESTANY can be used to wait for the completion of one out of several operations. A call to MPI\_WAITALL or MPI\_TESTALL can be used to wait for all pending operations in a list. A call to MPI\_WAITSOME or MPI\_TESTSOME can be used to complete all enabled operations in a list.

MPI\_WAITANY (count, array\_of\_requests, index, status)

IN	count	list length (non-negative integer)	22	
INOUT	array_of_requests	array of requests (array of handles)	23	
OUT	index	index of handle for operation that completed (integer)	24 25	
OUT	status	status object (Status)	25 26	
			27	
int MPI_W	aitany(int count, MPI_Rec	<pre>quest array_of_requests[], int *index,</pre>	28	
	MPI_Status *status)		29	
MPI_Waitany(count, array_of_requests, index, status, ierror)				
INTEGER INTENT(IN) ·· count			31	
	TYPE(MPI Request) INTENT(INOUT) · array of requests(count)			
	ER, INTENT(OUT) :: index		$33 \\ 34$	
TYPE(	TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status			
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	36	
ΜΡΤ ΜΔΤΤΔ	NY (COUNT ARRAY OF REQUES	STS, INDEX, STATUS, IERROR)	37	
	INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS(*), INDEX, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), <sup>38</sup>			

IERROR

Blocks until one of the operations associated with the active requests in the array has completed. If more than one operation is enabled and can terminate, one is arbitrarily chosen. Returns in index the index of that request in the array and returns in status the status of the completing operation. (The array is indexed from zero in C, and from one in Fortran.) If the request is an active persistent request, it is marked inactive. Any other type of request is deallocated and the request handle is set to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL.

The array\_of\_requests list may contain null or inactive handles. If the list contains no active handles (list has length zero or all entries are null or inactive), then the call returns

```
1
      immediately with index = MPI_UNDEFINED, and an empty status.
\mathbf{2}
          The execution of MPI_WAITANY(count, array_of_requests, index, status) has the same
3
      effect as the execution of MPI_WAIT(&array_of_requests[i], status), where i is the value
4
      returned by index (unless the value of index is MPI_UNDEFINED). MPI_WAITANY with an
\mathbf{5}
      array containing one active entry is equivalent to MPI_WAIT.
6
7
      MPI_TESTANY(count, array_of_requests, index, flag, status)
8
9
       IN
                 count
                                              list length (non-negative integer)
10
       INOUT
                 array_of_requests
                                              array of requests (array of handles)
11
       OUT
                 index
                                              index of operation that completed, or
12
                                               MPI_UNDEFINED if none completed (integer)
13
14
       OUT
                 flag
                                              true if one of the operations is complete (logical)
15
       OUT
                 status
                                              status object (Status)
16
17
      int MPI_Testany(int count, MPI_Request array_of_requests[], int *index,
18
                     int *flag, MPI_Status *status)
19
20
     MPI_Testany(count, array_of_requests, index, flag, status, ierror)
21
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
22
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: array_of_requests(count)
23
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                       index
24
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                       flag
25
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
26
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
27
     MPI_TESTANY(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS, INDEX, FLAG, STATUS, IERROR)
28
          LOGICAL FLAG
29
          INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS(*), INDEX, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE),
30
          IERROR
31
32
          Tests for completion of either one or none of the operations associated with active
33
      handles. In the former case, it returns flag = true, returns in index the index of this request
34
      in the array, and returns in status the status of that operation. If the request is an active
35
      persistent request, it is marked as inactive. Any other type of request is deallocated and
36
      the handle is set to MPI_REQUEST_NULL. (The array is indexed from zero in C, and from
37
      one in Fortran.) In the latter case (no operation completed), it returns flag = false, returns
38
      a value of MPI_UNDEFINED in index and status is undefined.
39
          The array may contain null or inactive handles. If the array contains no active handles
40
      then the call returns immediately with flag = true, index = MPI_UNDEFINED, and an empty
^{41}
      status.
42
          If the array of requests contains active handles then the execution of
43
      MPI_TESTANY(count, array_of_requests, index, status) has the same effect as the execution
```

of MPI\_TEST( &array\_of\_requests[i], flag, status), for i=0, 1,..., count-1, in some arbitrary
 order, until one call returns flag = true, or all fail. In the former case, index is set to the
 last value of i, and in the latter case, it is set to MPI\_UNDEFINED. MPI\_TESTANY with an
 array containing one active entry is equivalent to MPI\_TEST.

MPI_WAITALL( count, array_of_requests, array_of_statuses) <sup>1</sup>			
IN	count lists length (non-negative integer)		2
INOUT			3
INCOT	array_or_requests	array of requests (array of handles)	4
OUT	array_of_statuses	array of status objects (array of Status)	5
			6
int MPI_W	Naitall(int count, MPI_Re	<pre>quest array_of_requests[],</pre>	7
_	MPI_Status array_of_		8
			9
	· -	sts, array_of_statuses, ierror)	10
INTEC	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count		
	TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: array_of_requests(count)		
TYPE(	(MPI_Status) :: array_of	_statuses(*)	13
INTEC	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	14
ΜΡΤ ΨΑΤΤΑ	ALL COUNT ABBAY OF BEOUE	STS, ARRAY_OF_STATUSES, IERROR)	15
	GER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUE		16
	SER ARRAY_OF_STATUSES(MPI)		17
ר ות			

Blocks until all communication operations associated with active handles in the list complete, and return the status of all these operations (this includes the case where no handle in the list is active). Both arrays have the same number of valid entries. The i-th entry in array\_of\_statuses is set to the return status of the i-th operation. Active persistent requests are marked inactive. Requests of any other type are deallocated and the corresponding handles in the array are set to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL. The list may contain null or inactive handles. The call sets to empty the status of each such entry.

The error-free execution of MPI\_WAITALL(count, array\_of\_requests, array\_of\_statuses) has the same effect as the execution of MPI\_WAIT(&array\_of\_request[i], &array\_of\_statuses[i]), for i=0,..., count-1, in some arbitrary order. MPI\_WAITALL with an array of length one is equivalent to MPI\_WAIT.

When one or more of the communications completed by a call to MPI\_WAITALL fail, it is desirable to return specific information on each communication. The function MPI\_WAITALL will return in such case the error code MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS and will set the error field of each status to a specific error code. This code will be MPI\_SUCCESS, if the specific communication completed; it will be another specific error code, if it failed; or it can be MPI\_ERR\_PENDING if it has neither failed nor completed. The function MPI\_WAITALL will return MPI\_SUCCESS if no request had an error, or will return another error code if it failed for other reasons (such as invalid arguments). In such cases, it will not update the error fields of the statuses.

*Rationale.* This design streamlines error handling in the application. The application code need only test the (single) function result to determine if an error has occurred. It needs to check each individual status only when an error occurred. (*End of rationale.*)

```
1
      MPI_TESTALL(count, array_of_requests, flag, array_of_statuses)
\mathbf{2}
       IN
                 count
                                              lists length (non-negative integer)
3
       INOUT
                 array_of_requests
                                              array of requests (array of handles)
4
5
       OUT
                 flag
                                               (logical)
6
        OUT
                 array_of_statuses
                                              array of status objects (array of Status)
7
8
      int MPI_Testall(int count, MPI_Request array_of_requests[], int *flag,
9
                     MPI_Status array_of_statuses[])
10
11
     MPI_Testall(count, array_of_requests, flag, array_of_statuses, ierror)
12
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
13
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: array_of_requests(count)
14
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
15
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: array_of_statuses(*)
16
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
17
     MPI_TESTALL(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS, FLAG, ARRAY_OF_STATUSES, IERROR)
18
          LOGICAL FLAG
19
          INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS(*),
20
          ARRAY_OF_STATUSES(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*), IERROR
21
22
          Returns flag = true if all communications associated with active handles in the array
23
      have completed (this includes the case where no handle in the list is active). In this case, each
24
      status entry that corresponds to an active request is set to the status of the corresponding
25
      operation. Active persistent requests are marked inactive. Requests of any other type are
26
      deallocated and the corresponding handles in the array are set to MPI_REQUEST_NULL.
27
      Each status entry that corresponds to a null or inactive handle is set to empty.
28
          Otherwise, flag = false is returned, no request is modified and the values of the status
29
     entries are undefined. This is a local operation.
30
          Errors that occurred during the execution of MPI_TESTALL are handled in the same
31
      manner as errors in MPI_WAITALL.
32
33
      MPI_WAITSOME(incount, array_of_requests, outcount, array_of_indices, array_of_statuses)
34
35
36
       IN
                                              length of array_of_requests (non-negative integer)
                 incount
37
       INOUT
                 array_of_requests
                                              array of requests (array of handles)
38
       OUT
39
                 outcount
                                              number of completed requests (integer)
40
        OUT
                 array_of_indices
                                              array of indices of operations that completed (array of
41
                                              integers)
42
       OUT
                 array_of_statuses
                                              array of status objects for operations that completed
43
                                               (array of Status)
44
45
      int MPI_Waitsome(int incount, MPI_Request array_of_requests[],
46
                     int *outcount, int array_of_indices[],
47
                     MPI_Status array_of_statuses[])
48
```

Waits until at least one of the operations associated with active handles in the list have completed. Returns in outcount the number of requests from the list array\_of\_requests that have completed. Returns in the first outcount locations of the array array\_of\_indices the indices of these operations (index within the array array\_of\_requests; the array is indexed from zero in C and from one in Fortran). Returns in the first outcount locations of the array array\_of\_status the status for these completed operations. Completed active persistent requests are marked as inactive. Any other type or request that completed is deallocated, and the associated handle is set to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL.

If the list contains no active handles, then the call returns immediately with outcount = MPI\_UNDEFINED.

When one or more of the communications completed by MPI\_WAITSOME fails, then it is desirable to return specific information on each communication. The arguments outcount, array\_of\_indices and array\_of\_statuses will be adjusted to indicate completion of all communications that have succeeded or failed. The call will return the error code MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS and the error field of each status returned will be set to indicate success or to indicate the specific error that occurred. The call will return MPI\_SUCCESS if no request resulted in an error, and will return another error code if it failed for other reasons (such as invalid arguments). In such cases, it will not update the error fields of the statuses.

MPI\_TESTSOME(incount, array\_of\_requests, outcount, array\_of\_indices, array\_of\_statuses)

IN	incount	length of array_of_requests (non-negative integer)	36		
INO	JT array_of_requests	array of requests (array of handles)	37		
		array of requests (array of manares)	38		
OUT	outcount	number of completed requests (integer)	39		
OUT	array_of_indices	array of indices of operations that completed (array of	40		
-	5	integers)	41		
	<i>.</i>		42		
OUT	array_of_statuses	array of status objects for operations that completed	43		
		(array of Status)	44		
4					
<pre>int MPI_Testsome(int incount, MPI_Request array_of_requests[], 46</pre>					
	<pre>int *outcount, int array_of_indices[],</pre>				
	•				
	<pre>MPI_Status array_of_statuses[])</pre>				

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

```
1
     MPI_Testsome(incount, array_of_requests, outcount, array_of_indices,
\mathbf{2}
                    array_of_statuses, ierror)
3
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: incount
4
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: array_of_requests(incount)
5
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: outcount, array_of_indices(*)
6
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: array_of_statuses(*)
7
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
8
     MPI_TESTSOME(INCOUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS, OUTCOUNT, ARRAY_OF_INDICES,
9
                    ARRAY_OF_STATUSES, IERROR)
10
          INTEGER INCOUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS(*), OUTCOUNT, ARRAY_OF_INDICES(*),
11
          ARRAY_OF_STATUSES(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*), IERROR
12
13
         Behaves like MPI_WAITSOME, except that it returns immediately. If no operation has
14
     completed it returns outcount = 0. If there is no active handle in the list it returns outcount
15
     = MPI UNDEFINED.
16
          MPI_TESTSOME is a local operation, which returns immediately, whereas
17
     MPI_WAITSOME will block until a communication completes, if it was passed a list that
18
     contains at least one active handle. Both calls fulfill a fairness requirement: If a request
19
     for a receive repeatedly appears in a list of requests passed to MPI_WAITSOME or
20
     MPI_TESTSOME, and a matching send has been posted, then the receive will eventually
21
     succeed, unless the send is satisfied by another receive; and similarly for send requests.
22
         Errors that occur during the execution of MPI_TESTSOME are handled as for
23
     MPI_WAITSOME.
^{24}
           Advice to users. The use of MPI_TESTSOME is likely to be more efficient than the use
25
           of MPI_TESTANY. The former returns information on all completed communications,
26
           with the latter, a new call is required for each communication that completes.
27
28
           A server with multiple clients can use MPI_WAITSOME so as not to starve any client.
29
           Clients send messages to the server with service requests. The server calls
30
           MPI_WAITSOME with one receive request for each client, and then handles all receives
31
           that completed. If a call to MPI_WAITANY is used instead, then one client could starve
32
           while requests from another client always sneak in first. (End of advice to users.)
33
34
           Advice to implementors. MPI_TESTSOME should complete as many pending com-
           munications as possible. (End of advice to implementors.)
35
36
37
     Example 3.15
                        Client-server code (starvation can occur).
38
39
40
     CALL MPI_COMM_SIZE(comm, size, ierr)
41
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
42
     IF(rank .GT. 0) THEN
                                      ! client code
43
          DO WHILE(.TRUE.)
44
             CALL MPI_ISEND(a, n, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, request, ierr)
45
             CALL MPI_WAIT(request, status, ierr)
46
          END DO
47
     ELSE
                    ! rank=0 -- server code
48
             DO i=1, size-1
```

```
1
           CALL MPI_IRECV(a(1,i), n, MPI_REAL, i, tag,
                                                                                      \mathbf{2}
                    comm, request_list(i), ierr)
                                                                                      3
       END DO
       DO WHILE(.TRUE.)
                                                                                      4
           CALL MPI_WAITANY(size-1, request_list, index, status, ierr)
                                                                                      5
                                                                                      6
           CALL DO_SERVICE(a(1, index)) ! handle one message
                                                                                      7
           CALL MPI_IRECV(a(1, index), n, MPI_REAL, index, tag,
                                                                                      8
                     comm, request_list(index), ierr)
       END DO
                                                                                      9
                                                                                      10
END IF
                                                                                      11
                                                                                      12
Example 3.16
                 Same code, using MPI_WAITSOME.
                                                                                      13
                                                                                      14
                                                                                      15
CALL MPI_COMM_SIZE(comm, size, ierr)
                                                                                      16
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
                                                                                      17
IF(rank .GT. 0) THEN
                              ! client code
                                                                                      18
    DO WHILE(.TRUE.)
                                                                                      19
       CALL MPI_ISEND(a, n, MPI_REAL, 0, tag, comm, request, ierr)
                                                                                      20
       CALL MPI_WAIT(request, status, ierr)
                                                                                      21
    END DO
                                                                                      22
ELSE
              ! rank=0 -- server code
                                                                                      23
    DO i=1, size-1
                                                                                      ^{24}
       CALL MPI_IRECV(a(1,i), n, MPI_REAL, i, tag,
                                                                                      25
                        comm, request_list(i), ierr)
                                                                                      26
    END DO
                                                                                      27
    DO WHILE(.TRUE.)
                                                                                      28
       CALL MPI_WAITSOME(size, request_list, numdone,
                                                                                      29
                          indices, statuses, ierr)
                                                                                      30
       DO i=1, numdone
                                                                                      31
           CALL DO_SERVICE(a(1, indices(i)))
                                                                                      32
           CALL MPI_IRECV(a(1, indices(i)), n, MPI_REAL, 0, tag,
                                                                                      33
                         comm, request_list(indices(i)), ierr)
                                                                                      34
       END DO
                                                                                      35
    END DO
                                                                                      36
END IF
                                                                                      37
```

#### 3.7.6 Non-destructive Test of status

This call is useful for accessing the information associated with a request, without freeing the request (in case the user is expected to access it later). It allows one to layer libraries more conveniently, since multiple layers of software may access the same completed request and extract from it the status information. 38

39

40

41

42

MPI\_REQUEST\_GET\_STATUS( request, flag, status )

```
2
       IN
                 request
                                              request (handle)
3
       OUT
                 flag
                                              boolean flag, same as from MPI_TEST (logical)
4
       OUT
                                              status object if flag is true (Status)
                 status
5
6
\overline{7}
     int MPI_Request_get_status(MPI_Request request, int *flag,
8
                     MPI_Status *status)
9
     MPI_Request_get_status(request, flag, status, ierror)
10
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(IN) :: request
11
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
12
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
13
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
14
15
     MPI_REQUEST_GET_STATUS( REQUEST, FLAG, STATUS, IERROR)
16
          INTEGER REQUEST, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
17
          LOGICAL FLAG
18
          Sets flag=true if the operation is complete, and, if so, returns in status the request
19
     status. However, unlike test or wait, it does not deallocate or inactivate the request; a
20
     subsequent call to test, wait or free should be executed with that request. It sets flag=false
21
     if the operation is not complete.
22
          One is allowed to call MPI_REQUEST_GET_STATUS with a null or inactive request
23
     argument. In such a case the operation returns with flag=true and empty status.
^{24}
25
26
     3.8
            Probe and Cancel
27
```

The MPI\_PROBE, MPI\_IPROBE, MPI\_MPROBE, and MPI\_IMPROBE operations allow incoming messages to be checked for, without actually receiving them. The user can then decide how to receive them, based on the information returned by the probe (basically, the information returned by status). In particular, the user may allocate memory for the receive buffer, according to the length of the probed message.

The MPI\_CANCEL operation allows pending communications to be cancelled. This is required for cleanup. Posting a send or a receive ties up user resources (send or receive buffers), and a cancel may be needed to free these resources gracefully.

3.8.1 Probe

	3	ξ	3
	3	ç	)
,	4	(	)

41

36 37

MPI\_IPROBE(source, tag, comm, flag, status)

42	IN	source	rank of source or $MPI_ANY_SOURCE$ (integer)
43	IN	tag	message tag or $MPI\_ANY\_TAG$ (integer)
44	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
45 46	OUT	flag	(logical)
47	OUT	status	status object (Status)
48			

int MPI_Iprobe(int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, int *flag,
MPI_Status *status)
<pre>MPI_Iprobe(source, tag, comm, flag, status, ierror)     INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: source, tag</pre>
TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_IPROBE(SOURCE, TAG, COMM, FLAG, STATUS, IERROR) LOGICAL FLAG
INTEGER SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR

MPI\_IPROBE(source, tag, comm, flag, status) returns flag = true if there is a message that can be received and that matches the pattern specified by the arguments source, tag, and comm. The call matches the same message that would have been received by a call to MPI\_RECV(..., source, tag, comm, status) executed at the same point in the program, and returns in status the same value that would have been returned by MPI\_RECV(). Otherwise, the call returns flag = false, and leaves status undefined.

If MPI\_IPROBE returns flag = true, then the content of the status object can be subsequently accessed as described in Section 3.2.5 to find the source, tag and length of the probed message.

A subsequent receive executed with the same communicator, and the source and tag returned in status by MPI\_IPROBE will receive the message that was matched by the probe, if no other intervening receive occurs after the probe, and the send is not successfully cancelled before the receive. If the receiving process is multithreaded, it is the user's responsibility to ensure that the last condition holds.

The source argument of MPI\_PROBE can be MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, and the tag argument can be MPI\_ANY\_TAG, so that one can probe for messages from an arbitrary source and/or with an arbitrary tag. However, a specific communication context must be provided with the comm argument.

It is not necessary to receive a message immediately after it has been probed for, and the same message may be probed for several times before it is received.

A probe with MPI\_PROC\_NULL as source returns flag = true, and the status object returns source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL, tag = MPI\_ANY\_TAG, and count = 0; see Section 3.11.

				38
	IN	source	rank of source or $MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE$ (integer)	39
	IN	tag	message tag or $MPI_ANY_TAG$ (integer)	40
	IN	comm	communicator (handle)	41
	0.UT			42
	OUT	status	status object (Status)	43
				44
int MPI_Probe(int source, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Status *status) 45				
46 YDT D 1 (				
MPI_Probe(source, tag, comm, status, ierror)				47
	INTEGE	ER, INTENT(IN) :: source	, tag	48

MPI\_PROBE(source, tag, comm, status)

 $^{24}$ 

1	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
2	TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
3	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
4	
5	MPI_PROBE(SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS, IERROR)
6	INTEGER SOURCE, TAG, COMM, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
7	MPI_PROBE behaves like MPI_IPROBE except that it is a blocking call that returns
8	only after a matching message has been found.
9	The MPI implementation of MPI_PROBE and MPI_IPROBE needs to guarantee progress:
10	if a call to MPI_PROBE has been issued by a process, and a send that matches the probe
11	has been initiated by some process, then the call to MPI_PROBE will return, unless the
12	
13	message is received by another concurrent receive operation (that is executed by another
14	thread at the probing process). Similarly, if a process busy waits with MPI_IPROBE and a
	matching message has been issued, then the call to MPI_IPROBE will eventually return flag
15	= true unless the message is received by another concurrent receive operation or matched
16	by a concurrent matched probe.
17	
18	Example 3.17
19	Use blocking probe to wait for an incoming message.
20	CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
21	IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
22	CALL MPI_SEND(i, 1, MPI_INTEGER, 2, 0, comm, ierr)
23	ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
24	CALL MPI_SEND(x, 1, MPI_REAL, 2, 0, comm, ierr)
25	ELSE IF (rank.EQ.2) THEN
26	
27	DO i=1, 2
28	CALL MPI_PROBE(MPI_ANY_SOURCE, 0,
29	comm, status, ierr)
30	IF (status(MPI_SOURCE) .EQ. 0) THEN
31	100 CALL MPI_RECV(i, 1, MPI_INTEGER, 0, 0, comm, status, ierr)
32	ELSE
33	200 CALL MPI_RECV(x, 1, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, status, ierr)
34	END IF
35	END DO
36	END IF
37	
38	Each message is received with the right type.
39	
40	<b>Example 3.18</b> A similar program to the previous example, but now it has a problem.
41	CALL MDI COMM DANK (comm ronk ionn)
42	CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
	IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
43 44	CALL MPI_SEND(i, 1, MPI_INTEGER, 2, 0, comm, ierr)
	ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
45	CALL MPI_SEND(x, 1, MPI_REAL, 2, 0, comm, ierr)
46	ELSE IF (rank.EQ.2) THEN
47	DO i=1, 2
48	CALL MPI_PROBE(MPI_ANY_SOURCE, 0,

100

200

CALL MPI\_RECV(i, 1, MPI\_INTEGER, MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, 0, comm, status, ierr) ELSE CALL MPI\_RECV(x, 1, MPI\_REAL, MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, 0, comm, status, ierr) END IF END DO

comm, status, ierr)

IF (status(MPI\_SOURCE) .EQ. 0) THEN

END IF

In Example 3.18, the two receive calls in statements labeled 100 and 200 in Example 3.17 slightly modified, using MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE as the source argument. The program is now incorrect: the receive operation may receive a message that is distinct from the message probed by the preceding call to MPI\_PROBE.

Advice to users. In a multithreaded MPI program, MPI\_PROBE and MPI\_IPROBE might need special care. If a thread probes for a message and then immediately posts a matching receive, the receive may match a message other than that found by the probe since another thread could concurrently receive that original message [29]. MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE solve this problem by matching the incoming message so that it may only be received with MPI\_MRECV or MPI\_IMRECV on the corresponding message handle. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. A call to MPI\_PROBE(source, tag, comm, status) will match the message that would have been received by a call to MPI\_RECV(..., source, tag, comm, status) executed at the same point. Suppose that this message has source s, tag t and communicator c. If the tag argument in the probe call has value MPI\_ANY\_TAG then the message probed will be the earliest pending message from source s with communicator c and any tag; in any case, the message probed will be the earliest pending message from source s with tag t and communicator c (this is the message that would have been received, so as to preserve message order). This message continues as the earliest pending message from source s with tag t and communicator c, until it is received. A receive operation subsequent to the probe that uses the same communicator as the probe and uses the tag and source values returned by the probe, must receive this message, unless it has already been received by another receive operation. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

#### 3.8.2 Matching Probe

The function MPI\_PROBE checks for incoming messages without receiving them. Since the list of incoming messages is global among the threads of each MPI process, it can be hard to use this functionality in threaded environments [29, 26].

Like MPI\_PROBE and MPI\_IPROBE, the MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE operations allow incoming messages to be queried without actually receiving them, except that MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE provide a mechanism to receive the specific message that was matched regardless of other intervening probe or receive operations. This gives the application an opportunity to decide how to receive the message, based on the information returned by the probe. In particular, the user may allocate memory for the receive buffer, according to the length of the probed message. 42 44 43 44 45 46 46 47 48

1

2

3

4

5 6

78

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

<sup>1</sup> MPI\_IMPROBE(source, tag, comm, flag, message, status)

2			multiple of second or MPL ANY SOURCE (interver)
3	IN	source	rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)
4	IN	tag	message tag or $MPI_ANY_TAG$ (integer)
5 6	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
7	OUT	flag	flag (logical)
8	OUT	message	returned message (handle)
9	OUT	status	status object (Status)
10 11			
12	int MPI_I	-	ag, MPI_Comm comm, int *flag,
13		MPI_Message *message	, MPI_Status *status)
14	-	-	ag, message, status, ierror)
15		ER, INTENT(IN) :: source	0
16		<pre>MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: AL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag</pre>	comm
17 18		MPI_Message), INTENT(OUT)	:: message
19		MPI_Status) :: status	
20	INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror
21	MPI IMPRO	BE(SOURCE, TAG, COMM, FLA	AG, MESSAGE, STATUS, IERROR)
22			SSAGE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
23 24	LOGIC	AL FLAG	
24 25	MPL I	MPROBE(source, tag, comm, t	flag, message, status) returns flag = true if there is
26			t matches the pattern specified by the arguments
27	source, tag	, and <b>comm</b> . The call matches	s the same message that would have been received
28	-		comm, status) executed at the same point in the
29			alue that would have been returned by MPI_RECV.
30		$f_{1}$ , it returns in message a han $g = false$ , and leaves status and	adle to the matched message. Otherwise, the call
31 32			r MPI_IMRECV) executed with the message han-
33			natched by the probe. Unlike MPI_IPROBE, no
34	other prob	e or receive operation may	match the message returned by MPI_IMPROBE.
35		8	BE must be received with either MPI_MRECV or
36	MPI_IMRE		
37 38		0	OBE can be MPI_ANY_SOURCE, and the tag argu- e can probe for messages from an arbitrary source
39			a specific communication context must be provided
40		omm argument.	1 1
41	A syne	chronous send operation that	is matched with MPI_IMPROBE or MPI_MPROBE
42	-		matching receive is posted with MPI_MRECV or
43			n has started to receive the message sent by the
44	synchronou There		ge: MPI_MESSAGE_NO_PROC, which is a message
45 46			e process. The predefined constant
47		AGE_NULL is the value used fo	* *
48			-

MPI_MES = MPI_A	SAGE_NO_PROC, an NY_TAG, and count	MPI_PROC_NULL as source returns flag = true, message = d the status object returns source = MPI_PROC_NULL, tag = 0; see Section 3.11. It is not necessary to call MPI_MRECV MESSAGE_NO_PROC, but it is not erroneous to do so.	1 2 3 4 5
MP		SSAGE_NO_PROC was chosen instead of _NULL to avoid possible confusion as another null handle con- e.)	6 7 8 9 10
MPI_MPI	ROBE(source, tag, co	omm, message, status)	11 12
IN	source	rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)	12
IN	tag	message tag or MPI_ANY_TAG (integer)	14
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	15
OUT	message	returned message (handle)	16 17
OUT	status	status object (Status)	18
			19
int MPI_	Mprobe(int sourceMPI_StatusMPI_Status	e, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Message *message, *status)	20 21 22
MPI_Mpro	be(source, tag, o	comm, message, status, ierror)	23
	EGER, INTENT(IN)	•	24
	E(MPI_Comm), INTE		25
	E(MPI_Message), II E(MPI_Status) ::	NTENT(OUT) :: message status	26 27
	EGER, OPTIONAL, II		28
		COMM, MESSAGE, STATUS, IERROR) COMM, MESSAGE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	29 30 31
MPI_	_MPROBE behaves li	ike MPI_IMPROBE except that it is a blocking call that returns	32
only after	r a matching messag	e has been found.	33
	-	IPI_MPROBE and MPI_IMPROBE needs to guarantee progress	34
in the same	me way as in the cas	se of MPI_PROBE and MPI_IPROBE.	35 36
3.8.3 M	latched Receives		37
			38
	by a matching prob	and MPI_IMRECV receive messages that have been previously	39
materieu	by a matching prob	(Section 5.5.2).	40 41
			42
			43
			44
			45
			46 47

1 MPI\_MRECV(buf, count, datatype, message, status) 2 OUT buf initial address of receive buffer (choice) 3 IN count number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-4 teger) 56 IN datatype of each receive buffer element (handle) datatype 7 INOUT message message (handle) 8 OUT status status object (Status) 9 10 11int MPI\_Mrecv(void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, MPI\_Message \*message, MPI\_Status \*status) 1213MPI\_Mrecv(buf, count, datatype, message, status, ierror) 14TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf 15INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count 16TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 17 TYPE(MPI\_Message), INTENT(INOUT) :: message 18 TYPE(MPI\_Status) :: status 19INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 20MPI\_MRECV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, MESSAGE, STATUS, IERROR) 2122 <type> BUF(\*) 23INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, MESSAGE, STATUS(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE), IERROR 24This call receives a message matched by a matching probe operation (Section 3.8.2). 25The receive buffer consists of the storage containing **count** consecutive elements of the 26type specified by datatype, starting at address buf. The length of the received message must 27be less than or equal to the length of the receive buffer. An overflow error occurs if all 28incoming data does not fit, without truncation, into the receive buffer. 29 If the message is shorter than the receive buffer, then only those locations corresponding 30 to the (shorter) message are modified.  $^{31}$ On return from this function, the message handle is set to MPI\_MESSAGE\_NULL. All 32 errors that occur during the execution of this operation are handled according to the error 33 handler set for the communicator used in the matching probe call that produced the message 34handle. 35 If MPI\_MRECV is called with MPI\_MESSAGE\_NO\_PROC as the message argument, the 36 call returns immediately with the status object set to source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL, tag =37 MPI\_ANY\_TAG, and count = 0, as if a receive from MPI\_PROC\_NULL was issued (see Sec-38 tion 3.11). A call to MPI\_MRECV with MPI\_MESSAGE\_NULL is erroneous. 39 40 41 4243 44454647

48

MPI_IMRI	ECV(buf, count, datatype, mess	age, request)	1		
OUT	buf	initial address of receive buffer (choice)	2		
IN	count	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-	3		
	count	teger)	4 5		
IN	datatype	datatype of each receive buffer element (handle)	6		
INOUT	message	message (handle)	7		
	-		8		
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	9		
int MDT	Imrocy (woidt buf int cou	nt, MPI_Datatype datatype,	10		
IIIC MFI		, MPI_Datatype datatype, , MPI_Request *request)	11 12		
			12		
	cv(buf, count, datatype, n	÷ ÷	14		
	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count				
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype					
	(MPI_Message), INTENT(INO	v -	17		
TYPE	TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request				
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	19 20		
MPI IMRE	CV(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, 1	MESSAGE. REQUEST. IERROR)	20 21		
	e> BUF(*)		22		
INTE	GER COUNT, DATATYPE, MESS	AGE, REQUEST, IERROR	23		
MPI	IMRECV is the nonblocking y	variant of MPI_MRECV and starts a nonblocking	24		
	0	on semantics are similar to MPI_IRECV as described	25		
	0 *	function, the message handle is set to	26		
MPI_MESS	AGE_NULL.		27 28		
		MESSAGE_NO_PROC as the message argument, the	20		
	· ·	object which, when completed, will yield a status	30		
-		L, tag = MPI_ANY_TAG, and count = 0, as if a d (see Section $3.11$ ). A call to MPI_IMRECV with	31		
	AGE_NULL is erroneous.	d (see Section 5.11). A can to with Livin Lev with	32		
			33		
		otion of a matched message is started with	34		
	· -	cancel the returned request with MPI_CANCEL. If	35 36		
		ed message must be found by a subsequent message	37		
probe (MPI_PROBE, MPI_IPROBE, MPI_MPROBE, or MPI_IMPROBE), received by a subsequent receive operation or cancelled by the sender. See Section 3.8.4 for details					
	÷ •	tion of operations initiated with MPI_IMRECV may	39		
	(End of advice to implemento	÷	40		
3.8.4 Cancel					

MPI\_CANCEL(request) request communication request (handle) IN

```
int MPI_Cancel(MPI_Request *request)
MPI_Cancel(request, ierror)
TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(IN) :: request
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_CANCEL(REQUEST, IERROR)
INTEGER REQUEST, IERROR
```

A call to MPI\_CANCEL marks for cancellation a pending, nonblocking communication 9 operation (send or receive). The cancel call is local. It returns immediately, possibly before 10 the communication is actually cancelled. It is still necessary to call MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE. 11 MPI\_WAIT or MPI\_TEST (or any of the derived operations) with the cancelled request as 12argument after the call to MPI\_CANCEL. If a communication is marked for cancellation, 13 then a MPI\_WAIT call for that communication is guaranteed to return, irrespective of 14 the activities of other processes (i.e., MPI\_WAIT behaves as a local function); similarly if 15MPI\_TEST is repeatedly called in a busy wait loop for a cancelled communication, then 16MPI\_TEST will eventually be successful. 17

<sup>18</sup> MPI\_CANCEL can be used to cancel a communication that uses a persistent request (see <sup>19</sup> Section 3.9), in the same way it is used for nonpersistent requests. A successful cancellation <sup>20</sup> cancels the active communication, but not the request itself. After the call to MPI\_CANCEL <sup>21</sup> and the subsequent call to MPI\_WAIT or MPI\_TEST, the request becomes inactive and can <sup>22</sup> be activated for a new communication.

The successful cancellation of a buffered send frees the buffer space occupied by the pending message.

Either the cancellation succeeds, or the communication succeeds, but not both. If a 25send is marked for cancellation, then it must be the case that either the send completes 26normally, in which case the message sent was received at the destination process, or that 27the send is successfully cancelled, in which case no part of the message was received at the 28destination. Then, any matching receive has to be satisfied by another send. If a receive is 29 marked for cancellation, then it must be the case that either the receive completes normally, 30 or that the receive is successfully cancelled, in which case no part of the receive buffer is  $^{31}$ altered. Then, any matching send has to be satisfied by another receive. 32

If the operation has been cancelled, then information to that effect will be returned in the status argument of the operation that completes the communication.

*Rationale.* Although the IN request handle parameter should not need to be passed by reference, the C binding has listed the argument type as MPI\_Request\* since MPI-1.0. This function signature therefore cannot be changed without breaking existing MPI applications. (*End of rationale.*)

```
MPI_TEST_CANCELLED(status, flag)
```

```
    IN status status object (Status)
    OUT flag (logical)
    int MPI_Test_cancelled(const MPI_Status *status, int *flag)
    MPI_Test_cancelled(status, flag, ierror)
```

8

33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40 41

TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(IN) :: status
LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_TEST_CANCELLED(STATUS, FLAG, IERROR)
LOGICAL FLAG
INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR

Returns flag = true if the communication associated with the status object was cancelled successfully. In such a case, all other fields of status (such as count or tag) are undefined. Returns flag = false, otherwise. If a receive operation might be cancelled then one should call MPI\_TEST\_CANCELLED first, to check whether the operation was cancelled, before checking on the other fields of the return status.

Advice to users. Cancel can be an expensive operation that should be used only exceptionally. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. If a send operation uses an "eager" protocol (data is transferred to the receiver before a matching receive is posted), then the cancellation of this send may require communication with the intended receiver in order to free allocated buffers. On some systems this may require an interrupt to the intended receiver. Note that, while communication may be needed to implement

MPI\_CANCEL, this is still a local operation, since its completion does not depend on the code executed by other processes. If processing is required on another process, this should be transparent to the application (hence the need for an interrupt and an interrupt handler). (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 3.9 Persistent Communication Requests

Often a communication with the same argument list is repeatedly executed within the inner loop of a parallel computation. In such a situation, it may be possible to optimize the communication by binding the list of communication arguments to a *persistent* communication request once and, then, repeatedly using the request to initiate and complete messages. The persistent request thus created can be thought of as a communication port or a "halfchannel." It does not provide the full functionality of a conventional channel, since there is no binding of the send port to the receive port. This construct allows reduction of the overhead for communication between the process and communication controller, but not of the overhead for communication between one communication controller and another. It is not necessary that messages sent with a persistent request be received by a receive operation using a persistent request, or vice versa.

A persistent communication request is created using one of the five following calls. These calls involve no communication.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26 27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

1 MPI\_SEND\_INIT(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request) 2 IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice) 3 IN count number of elements sent (non-negative integer) 4 5IN datatype type of each element (handle) 6 IN dest rank of destination (integer) 7 IN message tag (integer) tag 8 9 IN communicator (handle) comm 10 OUT request communication request (handle) 11 12int MPI\_Send\_init(const void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, 13 int dest, int tag, MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Request \*request) 1415MPI\_Send\_init(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror) 16TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf 17 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag 18 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 19 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 20TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 21INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 22MPI\_SEND\_INIT(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) 23<type> BUF(\*) 24INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 2526Creates a persistent communication request for a standard mode send operation, and 27binds to it all the arguments of a send operation. 2829 MPI\_BSEND\_INIT(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request) 30  $^{31}$ IN buf initial address of send buffer (choice) 32 IN count number of elements sent (non-negative integer) 33 34IN datatype type of each element (handle) 35 IN dest rank of destination (integer) 36 IN message tag (integer) tag 37 IN comm communicator (handle) 38 39 OUT request communication request (handle) 4041 int MPI\_Bsend\_init(const void\* buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, 42int dest, int tag, MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Request \*request) 43 MPI\_Bsend\_init(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror) 44TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf 4546INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag 47 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 48 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm

	TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	-	1 2		
MPT	MPI_BSEND_INIT(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)				
	<type> BUF(*)</type>	_,,,,,,,,	4 5		
	INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST,	TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR	6		
	Creates a persistent communication	request for a buffered mode send.	7		
			8		
MPI	_SSEND_INIT(buf, count, datatype, d	lest, tag, comm, request)	9 10		
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	11		
IN	count	number of elements sent (non-negative integer)	12		
IN	datatype	type of each element (handle)	13 14		
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	14		
IN	tag	message tag (integer)	16		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	17		
Ol		communication request (handle)	18 19		
00	i request	communication request (nancie)	20		
int	MPI_Ssend_init(const void* buf	, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,	21		
	int dest, int tag, MF	PI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)	22 23		
MPI_	Ssend_init(buf, count, datatyp	e, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)	23 24		
	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT		25		
	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count,	-	26		
	<pre>TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>		27 28		
	TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT)		28 29		
	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	30		
MPI_	SSEND_INIT(BUF, COUNT, DATATYP	E, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)	31		
	<type> BUF(*)</type>		32 33		
	INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST,	TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR	34		
	Creates a persistent communication	object for a synchronous mode send operation.	35		
			36		
MPI	_RSEND_INIT(buf, count, datatype, c	lest, tag, comm, request)	37 38		
IN	buf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	39		
IN	count	number of elements sent (non-negative integer)	40		
IN	datatype	type of each element (handle)	41		
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	42 43		
IN	tag	message tag (integer)	44		
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	45		
Ol		communication request (handle)	46 47		
	- 1		47		

```
1
     int MPI_Rsend_init(const void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
\mathbf{2}
                    int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
3
     MPI_Rsend_init(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)
4
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
5
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, tag
6
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
7
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
8
         TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request
9
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                ierror
10
11
     MPI_RSEND_INIT(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
12
          <type> BUF(*)
13
         INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
14
         Creates a persistent communication object for a ready mode send operation.
15
16
17
     MPI_RECV_INIT(buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, request)
18
       OUT
                 buf
                                            initial address of receive buffer (choice)
19
       IN
                                            number of elements received (non-negative integer)
                count
20
21
       IN
                datatype
                                            type of each element (handle)
22
       IN
                                            rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)
                source
23
       IN
                                            message tag or MPI_ANY_TAG (integer)
                tag
24
25
       IN
                                            communicator (handle)
                comm
26
       OUT
                request
                                            communication request (handle)
27
28
     int MPI_Recv_init(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int source,
29
                    int tag, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
30
^{31}
     MPI_Recv_init(buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, request, ierror)
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
32
33
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, source, tag
34
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
35
36
         TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request
37
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
38
     MPI_RECV_INIT(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
39
         <type> BUF(*)
40
         INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, SOURCE, TAG, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
41
42
         Creates a persistent communication request for a receive operation. The argument buf
43
     is marked as OUT because the user gives permission to write on the receive buffer by passing
44
     the argument to MPI_RECV_INIT.
45
         A persistent communication request is inactive after it was created — no active com-
46
     munication is attached to the request.
47
         A communication (send or receive) that uses a persistent request is initiated by the
48
     function MPI_START.
```

MPI_START(request)					
INOUT	request	communication request (handle)	2		
	·	,	$\frac{3}{4}$		
int MPI_Sta	art(MPI_Request *request	)	4 5		
MPT Start(	MPI_Start(request, ierror)				
TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: request					
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror					
MPT START(	REQUEST TERROR)		9 10		
	MPI_START(REQUEST, IERROR) INTEGER REQUEST, IERROR				
			11 12		
	· · ·	returned by one of the previous five calls. The he request becomes active once the call is made.	13		
	-	y mode, then a matching receive should be posted	14		
	-	ation buffer should not be modified after the call,	15		
	e operation completes.	,	16 17		
The call	The call is local, with similar semantics to the nonblocking communication operations				
		to MPI_START with a request created by	18 19		
		n in the same manner as a call to MPI_ISEND; a	20		
	START with a request creat manner as a call to MPI_IBS	ted by MPI_BSEND_INIT starts a communication	21		
In the same	manner as a can to wrr_id.	SEND, and so on.	22		
			23		
MPI_START	ALL(count, array_of_requests	5)	24		
IN	count	list length (non-negative integer)	25		
INOUT	array_of_requests	array of requests (array of handle)	26 27		
	<i>y</i> =		27		
int MPI_Sta	<pre>int MPI_Startall(int count, MPI_Request array_of_requests[])</pre>				
MDT Starta	ll(count, array_of_reque	sets ierror)	30		
	R, INTENT(IN) :: count	505, 101101/	31		
	TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(INOUT) :: array_of_requests(count)				
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror					
MPI_STARTALL(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_REQUESTS, IERROR)					
The summer cooker, maker of responses, resulting					

INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY\_OF\_REQUESTS(\*), IERROR

Start all communications associated with requests in array\_of\_requests. A call to MPI\_STARTALL(count, array\_of\_requests) has the same effect as calls to

MPI\_START (&array\_of\_requests[i]), executed for i=0,..., count-1, in some arbitrary order. A communication started with a call to MPI\_START or MPI\_STARTALL is completed by a call to MPI\_WAIT, MPI\_TEST, or one of the derived functions described in Section 3.7.5. The request becomes inactive after successful completion of such call. The request is not deallocated and it can be activated anew by an MPI\_START or MPI\_STARTALL call.

A persistent request is deallocated by a call to MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE (Section 3.7.3). The call to MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE can occur at any point in the program after the persistent request was created. However, the request will be deallocated only after it becomes

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

<sup>1</sup> inactive. Active receive requests should not be freed. Otherwise, it will not be possible <sup>2</sup> to check that the receive has completed. It is preferable, in general, to free requests when <sup>3</sup> they are inactive. If this rule is followed, then the functions described in this section will be <sup>4</sup> invoked in a sequence of the form, **Create (Start Complete)**\* **Free** where \* indicates <sup>5</sup> zero or more repetitions. If the same communication object is used in several concurrent <sup>6</sup> threads, it is the user's responsibility to coordinate calls so that the correct sequence is <sup>7</sup> obeyed.

A send operation initiated with MPI\_START can be matched with any receive operation and, likewise, a receive operation initiated with MPI\_START can receive messages generated by any send operation.

Advice to users. To prevent problems with the argument copying and register optimization done by Fortran compilers, please note the hints in Sections 17.1.10–17.1.20. (End of advice to users.)

## 3.10 Send-Receive

The *send-receive* operations combine in one call the sending of a message to one destination and the receiving of another message, from another process. The two (source and destina-tion) are possibly the same. A send-receive operation is very useful for executing a shift operation across a chain of processes. If blocking sends and receives are used for such a shift, then one needs to order the sends and receives correctly (for example, even processes send, then receive, odd processes receive first, then send) so as to prevent cyclic dependencies that  $^{24}$ may lead to deadlock. When a send-receive operation is used, the communication subsys-tem takes care of these issues. The send-receive operation can be used in conjunction with the functions described in Chapter 7 in order to perform shifts on various logical topologies. Also, a send-receive operation is useful for implementing remote procedure calls. 

A message sent by a send-receive operation can be received by a regular receive operation or probed by a probe operation; a send-receive operation can receive a message sent by a regular send operation.

MPI_SENDRECV(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, dest, sendtag, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, <sup>1</sup> source, recvtag, comm, status) <sup>2</sup>			
IN	sendbuf	initial address of send buffer (choice)	3
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte- ger)	4 5 6
IN	sendtype	type of elements in send buffer (handle)	7
IN	dest	rank of destination (integer)	8
IN	sendtag	send tag (integer)	9 10
OUT	recvbuf	initial address of receive buffer (choice)	11
IN	recvcount	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in- teger)	12 13
IN	recvtype	type of elements in receive buffer (handle)	14 15
IN	source	rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)	16
IN	recvtag	receive tag or MPI_ANY_TAG (integer)	17
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	18 19
OUT	status	status object (Status)	20
			21
int MPI_S		buf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,	22 23
		g, void *recvbuf, int recvcount,	24
	MPI_Status *status)	e, int source, int recvtag, MPI_Comm comm,	25
MDT Sondr		sendtype, dest, sendtag, recvbuf,	26
MF1_Senut		source, recvtag, comm, status, ierror)	27 28
TYPE(	*), DIMENSION(), INTEN	-	20
	*), DIMENSION() :: re		30
INTEG	ER, INTENT(IN) :: sendco	ount, dest, sendtag, recvcount, source,	31
recvt	ag		32
	<pre>MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)</pre>		33
	MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	Comm	34
	MPI_Status) :: status	N	35
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	36
MPI_SENDR	ECV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, S	SENDTYPE, DEST, SENDTAG, RECVBUF,	37 38
		SOURCE, RECVTAG, COMM, STATUS, IERROR)	39
01	> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)		40
		DEST, SENDTAG, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,	41
SUURC	E, RECVIAG, CUMM, STATUS	(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	42
Execu	te a blocking send and receiv	ve operation. Both send and receive use the same	43
communics	tor but possibly different to	are The send buffer and receive buffers must be	4.4

communicator, but possibly different tags. The send buffer and receive buffers must be disjoint, and may have different lengths and datatypes.

The semantics of a send-receive operation is what would be obtained if the caller forked 46 two concurrent threads, one to execute the send, and one to execute the receive, followed 47 by a join of these two threads. 48

44

```
1
     MPI_SENDRECV_REPLACE(buf, count, datatype, dest, sendtag, source, recvtag, comm, sta-
\mathbf{2}
                     tus)
3
       INOUT
                 buf
                                              initial address of send and receive buffer (choice)
4
       IN
                                              number of elements in send and receive buffer (non-
                 count
5
                                              negative integer)
6
7
       IN
                 datatype
                                              type of elements in send and receive buffer (handle)
8
       IN
                 dest
                                              rank of destination (integer)
9
                                              send message tag (integer)
       IN
                 sendtag
10
11
       IN
                 source
                                              rank of source or MPI_ANY_SOURCE (integer)
12
       IN
                 recvtag
                                              receive message tag or MPI_ANY_TAG (integer)
13
       IN
                                              communicator (handle)
                 comm
14
15
       OUT
                                              status object (Status)
                 status
16
17
     int MPI_Sendrecv_replace(void* buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
18
                     int dest, int sendtag, int source, int recvtag, MPI_Comm comm,
19
                     MPI_Status *status)
20
     MPI_Sendrecv_replace(buf, count, datatype, dest, sendtag, source, recvtag,
21
                     comm, status, ierror)
22
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf
23
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, dest, sendtag, source, recvtag
24
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
25
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
26
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
27
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
28
29
     MPI_SENDRECV_REPLACE(BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, SENDTAG, SOURCE, RECVTAG,
30
                     COMM, STATUS, IERROR)
31
          <type> BUF(*)
32
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, DEST, SENDTAG, SOURCE, RECVTAG, COMM,
33
          STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
34
          Execute a blocking send and receive. The same buffer is used both for the send and
35
     for the receive, so that the message sent is replaced by the message received.
36
37
           Advice to implementors. Additional intermediate buffering is needed for the "replace"
38
           variant. (End of advice to implementors.)
39
40
41
             Null Processes
     3.11
42
43
     In many instances, it is convenient to specify a "dummy" source or destination for commu-
```

In many instances, it is convenient to specify a "dummy" source or destination for commu nication. This simplifies the code that is needed for dealing with boundaries, for example,
 in the case of a non-circular shift done with calls to send-receive.

The special value MPI\_PROC\_NULL can be used instead of a rank wherever a source or a
 destination argument is required in a call. A communication with process MPI\_PROC\_NULL
 has no effect. A send to MPI\_PROC\_NULL succeeds and returns as soon as possible. A receive

from MPI\_PROC\_NULL succeeds and returns as soon as possible with no modifications to the receive buffer. When a receive with source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL is executed then the status object returns source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL, tag = MPI\_ANY\_TAG and count = 0. A probe or matching probe with source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL succeeds and returns as soon as possible, and the status object returns source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL, tag = MPI\_ANY\_TAG and count = 0. A matching probe (cf. Section 3.8.2) with MPI\_PROC\_NULL as source returns flag = true, message = MPI\_MESSAGE\_NO\_PROC, and the status object returns source = MPI\_PROC\_NULL, tag = MPI\_ANY\_TAG, and count = 0.

## Chapter 4

# Datatypes

Basic datatypes were introduced in Section 3.2.2 and in Section 3.3. In this chapter, this model is extended to describe any data layout. We consider general datatypes that allow one to transfer efficiently heterogeneous and noncontiguous data. We conclude with the description of calls for explicit packing and unpacking of messages.

7 8 9

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

4546

47

48

#### 4.1**Derived** Datatypes

Up to here, all point to point communications have involved only buffers containing a sequence of identical basic datatypes. This is too constraining on two accounts. One often wants to pass messages that contain values with different datatypes (e.g., an integer count, followed by a sequence of real numbers); and one often wants to send noncontiguous data (e.g., a sub-block of a matrix). One solution is to pack noncontiguous data into a contiguous buffer at the sender site and unpack it at the receiver site. This has the disadvantage of requiring additional memory-to-memory copy operations at both sites, even when the communication subsystem has scatter-gather capabilities. Instead, MPI provides mechanisms to specify more general, mixed, and noncontiguous communication buffers. It is up to the implementation to decide whether data should be first packed in a contiguous buffer before being transmitted, or whether it can be collected directly from where it resides.

The general mechanisms provided here allow one to transfer directly, without copying, objects of various shapes and sizes. It is not assumed that the MPI library is cognizant of 34 the objects declared in the host language. Thus, if one wants to transfer a structure, or an 35 array section, it will be necessary to provide in MPI a definition of a communication buffer 36 that mimics the definition of the structure or array section in question. These facilities can 37 be used by library designers to define communication functions that can transfer objects defined in the host language — by decoding their definitions as available in a symbol table or a dope vector. Such higher-level communication functions are not part of MPI.

More general communication buffers are specified by replacing the basic datatypes that have been used so far with derived datatypes that are constructed from basic datatypes using the constructors described in this section. These methods of constructing derived datatypes can be applied recursively.

A general datatype is an opaque object that specifies two things:

- A sequence of basic datatypes
- A sequence of integer (byte) displacements

The displacements are not required to be positive, distinct, or in increasing order. Therefore, the order of items need not coincide with their order in store, and an item may appear more than once. We call such a pair of sequences (or sequence of pairs) a *type map*. The sequence of basic datatypes (displacements ignored) is the *type signature* of the datatype.

6

Let

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

7 8 9

$$Typemap = \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\}$$

be such a type map, where  $type_i$  are basic types, and  $disp_i$  are displacements. Let

10 11 12

13

14

15

 $Typesig = \{type_0, \dots, type_{n-1}\}$ 

be the associated type signature. This type map, together with a base address buf, specifies a communication buffer: the communication buffer that consists of n entries, where the *i*-th entry is at address buf +  $disp_i$  and has type  $type_i$ . A message assembled from such a communication buffer will consist of n values, of the types defined by Typesig.

<sup>19</sup> We can use a handle to a general datatype as an argument in a send or receive operation, <sup>20</sup> instead of a basic datatype argument. The operation MPI\_SEND(buf, 1, datatype,...) will <sup>22</sup> use the send buffer defined by the base address buf and the general datatype associated <sup>23</sup> with datatype; it will generate a message with the type signature determined by the datatype <sup>24</sup> argument. MPI\_RECV(buf, 1, datatype,...) will use the receive buffer defined by the base <sup>25</sup> address buf and the general datatype.

General datatypes can be used in all send and receive operations. We discuss, in Section 4.1.11, the case where the second argument count has value > 1.

The basic datatypes presented in Section 3.2.2 are particular cases of a general datatype, and are predefined. Thus, MPI\_INT is a predefined handle to a datatype with type map  $\{(int, 0)\}$ , with one entry of type int and displacement zero. The other basic datatypes are similar.

The *extent* of a datatype is defined to be the span from the first byte to the last byte occupied by entries in this datatype, rounded up to satisfy alignment requirements. That is, if

$$Typemap = \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$$

then

32

33

34 35

36

37

40 41

42

$$lb(Typemap) = \min_{j} disp_{j},$$
  

$$ub(Typemap) = \max_{j} (disp_{j} + \text{sizeof}(type_{j})) + \epsilon, \text{ and}$$
  

$$extent(Typemap) = ub(Typemap) - lb(Typemap).$$
(4.1)

<sup>43</sup> If  $type_j$  requires alignment to a byte address that is a multiple of  $k_j$ , then  $\epsilon$  is the least <sup>44</sup> non-negative increment needed to round extent(Typemap) to the next multiple of  $\max_j k_j$ . <sup>45</sup> In Fortran, it is implementation dependent whether the MPI implementation computes <sup>46</sup> the alignments  $k_j$  according to the alignments used by the compiler in common blocks, <sup>47</sup> SEQUENCE derived types, BIND(C) derived types, or derived types that are neither SEQUENCE <sup>48</sup> nor BIND(C). The complete definition of *extent* is given in Section 4.1.6. **Example 4.1** Assume that  $Type = \{(double, 0), (char, 8)\}$  (a double at displacement zero, followed by a char at displacement eight). Assume, furthermore, that doubles have to be strictly aligned at addresses that are multiples of eight. Then, the extent of this datatype is 16 (9 rounded to the next multiple of 8). A datatype that consists of a character immediately followed by a double will also have an extent of 16.

Rationale. The definition of extent is motivated by the assumption that the amount of padding added at the end of each structure in an array of structures is the least needed to fulfill alignment constraints. More explicit control of the extent is provided in Section 4.1.6. Such explicit control is needed in cases where the assumption does not hold, for example, where union types are used. In Fortran, structures can be expressed with several language features, e.g., common blocks, SEQUENCE derived types, or BIND(C) derived types. The compiler may use different alignments, and therefore, it is recommended to use MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_RESIZED for arrays of structures if an alignment may cause an alignment-gap at the end of a structure as described in Section 4.1.6 and in Section 17.1.15. (End of rationale.)

### 4.1.1 Type Constructors with Explicit Addresses

In Fortran, the functions MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_HVECTOR, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_HINDEXED, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_HINDEXED\_BLOCK, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_STRUCT, and MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS accept arguments of type INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), wherever arguments of type MPI\_Aint are used in C. On Fortran 77 systems that do not support the Fortran 90 KIND notation, and where addresses are 64 bits whereas default INTEGERs are 32 bits, these arguments will be of type INTEGER\*8.

### 4.1.2 Datatype Constructors

**Contiguous** The simplest datatype constructor is MPI\_TYPE\_CONTIGUOUS which allows replication of a datatype into contiguous locations.

MPI\_TYPE\_CONTIGUOUS(count, oldtype, newtype)

IN	count	replication count (non-negative integer)	34
	a late un a	- ( • • • ,	35
IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	36
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	37
			38
int MPI_T	<pre>ype_contiguous(int count,</pre>	MPI_Datatype oldtype,	39
	MPI_Datatype *newtype	e)	40
			41
	contiguous(count, oldtype	e, newtype, lerror)	42
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count		43
	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)	<b>51</b>	44
	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT	<b>U</b> 1	45
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	46
MPI TYPE	CONTIGUOUS(COUNT, OLDTYPE	E. NEWTYPE, IERROR)	47
	ER COUNT, OLDTYPE, NEWTYF		48

1 2

3

45

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

1 2			by concatenating <b>count</b> copies of <i>extent</i> as the size of the concatenated copies.	
3 4 5	<b>Example 4.2</b> Let oldtype have type map $\{(double, 0), (char, 8)\}$ , with extent 16, and let $count = 3$ . The type map of the datatype returned by newtype is			
6	{(doi	(ble, 0), (char, 8), (double, 16)	(char, 24), (double, 32), (char, 40);	
7 8 9	i.e., alternating double and char elements, with displacements 0, 8, 16, 24, 32, 40. In general, assume that the type map of oldtype is			
10	$\{(typ$	$(type_0, disp_0), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_n)$	_1)},	
11 12	with exten	t $ex$ . Then newtype has a type	e map with $count \cdot n$ entries defined by:	
13	$\{(typ$	$(e_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})$	$(type_0, disp_0 + ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ex),$	
14 15	,(	$type_0, disp_0 + ex \cdot (count - 1))$	$(\ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ex \cdot (\operatorname{count} - 1)))\}.$	
16 17 18 19 20 21	cation of a obtained b	a datatype into locations that	OR is a more general constructor that allows repli- consist of equally spaced blocks. Each block is mber of copies of the old datatype. The spacing at of the old datatype.	
22 23	MPI_TYPE	_VECTOR(count, blocklength,	stride, oldtype, newtype)	
24	IN	count	number of blocks (non-negative integer)	
25 26	IN	blocklength	number of elements in each block (non-negative integer)	
27 28 29	IN	stride	number of elements between start of each block (integer)	
30	IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	
31 32	OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	
33 34 35	int MPI_T		blocklength, int stride, , MPI_Datatype *newtype)	
36 37 38 39 40	INTEG TYPE( TYPE(	vector(count, blocklength ER, INTENT(IN) :: count, MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: oldtype ) :: newtype	
41 42 43			I, STRIDE, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) RIDE, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR	
44 45 46 47	-	A call to MPI_TYPE_VECTOR	Hype has type map $\{(\texttt{double}, 0), (\texttt{char}, 8)\}$ , with $R(2, 3, 4, \texttt{oldtype}, \texttt{newtype})$ will create the datatype	
48	$\{(dou$	(able, 0), (char, 8), (double, 16)	$), ({\tt char}, 24), ({\tt double}, 32), ({\tt char}, 40),$	

$(\texttt{double}, 64), (\texttt{char}, 72), (\texttt{double}, 80), (\texttt{char}, 88), (\texttt{double}, 96), (\texttt{char}, 104) \}.$	1
That is, two blocks with three copies each of the old type, with a stride of 4 elements $(4 \cdot 16)$	2 3
bytes) between the the start of each block.	3 4
	5
<b>Example 4.4</b> A call to MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(3, 1, -2, oldtype, newtype) will create the datature	6
datatype,	7
$\{(\texttt{double}, 0), (\texttt{char}, 8), (\texttt{double}, -32), (\texttt{char}, -24), (\texttt{double}, -64), (\texttt{char}, -56)\}.$	8
In general, assume that oldtype has type map,	9 10
$\{(type_0, disp_0), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$	11
with extent $ex$ . Let bl be the blocklength. The newly created datatype has a type map with	12
count $\cdot$ bl $\cdot$ n entries:	13
$\{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1}), \}$	14
	15 16
$(type_0, disp_0 + ex), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ex), \ldots,$	17
$(type_0, disp_0 + (bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (bl - 1) \cdot ex),$	18
$(type_0, disp_0 + stride \cdot ex), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + stride \cdot ex), \ldots,$	19
	20 21
$(type_0, disp_0 + (stride + bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (stride + bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots,$	22
$(type_0, disp_0 + stride \cdot (count - 1) \cdot ex), \ldots,$	23
$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + stride \cdot (count - 1) \cdot ex), \ldots,$	24
	25 26
$(type_0, disp_0 + (stride \cdot (count - 1) + bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots,$	20 27
$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (stride \cdot (count - 1) + bl - 1) \cdot ex)\}.$	28
A call to MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(count, oldtype, newtype) is equivalent to a call to	29
MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(count, 1, 1, oldtype, newtype), or to a call to MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(1,	30
count, n, oldtype, newtype), n arbitrary.	31 32
	32
Hvector The function MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR is identical to	34
MPI_TYPE_VECTOR, except that stride is given in bytes, rather than in elements. The	35
use for both types of vector constructors is illustrated in Section 4.1.14. (H stands for "heterogeneous")	36

			38	
MPI_TYPI	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR(count, blocklength, stride, oldtype, newtype)			
IN	count	number of blocks (non-negative integer)	40	
IN			41	
IIN	blocklength	number of elements in each block (non-negative integer)	42 43	
		Ser)		
IN	stride	number of bytes between start of each block (integer)	44	
IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	45	
IIN	olatype	olu datatype (lialidie)	46	
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	47	
			48	

"heterogeneous").

```
1
      int MPI_Type_create_hvector(int count, int blocklength, MPI_Aint stride,
\mathbf{2}
                        MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)
3
      MPI_Type_create_hvector(count, blocklength, stride, oldtype, newtype,
4
                        ierror)
5
           INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, blocklength
6
           INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: stride
7
           TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: oldtype
8
           TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype
9
           INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                         ierror
10
11
      MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR(COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH, STRIDE, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE,
12
                        IERROR)
13
           INTEGER COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR
14
           INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) STRIDE
15
           Assume that oldtype has type map,
16
17
            \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\
18
      with extent ex. Let bl be the blocklength. The newly created datatype has a type map with
19
      count \cdot bl \cdot n entries:
20
21
            \{(type_0, disp_0), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1}), \}
22
23
            (type_0, disp_0 + ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ex), \dots,
24
25
            (type_0, disp_0 + (bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (bl - 1) \cdot ex),
26
27
            (type_0, disp_0 + \mathsf{stride}), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + \mathsf{stride}), \ldots,
28
29
            (type_0, disp_0 + stride + (bl - 1) \cdot ex), \ldots,
30
31
            (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + stride + (bl - 1) \cdot ex), \ldots,
32
            (type_0, disp_0 + stride \cdot (count - 1)), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + stride \cdot (count - 1)), \dots, (type_n, disp_n - 1)
33
34
            (type_0, disp_0 + stride \cdot (count - 1) + (bl - 1) \cdot ex), \dots,
35
36
            (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + stride \cdot (count - 1) + (bl - 1) \cdot ex)\}.
37
38
      Indexed The function MPI_TYPE_INDEXED allows replication of an old datatype into a
39
      sequence of blocks (each block is a concatenation of the old datatype), where each block
40
      can contain a different number of copies and have a different displacement. All block
41
      displacements are multiples of the old type extent.
42
43
44
45
```

-10

47

MPI_TYPE_INDEXED(count, array_of_blocklengths, array_of_displacements, oldtype, <sup>1</sup> newtype) <sup>2</sup>			
IN	count	number of blocks — also number of entries in array_of_displacements and array_of_blocklengths (non-negative integer)	3 4 5 6
IN	array_of_blocklengths	number of elements per block (array of non-negative integers)	7 8
IN	array_of_displacements	displacement for each block, in multiples of <b>oldtype</b> extent (array of integer)	9 10
IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	11 12
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	12 13 14
int MPI_T		onst int array_of_blocklengths[], const ements[], MPI_Datatype oldtype, e)	15 16 17
	oldtype, newtype, ie:	<pre>blocklengths, array_of_displacements, rror) , array_of_blocklengths(count),</pre>	18 19 20
array	_of_displacements(count) MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)		21 22 23
	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype       24         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror       25		
<pre>MPI_TYPE_INDEXED(COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS, ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS(*), ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*), OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR</pre>			26 27 28 29 30
Example 4.5 Let oldtype have type map {(double, 0), (char, 8)}, with extent 16. Let $B = (3, 1)$ and let $D = (4, 0)$ . A call to MPI_TYPE_INDEXED(2, B, D, oldtype, newtype) returns a datatype with type map, 31 32 33 34 34 35			
{(doi	uble, 64), (char, 72), (double, 64), (double, 64), (double, 72), (double, 72), double,	$80), ({\tt char}, 88), ({\tt double}, 96), ({\tt char}, 104),$	36
(doul	$ble, 0), (char, 8) \}.$		37 38 39
That is, the displacement		rting at displacement 64, and one copy starting at	40 41
In gen	eral, assume that old type has	type map,	42
$\{(typ)\}$	$(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_n)$	$_{-1})\},$	43 44
with exten array_of_d	t <i>ex</i> . Let B be the array_of_blicsplacements argument. The new	ocklengths argument and D be the ewly created datatype has $n \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{count-1} B[i]$ entries:	45 46
	$De_0, disp_0 + D[0] \cdot ex), \dots, (typ)$		47 48

1	(ty)	$pe_0, disp_0 + (D[0] + B[0] - 1) \cdot$	$ex), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (D[0] + B[0] - 1) \cdot ex), \ldots,$
2 3	(ty)	$pe_0, disp_0 + D[count-1] \cdot ex), \dots$	$., (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + D[count-1] \cdot ex), \ldots,$
4 5	(ty)	$pe_0, disp_0 + (D[count-1] + B[cc])$	$[punt-1] - 1) \cdot ex), \dots,$
6 7	(ty)	$pe_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (D[count-1] +$	$B[count-1] - 1) \cdot ex)\}.$
8 9 10			nt, blocklength, stride, oldtype, newtype) is equivalent nt, B, D, oldtype, newtype) where
11	D[j]	$j = j \cdot stride, \ j = 0, \dots, count$	-1,
12 13	and		
14 15	B[j]	$ =$ blocklength, $j=0,\ldots,$ cou	nt-1.
16 17 18 19 20 21	ified in b	PE_INDEXED, except that blo ytes, rather than in multiples	CREATE_HINDEXED is identical to ck displacements in array_of_displacements are spec- of the oldtype extent. t, array_of_blocklengths, array_of_displacements,
22	IVIE I_ I I I	oldtype, newtype)	r, array_or_blocklengths, array_or_displacements,
23 24 25 26	IN	count	<pre>number of blocks — also number of entries in array_of_displacements and array_of_blocklengths (non- negative integer)</pre>
27 28	IN	array_of_blocklengths	number of elements in each block (array of non-negative integers)
29	IN	array_of_displacements	byte displacement of each block (array of integer)
30 31	IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)
32	OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)
33 34 35 36	int MPI		<pre>count, const int array_of_blocklengths[], y_of_displacements[], MPI_Datatype oldtype, pe)</pre>
37 38	MPI_Type	e_create_hindexed(count, a	-
39 40 41	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, array_of_blocklengths(count) INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) ::		
42 43 44 45	TYPI TYPI	ay_of_displacements(count) E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(I) E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(O) EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	N) :: oldtype JT) :: newtype
46 47 48			ARRAY_OF_BLOCKLENGTHS, NTS, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR) KLENGTHS(*), OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR

INT	EGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KINI	)) ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*)	1
Ass	ume that <b>oldtype</b> has type map	,	2 3
{(1	$\{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$		
C X	with extent $ex$ . Let B be the array_of_blocklengths argument and D be the		
array of	displacements argument. The	newly created datatype has a type map with $n \cdot$	6
$\sum_{i=0}^{\text{count}}$	<sup>-1</sup> B[i] entries:		7
	$type_0, disp_0 + D[0]), \dots, (type_{n-1})$	$-1, disp_{n-1} + D[0]), \dots,$	8 9
(tr	$pe_0, disp_0 + D[0] + (B[0] - 1)$ .	ex)	10
( )			11
( 6	$pe_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + D[0] + (B[0] - 0)$		12
(ty	$ppe_0, disp_0 + D[count-1]), \dots, (t)$	$ype_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + D[count-1]), \dots,$	13
(ty	$ppe_0, disp_0 + D[count-1] + (B[cont-1])$	$unt-1]-1)\cdot ex),\ldots,$	14 15
(tu	$pe_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + D[count-1] + D[count-1]$	$(B[count-1] - 1) \cdot ex)$ .	16
(* 2			17
$Indexed_{}$	block This function is the same	ne as MPI_TYPE_INDEXED except that the block-	18
0		e are many codes using indirect addressing arising	19
	0	cksize is always 1 (gather/scatter). The following	20 21
convenie	ance function allows for constant	t blocksize and arbitrary displacements.	22
			23
MPI_TY		K(count, blocklength, array_of_displacements, oldtype,	24
	newtype)		25
IN	count	length of array of displacements (non-negative integer)	26 27
IN	blocklength	size of block (non-negative integer)	28
IN	array_of_displacements	array of displacements (array of integer)	29
IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	30
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	31
			32
int MPI		(int count, int blocklength, const	33 34
		cements[], MPI_Datatype oldtype,	35
	MPI_Datatype *newty	pe)	36
MPI_Typ		<pre>nnt, blocklength, array_of_displacements,</pre>	37
T 117	oldtype, newtype, i		38
	EGER, INTENT(IN) :: count ay_of_displacements(count)	0	39 40
	E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN		40
	E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OU		42
	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT		43
MPI TYF	E_CREATE_INDEXED BLOCK(COU	JNT, BLOCKLENGTH, ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS,	44
	OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, I		45
		ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*), OLDTYPE,	46 47
NEW	TYPE, IERROR		48

1 2 3 4	MPI_TY	PE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLO	<b>CYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED_BLOCK</b> is identical to <b>CK</b> , except that block displacements in bytes, rather than in multiples of the <b>oldtype</b> extent.
5 6 7	MPI_TY	PE_CREATE_HINDEXED_BL oldtype, newtype)	OCK(count, blocklength, array_of_displacements,
8	IN	count	length of array of displacements (non-negative integer)
9	IN	blocklength	size of block (non-negative integer)
10 11	IN	array_of_displacements	byte displacement of each block (array of integer)
12	IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)
13 14	OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)
15 16 17 18	int MPI	• 1	ock(int count, int blocklength, const displacements[], MPI_Datatype oldtype, ype)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	INT INT arr TYP TYP	oldtype, newtype, EGER, INTENT(IN) :: coun EGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KII ay_of_displacements(coun E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT( E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(0 EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(0	nt, blocklength ND), INTENT(IN) :: t) IN) :: oldtype DUT) :: newtype
27 28 29 30 31 32	INT	OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, EGER COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH,	COUNT, BLOCKLENGTH, ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS, IERROR) OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR ND) ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*)
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	generaliz		T is the most general type constructor. It further DEXED in that it allows each block to consist of repli-
40 41			
41 42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			

MPI_	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(count, array_of_blocklengths, array_of_displacements, array_of_types, newtype) <sup>1</sup>		
IN	count	number of blocks (non-negative integer) — also num- ber of entries in arrays array_of_types, array_of_displacements and array_of_blocklengths	3 4 5 6
IN	array_of_blocklength	number of elements in each block (array of non-negative integer)	7 8
IN	array_of_displacements	byte displacement of each block (array of integer)	9
IN	array_of_types	type of elements in each block (array of handles to datatype objects)	10 11 12
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	13
int M	const MPI_Aint array	nt, const int array_of_blocklengths[], _of_displacements[], const f_types[], MPI_Datatype *newtype)	14 15 16 17
I I T T I MPI_T	<pre>MPI_Type_create_struct(count, array_of_blocklengths,</pre>		
	ERROR NTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	ARRAY_OF_DISPLACEMENTS(*)	30 31
Б			32 33
Exan	<b>pple 4.6</b> Let type1 have type map	),	34
	$\{(\texttt{double}, 0), (\texttt{char}, 8)\},$		35
Then	with extent 16. Let $B = (2, 1, 3)$ , $D = (0, 16, 26)$ , and $T = (MPI_FLOAT, type1, MPI_CHAR)$ . Then a call to MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(3, B, D, T, newtype) returns a datatype with type map,		
	$\{(\texttt{float}, 0), (\texttt{float}, 4), (\texttt{double}, 16)\}$	$), (char, 24), (char, 26), (char, 27), (char, 28) \}.$	40 41
16, fo	That is, two copies of MPI_FLOAT starting at 0, followed by one copy of type1 starting at 4 16, followed by three copies of MPI_CHAR, starting at 26. (We assume that a float occupies four bytes.)		
I	$f$ general, let T be the array_of_typ	bes argument, where $T[i]$ is a handle to,	45
	$typemap_i = \{(type_0^i, disp_0^i), \dots, (ty_i)\}$	$ppe_{n_i-1}^i, disp_{n_i-1}^i)\},$	46 47

1	with exten	t $ex$ : Let B be the array of	-blocklength argument and D be the	
2	array_of_d	splacements argument. Let c	be the count argument. Then the newly created	
3	datatype h	as a type map with $\sum_{i=0}^{C-1} B[i]$	$\cdot n_i$ entries:	
4 5	$\{(typ$	$pe_0^0, disp_0^0 + D[0]), \dots, (type_{n_0}^0, type_{n_0}^0)$	$disp_{n_0}^0 + D[0]), \dots,$	
6 7	(type	${}^{0}_{0}, disp^{0}_{0} + D[0] + (B[0] - 1) \cdot e$	$(x_0), \dots, (type_{n_0}^0, disp_{n_0}^0 + D[0] + (B[0]-1) \cdot ex_0), \dots,$	
8 9	(type	$\mathbf{C}_{0}^{C-1}, disp_{0}^{C-1} + D[c-1]), \dots, (ty)$	$ppe_{n_{C-1}-1}^{C-1}, disp_{n_{C-1}-1}^{C-1} + D[c-1]), \dots,$	
10 11	(type	$B_0^{C-1}, disp_0^{C-1} + D[c-1] + (B[c-1])$	$]-1) \cdot ex_{C-1}), \ldots,$	
12 13	(type	$L_{n_{C-1}-1}^{c-1}$ , $disp_{n_{C-1}-1}^{c-1}$ + D[c-1] +	$(B[c-1]-1) \cdot ex_{C-1})\}.$	
14 15			DEXED(count, B, D, oldtype, newtype) is equivalent CT(count, B, D, T, newtype), where each entry of T	
16 17	is equal to			
18				
19	4.1.3 Sul	parray Datatype Constructor		
20				
21 22 23	MPI_TYPE	E_CREATE_SUBARRAY(ndims order, oldtype, newtype)	s, array_of_sizes, array_of_subsizes, array_of_starts,	
24	IN	ndims	number of array dimensions (positive integer)	
25 26 27	IN	array_of_sizes	number of elements of type oldtype in each dimension of the full array (array of positive integers)	
27 28 29	IN	array_of_subsizes	number of elements of type <b>oldtype</b> in each dimension of the subarray (array of positive integers)	
30 31	IN	array_of_starts	starting coordinates of the subarray in each dimension (array of non-negative integers)	
32	IN	order	array storage order flag (state)	
33 34	IN	oldtype	array element datatype (handle)	
35	OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	
36				
37 38	int MPI_T	· · · · ·	ndims, const int array_of_sizes[], const	
39		·	s[], const int array_of_starts[], int	
40		order, MPI_Datatype	oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)	
41	MPI_Type_	-	rray_of_sizes, array_of_subsizes,	
42	тытес	Ū.	er, oldtype, newtype, ierror)	
43 44	<pre>INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: ndims, array_of_sizes(ndims), array_of_subsizes(ndims), array_of_starts(ndims), order</pre>			
45	v	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)	•	
46		MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT	V -	
47	INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	
48				

The subarray type constructor creates an MPI datatype describing an *n*-dimensional subarray of an *n*-dimensional array. The subarray may be situated anywhere within the full array, and may be of any nonzero size up to the size of the larger array as long as it is confined within this array. This type constructor facilitates creating filetypes to access arrays distributed in blocks among processes to a single file that contains the global array, see MPI I/O, especially Section 13.1.1.

This type constructor can handle arrays with an arbitrary number of dimensions and works for both C and Fortran ordered matrices (i.e., row-major or column-major). Note that a C program may use Fortran order and a Fortran program may use C order.

The ndims parameter specifies the number of dimensions in the full data array and gives the number of elements in array\_of\_sizes, array\_of\_subsizes, and array\_of\_starts.

The number of elements of type oldtype in each dimension of the *n*-dimensional array and the requested subarray are specified by array\_of\_sizes and array\_of\_subsizes, respectively. For any dimension i, it is erroneous to specify array\_of\_subsizes[i] < 1 or array\_of\_subsizes[i] > array\_of\_sizes[i].

The array\_of\_starts contains the starting coordinates of each dimension of the subarray. Arrays are assumed to be indexed starting from zero. For any dimension i, it is erroneous to specify array\_of\_starts[i] < 0 or array\_of\_starts[i] > (array\_of\_sizes[i] - array\_of\_subsizes[i]).

Advice to users. In a Fortran program with arrays indexed starting from 1, if the starting coordinate of a particular dimension of the subarray is n, then the entry in array\_of\_starts for that dimension is n-1. (*End of advice to users.*)

The order argument specifies the storage order for the subarray as well as the full array. It must be set to one of the following:

MPI\_ORDER\_C The ordering used by C arrays, (i.e., row-major order)

**MPI\_ORDER\_FORTRAN** The ordering used by Fortran arrays, (i.e., column-major order)

A ndims-dimensional subarray (newtype) with no extra padding can be defined by the function Subarray() as follows:

newtype =	Subarray( $ndims$ , { $size_0, size_1, \ldots, size_{ndims-1}$ },	37
	$\{subsize_0, subsize_1, \ldots, subsize_{ndims-1}\},\$	38
	$\{start_0, start_1, \dots, start_{ndims-1}\}, oldtype)$	39
		40

Let the typemap of oldtype have the form:

 $\{(type_0, disp_0), (type_1, disp_1), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\}$ 

where  $type_i$  is a predefined MPI datatype, and let ex be the extent of oldtype. Then we define the Subarray() function recursively using the following three equations. Equation 4.2 defines the base step. Equation 4.3 defines the recursion step when order = MPI\_ORDER\_FORTRAN, and Equation 4.4 defines the recursion step when order = MPI\_ORDER\_C. These equations use the conceptual datatypes  $lb_marker$  and  $ub_marker$ , see Section 4.1.6 for details. 

 $\overline{7}$ 

1		
2		
3	$Subarray(1, \{size_0\}, \{subsize_0\}, \{start_0\}, $ $(4.2)$	:)
4	$\{(type_0, disp_0), (type_1, disp_1), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\})$	
5	$= \{(lb\_marker, 0),$	
6 7	$(type_0, disp_0 + start_0 \times ex), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + start_0 \times ex),$	
8	$(type_0, disp_0 + (start_0 + 1) \times ex), \ldots, (type_{n-1},$	
9	$disp_{n-1} + (start_0 + 1) \times ex), \dots$	
10	$(type_0, disp_0 + (start_0 + subsize_0 - 1) \times ex), \dots,$	
11	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (start_0 + subsize_0 - 1) \times ex),$	
12	$(ub\_marker, size_0 \times ex)\}$	
13	$(uo\_marker, size_0 \times ex)$	
14 15		
16	Subarray( $ndims$ , { $size_0, size_1, \dots, size_{ndims-1}$ }, (4.3)	,)
17	$\{subsize_0, subsize_1, \ldots, subsize_{ndims-1}\},\$	
18	$\{start_0, start_1, \dots, start_{ndims-1}\}, oldtype)$	
19	= Subarray( $ndims - 1, \{size_1, size_2, \dots, size_{ndims-1}\},\$	
20	$\{subsize_1, subsize_2, \ldots, subsize_{ndims-1}\},\$	
21	$\{start_1, start_2, \dots, start_{ndims-1}\},\$	
22	$Subarray(1, \{size_0\}, \{subsize_0\}, \{start_0\}, oldtype))$	
23		
24 25	$Subarray(ndims, \{size_0, size_1, \dots, size_{ndims-1}\}, $ (4.4)	0
26	$\{subsize_0, subsize_1, \dots, subsize_{ndims-1}\}, $	)
27		
28	$\{start_0, start_1, \dots, start_{ndims-1}\}, oldtype\}$	
29	$= \text{Subarray}(ndims - 1, \{size_0, size_1, \dots, size_{ndims-2}\},\$	
30	$\{subsize_0, subsize_1, \dots, subsize_{ndims-2}\},\$	
31	$\{start_0, start_1, \dots, start_{ndims-2}\},\$	
32 33	$Subarray(1, \{size_{ndims-1}\}, \{subsize_{ndims-1}\}, \{start_{ndims-1}\}, oldtype))$	
34	For an example use of MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY in the context of I/O see Sec	)-
35	tion 13.11.2.	
36		
37 38	4.1.4 Distributed Array Datatype Constructor	
39	The distributed array type constructor supports HPF-like [42] data distributions. However	
40	unlike in HPF, the storage order may be specified for C arrays as well as for Fortran arrays	'
41		
42	Advice to users. One can create an HPF-like file view using this type constructor a	
43	follows. Complementary filetypes are created by having every process of a group ca	11

*Advice to users.* One can create an HPF-like me view using this type constructor as follows. Complementary filetypes are created by having every process of a group call this constructor with identical arguments (with the exception of rank which should be set appropriately). These filetypes (along with identical disp and etype) are then used to define the view (via MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW), see MPI I/O, especially Section 13.1.1 and Section 13.3. Using this view, a collective data access operation (with identical offsets) will yield an HPF-like distribution pattern. (*End of advice to users.*)

MPI_TY	· ·	e, rank, ndims, array_of_gsizes, array_of_distribs, yy_of_psizes, order, oldtype, newtype)	$\frac{1}{2}$
IN	size	size of process group (positive integer)	3
IN	rank	rank in process group (non-negative integer)	4 5
IN	ndims	number of array dimensions as well as process grid dimensions (positive integer)	6 7
IN	array_of_gsizes	number of elements of type oldtype in each dimension of global array (array of positive integers)	8 9 10
IN	array_of_distribs	distribution of array in each dimension (array of state)	10
IN	array_of_dargs	distribution argument in each dimension (array of pos- itive integers)	12 13
IN	array_of_psizes	size of process grid in each dimension (array of positive integers)	14 15
IN	order	array storage order flag (state)	16 17
IN	oldtype	old datatype (handle)	18
OUT	newtype	new datatype (handle)	19
			20
int MPI	_Type_create_darray(in	t size, int rank, int ndims, const	21 22
	int array_of_gsi	zes[], const int array_of_distribs[], const	23
	int array_of_dar	gs[], const int array_of_psizes[], int order,	24
	MPI_Datatype old	type, MPI_Datatype *newtype)	25
MPI_Typ	e_create_darray(size,	rank, ndims, array_of_gsizes,	26
• -	-	s, array_of_dargs, array_of_psizes, order,	27
	oldtype, newtype	, ierror)	28
INT	EGER, INTENT(IN) :: s	<pre>ize, rank, ndims, array_of_gsizes(ndims),</pre>	29
	•	array_of_dargs(ndims),	30
	<pre>ay_of_psizes(ndims), or</pre>		31
	E(MPI_Datatype), INTEN	V1	32 33
	E(MPI_Datatype), INTEN		33 34
INT	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	(OUT) :: ierror	35
MPI_TYP	E_CREATE_DARRAY(SIZE,	RANK, NDIMS, ARRAY_OF_GSIZES,	36
	ARRAY_OF_DISTRIE	S, ARRAY_OF_DARGS, ARRAY_OF_PSIZES, ORDER,	37
	OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE	, IERROR)	38
		, ARRAY_OF_GSIZES(*), ARRAY_OF_DISTRIBS(*),	39
ARR	AY_OF_DARGS(*), ARRAY_	OF_PSIZES(*), ORDER, OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR	40
MPI	_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY	can be used to generate the datatypes corresponding	41
		nensional array of oldtype elements onto an	42
		ocesses. Unused dimensions of array_of_psizes should be	43
		call to MPL TVPE CREATE DARRAY to be correct the	44

set to 1. (See Example 4.7.) For a call to MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_DARRAY to be correct, the equation  $\prod_{i=0}^{ndims-1} array_of_psizes[i] = size$  must be satisfied. The ordering of processes in the process grid is assumed to be row-major, as in the case of virtual Cartesian process topologies.

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1 Advice to users. For both Fortran and C arrays, the ordering of processes in the  $\mathbf{2}$ process grid is assumed to be row-major. This is consistent with the ordering used in 3 virtual Cartesian process topologies in MPI. To create such virtual process topologies, 4 or to find the coordinates of a process in the process grid, etc., users may use the 5corresponding process topology functions, see Chapter 7. (End of advice to users.) 6 Each dimension of the array can be distributed in one of three ways: 7 8 • MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK - Block distribution 9 • MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC - Cyclic distribution 10 11 • MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_NONE - Dimension not distributed. 1213 The constant MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG specifies a default distribution argument. 14The distribution argument for a dimension that is not distributed is ignored. For any 15dimension i in which the distribution is MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK, it is erroneous to specify 16array\_of\_dargs[i] \* array\_of\_psizes[i] < array\_of\_gsizes[i]. 17For example, the HPF layout ARRAY(CYCLIC(15)) corresponds to 18 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC with a distribution argument of 15, and the HPF layout AR-19RAY(BLOCK) corresponds to MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK with a distribution argument of 20MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG. 21The order argument is used as in MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_SUBARRAY to specify the stor-22age order. Therefore, arrays described by this type constructor may be stored in Fortran 23(column-major) or C (row-major) order. Valid values for order are MPI\_ORDER\_FORTRAN  $^{24}$ and MPI\_ORDER\_C. 25This routine creates a new MPI datatype with a typemap defined in terms of a function 26called "cyclic()" (see below). 27Without loss of generality, it suffices to define the typemap for the 28MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC case where MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG is not used. 29MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK and MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_NONE can be reduced to the 30 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC case for dimension i as follows.  $^{31}$ MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK with array\_of\_dargs[i] equal to MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG 32 is equivalent to MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC with array\_of\_dargs[i] set to 33 34 $(array_of_gsizes[i] + array_of_psizes[i] - 1)/array_of_psizes[i].$ 35 If array\_of\_dargs[i] is not MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG, then MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK and 36 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC are equivalent. 37 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_NONE is equivalent to MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC with 38 array\_of\_dargs[i] set to array\_of\_gsizes[i]. 39 Finally, MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC with array\_of\_dargs[i] equal to 40 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG is equivalent to MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC with 41 array\_of\_dargs[i] set to 1. 42For MPI\_ORDER\_FORTRAN, an ndims-dimensional distributed array (newtype) is defined 43 by the following code fragment: 44 45oldtypes[0] = oldtype; 46for (i = 0; i < ndims; i++) {</pre> 47 oldtypes[i+1] = cyclic(array\_of\_dargs[i], 48

```
1
                                       array_of_gsizes[i],
                                                                                                     \mathbf{2}
                                       r[i],
                                                                                                     3
                                       array_of_psizes[i],
                                       oldtypes[i]);
                                                                                                     4
     }
                                                                                                     5
     newtype = oldtypes[ndims];
                                                                                                     6
                                                                                                     7
     For MPI_ORDER_C, the code is:
                                                                                                     8
                                                                                                     9
     oldtypes[0] = oldtype;
                                                                                                     10
     for (i = 0; i < ndims; i++) {</pre>
                                                                                                     11
          oldtypes[i + 1] = cyclic(array_of_dargs[ndims - i - 1],
                                                                                                     12
                                          array_of_gsizes[ndims - i - 1],
                                                                                                     13
                                          r[ndims - i - 1],
                                                                                                     14
                                          array_of_psizes[ndims - i - 1],
                                                                                                     15
                                          oldtypes[i]);
                                                                                                     16
     }
                                                                                                     17
     newtype = oldtypes[ndims];
                                                                                                     18
                                                                                                     19
                                                                                                     20
where r[i] is the position of the process (with rank rank) in the process grid at dimension i.
                                                                                                     21
The values of r[i] are given by the following code fragment:
                                                                                                     22
                                                                                                     23
     t_rank = rank;
                                                                                                     ^{24}
     t_size = 1;
                                                                                                     25
     for (i = 0; i < ndims; i++)</pre>
                                                                                                     26
          t_size *= array_of_psizes[i];
                                                                                                     27
     for (i = 0; i < ndims; i++) {</pre>
                                                                                                     28
          t_size = t_size / array_of_psizes[i];
                                                                                                     29
          r[i] = t_rank / t_size;
                                                                                                     30
          t_rank = t_rank % t_size;
                                                                                                     31
     }
                                                                                                     32
                                                                                                     33
Let the typemap of oldtype have the form:
                                                                                                     34
      \{(type_0, disp_0), (type_1, disp_1), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\}
                                                                                                     35
                                                                                                     36
where type_i is a predefined MPI datatype, and let ex be the extent of oldtype. The following
                                                                                                     37
function uses the conceptual datatypes lb_marker and ub_marker, see Section 4.1.6 for
                                                                                                     38
details.
                                                                                                     39
     Given the above, the function cyclic() is defined as follows:
                                                                                                     40
                                                                                                     41
      cyclic(darg, gsize, r, psize, oldtype)
                                                                                                     42
        = \{(lb_marker, 0),
                                                                                                     43
            (type_0, disp_0 + r \times darg \times ex), \ldots,
                                                                                                     44
                                                                                                     45
                    (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + r \times darq \times ex),
                                                                                                     46
            (type_0, disp_0 + (r \times darq + 1) \times ex), \ldots,
                                                                                                     47
                    (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (r \times darg + 1) \times ex),
                                                                                                     48
```

1	
2	(1, 1) + ((1, 1) + (1, 1))
3	$(type_0, disp_0 + ((r+1) \times darg - 1) \times ex), \dots,$
4	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ((r+1) \times darg - 1) \times ex),$
5	
6	$(type_0, disp_0 + r \times darg \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex), \ldots,$
7	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + r \times darg \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex),$
8	$(type_0, disp_0 + (r \times darg + 1) \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex), \dots,$
9 10	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (r \times darg + 1) \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex),$
10	
12	$(type_0, disp_0 + ((r+1) \times darg - 1) \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex), \dots,$
13	$(type_0, utsp_0 + ((r+1) \land utsrg - 1) \land cx + psize \land utsrg \land cx), \dots, \\ (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + ((r+1) \land darg - 1) \land ex + psize \land darg \land ex),$
14	$(lgpe_{n-1}, ulsp_{n-1} + ((l+1) \times uulg - 1) \times ex + psize \times uulg \times ex),$
15	
16	$(type_0, disp_0 + r \times darg \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex \times (count - 1)), \dots,$
17	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + r \times darg \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex \times (count - 1)),$
18	$(type_0, disp_0 + (r \times darg + 1) \times ex + psize \times darg \times ex \times (count - 1)), \dots,$
19 20	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (r \times darg + 1) \times ex$
20	$+psize \times darg \times ex \times (count - 1)),$
22	(count - 1)),
23	(to be discussed and the dama of the second
24	$(type_0, disp_0 + (r \times darg + darg_{last} - 1) \times ex$
25	$+psize \times darg \times ex \times (count - 1)), \dots,$
26	$(type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1} + (r \times darg + darg_{last} - 1) \times ex$
27	+psize  imes darg  imes ex  imes (count - 1)),
28 29	$(ub\_marker,gsize * ex)\}$
30	where <i>count</i> is defined by this code fragment:
31	
32	<pre>nblocks = (gsize + (darg - 1)) / darg;</pre>
33	<pre>count = nblocks / psize; left_over = nblocks - count * psize;</pre>
34	if (r < left_over)
35 36	count = count + 1;
30 37	
38	Here, <i>nblocks</i> is the number of blocks that must be distributed among the processors.
39	Finally, $darg_{last}$ is defined by this code fragment:
40	if ((num_in_last_cyclic = gsize % (psize * darg)) == 0)
41	<pre>darg_last = darg;</pre>
42	else {
43	<pre>darg_last = num_in_last_cyclic - darg * r;</pre>
44	<pre>if (darg_last &gt; darg)</pre>
45 46	<pre>darg_last = darg; if (darg_last &lt;= 0)</pre>
46 47	<pre>if (darg_last &lt;= 0)     darg_last = darg;</pre>
48	<pre>darg_last = darg, }</pre>

**Example 4.7** Consider generating the filetypes corresponding to the HPF distribution:

```
<oldtype> FILEARRAY(100, 200, 300)
!HPF$ PROCESSORS PROCESSES(2, 3)
!HPF$ DISTRIBUTE FILEARRAY(CYCLIC(10), *, BLOCK) ONTO PROCESSES
```

This can be achieved by the following Fortran code, assuming there will be six processes attached to the run:

```
ndims = 3
array_of_gsizes(1) = 100
array_of_distribs(1) = MPI_DISTRIBUTE_CYCLIC
array_of_dargs(1) = 10
array_of_gsizes(2) = 200
array_of_distribs(2) = MPI_DISTRIBUTE_NONE
\operatorname{array_of_dargs}(2) = 0
array_of_gsizes(3) = 300
array_of_distribs(3) = MPI_DISTRIBUTE_BLOCK
array_of_dargs(3) = MPI_DISTRIBUTE_DFLT_DARG
array_of_psizes(1) = 2
array_of_psizes(2) = 1
array_of_psizes(3) = 3
call MPI_COMM_SIZE(MPI_COMM_WORLD, size, ierr)
call MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, rank, ierr)
call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY(size, rank, ndims, array_of_gsizes, &
     array_of_distribs, array_of_dargs, array_of_psizes,
                                                                  &
     MPI_ORDER_FORTRAN, oldtype, newtype, ierr)
```

### 4.1.5 Address and Size Functions

The displacements in a general datatype are relative to some initial buffer address. *Absolute addresses* can be substituted for these displacements: we treat them as displacements relative to "address zero," the start of the address space. This initial address zero is indicated by the constant MPI\_BOTTOM. Thus, a datatype can specify the absolute address of the entries in the communication buffer, in which case the buf argument is passed the value MPI\_BOTTOM.

The address of a location in memory can be found by invoking the function MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS.

39 MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS(location, address) 40 IN location location in caller memory (choice) 41 42OUT address address of location (integer) 43 44int MPI\_Get\_address(const void \*location, MPI\_Aint \*address) 45MPI\_Get\_address(location, address, ierror) 46TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: location 47INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: address 48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

1	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror		
2 3	MPI_GET_ADDRESS(LOCATION, ADDRESS, IERROR)		
4	<type> LOCATION(*)</type>		
5	INTEGER IERROR		
6	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ADDRESS		
7	Returns the (byte) address of location.		
8 9	Advice to users. Current Fortran MPI codes will run unmodified, and will port		
10	to any system. However, they may fail if addresses larger than $2^{32} - 1$ are used		
11	in the program. New codes should be written so that they use the new functions.		
12	This provides compatibility with $C/C++$ and avoids errors on 64 bit architectures.		
13	However, such newly written codes may need to be (slightly) rewritten to port to old		
14	Fortran 77 environments that do not support KIND declarations. (End of advice to		
15 16	users.)		
17	Rationale. In the mpi_f08 module, the location argument is not defined with		
18	INTENT(IN) because existing applications may use MPI_GET_ADDRESS as a substi-		
19	tute for MPI_F_SYNC_REG that was not defined before MPI-3.0. (End of rationale.)		
20			
21 22	<b>Example 4.8</b> Using MPI_GET_ADDRESS for an array.		
22			
24	REAL A(100,100)		
25	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) I1, I2, DIFF		
26	CALL MPI_GET_ADDRESS(A(1,1), I1, IERROR) CALL MPI_GET_ADDRESS(A(10,10), I2, IERROR)		
27	DIFF = $I2 - I1$		
28 29	! The value of DIFF is 909*sizeofreal; the values of I1 and I2 are		
30	! implementation dependent.		
31	Advise to users C users may be terrented to evoid the users of		
32	Advice to users. C users may be tempted to avoid the usage of MPI_GET_ADDRESS and rely on the availability of the address operator &. Note,		
33	however, that & cast-expression is a pointer, not an address. ISO C does not require		
34	that the value of a pointer (or the pointer cast to int) be the absolute address of the		
35 36	object pointed at — although this is commonly the case. Furthermore, referencing		
37	may not have a unique definition on machines with a segmented address space. The		
38	use of MPI_GET_ADDRESS to "reference" C variables guarantees portability to such		
39	machines as well. (End of advice to users.)		
40	Advice to users. To prevent problems with the argument copying and register		
41	optimization done by Fortran compilers, please note the hints in Sections $17.1.10-$		
42 43	17.1.20. (End of advice to users.)		
44	The following auxiliary functions provide useful information on derived datatypes.		
45	The following durinding functions provide useful information on derived duratypes.		
46			
47			
48			

```
MPI_TYPE_SIZE(datatype, size)
  IN
           datatype
                                      datatype (handle)
  OUT
                                      datatype size (integer)
           size
int MPI_Type_size(MPI_Datatype datatype, int *size)
MPI_Type_size(datatype, size, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)
                                           datatype
    INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) ::
                               size
                                                                                       10
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                           ierror
                                                                                       11
MPI_TYPE_SIZE(DATATYPE, SIZE, IERROR)
                                                                                       12
    INTEGER DATATYPE, SIZE, IERROR
                                                                                       13
                                                                                       14
                                                                                       15
                                                                                       16
MPI_TYPE_SIZE_X(datatype, size)
                                                                                       17
  IN
           datatype
                                      datatype (handle)
                                                                                       18
  OUT
           size
                                      datatype size (integer)
                                                                                       19
                                                                                       20
                                                                                       21
int MPI_Type_size_x(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Count *size)
                                                                                       22
MPI_Type_size_x(datatype, size, ierror)
                                                                                       23
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                          datatype
                                                                                       24
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_COUNT_KIND), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                       size
                                                                                       25
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                          ierror
                                                                                       26
                                                                                       27
MPI_TYPE_SIZE_X(DATATYPE, SIZE, IERROR)
                                                                                       28
    INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
                                                                                       29
    INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND) SIZE
                                                                                       30
```

MPI\_TYPE\_SIZE and MPI\_TYPE\_SIZE\_X set the value of size to the total size, in bytes, of the entries in the type signature associated with datatype; i.e., the total size of the data in a message that would be created with this datatype. Entries that occur multiple times in the datatype are counted with their multiplicity. For both functions, if the OUT parameter cannot express the value to be returned (e.g., if the parameter is too small to hold the output value), it is set to MPI\_UNDEFINED.

#### 4.1.6Lower-Bound and Upper-Bound Markers

It is often convenient to define explicitly the lower bound and upper bound of a type map, and override the definition given on page 104. This allows one to define a datatype that has "holes" at its beginning or its end, or a datatype with entries that extend above the upper bound or below the lower bound. Examples of such usage are provided in Section 4.1.14. Also, the user may want to overide the alignment rules that are used to compute upper bounds and extents. E.g., a C compiler may allow the user to overide default alignment rules for some of the structures within a program. The user has to specify explicitly the bounds of the datatypes that match these structures.

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

<sup>1</sup> To achieve this, we add two additional conceptual datatypes, *lb\_marker* and *ub\_marker*, <sup>2</sup> that represent the lower bound and upper bound of a datatype. These conceptual datatypes <sup>3</sup> occupy no space (*extent*(*lb\_marker*) = *extent*(*ub\_marker*) = 0). They do not affect the <sup>4</sup> size or count of a datatype, and do not affect the content of a message created with this <sup>5</sup> datatype. However, they do affect the definition of the extent of a datatype and, therefore, <sup>6</sup> affect the outcome of a replication of this datatype by a datatype constructor.

**Example 4.9** A call to MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_RESIZED(MPI\_INT, -3, 9, type1) creates a 8 new datatype that has an extent of 9 (from -3 to 5, 5 included), and contains an integer 9 at displacement 0. This is the datatype defined by the typemap  $\{(lb_marker, -3), (int, 0), \}$ 10 (ub\_marker, 6)}. If this type is replicated twice by a call to MPI\_TYPE\_CONTIGUOUS(2, 11type1, type2) then the newly created type can be described by the typemap  $\{(lb_marker,$ 12-3, (int, 0), (int, 9), (ub\_marker, 15). (An entry of type  $ub_marker$  can be deleted if there 13 is another entry of type ub\_marker with a higher displacement; an entry of type lb\_marker 14can be deleted if there is another entry of type  $lb_marker$  with a lower displacement.) 15In general, if 16

$$Typemap = \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$$

<sup>19</sup> then the *lower bound* of *Typemap* is defined to be

$$lb(Typemap) = \begin{cases} \min_{j} disp_{j} & \text{if no entry has type} \\ \min_{j} \{ disp_{j} \text{ such that } type_{j} = lb\_marker \} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, the *upper bound* of *Typemap* is defined to be

$$ub(Typemap) = \begin{cases} \max_{j}(disp_{j} + sizeof(type_{j})) + \epsilon & \text{if no entry has type} \\ \max_{j}\{disp_{j} \text{ such that } type_{j} = ub\_marker\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

extent(Typemap) = ub(Typemap) - lb(Typemap)

If  $type_i$  requires alignment to a byte address that is a multiple of  $k_i$ , then  $\epsilon$  is the least non-negative increment needed to round extent(Typemap) to the next multiple of  $\max_i k_i$ . In Fortran, it is implementation dependent whether the MPI implementation computes the alignments  $k_i$  according to the alignments used by the compiler in common blocks, SEQUENCE derived types, BIND(C) derived types, or derived types that are neither SEQUENCE nor BIND(C).

The formal definitions given for the various datatype constructors apply now, with the amended definition of *extent*.

Rationale. Before Fortran 2003, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_STRUCT could be applied to Fortran common blocks and SEQUENCE derived types. With Fortran 2003, this list was extended by BIND(C) derived types and MPI implementors have implemented the alignments  $k_i$  differently, i.e., some based on the alignments used in SEQUENCE derived types, and others according to BIND(C) derived types. (End of rationale.)

<sup>45</sup> Advice to implementors. In Fortran, it is generally recommended to use BIND(C) <sup>46</sup> derived types instead of common blocks or SEQUENCE derived types. Therefore it is <sup>47</sup> recommended to calculate the alignments  $k_i$  based on BIND(C) derived types. (End <sup>48</sup> of advice to implementors.)

7

17 18

28 29

30

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

1 Advice to users. Structures combining different basic datatypes should be defined  $\mathbf{2}$ so that there will be no gaps based on alignment rules. If such a datatype is used 3 to create an array of structures, users should also avoid an alignment-gap at the 4 end of the structure. In MPI communication, the content of such gaps would not 5be communicated into the receiver's buffer. For example, such an alignment-gap may occur between an odd number of floats or REALs before a double or DOUBLE 6  $\overline{7}$ **PRECISION** data. Such gaps may be added explicitly to both the structure and the MPI derived datatype handle because the communication of a contiguous derived datatype 8 9 may be significantly faster than the communication of one that is non-contiguous because of such alignment-gaps. 10 11

Example: Instead of

TYPE, :: my_data
REAL, DIMENSION(3) :: x
! there may be a gap of the size of one REAL
! if the alignment of a DOUBLE PRECISION is
! two times the size of a REAL
DOUBLE PRECISION :: p
END TYPE

one should define

TYPE, :: my\_data REAL, DIMENSION(3) :: x REAL :: gap1 DOUBLE PRECISION :: p END TYPE

and also include gap1 in the matching MPI derived datatype. It is required that all processes in a communication add the same gaps, i.e., defined with the same basic datatype. Both the original and the modified structures are portable, but may have different performance implications for the communication and memory accesses during computation on systems with different alignment values.

In principle, a compiler may define an additional alignment rule for structures, e.g., to use at least 4 or 8 byte alignment, although the content may have a  $max_ik_i$  alignment less than this structure alignment. To maintain portability, users should always resize structure derived datatype handles if used in an array of structures, see the Example in Section 17.1.15. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 4.1.7 Extent and Bounds of Datatypes

MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT(datatype, lb, extent)

IN	datatype	datatype to get information on (handle)
OUT	lb	lower bound of datatype (integer)
OUT	extent	extent of datatype (integer)

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41 42

```
1
     int MPI_Type_get_extent(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Aint *lb,
\mathbf{2}
                    MPI_Aint *extent)
3
     MPI_Type_get_extent(datatype, lb, extent, ierror)
4
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
5
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: lb, extent
6
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
7
8
     MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(DATATYPE, LB, EXTENT, IERROR)
9
          INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
10
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) LB, EXTENT
11
12
13
     MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT_X(datatype, lb, extent)
14
       IN
                 datatype
                                             datatype to get information on (handle)
15
16
       OUT
                 lb
                                             lower bound of datatype (integer)
17
       OUT
                 extent
                                             extent of datatype (integer)
18
19
     int MPI_Type_get_extent_x(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Count *lb,
20
                    MPI_Count *extent)
21
22
     MPI_Type_get_extent_x(datatype, lb, extent, ierror)
23
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
^{24}
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: lb, extent
25
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
26
     MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT_X(DATATYPE, LB, EXTENT, IERROR)
27
          INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
28
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND) LB, EXTENT
29
30
         Returns the lower bound and the extent of datatype (as defined in Section 4.1.6).
^{31}
         For both functions, if either OUT parameter cannot express the value to be returned
32
     (e.g., if the parameter is too small to hold the output value), it is set to MPI_UNDEFINED.
33
          MPI allows one to change the extent of a datatype, using lower bound and upper bound
34
     markers. This provides control over the stride of successive datatypes that are replicated
35
     by datatype constructors, or are replicated by the count argument in a send or receive call.
36
37
     MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED(oldtype, lb, extent, newtype)
38
39
       IN
                 oldtype
                                             input datatype (handle)
40
       IN
                 lb
                                             new lower bound of datatype (integer)
41
       IN
                 extent
                                             new extent of datatype (integer)
42
43
       OUT
                                             output datatype (handle)
                 newtype
44
45
     int MPI_Type_create_resized(MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Aint lb, MPI_Aint
46
                    extent, MPI_Datatype *newtype)
47
     MPI_Type_create_resized(oldtype, lb, extent, newtype, ierror)
48
```

```
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: lb, extent
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: oldtype
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED(OLDTYPE, LB, EXTENT, NEWTYPE, IERROR)
INTEGER OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) LB, EXTENT
```

Returns in newtype a handle to a new datatype that is identical to oldtype, except that the lower bound of this new datatype is set to be lb, and its upper bound is set to be lb + extent. Any previous *lb* and *ub* markers are erased, and a new pair of lower bound and upper bound markers are put in the positions indicated by the lb and extent arguments. This affects the behavior of the datatype when used in communication operations, with count > 1, and when used in the construction of new derived datatypes.

### 4.1.8 True Extent of Datatypes

Suppose we implement gather (see also Section 5.5) as a spanning tree implemented on top of point-to-point routines. Since the receive buffer is only valid on the root process, one will need to allocate some temporary space for receiving data on intermediate nodes. However, the datatype extent cannot be used as an estimate of the amount of space that needs to be allocated, if the user has modified the extent, for example by using MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_RESIZED. The functions MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_TRUE\_EXTENT and MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_TRUE\_EXTENT\_X are provided which return the true extent of the datatype.

MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_TRUE\_EXTENT(datatype, true\_lb, true\_extent)

OUT       true lower bound of datatype (integer)         OUT       true_extent         true size of datatype (integer)         int MPI_Type_get_true_extent(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Aint *true_lb, MPI_Aint *true_extent)         MPI_Type_get_true_extent(datatype, true_lb, true_extent, ierror) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror         MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR) INTEGER (KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT	IN	datatype	datatype to get information on (handle)	29
<pre>OUT true_extent true size of datatype (integer) int MPI_Type_get_true_extent(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Aint *true_lb,</pre>	OUT	true_lb	true lower bound of datatype (integer)	30
<pre>int MPI_Type_get_true_extent(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Aint *true_lb,</pre>	OUT	true extent	true size of datatype (integer)	31
<pre>int MPI_Type_get_true_extent(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Aint *true_lb,</pre>	001		and she of databype (moger)	32
<pre>MPI_Aint *true_extent) MPI_Type_get_true_extent(datatype, true_lb, true_extent, ierror) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT</pre>	int MPT Tr	vne get true extent(MPT D	atatype datatype MPT Aint *true lb	33 34
<pre>MPI_Type_get_true_extent(datatype, true_lb, true_extent, ierror)    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR)    INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR    INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT    4 </pre>	1110 111 1_1			34 35
<pre>MP1_Type_get_true_extent(datatype, true_lb, true_extent, ierror)     TYPE(MP1_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype     INTEGER(KIND=MP1_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror  MP1_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR)     INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR     INTEGER(KIND = MP1_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT 44 </pre>				36
<pre>INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT 44</pre>				37
<pre>INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT 44</pre>			<i>v</i> 1	38
MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT				39
INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT				40
INTEGER(KIND = MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT		,	TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR)	41
4		-		42
4	INTEG	$SR(KIND = MP1_ADDRESS_KIN)$	D) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT	43
				44
				45 46

```
1
      MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT_X(datatype, true_lb, true_extent)
2
                  datatype
       IN
                                               datatype to get information on (handle)
3
       OUT
                 true_lb
                                               true lower bound of datatype (integer)
4
5
        OUT
                 true_extent
                                               true size of datatype (integer)
6
\overline{7}
      int MPI_Type_get_true_extent_x(MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Count *true_lb,
8
                     MPI_Count *true_extent)
9
      MPI_Type_get_true_extent_x(datatype, true_lb, true_extent, ierror)
10
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
11
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: true_lb, true_extent
12
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
13
14
     MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT_X(DATATYPE, TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT, IERROR)
15
          INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
16
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND) TRUE_LB, TRUE_EXTENT
17
          true_lb returns the offset of the lowest unit of store which is addressed by the datatype,
18
      i.e., the lower bound of the corresponding typemap, ignoring explicit lower bound mark-
19
      ers. true_extent returns the true size of the datatype, i.e., the extent of the correspond-
20
      ing typemap, ignoring explicit lower bound and upper bound markers, and performing no
21
      rounding for alignment. If the typemap associated with datatype is
22
23
           Typemap = \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\}
^{24}
25
      Then
26
           true\_lb(Typemap) = min_i \{ disp_i : type_i \neq lb\_marker, ub\_marker \},
27
28
           true\_ub(Typemap) = max_i \{ disp_i + sizeof(type_i) : type_i \neq lb\_marker, ub\_marker \},
29
30
      and
^{31}
32
           true\_extent(Typemap) = true\_ub(Typemap) - true\_lb(typemap).
33
34
      (Readers should compare this with the definitions in Section 4.1.6 and Section 4.1.7, which
      describe the function MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT.)
35
          The true_extent is the minimum number of bytes of memory necessary to hold a
36
      datatype, uncompressed.
37
          For both functions, if either OUT parameter cannot express the value to be returned
38
      (e.g., if the parameter is too small to hold the output value), it is set to MPI_UNDEFINED.
39
40
^{41}
             Commit and Free
      4.1.9
42
      A datatype object has to be committed before it can be used in a communication. As
43
      an argument in datatype constructors, uncommitted and also committed datatypes can be
44
      used. There is no need to commit basic datatypes. They are "pre-committed."
45
46
47
48
```

MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(datatype)	1		
INOUT datatype datatype that is committed (handle)	2		
	3 4		
<pre>int MPI_Type_commit(MPI_Datatype *datatype)</pre>	5		
MPI_Type_commit(datatype, ierror)	6		
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(INOUT) :: datatype	7		
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	8		
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(DATATYPE, IERROR)	9		
INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR	10		
	11 12		
The commit operation commits the datatype, that is, the formal description of a com-	13		
munication buffer, not the content of that buffer. Thus, after a datatype has been commit- ted, it can be repeatedly reused to communicate the changing content of a buffer or, indeed,	14		
the content of different buffers, with different starting addresses.	15		
	16		
Advice to implementors. The system may "compile" at commit time an internal	17		
representation for the datatype that facilitates communication, e.g., change from a	18 19		
compacted representation to a flat representation of the datatype, and select the most	20		
convenient transfer mechanism. (End of advice to implementors.)	21		
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT will accept a committed datatype; in this case, it is equivalent	22		
to a no-op.	23		
	24		
<b>Example 4.10</b> The following code fragment gives examples of using MPI_TYPE_COMMIT.	25		
INTEGER type1, type2	26 27		
CALL MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(5, MPI_REAL, type1, ierr)	27		
! new type object created	29		
CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(type1, ierr)	30		
! now type1 can be used for communication	31		
<pre>type2 = type1</pre>	32		
! (it is a handle to same object as type1)	33		
CALL MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(3, 5, 4, MPI_REAL, type1, ierr)	34		
! new uncommitted type object created	35 36		
CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(type1, ierr)	37		
! now type1 can be used anew for communication	38		
	39		
	40		
MPI_TYPE_FREE(datatype)	41		
INOUT datatype datatype that is freed (handle)	42		
	43 44		
<pre>int MPI_Type_free(MPI_Datatype *datatype)</pre>	45		
MPI_Type_free(datatype, ierror)			
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(INOUT) :: datatype	47		
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			

```
1
     MPI_TYPE_FREE(DATATYPE, IERROR)
\mathbf{2}
          INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
3
          Marks the datatype object associated with datatype for deallocation and sets datatype
4
     to MPI_DATATYPE_NULL. Any communication that is currently using this datatype will
5
     complete normally. Freeing a datatype does not affect any other datatype that was built
6
     from the freed datatype. The system behaves as if input datatype arguments to derived
7
     datatype constructors are passed by value.
8
9
           Advice to implementors. The implementation may keep a reference count of active
10
           communications that use the datatype, in order to decide when to free it. Also, one
11
           may implement constructors of derived datatypes so that they keep pointers to their
12
           datatype arguments, rather then copying them. In this case, one needs to keep track
13
           of active datatype definition references in order to know when a datatype object can
14
           be freed. (End of advice to implementors.)
15
16
     4.1.10 Duplicating a Datatype
17
18
19
     MPI_TYPE_DUP(oldtype, newtype)
20
21
       IN
                 oldtype
                                              datatype (handle)
22
       OUT
                                              copy of oldtype (handle)
                 newtype
23
^{24}
     int MPI_Type_dup(MPI_Datatype oldtype, MPI_Datatype *newtype)
25
26
     MPI_Type_dup(oldtype, newtype, ierror)
27
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                  oldtype
28
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype
29
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
30
     MPI_TYPE_DUP(OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR)
^{31}
          INTEGER OLDTYPE, NEWTYPE, IERROR
32
33
          MPI_TYPE_DUP is a type constructor which duplicates the existing
34
     oldtype with associated key values. For each key value, the respective copy callback function
35
```

<sup>35</sup> oldtype with associated key values. For each key value, the respective copy callback function <sup>36</sup> determines the attribute value associated with this key in the new communicator; one <sup>37</sup> particular action that a copy callback may take is to delete the attribute from the new <sup>38</sup> datatype. Returns in newtype a new datatype with exactly the same properties as oldtype <sup>39</sup> and any copied cached information, see Section 6.7.4. The new datatype has identical upper <sup>40</sup> in Section 4.1.13. The newtype has the same committed state as the old oldtype.

 $41 \\ 42$ 

43

### 4.1.11 Use of General Datatypes in Communication

Handles to derived datatypes can be passed to a communication call wherever a datatype
 argument is required. A call of the form MPI\_SEND(buf, count, datatype, ...), where count >
 1, is interpreted as if the call was passed a new datatype which is the concatenation of count
 copies of datatype. Thus, MPI\_SEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm) is equivalent to,

```
MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(count, datatype, newtype)
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(newtype)
MPI_SEND(buf, 1, newtype, dest, tag, comm)
MPI_TYPE_FREE(newtype).
```

Similar statements apply to all other communication functions that have a **count** and **datatype** argument.

Suppose that a send operation MPI\_SEND(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm) is executed, where datatype has type map,

 $\{(type_0, disp_0), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$ 

and extent *extent*. (Explicit lower bound and upper bound markers are not listed in the type map, but they affect the value of *extent*.) The send operation sends  $n \cdot \text{count}$  entries, where entry  $i \cdot n + j$  is at location  $addr_{i,j} = \text{buf} + extent \cdot i + disp_j$  and has type  $type_j$ , for  $i = 0, \ldots, \text{count} - 1$  and  $j = 0, \ldots, n-1$ . These entries need not be contiguous, nor distinct; their order can be arbitrary.

The variable stored at address  $addr_{i,j}$  in the calling program should be of a type that matches  $type_j$ , where type matching is defined as in Section 3.3.1. The message sent contains  $n \cdot \text{count}$  entries, where entry  $i \cdot n + j$  has type  $type_j$ .

Similarly, suppose that a receive operation MPI\_RECV(buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status) is executed, where datatype has type map,

 $\{(type_0, disp_0), \ldots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\},\$ 

with extent *extent*. (Again, explicit lower bound and upper bound markers are not listed in the type map, but they affect the value of *extent*.) This receive operation receives  $n \cdot \text{count}$ entries, where entry  $i \cdot n + j$  is at location  $\text{buf} + extent \cdot i + disp_j$  and has type  $type_j$ . If the incoming message consists of k elements, then we must have  $k \leq n \cdot \text{count}$ ; the  $i \cdot n + j$ -th element of the message should have a type that matches  $type_j$ .

Type matching is defined according to the type signature of the corresponding datatypes, that is, the sequence of basic type components. Type matching does not depend on some aspects of the datatype definition, such as the displacements (layout in memory) or the intermediate types used.

**Example 4.11** This example shows that type matching is defined in terms of the basic types that a derived type consists of.

```
35
. . .
CALL MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(2, MPI_REAL, type2, ...)
                                                                                      36
                                                                                      37
CALL MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(4, MPI_REAL, type4, ...)
                                                                                      38
CALL MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(2, type2, type22, ...)
                                                                                      39
. . .
CALL MPI_SEND(a, 4, MPI_REAL, ...)
                                                                                      40
                                                                                      41
CALL MPI_SEND(a, 2, type2, ...)
                                                                                      42
CALL MPI_SEND(a, 1, type22, ...)
CALL MPI_SEND(a, 1, type4, ...)
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
. . .
CALL MPI_RECV(a, 4, MPI_REAL, ...)
                                                                                      45
CALL MPI_RECV(a, 2, type2, ...)
                                                                                      46
                                                                                      47
CALL MPI_RECV(a, 1, type22, ...)
                                                                                      48
CALL MPI_RECV(a, 1, type4, ...)
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

```
1
     Each of the sends matches any of the receives.
\mathbf{2}
          A datatype may specify overlapping entries. The use of such a datatype in a receive
3
     operation is erroneous. (This is erroneous even if the actual message received is short enough
4
     not to write any entry more than once.)
5
          Suppose that MPI_RECV(buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, status) is executed,
6
     where datatype has type map,
7
           \{(type_0, disp_0), \dots, (type_{n-1}, disp_{n-1})\}.
8
9
     The received message need not fill all the receive buffer, nor does it need to fill a number of
10
     locations which is a multiple of n. Any number, k, of basic elements can be received, where
11
     0 \le k \le \text{count} \cdot n. The number of basic elements received can be retrieved from status using
12
     the query functions MPI_GET_ELEMENTS or MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X.
13
14
15
     MPI_GET_ELEMENTS(status, datatype, count)
16
       IN
                                              return status of receive operation (Status)
                 status
17
       IN
                 datatype
                                              datatype used by receive operation (handle)
18
19
       OUT
                 count
                                              number of received basic elements (integer)
20
21
     int MPI_Get_elements(const MPI_Status *status, MPI_Datatype datatype,
22
                     int *count)
23
     MPI_Get_elements(status, datatype, count, ierror)
^{24}
          TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(IN) :: status
25
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                   datatype
26
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: count
27
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
28
29
     MPI_GET_ELEMENTS(STATUS, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)
30
          INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR
^{31}
32
33
     MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X(status, datatype, count)
34
       IN
                                              return status of receive operation (Status)
                 status
35
36
                                              datatype used by receive operation (handle)
       IN
                 datatype
37
       OUT
                 count
                                              number of received basic elements (integer)
38
39
     int MPI_Get_elements_x(const MPI_Status *status, MPI_Datatype datatype,
40
                     MPI_Count *count)
41
42
     MPI_Get_elements_x(status, datatype, count, ierror)
43
          TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(IN) :: status
44
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
45
          INTEGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: count
46
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
47
     MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X(STATUS, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)
48
```

### INTEGER STATUS(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE), DATATYPE, IERROR INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_COUNT\_KIND) COUNT

The datatype argument should match the argument provided by the receive call that set the status variable. For both functions, if the OUT parameter cannot express the value to be returned (e.g., if the parameter is too small to hold the output value), it is set to MPI\_UNDEFINED.

The previously defined function MPI\_GET\_COUNT (Section 3.2.5), has a different behavior. It returns the number of "top-level entries" received, i.e. the number of "copies" of type datatype. In the previous example, MPI\_GET\_COUNT may return any integer value k, where  $0 \le k \le \text{count}$ . If MPI\_GET\_COUNT returns k, then the number of basic elements received (and the value returned by MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS or MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X) is  $n \cdot k$ . If the number of basic elements received is not a multiple of n, that is, if the receive operation has not received an integral number of datatype "copies," then MPI\_GET\_COUNT sets the value of count to MPI\_UNDEFINED.

Example 4.12 Usage of MPI\_GET\_COUNT and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS.

```
. . .
CALL MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(2, MPI_REAL, Type2, ierr)
CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(Type2, ierr)
. . .
CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank, ierr)
IF (rank.EQ.0) THEN
      CALL MPI_SEND(a, 2, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, ierr)
      CALL MPI_SEND(a, 3, MPI_REAL, 1, 0, comm, ierr)
ELSE IF (rank.EQ.1) THEN
      CALL MPI_RECV(a, 2, Type2, 0, 0, comm, stat, ierr)
      CALL MPI_GET_COUNT(stat, Type2, i, ierr)
                                                    ! returns i=1
      CALL MPI_GET_ELEMENTS(stat, Type2, i, ierr)
                                                    ! returns i=2
      CALL MPI_RECV(a, 2, Type2, 0, 0, comm, stat, ierr)
      CALL MPI_GET_COUNT(stat, Type2, i, ierr)
                                                    ! returns i=MPI_UNDEFINED
      CALL MPI_GET_ELEMENTS(stat, Type2, i, ierr)
                                                   ! returns i=3
END IF
```

The functions MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X can also be used after a probe to find the number of elements in the probed message. Note that the MPI\_GET\_COUNT, MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS, and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X return the same values when they are used with basic datatypes as long as the limits of their respective count arguments are not exceeded.

*Rationale.* The extension given to the definition of MPI\_GET\_COUNT seems natural: 40 41 one would expect this function to return the value of the count argument, when the 42receive buffer is filled. Sometimes datatype represents a basic unit of data one wants to transfer, for example, a record in an array of records (structures). One should be 4344able to find out how many components were received without bothering to divide by the number of elements in each component. However, on other occasions, datatype 4546is used to define a complex layout of data in the receiver memory, and does not 47represent a basic unit of data for transfers. In such cases, one needs to use the 48 function MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS or MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X. (End of rationale.)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

Advice to implementors. The definition implies that a receive cannot change the value of storage outside the entries defined to compose the communication buffer. In particular, the definition implies that padding space in a structure should not be modified when such a structure is copied from one process to another. This would prevent the obvious optimization of copying the structure, together with the padding, as one contiguous block. The implementation is free to do this optimization when it does not impact the outcome of the computation. The user can "force" this optimization by explicitly including padding as part of the message. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

4.1.12 Correct Use of Addresses

<sup>11</sup>Successively declared variables in C or Fortran are not necessarily stored at contiguous <sup>13</sup>locations. Thus, care must be exercised that displacements do not cross from one variable <sup>14</sup>to another. Also, in machines with a segmented address space, addresses are not unique <sup>15</sup>and address arithmetic has some peculiar properties. Thus, the use of *addresses*, that is, <sup>16</sup>displacements relative to the start address MPI\_BOTTOM, has to be restricted.

Variables belong to the same *sequential storage* if they belong to the same array, to the same COMMON block in Fortran, or to the same structure in C. Valid addresses are defined recursively as follows:

- 1. The function MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS returns a valid address, when passed as argument a variable of the calling program.
- 2. The **buf** argument of a communication function evaluates to a valid address, when passed as argument a variable of the calling program.
- 3. If v is a valid address, and i is an integer, then v+i is a valid address, provided v and v+i are in the same sequential storage.

A correct program uses only valid addresses to identify the locations of entries in communication buffers. Furthermore, if u and v are two valid addresses, then the (integer) difference u - v can be computed only if both u and v are in the same sequential storage. No other arithmetic operations can be meaningfully executed on addresses.

32 The rules above impose no constraints on the use of derived datatypes, as long as 33 they are used to define a communication buffer that is wholly contained within the same 34 sequential storage. However, the construction of a communication buffer that contains 35 variables that are not within the same sequential storage must obey certain restrictions. 36 Basically, a communication buffer with variables that are not within the same sequential 37 storage can be used only by specifying in the communication call  $buf = MPI_BOTTOM$ , 38 count = 1, and using a datatype argument where all displacements are valid (absolute) 39 addresses. 40

- Advice to users. It is not expected that MPI implementations will be able to detect erroneous, "out of bound" displacements unless those overflow the user address space since the MPI call may not know the extent of the arrays and records in the host program. (*End of advice to users.*)
- Advice to implementors. There is no need to distinguish (absolute) addresses and
   (relative) displacements on a machine with contiguous address space: MPI\_BOTTOM
   is zero, and both addresses and displacements are integers. On machines where the

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

8

9 10

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

41

42

43

44

distinction is required, addresses are recognized as expressions that involve MPI\_BOTTOM. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 4.1.13 Decoding a Datatype

MPI datatype objects allow users to specify an arbitrary layout of data in memory. There are several cases where accessing the layout information in opaque datatype objects would be useful. The opaque datatype object has found a number of uses outside MPI. Furthermore, a number of tools wish to display internal information about a datatype. To achieve this, datatype decoding functions are provided. The two functions in this section are used together to decode datatypes to recreate the calling sequence used in their initial definition. These can be used to allow a user to determine the type map and type signature of a datatype.

MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_ENVELOPE(datatype, num\_integers, num\_addresses, num\_datatypes, combiner)

	combiner)		17
IN	datatype	datatype to access (handle)	18
OUT	num_integers	number of input integers used in the call constructing	19
		combiner (non-negative integer)	20
OUT	num_addresses	number of input addresses used in the call construct-	21
001	num_addresses	-	22
		ing combiner (non-negative integer)	23
OUT	num_datatypes	number of input datatypes used in the call construct-	24
		ing combiner (non-negative integer)	25
OUT	combiner	combiner (state)	26

MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_ENVELOPE(DATATYPE, NUM\_INTEGERS, NUM\_ADDRESSES, NUM\_DATATYPES, COMBINER, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, NUM\_INTEGERS, NUM\_ADDRESSES, NUM\_DATATYPES, COMBINER, IERROR

For the given datatype, MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_ENVELOPE returns information on the number and type of input arguments used in the call that created the datatype. The number-of-arguments values returned can be used to provide sufficiently large arrays in the decoding routine MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_CONTENTS. This call and the meaning of the returned values is described below. The combiner reflects the MPI datatype constructor call that was used in creating datatype.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Rationale. By requiring that the combiner reflect the constructor used in the creation of the datatype, the decoded information can be used to effectively recreate the calling sequence used in the original creation. This is the most useful information and was felt to be reasonable even though it constrains implementations to remember the original constructor sequence even if the internal representation is different.

The decoded information keeps track of datatype duplications. This is important as one needs to distinguish between a predefined datatype and a dup of a predefined datatype. The former is a constant object that cannot be freed, while the latter is a derived datatype that can be freed. (*End of rationale.*)

The list in Table 4.1 has the values that can be returned in combiner on the left and the call associated with them on the right.

14	MPI_COMBINER_NAMED	a named predefined datatype
15	MPI_COMBINER_DUP	MPI_TYPE_DUP
16	MPI_COMBINER_CONTIGUOUS	MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS
17	MPI_COMBINER_VECTOR	MPI_TYPE_VECTOR
18	MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR
19	MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED	MPI_TYPE_INDEXED
20	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED
21	MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED_BLOCK	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK
22	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_BLOCK	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED_BLOCK
23	MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT
24	MPI_COMBINER_SUBARRAY	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY
25	MPI_COMBINER_DARRAY	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY
26	MPI_COMBINER_F90_REAL	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL
27	MPI_COMBINER_F90_COMPLEX	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_COMPLEX
28	MPI_COMBINER_F90_INTEGER	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER
29	MPI_COMBINER_RESIZED	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED
30		
31	Table 4.1. combiner values return	ned from MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE
32	Table 4.1. Combiner values letuit	IIEG IIOIII MIFI_I TFE_GET_ENVELOFE
33	If combiner is MPL COMBINER NAME	D then <b>datatype</b> is a named predefined datatype.
34		reation call for a datatype can be obtained using
35	MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS.	addition can for a duracype can be obtained abing
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		

42 43

- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

7

8

9

		e, max_miegers, max_aduresses, max_datatypes,	-
	array_of_integers, array	<pre>v_of_addresses, array_of_datatypes)</pre>	2
IN	datatype	datatype to access (handle)	3 4
IN	max_integers	number of elements in <code>array_of_integers</code> (non-negative integer)	4 5 6
IN	max_addresses	number of elements in <code>array_of_addresses</code> (non-negative integer)	7 8
IN	max_datatypes	number of elements in <code>array_of_datatypes</code> (non-negative integer)	9 10 11
OUT	array_of_integers	contains integer arguments used in constructing datatype (array of integers)	11 12 13
OUT	array_of_addresses	contains address arguments used in constructing datatype (array of integers)	14 15
OUT	array_of_datatypes	contains datatype arguments used in constructing datatype (array of handles)	16 17 18
int MF			19 20 21 22 23
TY IN IN	array_of_integers, ierror) YPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(I ITEGER, INTENT(IN) :: max_ ITEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: arr ITEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN	<pre>integers, max_addresses, max_datatypes ay_of_integers(max_integers) D), INTENT(OUT) ::</pre>	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
TY	rray_of_addresses(max_addre YPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(O NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	<pre>UT) :: array_of_datatypes(max_datatypes)</pre>	32 33
	ARRAY_OF_INTEGERS, IERROR)	MAX_INTEGERS, MAX_ADDRESSES, MAX_DATATYPES, ARRAY_OF_ADDRESSES, ARRAY_OF_DATATYPES, RS, MAX_ADDRESSES, MAX_DATATYPES,	34 35 36 37 38
AF	RAY_OF_INTEGERS(*), ARRAY_ TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN	OF_DATATYPES(*), IERROR	39 40
datatyp	e is a predefined named dataty	-	41 42
large as	s the value returned in num_integ	<pre>max_addresses, and max_datatypes must be at least as gers, num_addresses, and num_datatypes, respectively, PE for the same datatype argument.</pre>	43 44 45 46
			40

MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_CONTENTS(datatype, max\_integers, max\_addresses, max\_datatypes,

*Rationale.* The arguments max\_integers, max\_addresses, and max\_datatypes allow for error checking in the call. (*End of rationale.*)

1 The datatypes returned in array\_of\_datatypes are handles to datatype objects that  $\mathbf{2}$ are equivalent to the datatypes used in the original construction call. If these were derived 3 datatypes, then the returned datatypes are new datatype objects, and the user is responsible 4 for freeing these datatypes with MPI\_TYPE\_FREE. If these were predefined datatypes, then  $\mathbf{5}$ the returned datatype is equal to that (constant) predefined datatype and cannot be freed. 6 The committed state of returned derived datatypes is undefined, i.e., the datatypes may 7or may not be committed. Furthermore, the content of attributes of returned datatypes is 8 undefined. 9 Note that MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_CONTENTS can be invoked with a 10 datatype argument that was constructed using MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL, 11MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_INTEGER, or MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_COMPLEX (an unnamed 12predefined datatype). In such a case, an empty array\_of\_datatypes is returned. 13 *Rationale.* The definition of datatype equivalence implies that equivalent predefined 14datatypes are equal. By requiring the same handle for named predefined datatypes, 15it is possible to use the == or .EQ. comparison operator to determine the datatype 16involved. (End of rationale.) 17 18 Advice to implementors. The datatypes returned in array\_of\_datatypes must appear 19 to the user as if each is an equivalent copy of the datatype used in the type constructor 20call. Whether this is done by creating a new datatype or via another mechanism such 21as a reference count mechanism is up to the implementation as long as the semantics 22are preserved. (End of advice to implementors.) 2324The committed state and attributes of the returned datatype is delib-Rationale. 25erately left vague. The datatype used in the original construction may have been 26modified since its use in the constructor call. Attributes can be added, removed, or 27modified as well as having the datatype committed. The semantics given allow for 28a reference count implementation without having to track these changes. (End of 29 rationale.) 30 In the deprecated datatype constructor calls, the address arguments in Fortran are  $^{31}$ of type INTEGER. In the preferred calls, the address arguments are of type 32 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND). The call MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_CONTENTS returns all ad-33 dresses in an argument of type INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND). This is true even if the 34 deprecated calls were used. Thus, the location of values returned can be thought of as being 35 returned by the C bindings. It can also be determined by examining the preferred calls for 36 datatype constructors for the deprecated calls that involve addresses. 37 38 Rationale. By having all address arguments returned in the 39 array\_of\_addresses argument, the result from a C and Fortran decoding of a datatype 40 gives the result in the same argument. It is assumed that an integer of type 41 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) will be at least as large as the INTEGER argument 42used in datatype construction with the old MPI-1 calls so no loss of information will 43 occur. (End of rationale.) 44 45The following defines what values are placed in each entry of the returned arrays

<sup>46</sup> depending on the datatype constructor used for datatype. It also specifies the size of the <sup>47</sup> arrays needed which is the values returned by MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_ENVELOPE. In Fortran, <sup>48</sup> the following calls were made:

```
PARAMETER (LARGE = 1000)
                                                                                        1
                                                                                        \mathbf{2}
       INTEGER TYPE, NI, NA, ND, COMBINER, I(LARGE), D(LARGE), IERROR
      INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) A(LARGE)
!
      CONSTRUCT DATATYPE TYPE (NOT SHOWN)
      CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE(TYPE, NI, NA, ND, COMBINER, IERROR)
      IF ((NI .GT. LARGE) .OR. (NA .GT. LARGE) .OR. (ND .GT. LARGE)) THEN
                                                                                        6
        WRITE (*, *) "NI, NA, OR ND = ", NI, NA, ND, &
                                                                                        7
         " RETURNED BY MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE IS LARGER THAN LARGE = ", LARGE
         CALL MPI_ABORT(MPI_COMM_WORLD, 99, IERROR)
                                                                                        9
                                                                                        10
      ENDIF
                                                                                        11
      CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS(TYPE, NI, NA, ND, I, A, D, IERROR)
                                                                                        12
or in C the analogous calls of:
                                                                                        13
                                                                                        14
#define LARGE 1000
                                                                                        15
int ni, na, nd, combiner, i[LARGE];
                                                                                        16
MPI_Aint a[LARGE];
                                                                                        17
MPI_Datatype type, d[LARGE];
                                                                                        18
/* construct datatype type (not shown) */
                                                                                        19
MPI_Type_get_envelope(type, &ni, &na, &nd, &combiner);
                                                                                        20
if ((ni > LARGE) || (na > LARGE) || (nd > LARGE)) {
                                                                                       21
    fprintf(stderr, "ni, na, or nd = %d %d %d returned by ", ni, na, nd);
                                                                                       22
    fprintf(stderr, "MPI_Type_get_envelope is larger than LARGE = %d\n",
                                                                                       23
             LARGE);
                                                                                        ^{24}
    MPI_Abort(MPI_COMM_WORLD, 99);
                                                                                        25
};
                                                                                        26
MPI_Type_get_contents(type, ni, na, nd, i, a, d);
                                                                                        27
                                                                                        28
    In the descriptions that follow, the lower case name of arguments is used.
                                                                                        29
    If combiner is MPI_COMBINER_NAMED then it is erroneous to call
                                                                                        30
MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS.
                                                                                        31
    If combiner is MPI_COMBINER_DUP then
                                                                                        32
 Constructor argument
                         С
                              Fortran location
                                                                                        33
 oldtype
                        d[0]
                                   D(1)
                                                                                        34
and ni = 0, na = 0, nd = 1.
                                                                                        35
    If combiner is MPI_COMBINER_CONTIGUOUS then
                                                                                        36
 Constructor argument
                         С
                              Fortran location
                                                                                        37
                        i[0]
                                   I(1)
 count
                                                                                        38
                        d[0]
                                   D(1)
 oldtype
                                                                                        39
and ni = 1, na = 0, nd = 1.
                                                                                        40
    If combiner is MPI_COMBINER_VECTOR then
                                                                                        41
 Constructor argument
                              Fortran location
                         С
                                                                                        42
                        i[0]
                                   I(1)
 count
                                                                                        43
 blocklength
                        i[1]
                                   I(2)
                                                                                        44
 stride
                        i[2]
                                   I(3)
                                                                                        45
 oldtype
                        d[0]
                                   D(1)
                                                                                        46
and ni = 3, na = 0, nd = 1.
                                                                                        47
    If combiner is MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR then
                                                                                        48
```

Constructor argument	C Fortran loc	ration	
count	i[0] I(1)		
blocklength			
-			
stride	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a}[0] \\ \mathbf{b}[0] \end{array} \qquad \mathbf{A}(1) \\ \mathbf{b}(1) \\ \mathbf{b}(1) \end{array}$		
oldtype	d[0] D(1)		
and $ni = 2$ , $na = 1$ , $nd =$			
If combiner is MPI_C	â		
Constructor argument		Fortran lo	
count	i[0]	I(1)	
array_of_blocklengths	i[1] to i[i[0]]	I(2) to $I(I)$	. , ,
array_of_displacements		••••	, ,
oldtype	d[0]	D(1)	)
and $ni = 2*count+1$ , na	$= 0,  \mathrm{nd} = 1.$		
If combiner is MPI_C	OMBINER_HINDEX		
Constructor argument	С	Fortran location	
count	i[0]	I(1)	
array_of_blocklengths	i[1] to i[i[0]]	I(2) to $I(I(1)+1)$	
array_of_displacements		A(1) to $A(I(1))$	
oldtype	d[0]	D(1)	
and ni = count+1, na =	L J	\ /	
If combiner is MPI_C	,	D BLOCK then	
Constructor argument	C	Fortran location	
count	i[0]	I(1)	
blocklength	i[1]	I(1) I(2)	
array_of_displacements		I(2) I(3) to I(I(1)+2)	
oldtype	d[0]	D(1) D(1)	
and ni = count+2, na =			
If combiner is $MPI_C$	· ·	ED BLOCK then	
Constructor argument	C	Fortran location	
count blocklongth	i[0]	I(1)	
blocklength	i[1]	I(2)	
array_of_displacements		A(1) to $A(I(1))$	
oldtype	d[0]	D(1)	
and $ni = 2$ , $na = count$ , $rac{1}{2}$		1	
If combiner is MPI_C			
Constructor argument		Fortran location	
count	i[0]	I(1)	
array_of_blocklengths	i[1] to $i[i[0]]$	I(2) to $I(I(1)+1)$	
array_of_displacements		A(1) to $A(I(1))$	
$array_of_types$		D(1) to $D(I(1))$	
and $ni = count+1$ , $na =$	$\operatorname{count}, \operatorname{nd} = \operatorname{count}$	t.	
If combiner is MPI_C	OMBINER_SUBARI	RAY then	

Constructor argument	С	Fortran location	1
ndims	i[0]	I(1)	2
array_of_sizes	i[1] to i[i[0]]	I(2) to $I(I(1)+1)$	3
array_of_subsizes	i[i[0]+1] to $i[2*i[0]]$	I(I(1)+2) to $I(2*I(1)+1)$	4
array_of_starts	i[2*i[0]+1] to $i[3*i[0]]$	I(2*I(1)+2) to $I(3*I(1)+1)$	5
order	i[3*i[0]+1]	I(3*I(1)+2]	6
oldtype	d[0]	D(1)	7
and $ni = 3*ndims+2$ , na	L J		8
	COMBINER_DARRAY the	n	9
Constructor argument	C	Fortran location	- 10
size	i[0]	I(1)	- 11
rank	i[1]	I(2)	12
ndims	$\mathbf{i}[2]$	I(3)	13
array_of_gsizes	i[3] to $i[i[2]+2]$	I(4) to $I(I(3)+3)$	14
array_of_distribs		I(I(3)+4) to $I(2*I(3)+3)$	15
array_of_dargs		2] $I(2*I(3)+4)$ to $I(3*I(3)+3)$	16
array_of_psizes	i[3*i[2]+3] to $i[4*i[2]+$		17
order	i[4*i[2]+3]	I(4*I(3)+4)	18
oldtype	d[0]	D(1)	19
and $ni = 4$ *ndims+4, na	6 3		- 20
	COMBINER_F90_REAL th	en	21
Constructor argument	C Fortran location		22
р	i[0] I(1)	_	23
r	i[1] $I(2)$		24
and $ni = 2$ , $na = 0$ , $nd =$		_	25
If combiner is MPI_0	COMBINER_F90_COMPLE	EX then	26
Constructor argument	C Fortran location		27
р	i[0] I(1)		28
r	i[1] $I(2)$		29
and $ni = 2$ , $na = 0$ , $nd =$	= 0.	—	30
If combiner is MPI_0	COMBINER_F90_INTEGE	$\underline{R}$ then	31
Constructor argument	C Fortran location	_	32
r	i[0] I(1)		33
and $ni = 1$ , $na = 0$ , $nd =$			34
	COMBINER_RESIZED the		35
Constructor argument	C Fortran location	1	36
lb	a[0]    A(1)		37
extent	a[1]    A(2)		38
oldtype	d[0]  D(1)		39
and $ni = 0$ , $na = 2$ , $nd =$	= 1.		40
			40
4.1.14 Examples			42
The following examples	illustrate the use of deri	ived datatypes.	43

The following examples illustrate the use of derived datatypes.

Example 4.13 Send and receive a section of a 3D array.

```
1
           REAL a(100,100,100), e(9,9,9)
\mathbf{2}
           INTEGER oneslice, twoslice, threeslice, myrank, ierr
3
           INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lb, sizeofreal
4
           INTEGER status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
5
6
     С
           extract the section a(1:17:2, 3:11, 2:10)
7
     С
           and store it in e(:,:,:).
8
9
           CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, myrank, ierr)
10
11
           CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lb, sizeofreal, ierr)
12
13
     С
           create datatype for a 1D section
14
           CALL MPI_TYPE_VECTOR(9, 1, 2, MPI_REAL, oneslice, ierr)
15
16
     С
           create datatype for a 2D section
17
           CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR(9, 1, 100*sizeofreal, oneslice,
18
                                          twoslice, ierr)
19
20
     С
           create datatype for the entire section
21
           CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR(9, 1, 100*100*sizeofreal, twoslice,
22
                                          threeslice, ierr)
23
^{24}
           CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(threeslice, ierr)
25
           CALL MPI_SENDRECV(a(1,3,2), 1, threeslice, myrank, 0, e, 9*9*9,
26
                               MPI_REAL, myrank, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, status, ierr)
27
28
     Example 4.14 Copy the (strictly) lower triangular part of a matrix.
29
30
           REAL a(100,100), b(100,100)
^{31}
           INTEGER disp(100), blocklen(100), ltype, myrank, ierr
32
           INTEGER status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
33
34
     С
           copy lower triangular part of array a
35
     С
           onto lower triangular part of array b
36
37
           CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, myrank, ierr)
38
39
     С
           compute start and size of each column
40
           DO i=1, 100
41
             disp(i) = 100*(i-1) + i
42
             blocklen(i) = 100-i
43
           END DO
44
45
           create datatype for lower triangular part
     С
46
           CALL MPI_TYPE_INDEXED(100, blocklen, disp, MPI_REAL, ltype, ierr)
47
48
           CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(ltype, ierr)
```

CALL MPI_SENDRECV(a, 1, lt	ype, myrank	x, 0, b, 1,		
ltype, m	yrank, O, M	IPI_COMM_WORLD,	status,	ierr)

Example 4.15 Transpose a matrix.

6 REAL a(100,100), b(100,100) 7 INTEGER row, xpose, myrank, ierr 8 INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) lb, sizeofreal 9 INTEGER status(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE) 10 11 С transpose matrix a onto b 1213 CALL MPI\_COMM\_RANK(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, myrank, ierr) 1415CALL MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT(MPI\_REAL, lb, sizeofreal, ierr) 1617С create datatype for one row 18 CALL MPI\_TYPE\_VECTOR(100, 1, 100, MPI\_REAL, row, ierr) 1920С create datatype for matrix in row-major order 21CALL MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_HVECTOR(100, 1, sizeofreal, row, xpose, ierr) 22 23CALL MPI\_TYPE\_COMMIT(xpose, ierr)  $^{24}$ 25С send matrix in row-major order and receive in column major order 26CALL MPI\_SENDRECV(a, 1, xpose, myrank, 0, b, 100\*100, 27MPI\_REAL, myrank, 0, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, status, ierr) 28 29 30 **Example 4.16** Another approach to the transpose problem: 31REAL a(100,100), b(100,100) 32 INTEGER row, row1 33 INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) disp(2), lb, sizeofreal 34 INTEGER myrank, ierr 35 INTEGER status(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE) 36 37 CALL MPI\_COMM\_RANK(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, myrank, ierr) 38 39 С transpose matrix a onto b 4041 CALL MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT(MPI\_REAL, lb, sizeofreal, ierr) 4243 С create datatype for one row 44CALL MPI\_TYPE\_VECTOR(100, 1, 100, MPI\_REAL, row, ierr) 4546С create datatype for one row, with the extent of one real number 471b = 048

```
1
           CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED(row, lb, sizeofreal, row1, ierr)
\mathbf{2}
3
           CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(row1, ierr)
4
5
           send 100 rows and receive in column major order
     С
6
           CALL MPI_SENDRECV(a, 100, row1, myrank, 0, b, 100*100,
\overline{7}
                               MPI_REAL, myrank, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, status, ierr)
8
9
     Example 4.17 We manipulate an array of structures.
10
11
     struct Partstruct
12
     ſ
13
                type; /* particle type */
        int
14
        double d[6]; /* particle coordinates */
15
                      /* some additional information */
        char
               b[7];
16
     };
17
18
                           particle[1000];
     struct Partstruct
19
20
     int
                   i, dest, tag;
21
     MPI_Comm
                   comm;
22
23
^{24}
     /* build datatype describing structure */
25
26
     MPI_Datatype Particlestruct, Particletype;
27
     MPI_Datatype type[3] = {MPI_INT, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_CHAR};
28
     int
                   blocklen[3] = \{1, 6, 7\};
29
     MPI_Aint
                   disp[3];
30
     MPI_Aint
                   base, lb, sizeofentry;
^{31}
32
33
     /* compute displacements of structure components */
34
35
     MPI_Get_address(particle, disp);
36
     MPI_Get_address(particle[0].d, disp+1);
37
     MPI_Get_address(particle[0].b, disp+2);
38
     base = disp[0];
39
     for (i=0; i < 3; i++) disp[i] -= base;</pre>
40
41
     MPI_Type_create_struct(3, blocklen, disp, type, &Particlestruct);
42
43
        /* If compiler does padding in mysterious ways,
44
        the following may be safer */
45
46
     /* compute extent of the structure */
47
48
     MPI_Get_address(particle+1, &sizeofentry);
```

```
1
sizeofentry -= base;
                                                                                      \mathbf{2}
                                                                                      3
/* build datatype describing structure */
                                                                                      4
MPI_Type_create_resized(Particlestruct, 0, sizeofentry, &Particletype);
                                                                                      5
                                                                                      6
                                                                                      7
               /* 4.1:
                                                                                      8
                                                                                      9
         send the entire array */
                                                                                      10
                                                                                      11
MPI_Type_commit(&Particletype);
MPI_Send(particle, 1000, Particletype, dest, tag, comm);
                                                                                      12
                                                                                      13
                                                                                      14
               /* 4.2:
                                                                                      15
                                                                                      16
         send only the entries of type zero particles,
                                                                                      17
        preceded by the number of such entries */
                                                                                      18
                                                                                      19
MPI_Datatype Zparticles;
                             /* datatype describing all particles
                                                                                      20
                                 with type zero (needs to be recomputed
                                                                                      21
                                 if types change) */
MPI_Datatype Ztype;
                                                                                      22
                                                                                      23
                                                                                      24
              zdisp[1000];
int
                                                                                      25
int
              zblock[1000], j, k;
                                                                                      26
              zzblock[2] = \{1,1\};
int
MPI_Aint
              zzdisp[2];
                                                                                      27
MPI_Datatype zztype[2];
                                                                                      28
                                                                                      29
                                                                                      30
/* compute displacements of type zero particles */
                                                                                      ^{31}
j = 0;
for (i=0; i < 1000; i++)
                                                                                      32
                                                                                      33
   if (particle[i].type == 0)
                                                                                      34
      {
        zdisp[j] = i;
                                                                                      35
        zblock[j] = 1;
                                                                                      36
                                                                                      37
         j++;
      }
                                                                                      38
                                                                                      39
/* create datatype for type zero particles */
                                                                                      40
                                                                                      41
MPI_Type_indexed(j, zblock, zdisp, Particletype, &Zparticles);
                                                                                      42
/* prepend particle count */
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
MPI_Get_address(&j, zzdisp);
MPI_Get_address(particle, zzdisp+1);
                                                                                      45
                                                                                      46
zztype[0] = MPI_INT;
                                                                                      47
zztype[1] = Zparticles;
                                                                                      48
MPI_Type_create_struct(2, zzblock, zzdisp, zztype, &Ztype);
```

```
1
\mathbf{2}
     MPI_Type_commit(&Ztype);
3
     MPI_Send(MPI_BOTTOM, 1, Ztype, dest, tag, comm);
4
\mathbf{5}
6
            /* A probably more efficient way of defining Zparticles */
7
8
     /* consecutive particles with index zero are handled as one block */
9
     j=0;
10
     for (i=0; i < 1000; i++)
11
        if (particle[i].type == 0)
12
            Ł
13
               for (k=i+1; (k < 1000)&&(particle[k].type == 0) ; k++);</pre>
14
               zdisp[j] = i;
15
               zblock[j] = k-i;
16
               j++;
17
              i = k;
18
           }
19
     MPI_Type_indexed(j, zblock, zdisp, Particletype, &Zparticles);
20
21
22
                      /* 4.3:
23
                send the first two coordinates of all entries */
^{24}
25
     MPI_Datatype Allpairs;
                                   /* datatype for all pairs of coordinates */
26
27
     MPI_Type_get_extent(Particletype, &lb, &sizeofentry);
28
29
          /* sizeofentry can also be computed by subtracting the address
30
              of particle[0] from the address of particle[1] */
^{31}
32
     MPI_Type_create_hvector(1000, 2, sizeofentry, MPI_DOUBLE, &Allpairs);
33
     MPI_Type_commit(&Allpairs);
34
     MPI_Send(particle[0].d, 1, Allpairs, dest, tag, comm);
35
36
           /* an alternative solution to 4.3 */
37
38
     MPI_Datatype Twodouble;
39
40
     MPI_Type_contiguous(2, MPI_DOUBLE, &Twodouble);
41
42
     MPI_Datatype Onepair;
                               /* datatype for one pair of coordinates, with
43
                                 the extent of one particle entry */
44
45
     MPI_Type_create_resized(Twodouble, 0, sizeofentry, &Onepair );
46
     MPI_Type_commit(&Onepair);
47
     MPI_Send(particle[0].d, 1000, Onepair, dest, tag, comm);
48
```

**Example 4.18** The same manipulations as in the previous example, but use absolute addresses in datatypes.

```
struct Partstruct
                                                                                       4
{
                                                                                       5
    int
            type;
                                                                                       6
    double d[6];
                                                                                       7
    char
            b[7];
                                                                                       8
};
                                                                                       9
                                                                                       10
struct Partstruct particle[1000];
                                                                                       11
                                                                                       12
            /* build datatype describing first array entry */
                                                                                       13
                                                                                       14
MPI_Datatype Particletype;
                                                                                       15
MPI_Datatype type[3] = {MPI_INT, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_CHAR};
                                                                                       16
              block[3] = \{1, 6, 7\};
int
                                                                                       17
MPI_Aint
              disp[3];
                                                                                       18
                                                                                       19
MPI_Get_address(particle, disp);
                                                                                       20
MPI_Get_address(particle[0].d, disp+1);
                                                                                       21
MPI_Get_address(particle[0].b, disp+2);
                                                                                       22
MPI_Type_create_struct(3, block, disp, type, &Particletype);
                                                                                       23
                                                                                       24
/* Particletype describes first array entry -- using absolute
                                                                                       25
   addresses */
                                                                                       26
                                                                                       27
                   /* 5.1:
                                                                                       28
             send the entire array */
                                                                                       29
                                                                                       30
MPI_Type_commit(&Particletype);
                                                                                       ^{31}
MPI_Send(MPI_BOTTOM, 1000, Particletype, dest, tag, comm);
                                                                                       32
                                                                                       33
                                                                                       34
                  /* 5.2:
                                                                                       35
         send the entries of type zero,
                                                                                       36
         preceded by the number of such entries */
                                                                                       37
                                                                                       38
MPI_Datatype Zparticles, Ztype;
                                                                                       39
                                                                                       40
int
              zdisp[1000];
                                                                                       41
int
              zblock[1000], i, j, k;
                                                                                       42
              zzblock[2] = {1,1};
int
                                                                                       43
MPI_Datatype zztype[2];
                                                                                       44
MPI_Aint
              zzdisp[2];
                                                                                       45
                                                                                       46
j=0;
                                                                                       47
for (i=0; i < 1000; i++)</pre>
                                                                                       48
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
1
         if (particle[i].type == 0)
\mathbf{2}
              {
3
                  for (k=i+1; (k < 1000)&&(particle[k].type == 0) ; k++);</pre>
4
                  zdisp[j] = i;
5
                  zblock[j] = k-i;
6
                  j++;
7
                  i = k;
8
              }
9
     MPI_Type_indexed(j, zblock, zdisp, Particletype, &Zparticles);
10
     /* Zparticles describe particles with type zero, using
11
        their absolute addresses*/
12
13
     /* prepend particle count */
14
     MPI_Get_address(&j, zzdisp);
15
     zzdisp[1] = (MPI_Aint)0;
16
     zztype[0] = MPI_INT;
17
     zztype[1] = Zparticles;
18
     MPI_Type_create_struct(2, zzblock, zzdisp, zztype, &Ztype);
19
20
     MPI_Type_commit(&Ztype);
21
     MPI_Send(MPI_BOTTOM, 1, Ztype, dest, tag, comm);
22
23
^{24}
     Example 4.19 Handling of unions.
25
26
     union {
27
        int
                 ival;
28
        float
                 fval;
29
            } u[1000];
30
^{31}
     int
              utype;
32
33
     /* All entries of u have identical type; variable
34
        utype keeps track of their current type */
35
36
     MPI_Datatype
                     mpi_utype[2];
37
     MPI_Aint
                     i, extent;
38
39
     /* compute an MPI datatype for each possible union type;
40
        assume values are left-aligned in union storage. */
41
42
     MPI_Get_address(u, &i);
43
     MPI_Get_address(u+1, &extent);
44
     extent -= i;
45
46
     MPI_Type_create_resized(MPI_INT, 0, extent, &mpi_utype[0]);
47
48
```

```
1
MPI_Type_create_resized(MPI_FLOAT, 0, extent, &mpi_utype[1]);
                                                                                      2
                                                                                      3
for(i=0; i<2; i++) MPI_Type_commit(&mpi_utype[i]);</pre>
                                                                                      4
/* actual communication */
                                                                                      5
                                                                                      6
MPI_Send(u, 1000, mpi_utype[utype], dest, tag, comm);
                                                                                      7
                                                                                      8
                                                                                      9
Example 4.20 This example shows how a datatype can be decoded. The routine
                                                                                      10
printdatatype prints out the elements of the datatype. Note the use of MPI_Type_free for
                                                                                      11
datatypes that are not predefined.
                                                                                      12
                                                                                      13
/*
                                                                                      14
  Example of decoding a datatype.
                                                                                      15
                                                                                      16
  Returns 0 if the datatype is predefined, 1 otherwise
                                                                                      17
 */
                                                                                      18
#include <stdio.h>
                                                                                      19
#include <stdlib.h>
#include "mpi.h"
                                                                                      20
                                                                                     21
int printdatatype(MPI_Datatype datatype)
                                                                                     22
{
                                                                                     23
    int *array_of_ints;
                                                                                      24
    MPI_Aint *array_of_adds;
                                                                                     25
    MPI_Datatype *array_of_dtypes;
                                                                                      26
    int num_ints, num_adds, num_dtypes, combiner;
                                                                                     27
    int i;
                                                                                     28
                                                                                     29
    MPI_Type_get_envelope(datatype,
                            &num_ints, &num_adds, &num_dtypes, &combiner);
                                                                                     30
                                                                                      31
    switch (combiner) {
                                                                                     32
    case MPI_COMBINER_NAMED:
                                                                                     33
        printf("Datatype is named:");
                                                                                     34
        /* To print the specific type, we can match against the
            predefined forms. We can NOT use a switch statement here
                                                                                     35
                                                                                     36
            We could also use MPI_TYPE_GET_NAME if we prefered to use
                                                                                     37
            names that the user may have changed.
                                                                                     38
          */
                                                                                     39
                                            printf( "MPI_INT\n" );
        if
                 (datatype == MPI_INT)
        else if (datatype == MPI_DOUBLE) printf( "MPI_DOUBLE\n" );
                                                                                      40
                                                                                      41
         ... else test for other types ...
                                                                                      42
        return 0;
        break;
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
    case MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT:
                                                                                      45
    case MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT_INTEGER:
                                                                                      46
        printf("Datatype is struct containing");
                                                                                      47
                        = (int *)malloc(num_ints * sizeof(int));
        array_of_ints
                                                                                      48
        array_of_adds
                          =
```

1	<pre>(MPI_Aint *) malloc(num_adds * sizeof(MPI_Aint));</pre>
2	array_of_dtypes = (MPI_Datatype *)
3	<pre>malloc(num_dtypes * sizeof(MPI_Datatype));</pre>
4	MPI_Type_get_contents(datatype, num_ints, num_adds, num_dtypes,
5	array_of_ints, array_of_adds, array_of_dtypes);
6	<pre>printf(" %d datatypes:\n", array_of_ints[0]);</pre>
7	for (i=0; i <array_of_ints[0]; i++)="" td="" {<=""></array_of_ints[0];>
8	printf("blocklength %d, displacement %ld, type:\n",
9	array_of_ints[i+1], (long)array_of_adds[i]);
10	<pre>if (printdatatype(array_of_dtypes[i])) {</pre>
11	/* Note that we free the type ONLY if it
12	is not predefined */
13	<pre>MPI_Type_free(&amp;array_of_dtypes[i]);</pre>
14	}
15	}
16	<pre>free(array_of_ints);</pre>
17	<pre>free(array_of_adds);</pre>
18	<pre>free(array_of_dtypes);</pre>
19	break;
20	other combiner values
21	default:
22	<pre>printf("Unrecognized combiner type\n");</pre>
23	}
24	return 1;
25	}
26	

# 4.2 Pack and Unpack

29Some existing communication libraries provide pack/unpack functions for sending noncon-30 tiguous data. In these, the user explicitly packs data into a contiguous buffer before sending  $^{31}$ it, and unpacks it from a contiguous buffer after receiving it. Derived datatypes, which are 32 described in Section 4.1, allow one, in most cases, to avoid explicit packing and unpacking. 33 The user specifies the layout of the data to be sent or received, and the communication 34library directly accesses a noncontiguous buffer. The pack/unpack routines are provided 35 for compatibility with previous libraries. Also, they provide some functionality that is not 36 otherwise available in MPI. For instance, a message can be received in several parts, where 37 the receive operation done on a later part may depend on the content of a former part. 38 Another use is that outgoing messages may be explicitly buffered in user supplied space, 39 thus overriding the system buffering policy. Finally, the availability of pack and unpack 40 operations facilitates the development of additional communication libraries layered on top  $^{41}$ of MPI.

27

28

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

MPI_PACK(inbuf, incount, datatype, outbuf, outsize, position, comm) <sup>1</sup>				
IN	inbuf	input buffer start (choice)	2	
IN	incount	number of input data items (non-negative integer)	3 4	
IN	datatype	datatype of each input data item (handle)	5	
OUT	outbuf		6	
		output buffer start (choice)	7	
IN	outsize	output buffer size, in bytes (non-negative integer)	8	
INOUT	position	current position in buffer, in bytes (integer)	9 10	
IN	comm	communicator for packed message (handle)	10	
			12	
int MPI_F		nt incount, MPI_Datatype datatype,	13	
	void *outbuf, int ou	tsize, int *position, MPI_Comm comm)	14	
MPI_Pack(	(inbuf, incount, datatype	, outbuf, outsize, position, comm, ierror)	15	
	(*), DIMENSION(), INTEN		16 17	
	(*), DIMENSION() :: of		17	
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: incou		19	
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: position				
TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm				
INTEC	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	22	
MPT PACK	TNBUF, INCOUNT, DATATYPE	, OUTBUF, OUTSIZE, POSITION, COMM, IERROR)	23	
	<pre>e&gt; INBUF(*), OUTBUF(*)</pre>	, 201201, 2012122, 10011101, 20111, 12140010,	24 25	
INTEC	ER INCOUNT, DATATYPE, OU	ISIZE, POSITION, COMM, IERROR	26	
Packs	the message in the send buffer	specified by inbuf, incount, datatype into the buffer	27	
	8	The input buffer can be any communication buffer	28	
		fer is a contiguous storage area containing outsize	29	
	-	ngth is counted in <i>bytes</i> , not elements, as if it were	30	
	ication buffer for a message of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	31 32	
		first location in the output buffer to be used for	33	
packing. position is incremented by the size of the packed message, and the output value of position is the first location in the output buffer following the locations occupied by the			34	
-		s the communicator that will be subsequently used	35	
-	g the packed message.	· ····································	36	
	- 0		37	
			38 39	
			39 40	

1	MPI_UNPA	ACK(inbuf, insize, position, out	buf, outcount, datatype, comm)			
2	IN	inbuf	input buffer start (choice)			
3 4	IN	insize	size of input buffer, in bytes (non-negative integer)			
5	INOUT	position	current position in bytes (integer)			
6	OUT	outbuf	output buffer start (choice)			
7 8	IN	outcount	number of items to be unpacked (integer)			
9	IN	datatype	datatype of each output data item (handle)			
10	IN	comm	communicator for packed message (handle)			
11	IIN	comm	communicator for packed message (nanule)			
12 13 14	int MPI_U	-	int insize, int *position, void *outbuf, tatype datatype, MPI_Comm comm)			
15	MPI_Unpac	k(inbuf, insize, position	n, outbuf, outcount, datatype, comm,			
16 17		ierror)	- />			
18		<pre>*), DIMENSION(), INTEN: *), DIMENSION() :: oi</pre>				
19		ER, INTENT(IN) :: insize				
20	INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: position					
21	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype					
22 23		<pre>MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>				
23 24	INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror			
25	MPI_UNPAC		N, OUTBUF, OUTCOUNT, DATATYPE, COMM,			
26		IERROR)				
27	• 1	> INBUF(*), OUTBUF(*)	COUNT, DATATYPE, COMM, IERROR			
28 29						
30	*	0	buffer specified by outbuf, outcount, datatype from			
31			size. The output buffer can be any communication buffer is a contiguous storage area containing insize			
32		-	ut value of <b>position</b> is the first location in the input			
33			position is incremented by the size of the packed			
34			sition is the first location in the input buffer after			
35			nat was unpacked. comm is the communicator used			
36 37	to receive t	the packed message.				
38	1 dava	ce to users. Note the diffe	erence between MPI_RECV and MPI_UNPACK: in			
39			specifies the maximum number of items that can			
40		,	of items received is determined by the length of			
41			JNPACK, the count argument specifies the actual			
42	numb	per of items that are unpacked	ed; the "size" of the corresponding message is the			
43			for this change is that the "incoming message size"			
44	is no	t predetermined since the us	er decides how much to unpack; nor is it easy to			

determine the "message size" from the number of items to be unpacked. In fact, in a heterogeneous system, this number may not be determined a priori. (End of advice

to users.)

To understand the behavior of pack and unpack, it is convenient to think of the data part of a message as being the sequence obtained by concatenating the successive values sent in that message. The pack operation stores this sequence in the buffer space, as if sending the message to that buffer. The unpack operation retrieves this sequence from buffer space, as if receiving a message from that buffer. (It is helpful to think of internal Fortran files or sscanf in C, for a similar function.)

Several messages can be successively packed into one *packing unit*. This is effected by several successive *related* calls to MPI\_PACK, where the first call provides position = 0, and each successive call inputs the value of position that was output by the previous call, and the same values for outbuf, outcount and comm. This packing unit now contains the equivalent information that would have been stored in a message by one send call with a send buffer that is the "concatenation" of the individual send buffers.

A packing unit can be sent using type MPI\_PACKED. Any point to point or collective communication function can be used to move the sequence of bytes that forms the packing unit from one process to another. This packing unit can now be received using any receive operation, with any datatype: the type matching rules are relaxed for messages sent with type MPI\_PACKED.

A message sent with any type (including MPI\_PACKED) can be received using the type MPI\_PACKED. Such a message can then be unpacked by calls to MPI\_UNPACK.

A packing unit (or a message created by a regular, "typed" send) can be unpacked into several successive messages. This is effected by several successive related calls to MPI\_UNPACK, where the first call provides position = 0, and each successive call inputs the value of position that was output by the previous call, and the same values for inbuf, insize and comm.

The concatenation of two packing units is not necessarily a packing unit; nor is a substring of a packing unit necessarily a packing unit. Thus, one cannot concatenate two packing units and then unpack the result as one packing unit; nor can one unpack a substring of a packing unit as a separate packing unit. Each packing unit, that was created by a related sequence of pack calls, or by a regular send, must be unpacked as a unit, by a sequence of related unpack calls.

*Rationale.* The restriction on "atomic" packing and unpacking of packing units allows the implementation to add at the head of packing units additional information, such as a description of the sender architecture (to be used for type conversion, in a heterogeneous environment) (*End of rationale.*)

The following call allows the user to find out how much space is needed to pack a message and, thus, manage space allocation for buffers.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

```
1
     MPI_PACK_SIZE(incount, datatype, comm, size)
\mathbf{2}
       IN
                 incount
                                             count argument to packing call (non-negative integer)
3
       IN
                                             datatype argument to packing call (handle)
                 datatype
4
5
       IN
                 comm
                                             communicator argument to packing call (handle)
6
       OUT
                 size
                                             upper bound on size of packed message, in bytes (non-
7
                                             negative integer)
8
9
     int MPI_Pack_size(int incount, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Comm comm,
10
                    int *size)
11
12
     MPI_Pack_size(incount, datatype, comm, size, ierror)
13
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: incount
14
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                 datatype
15
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
16
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size
17
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
18
     MPI_PACK_SIZE(INCOUNT, DATATYPE, COMM, SIZE, IERROR)
19
          INTEGER INCOUNT, DATATYPE, COMM, SIZE, IERROR
20
21
          A call to MPI_PACK_SIZE(incount, datatype, comm, size) returns in size an upper bound
22
     on the increment in position that is effected by a call to MPI_PACK(inbuf, incount, datatype,
23
     outbuf, outcount, position, comm). If the packed size of the datatype cannot be expressed
24
     by the size parameter, then MPI_PACK_SIZE sets the value of size to MPI_UNDEFINED.
25
           Rationale. The call returns an upper bound, rather than an exact bound, since the
26
           exact amount of space needed to pack the message may depend on the context (e.g.,
27
           first message packed in a packing unit may take more space). (End of rationale.)
28
29
30
     Example 4.21 An example using MPI_PACK.
^{31}
     int
                  position, i, j, a[2];
32
                  buff[1000];
     char
33
34
     MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &myrank);
35
     if (myrank == 0)
36
     {
37
          /* SENDER CODE */
38
39
          position = 0;
40
          MPI_Pack(&i, 1, MPI_INT, buff, 1000, &position, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
41
          MPI_Pack(&j, 1, MPI_INT, buff, 1000, &position, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
42
          MPI_Send(buff, position, MPI_PACKED, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
43
     }
44
     else /* RECEIVER CODE */
45
          MPI_Recv(a, 2, MPI_INT, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
46
47
48
     Example 4.22 An elaborate example.
```

```
1
int
      position, i;
                                                                                       \mathbf{2}
float a[1000];
                                                                                       3
char buff[1000];
                                                                                       4
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &myrank);
                                                                                       5
                                                                                       6
if (myrank == 0)
                                                                                       7
{
    /* SENDER CODE */
                                                                                       8
                                                                                       9
                                                                                       10
    int len[2];
                                                                                       11
    MPI_Aint disp[2];
    MPI_Datatype type[2], newtype;
                                                                                       12
                                                                                       13
    /* build datatype for i followed by a[0]...a[i-1] */
                                                                                       14
                                                                                       15
                                                                                       16
    len[0] = 1;
                                                                                       17
    len[1] = i;
                                                                                       18
    MPI_Get_address(&i, disp);
                                                                                       19
    MPI_Get_address(a, disp+1);
                                                                                       20
    type[0] = MPI_INT;
                                                                                       21
    type[1] = MPI_FLOAT;
                                                                                       22
    MPI_Type_create_struct(2, len, disp, type, &newtype);
                                                                                       23
    MPI_Type_commit(&newtype);
                                                                                       24
                                                                                       25
    /* Pack i followed by a[0]...a[i-1]*/
                                                                                       26
    position = 0;
                                                                                       27
    MPI_Pack(MPI_BOTTOM, 1, newtype, buff, 1000, &position, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
                                                                                       28
                                                                                       29
                                                                                       30
    /* Send */
                                                                                       ^{31}
                                                                                       32
    MPI_Send(buff, position, MPI_PACKED, 1, 0,
                                                                                       33
              MPI_COMM_WORLD);
                                                                                       34
/* ****
                                                                                       35
   One can replace the last three lines with
                                                                                       36
                                                                                       37
   MPI_Send(MPI_BOTTOM, 1, newtype, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
   **** */
                                                                                       38
                                                                                       39
}
else if (myrank == 1)
                                                                                       40
                                                                                       41
{
                                                                                       42
    /* RECEIVER CODE */
                                                                                       43
                                                                                       44
    MPI_Status status;
                                                                                       45
                                                                                       46
    /* Receive */
                                                                                       47
                                                                                       48
    MPI_Recv(buff, 1000, MPI_PACKED, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
```

```
1
\mathbf{2}
         /* Unpack i */
3
4
         position = 0;
5
         MPI_Unpack(buff, 1000, &position, &i, 1, MPI_INT, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
6
7
         /* Unpack a[0]...a[i-1] */
8
         MPI_Unpack(buff, 1000, &position, a, i, MPI_FLOAT, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
9
     }
10
11
     Example 4.23 Each process sends a count, followed by count characters to the root; the
12
     root concatenates all characters into one string.
13
14
     int count, gsize, counts[64], totalcount, k1, k2, k,
15
          displs[64], position, concat_pos;
16
     char chr[100], *lbuf, *rbuf, *cbuf;
17
18
     MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
19
     MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
20
21
           /* allocate local pack buffer */
22
     MPI_Pack_size(1, MPI_INT, comm, &k1);
23
     MPI_Pack_size(count, MPI_CHAR, comm, &k2);
^{24}
     k = k1+k2;
25
     lbuf = (char *)malloc(k);
26
27
            /* pack count, followed by count characters */
28
     position = 0;
29
     MPI_Pack(&count, 1, MPI_INT, lbuf, k, &position, comm);
30
     MPI_Pack(chr, count, MPI_CHAR, lbuf, k, &position, comm);
^{31}
32
     if (myrank != root) {
33
         /* gather at root sizes of all packed messages */
34
         MPI_Gather(&position, 1, MPI_INT, NULL, 0,
35
                     MPI_DATATYPE_NULL, root, comm);
36
37
         /* gather at root packed messages */
38
         MPI_Gatherv(lbuf, position, MPI_PACKED, NULL,
39
                      NULL, NULL, MPI_DATATYPE_NULL, root, comm);
40
^{41}
     } else {
                 /* root code */
42
         /* gather sizes of all packed messages */
43
         MPI_Gather(&position, 1, MPI_INT, counts, 1,
44
                     MPI_INT, root, comm);
45
46
         /* gather all packed messages */
47
         displs[0] = 0;
48
         for (i=1; i < gsize; i++)</pre>
```

```
displs[i] = displs[i-1] + counts[i-1];
    totalcount = displs[gsize-1] + counts[gsize-1];
    rbuf = (char *)malloc(totalcount);
    cbuf = (char *)malloc(totalcount);
    MPI_Gatherv(lbuf, position, MPI_PACKED, rbuf,
                counts, displs, MPI_PACKED, root, comm);
    /* unpack all messages and concatenate strings */
    concat_pos = 0;
    for (i=0; i < gsize; i++) {</pre>
        position = 0;
        MPI_Unpack(rbuf+displs[i], totalcount-displs[i],
                   &position, &count, 1, MPI_INT, comm);
        MPI_Unpack(rbuf+displs[i], totalcount-displs[i],
                   &position, cbuf+concat_pos, count, MPI_CHAR, comm);
        concat_pos += count;
    }
    cbuf[concat_pos] = ' \ ';
}
```

# 4.3 Canonical MPI\_PACK and MPI\_UNPACK

These functions read/write data to/from the buffer in the "external32" data format specified in Section 13.7.2, and calculate the size needed for packing. Their first arguments specify the data format, for future extensibility, but currently the only valid value of the datarep argument is "external32."

Advice to users. These functions could be used, for example, to send typed data in a portable format from one MPI implementation to another. (*End of advice to users.*)

The buffer will contain exactly the packed data, without headers. MPI\_BYTE should be used to send and receive data that is packed using MPI\_PACK\_EXTERNAL.

*Rationale.* MPI\_PACK\_EXTERNAL specifies that there is no header on the message and further specifies the exact format of the data. Since MPI\_PACK may (and is allowed to) use a header, the datatype MPI\_PACKED cannot be used for data packed with MPI\_PACK\_EXTERNAL. (*End of rationale.*) 1

2

3

4

5 6

7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20 21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

1	MPI_PACK	L_EXTERNAL(datare	o, inbuf, incount, datatype, outbuf, outsize, position)	
2 3	IN	datarep	data representation (string)	
4	IN	inbuf	input buffer start (choice)	
5	IN	incount	number of input data items (integer)	
6	IN	datatype	datatype of each input data item (handle)	
7 8	OUT	outbuf	output buffer start (choice)	
9	IN	outsize	output buffer size, in bytes (integer)	
10 11	INOUT	position	current position in buffer, in bytes (integer)	
12 13 14 15	int MPI_P		t char datarep[], const void *inbuf, int incount, datatype, void *outbuf, MPI_Aint outsize, sition)	
16	MPI_Pack_	external(datarep,	inbuf, incount, datatype, outbuf, outsize,	
17		position, ier		
18			NT(IN) :: datarep	
19 20		<pre>*), DIMENSION() *), DIMENSION()</pre>		
21		ER, INTENT(IN) ::		
22	TYPE(	MPI_Datatype), IN	TENT(IN) :: datatype	
23				
24 25				
26				
27	MPI_PACK_		INBUF, INCOUNT, DATATYPE, OUTBUF, OUTSIZE,	
28	TNTEG	POSITION, IEF ER INCOUNT, DATAT		
29			SS_KIND) OUTSIZE, POSITION	
30 31	CHARA	CTER*(*) DATAREP		
32	<type< td=""><td>&gt; INBUF(*), OUTBU</td><td>F(*)</td></type<>	> INBUF(*), OUTBU	F(*)	
33				
34 35	MPI_UNPA	ACK_EXTERNAL(dat	arep, inbuf, insize, position, outbuf, outsize, position)	
36	IN	datarep	data representation (string)	
37 38	IN	inbuf	input buffer start (choice)	
39	IN	insize	input buffer size, in bytes (integer)	
40	INOUT	position	current position in buffer, in bytes (integer)	
41	OUT	outbuf	output buffer start (choice)	
42 43	IN	outcount	number of output data items (integer)	
44 45	IN	datatype	datatype of output data item (handle)	
45 46 47 48	int MPI_U	MPI_Aint insi	nst char datarep[], const void *inbuf, .ze, MPI_Aint *position, void *outbuf, MPI_Datatype datatype)	

MPI_Ur	pack_external(datarep	o, inbuf, insize, position, outbuf, outcount,	1
	datatype, ier:	ror)	2
CH	HARACTER(LEN=*), INTEN	NT(IN) :: datarep	3
ΤY	<pre>PE(*), DIMENSION(),</pre>	, INTENT(IN) :: inbuf	4
ΤY	<pre>PE(*), DIMENSION()</pre>	:: outbuf	5
II	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRES	SS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: insize	6
II	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRES	SS_KIND), INTENT(INOUT) :: position	7
II	NTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::	outcount	8
ΤY	PE(MPI_Datatype), INT	TENT(IN) :: datatype	9
II	NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTE	ENT(OUT) :: ierror	10
MPT IIN	IPACK FYTERNAL (DATAREL	, INBUF, INSIZE, POSITION, OUTBUF, OUTCOUNT,	11
111 1_01	DATATYPE, IER		12
т	ITEGER OUTCOUNT, DATAT		13
		SS_KIND) INSIZE, POSITION	14
	IARACTER*(*) DATAREP		15
	<pre>ype&gt; INBUF(*), OUTBUF</pre>	F(*)	16
	51		17 18
			19
MPI P	ACK EXTERNAL SIZE(d	atarep, incount, datatype, size)	20
	· ·	,	20
IN	datarep	data representation (string)	22
IN	incount	number of input data items (integer)	23
IN	datatype	datatype of each input data item (handle)	24
OUT	size	output buffer size, in bytes (integer)	25
			26
int MF	PI_Pack_external_size(	<pre>(const char datarep[], int incount,</pre>	27
	MPI_Datatype o	datatype, MPI_Aint *size)	28
MDT D.			29
		arep, incount, datatype, size, ierror)	30
	<pre>YPE(MPI_Datatype), INT NTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	• •	31 32
	HARACTER(LEN=*), INTEN		32
		SS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: size	34
	TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTE		35
			36
		AREP, INCOUNT, DATATYPE, SIZE, IERROR)	37
	TEGER INCOUNT, DATATY	-	38
	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRES	SS_KIND) SIZE	39
CH	HARACTER*(*) DATAREP		40
			41
			42
			43
			44
			45
			46

# Chapter 5

# **Collective Communication**

## 5.1 Introduction and Overview

Collective communication is defined as communication that involves a group or groups of processes. The functions of this type provided by MPI are the following:

- MPI\_BARRIER, MPI\_IBARRIER: Barrier synchronization across all members of a group (Section 5.3 and Section 5.12.1).
- MPI\_BCAST, MPI\_IBCAST: Broadcast from one member to all members of a group (Section 5.4 and Section 5.12.2). This is shown as "broadcast" in Figure 5.1.
- MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_IGATHER, MPI\_GATHERV, MPI\_IGATHERV: Gather data from all members of a group to one member (Section 5.5 and Section 5.12.3). This is shown as "gather" in Figure 5.1.
- MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_ISCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV, MPI\_ISCATTERV: Scatter data from one member to all members of a group (Section 5.6 and Section 5.12.4). This is shown as "scatter" in Figure 5.1.
- MPI\_ALLGATHER, MPI\_IALLGATHER, MPI\_ALLGATHERV, MPI\_IALLGATHERV: A variation on Gather where all members of a group receive the result (Section 5.7 and Section 5.12.5). This is shown as "allgather" in Figure 5.1.
- MPI\_ALLTOALL, MPI\_IALLTOALL, MPI\_ALLTOALLV, MPI\_IALLTOALLV, MPI\_ALLTOALLW, MPI\_IALLTOALLW: Scatter/Gather data from all members to all members of a group (also called complete exchange) (Section 5.8 and Section 5.12.6). This is shown as "complete exchange" in Figure 5.1.
- MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_IALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE, MPI\_IREDUCE: Global reduction operations such as sum, max, min, or user-defined functions, where the result is returned to all members of a group (Section 5.9.6 and Section 5.12.8) and a variation where the result is returned to only one member (Section 5.9 and Section 5.12.7).
- MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK, MPI\_IREDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER, MPI\_IREDUCE\_SCATTER: A combined reduction and scatter operation (Section 5.10, Section 5.12.9, and Section 5.12.10).

4

• MPI\_SCAN, MPI\_ISCAN, MPI\_EXSCAN, MPI\_IEXSCAN: Scan across all members of a group (also called prefix) (Section 5.11, Section 5.11.2, Section 5.12.11, and Section 5.12.12).

One of the key arguments in a call to a collective routine is a communicator that 5defines the group or groups of participating processes and provides a context for the oper-6 ation. This is discussed further in Section 5.2. The syntax and semantics of the collective 7 operations are defined to be consistent with the syntax and semantics of the point-to-point 8 operations. Thus, general datatypes are allowed and must match between sending and re-9 ceiving processes as specified in Chapter 4. Several collective routines such as broadcast 10 and gather have a single originating or receiving process. Such a process is called the *root*. 11 Some arguments in the collective functions are specified as "significant only at root," and 12are ignored for all participants except the root. The reader is referred to Chapter 4 for 13 information concerning communication buffers, general datatypes and type matching rules, 14and to Chapter 6 for information on how to define groups and create communicators. 15

The type-matching conditions for the collective operations are more strict than the corresponding conditions between sender and receiver in point-to-point. Namely, for collective operations, the amount of data sent must exactly match the amount of data specified by the receiver. Different type maps (the layout in memory, see Section 4.1) between sender and receiver are still allowed.

Collective operations can (but are not required to) complete as soon as the caller's 21participation in the collective communication is finished. A blocking operation is complete 22 as soon as the call returns. A nonblocking (immediate) call requires a separate completion 23call (cf. Section 3.7). The completion of a collective operation indicates that the caller is free  $^{24}$ to modify locations in the communication buffer. It does not indicate that other processes 25in the group have completed or even started the operation (unless otherwise implied by the 26description of the operation). Thus, a collective communication operation may, or may not, 27have the effect of synchronizing all calling processes. This statement excludes, of course, 28the barrier operation. 29

Collective communication calls may use the same communicators as point-to-point communication; MPI guarantees that messages generated on behalf of collective communication calls will not be confused with messages generated by point-to-point communication. The collective operations do not have a message tag argument. A more detailed discussion of correct use of collective routines is found in Section 5.13.

35 36

37

38

39

*Rationale.* The equal-data restriction (on type matching) was made so as to avoid the complexity of providing a facility analogous to the status argument of MPI\_RECV for discovering the amount of data sent. Some of the collective routines would require an array of status values.

The statements about synchronization are made so as to allow a variety of implemen tations of the collective functions.

<sup>42</sup> (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. It is dangerous to rely on synchronization side-effects of the col lective operations for program correctness. For example, even though a particular
 implementation may provide a broadcast routine with a side-effect of synchroniza tion, the standard does not require this, and a program that relies on this will not be
 portable.




Figure 5.1: Collective move functions illustrated for a group of six processes. In each case, each row of boxes represents data locations in one process. Thus, in the broadcast, initially just the first process contains the data  $A_0$ , but after the broadcast all processes contain it.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $44 \\ 45$ 

On the other hand, a correct, portable program must allow for the fact that a collective call *may* be synchronizing. Though one cannot rely on any synchronization side-effect, one must program so as to allow it. These issues are discussed further in Section 5.13. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. While vendors may write optimized collective routines matched to their architectures, a complete library of the collective communication routines can be written entirely using the MPI point-to-point communication functions and a few auxiliary functions. If implementing on top of point-to-point, a hidden, special communicator might be created for the collective operation so as to avoid interference with any on-going point-to-point communication at the time of the collective call. This is discussed further in Section 5.13. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Many of the descriptions of the collective routines provide illustrations in terms of blocking MPI point-to-point routines. These are intended solely to indicate what data is sent or received by what process. Many of these examples are *not* correct MPI programs; for purposes of simplicity, they often assume infinite buffering.

18 19

20

# 5.2 Communicator Argument

The key concept of the collective functions is to have a group or groups of participating processes. The routines do not have group identifiers as explicit arguments. Instead, there is a communicator argument. Groups and communicators are discussed in full detail in Chapter 6. For the purposes of this chapter, it is sufficient to know that there are two types of communicators: *intra-communicators* and *inter-communicators*. An intracommunicator can be thought of as an identifier for a single group of processes linked with a context. An intercommunicator identifies two distinct groups of processes linked with a context.

- 28
- 29 30

# 5.2.1 Specifics for Intracommunicator Collective Operations

All processes in the group identified by the intracommunicator must call the collective routine.

In many cases, collective communication can occur "in place" for intracommunicators, with the output buffer being identical to the input buffer. This is specified by providing a special argument value, MPI\_IN\_PLACE, instead of the send buffer or the receive buffer argument, depending on the operation performed.

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Rationale. The "in place" operations are provided to reduce unnecessary memory motion by both the MPI implementation and by the user. Note that while the simple check of testing whether the send and receive buffers have the same address will work for some cases (e.g., MPI\_ALLREDUCE), they are inadequate in others (e.g., MPI\_GATHER, with root not equal to zero). Further, Fortran explicitly prohibits aliasing of arguments; the approach of using a special value to denote "in place" operation eliminates that difficulty. (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. By allowing the "in place" option, the receive buffer in many of the
 collective calls becomes a send-and-receive buffer. For this reason, a Fortran binding
 that includes INTENT must mark these as INOUT, not OUT.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

Note that MPI\_IN\_PLACE is a special kind of value; it has the same restrictions on its use that MPI\_BOTTOM has. (End of advice to users.)

#### 5.2.2 Applying Collective Operations to Intercommunicators

To understand how collective operations apply to intercommunicators, we can view most MPI intracommunicator collective operations as fitting one of the following categories (see, for instance, [56]:

All-To-All All processes contribute to the result. All processes receive the result.

<ul> <li>MPI_ALLGATHER, MPI_IALLGATHER, MPI_ALLGATHERV, MPI_IALLGATHERV</li> </ul>
• MPI_ALLTOALL, MPI_IALLTOALL, MPI_ALLTOALLV, MPI_IALLTOALLV, MPI_ALLTOALLW, MPI_IALLTOALLW
<ul> <li>MPI_ALLREDUCE, MPI_IALLREDUCE, MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK, MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK, MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER, MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER</li> </ul>

• MPI\_BARRIER, MPI\_IBARRIER

All-To-One All processes contribute to the result. One process receives the result.

MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_IGATHER, MPI\_GATHERV, MPI\_IGATHERV

MPI\_REDUCE, MPI\_IREDUCE

**One-To-All** One process contributes to the result. All processes receive the result.

- MPI\_BCAST, MPI\_IBCAST
- MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_ISCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV, MPI\_ISCATTERV

**Other** Collective operations that do not fit into one of the above categories.

MPI\_SCAN, MPI\_ISCAN, MPI\_EXSCAN, MPI\_IEXSCAN

The data movement patterns of MPI\_SCAN, MPI\_ISCAN, MPI\_EXSCAN, and MPI\_IEXSCAN do not fit this taxonomy.

The application of collective communication to intercommunicators is best described 35in terms of two groups. For example, an all-to-all MPI\_ALLGATHER operation can be 36 described as collecting data from all members of one group with the result appearing in all 37 members of the other group (see Figure 5.2). As another example, a one-to-all MPI\_BCAST operation sends data from one member of one group to all members of the 39 other group. Collective computation operations such as MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER have a similar interpretation (see Figure 5.3). For intracommunicators, these two groups are the same. For intercommunicators, these two groups are distinct. For the all-to-all operations, each such operation is described in two phases, so that it has a symmetric, full-duplex behavior.

The following collective operations also apply to intercommunicators:

- MPI\_BARRIER, MPI\_IBARRIER
- MPI\_BCAST, MPI\_IBCAST

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

19 20

21

2223

 $^{24}$ 

2526

27

28 29

30  $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

38

40

41

42

43

44

4546

47




Figure 5.2: Intercommunicator allgather. The focus of data to one process is represented, not mandated by the semantics. The two phases do allgathers in both directions.

 $^{31}$ 

32

## 5.2.3 Specifics for Intercommunicator Collective Operations

 $^{36}_{37}$  All processes in both groups identified by the intercommunicator must call the collective routine.

Note that the "in place" option for intracommunicators does not apply to intercommunicators since in the intercommunicator case there is no communication from a process to itself.

For intercommunicator collective communication, if the operation is in the All-To-One or One-To-All categories, then the transfer is unidirectional. The direction of the transfer is indicated by a special value of the root argument. In this case, for the group containing the root process, all processes in the group must call the routine using a special argument for the root. For this, the root process uses the special root value MPI\_ROOT; all other processes in the same group as the root use MPI\_PROC\_NULL. All processes in the other group (the group that is the remote group relative to the root process) must call the collective routine




Figure 5.3: Intercommunicator reduce-scatter. The focus of data to one process is represented, not mandated by the semantics. The two phases do reduce-scatters in both directions.

and provide the rank of the root. If the operation is in the All-To-All category, then the transfer is bidirectional.

*Rationale.* Operations in the All-To-One and One-To-All categories are unidirectional by nature, and there is a clear way of specifying direction. Operations in the All-To-All category will often occur as part of an exchange, where it makes sense to communicate in both directions at once. (*End of rationale.*)

# 5.3 Barrier Synchronization

If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI\_BARRIER blocks the caller until all group members have called it. The call returns at any process only after all group members have entered the call.  $44 \\ 45$ 

If comm is an intercommunicator, MPI\_BARRIER involves two groups. The call returns at processes in one group (group A) of the intercommunicator only after all members of the other group (group B) have entered the call (and vice versa). A process may return from the call before all processes in its own group have entered the call.

#### Broadcast 5.4

```
MPI_BCAST(buffer, count, datatype, root, comm)
```

INOUT	buffer	starting address of buffer (choice)
IN	count	number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)
IN	datatype	data type of buffer (handle)
IN	root	rank of broadcast root (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)

```
int MPI_Bcast(void* buffer, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int root,
             MPI_Comm comm)
```

```
MPI_Bcast(buffer, count, datatype, root, comm, ierror)
```

TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) :: buffer INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, root

TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype

TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm

INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

MPI\_BCAST(BUFFER, COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR) 2829<type> BUFFER(\*) 30

INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR

31If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI\_BCAST broadcasts a message from the process 32 with rank root to all processes of the group, itself included. It is called by all members of 33 the group using the same arguments for comm and root. On return, the content of root's 34 buffer is copied to all other processes. 35

General, derived datatypes are allowed for datatype. The type signature of count, 36 datatype on any process must be equal to the type signature of count, datatype at the root. 37 This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount received, pairwise 38 between each process and the root. MPI\_BCAST and all other data-movement collective 39 routines make this restriction. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still 40 allowed. 41

The "in place" option is not meaningful here.

42If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-43 municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the 44other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root 45in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A 46 pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in root. Data is broadcast from the root to all processes 47

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

56

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

in group B. The buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with the buffer argument of the root.

## 5.4.1 Example using MPI\_BCAST

The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

## Example 5.1

Broadcast 100 ints from process 0 to every process in the group.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
int array[100];
int root=0;
...
MPI_Bcast(array, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);
```

As in many of our example code fragments, we assume that some of the variables (such as comm in the above) have been assigned appropriate values.

# 5.5 Gather

MPI\_GATHER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm)

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	25
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-	26
		$\operatorname{ger})$	27
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	28
			29
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at	30
		root)	31
IN	recvcount	number of elements for any single receive (non-negative	32
		integer, significant only at root)	33
IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at	34
		root) (handle)	35
			36
IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)	37
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	38
		· · ·	39

int MPI\_Gather(const void\* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI\_Datatype sendtype, void\* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI\_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI\_Comm comm)

 $^{24}$ 

1	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype				
2	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm				
3	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror				
4					
5	MPI_GATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,				
6	ROOT, COMM, IERROR)				
7	<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</type>				
8	INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR				
9	If comm is an intracommunicator, each process (root process included) sends the con-				
10	tents of its send buffer to the root process. The root process receives the messages and stores				
11	them in rank order. The outcome is as if each of the n processes in the group (including				
12	the root process) had executed a call to				
13	<b>x</b> )				
14	MPI_Send(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, root ,), and the root had executed n calls to				
15					
16	$MPI\_Recv(recvbuf+i\cdotrecvcount\cdotextent(recvtype),recvcount,recvtype,i,),\mathrm{where}extent(recvtype)$				
17	is the type extent obtained from a call to MPI_Type_get_extent.				
18	An alternative description is that the $n$ messages sent by the processes in the group				
19	are concatenated in rank order, and the resulting message is received by the root as if by a				
20	call to MPI_RECV(recvbuf, recvcount $\cdot$ n, recvtype,).				
21	The receive buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.				
22	General, derived datatypes are allowed for both sendtype and recvtype. The type signa-				
23	ture of sendcount, sendtype on each process must be equal to the type signature of recvcount,				
24	recvtype at the root. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount				
25	of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between				
26	sender and receiver are still allowed.				
27	All arguments to the function are significant on process root, while on other processes,				
28	only arguments sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, root, and comm are significant. The arguments				
29	root and comm must have identical values on all processes.				
30	The specification of counts and types should not cause any location on the root to be				
31	written more than once. Such a call is erroneous.				
32	Note that the <b>recvcount</b> argument at the root indicates the number of items it receives				
33	from <i>each</i> process, not the total number of items it receives.				
34	The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI_IN_PLACE as				
35	the value of sendbuf at the root. In such a case, sendcount and sendtype are ignored, and				
36	the contribution of the root to the gathered vector is assumed to be already in the correct				
37	place in the receive buffer.				
38	If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-				
39	municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the				
40	other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root				
41	in group A. The root passes the value MPI_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A				
42	pass the value MPI_PROC_NULL in root. Data is gathered from all processes in group B to				
43	the root. The send buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with				
44	the receive buffer argument of the root.				
45					
46					
477					

MPI_GATH	IERV(sendbuf, sendcount, sen comm)	dtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, root,	1 $2$
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	3 4
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	4 5 6
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	7
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)	8 9 10
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) con- taining the number of elements that are received from each process (significant only at root)	11 12 13
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement relative to <b>recvbuf</b> at which to place the incoming data from process i (significant only at root)	14 15 16 17
IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)	18 19 20
IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)	21
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	22
<pre>int MPI_Gatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int displs[],</pre>			23 24 25 26 27
<pre>MPI_Gatherv(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs,</pre>			27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35
<type INTEG</type 	<pre>MPI_GATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS,</pre>		
MPI_GATHERV extends the functionality of MPI_GATHER by allowing a varying count of data from each process, since recvcounts is now an array. It also allows more flexibility as to where the data is placed on the root, by providing the new argument, displs. If comm is an intracommunicator, the outcome is <i>as if</i> each process, including the root process, sends a message to the root,			41 42 43 44 45 46

 $\mathsf{MPI}\_\mathsf{Send}(\mathsf{sendbuf}, \, \mathsf{sendcount}, \, \mathsf{sendtype}, \, \mathsf{root}, \, ...), \,$  and the root executes n receives,

46 47

 $^{48}$ 

<sup>1</sup> MPI\_Recv(recvbuf+displs[j]· extent(recvtype), recvcounts[j], recvtype, i, ...).

The data received from process j is placed into recvbuf of the root process beginning at offset displs[j] elements (in terms of the recvtype).

The receive buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

The type signature implied by sendcount, sendtype on process i must be equal to the type signature implied by recvcounts[i], recvtype at the root. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed, as illustrated in Example 5.6.

All arguments to the function are significant on process root, while on other processes,
 only arguments sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, root, and comm are significant. The arguments
 root and comm must have identical values on all processes.

The specification of counts, types, and displacements should not cause any location on
 the root to be written more than once. Such a call is erroneous.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE as the value of sendbuf at the root. In such a case, sendcount and sendtype are ignored, and the contribution of the root to the gathered vector is assumed to be already in the correct place in the receive buffer.

<sup>19</sup> If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-<sup>20</sup> municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the <sup>21</sup> other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root <sup>22</sup> in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A <sup>23</sup> pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in root. Data is gathered from all processes in group B to <sup>24</sup> the root. The send buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with <sup>25</sup> the receive buffer argument of the root.

26 27

28

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

# 5.5.1 Examples using MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_GATHERV

<sup>29</sup> The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

#### Example 5.2

Gather 100 ints from every process in group to root. See Figure 5.4.

MPI\_Comm comm; int gsize,sendarray[100]; int root, \*rbuf;

...
MPI\_Comm\_size(comm, &gsize);
rbuf = (int \*)malloc(gsize\*100\*sizeof(int));
MPI\_Gather(sendarray, 100, MPI\_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI\_INT, root, comm);

40 41 42

48

# Example 5.3

Previous example modified — only the root allocates memory for the receive buffer.
 45
 46
 47




Figure 5.4: The root process gathers 100 ints from each process in the group.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize,sendarray[100];
int root, myrank, *rbuf;
...
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
if (myrank == root) {
    MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
    rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
}
MPI_Gather(sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, root, comm);
```

# Example 5.4

Do the same as the previous example, but use a derived datatype. Note that the type cannot be the entire set of gsize\*100 ints since type matching is defined pairwise between the root and each process in the gather.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize,sendarray[100];
int root, *rbuf;
MPI_Datatype rtype;
...
MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
MPI_Type_contiguous(100, MPI_INT, &rtype);
MPI_Type_contiguous(100, MPI_INT, &rtype);
mPI_Type_commit(&rtype);
rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
MPI_Gather(sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 1, rtype, root, comm);
```

# Example 5.5

Now have each process send 100 ints to root, but place each set (of 100) stride ints apart at receiving end. Use MPI\_GATHERV and the displs argument to achieve this effect. Assume  $stride \geq 100$ . See Figure 5.5.

 $^{24}$ 

```
100
                                  100
                                           100
1
2
                                                                 all processes
3
4
                             100
                                    100
                                           100
5
                                                                  at root
6
7
                                    stride
                           rbuf
8
9
     Figure 5.5: The root process gathers 100 ints from each process in the group, each set is
10
     placed stride ints apart.
11
12
          MPI_Comm comm;
13
          int gsize,sendarray[100];
14
          int root, *rbuf, stride;
15
          int *displs,i,*rcounts;
16
17
          . . .
18
19
          MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
20
          rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
21
          displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
22
          rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
23
          for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {</pre>
24
               displs[i] = i*stride;
25
               rcounts[i] = 100;
26
          }
27
          MPI_Gatherv(sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
28
                                                                               root, comm);
29
30
          Note that the program is erroneous if stride < 100.
^{31}
32
     Example 5.6
33
          Same as Example 5.5 on the receiving side, but send the 100 ints from the 0th column
34
     of a 100 \times 150 int array, in C. See Figure 5.6.
35
36
          MPI_Comm comm;
37
          int gsize, sendarray[100][150];
38
          int root, *rbuf, stride;
39
          MPI_Datatype stype;
40
          int *displs,i,*rcounts;
41
42
          . . .
43
44
          MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
45
          rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
46
          displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
47
          rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
48
          for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {</pre>
```




Figure 5.6: The root process gathers column 0 of a  $100 \times 150$  C array, and each set is placed stride ints apart.

```
displs[i] = i*stride;
rcounts[i] = 100;
}
/* Create datatype for 1 column of array
*/
MPI_Type_vector(100, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
MPI_Type_commit(&stype);
MPI_Gatherv(sendarray, 1, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
root, comm);
```

# Example 5.7

Process i sends (100-i) ints from the i-th column of a  $100 \times 150$  int array, in C. It is received into a buffer with stride, as in the previous two examples. See Figure 5.7.

```
28
MPI_Comm comm;
                                                                                  29
int gsize, sendarray[100][150], *sptr;
int root, *rbuf, stride, myrank;
                                                                                  30
                                                                                  ^{31}
MPI_Datatype stype;
                                                                                  32
int *displs,i,*rcounts;
                                                                                  33
                                                                                  34
. . .
                                                                                  35
                                                                                  36
MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
                                                                                  37
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
                                                                                  38
                                                                                  39
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
                                                                                  40
                                                                                  41
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {</pre>
                                                                                  42
    displs[i] = i*stride;
    rcounts[i] = 100-i;
                              /* note change from previous example */
                                                                                  43
                                                                                  44
}
/* Create datatype for the column we are sending
                                                                                  45
                                                                                  46
 */
                                                                                  47
MPI_Type_vector(100-myrank, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
                                                                                  48
MPI_Type_commit(&stype);
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3 4 5

6

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19


20

21

22 23 24

25

26



2 3

4

5

6 7

root, comm);

```
Example 5.9
```

Same as Example 5.7 at sending side, but at receiving side we make the stride between received blocks vary from block to block. See Figure 5.8.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
                                                                                  8
int gsize,sendarray[100][150],*sptr;
                                                                                  9
int root, *rbuf, *stride, myrank, bufsize;
                                                                                  10
MPI_Datatype stype;
                                                                                  11
int *displs,i,*rcounts,offset;
                                                                                 12
                                                                                  13
. . .
                                                                                 14
                                                                                  15
MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
                                                                                  16
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
                                                                                  17
                                                                                 18
stride = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
                                                                                 19
. . .
                                                                                 20
/* stride[i] for i = 0 to gsize-1 is set somehow
                                                                                 21
 */
                                                                                 22
                                                                                 23
/* set up displs and rcounts vectors first
                                                                                 ^{24}
 */
                                                                                 25
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
                                                                                  26
rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
                                                                                 27
offset = 0;
                                                                                 28
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {</pre>
                                                                                 29
    displs[i] = offset;
                                                                                 30
    offset += stride[i];
                                                                                 31
    rcounts[i] = 100-i;
                                                                                 32
}
                                                                                 33
/* the required buffer size for rbuf is now easily obtained
                                                                                 34
 */
                                                                                 35
bufsize = displs[gsize-1]+rcounts[gsize-1];
                                                                                 36
rbuf = (int *)malloc(bufsize*sizeof(int));
                                                                                 37
/* Create datatype for the column we are sending
                                                                                 38
 */
                                                                                 39
MPI_Type_vector(100-myrank, 1, 150, MPI_INT, &stype);
                                                                                  40
MPI_Type_commit(&stype);
                                                                                 41
sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
                                                                                 42
MPI_Gatherv(sptr, 1, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
                                                                                 43
                                                        root, comm);
                                                                                 44
```

Example 5.10

47 48

 $45 \\ 46$ 




Figure 5.8: The root process gathers 100-i ints from column i of a 100×150 C array, and each set is placed stride[i] ints apart (a varying stride).

18

1

2

7

8

9 10

11

<sup>14</sup> Process i sends num ints from the i-th column of a  $100 \times 150$  int array, in C. The <sup>15</sup> complicating factor is that the various values of num are not known to root, so a separate <sup>16</sup> gather must first be run to find these out. The data is placed contiguously at the receiving <sup>17</sup> end.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
19
         int gsize,sendarray[100][150],*sptr;
20
         int root, *rbuf, myrank;
21
         MPI_Datatype stype;
22
         int *displs,i,*rcounts,num;
23
^{24}
25
         . . .
26
         MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
27
         MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
28
29
         /* First, gather nums to root
30
          */
31
         rcounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
32
         MPI_Gather(&num, 1, MPI_INT, rcounts, 1, MPI_INT, root, comm);
33
         /* root now has correct roounts, using these we set displs[] so
34
          * that data is placed contiguously (or concatenated) at receive end
35
          */
36
         displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
37
         displs[0] = 0;
38
         for (i=1; i<gsize; ++i) {</pre>
39
             displs[i] = displs[i-1]+rcounts[i-1];
40
         }
41
         /* And, create receive buffer
42
          */
43
         rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*(displs[gsize-1]+rcounts[gsize-1])
44
                                                                       *sizeof(int));
45
         /* Create datatype for one int, with extent of entire row
46
          */
47
         MPI_Type_create_resized( MPI_INT, 0, 150*sizeof(int), &stype);
48
```

```
1
    MPI_Type_commit(&stype);
                                                                                            \mathbf{2}
    sptr = &sendarray[0][myrank];
                                                                                            3
    MPI_Gatherv(sptr, num, stype, rbuf, rcounts, displs, MPI_INT,
                                                                         root, comm);
                                                                                            4
                                                                                            5
                                                                                            6
5.6
      Scatter
                                                                                            7
                                                                                            8
                                                                                            9
MPI_SCATTER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm)
                                                                                            10
                                                                                            11
            sendbuf
                                        address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
  IN
                                                                                            12
            sendcount
  IN
                                        number of elements sent to each process (non-negative
                                                                                            13
                                        integer, significant only at root)
                                                                                            14
                                                                                            15
  IN
            sendtype
                                        data type of send buffer elements (significant only at
                                                                                            16
                                        root) (handle)
                                                                                            17
  OUT
            recvbuf
                                        address of receive buffer (choice)
                                                                                            18
  IN
                                        number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-
            recvcount
                                                                                            19
                                        teger)
                                                                                            20
                                                                                            21
  IN
                                        data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
            recvtype
                                                                                            22
  IN
                                        rank of sending process (integer)
            root
                                                                                            23
  IN
                                        communicator (handle)
            comm
                                                                                            ^{24}
                                                                                            25
int MPI_Scatter(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
                                                                                            26
               void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,
                                                                                            27
               MPI_Comm comm)
                                                                                            28
                                                                                            29
MPI_Scatter(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
                                                                                            30
               root, comm, ierror)
                                                                                            31
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                  sendbuf
                                                                                            32
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
                                                                                            33
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount, root
                                                                                            34
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
                                                                                            35
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
                                                                                            36
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                            37
MPI_SCATTER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
                                                                                            38
               ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
                                                                                            39
    <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
                                                                                            40
                                                                                            41
    INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, IERROR
                                                                                            42
    MPI_SCATTER is the inverse operation to MPI_GATHER.
                                                                                            43
    If comm is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if the root executed n send oper-
                                                                                            44
ations,
                                                                                            45
                                                                                            46
 MPI_Send(sendbuf+i· sendcount· extent(sendtype), sendcount, sendtype, i,...), and each
                                                                                            47
process executed a receive,
                                                                                            48
```

1MPI\_Recv(recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, i,...).

 $\mathbf{2}$ An alternative description is that the root sends a message with MPI\_Send(sendbuf, 3 sendcount n, sendtype, ...). This message is split into n equal segments, the *i*-th segment is 4 sent to the *i*-th process in the group, and each process receives this message as above.

 $\mathbf{5}$ 6

7

8

9

The send buffer is ignored for all non-root processes. The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype at the root must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at all processes (however, the type maps may be different). This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the

amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps 10 between sender and receiver are still allowed.

11All arguments to the function are significant on process root, while on other processes, 12only arguments recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, and comm are significant. The arguments 13root and comm must have identical values on all processes.

14The specification of counts and types should not cause any location on the root to be 15read more than once.

Rationale. Though not needed, the last restriction is imposed so as to achieve symmetry with MPI\_GATHER, where the corresponding restriction (a multiple-write restriction) is necessary. (End of rationale.)

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE as 21the value of recvbuf at the root. In such a case, recvcount and recvtype are ignored, and 22 root "sends" no data to itself. The scattered vector is still assumed to contain n segments, 23where n is the group size; the *root*-th segment, which root should "send to itself," is not  $^{24}$ moved. 25

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-26municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the 27other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root 28in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A 29pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in root. Data is scattered from the root to all processes in 30 group B. The receive buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with  $^{31}$ the send buffer argument of the root. 32

- 33
- 34
- 35 36
- 37
- 38
- 39 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 4445
- 46
- 47
- 48

16

17

18

 ${\rm argument}, \, {\sf displs}.$ 

IN	sendbuf	address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements to send to each rank
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data to process i
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcount	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-teger)
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	root	rank of sending process (integer)
IN	comm	communicator (handle)
		<pre>MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)</pre>
	int recvcount,	MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm)
PI_Scat	int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,</pre>
	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root,</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, comm, ierror)</pre>
TYPE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, s(*), DIMENSION(),</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf</pre>
TYPE TYPE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION()</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,     comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE TYPE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I)</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,     comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE TYPE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,     comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE TYPE INTE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN)</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,     comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN)</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT,</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE TYPE INTE PI_SCAT	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO)</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR)</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE PI_SCAT <typ INTE</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO RECVTYPE, ROOT, e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVB GER SENDCOUNTS(*), D</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR)</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE PI_SCAT <typ INTE</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO RECVTYPE, ROOT, e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVB</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR) UF(*)</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE PI_SCAT <typ INTE COMM</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, 3(*), DIMENSION(), 5(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), DIMENSION() 3(*), INTENT(IN) 3(*), INTENT</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR) UF(*) ISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, se operation to MPI_GATHERV.</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE IPI_SCAT <typ INTE COMM MPI_ MPI_</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO RECVTYPE, ROOT, re&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVB GER SENDCOUNTS(*), D (, IERROR SCATTERV is the invers SCATTERV extends the</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR) UF(*) ISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, se operation to MPI_GATHERV. e functionality of MPI_SCATTER by allowing a varying</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE OPI_SCAT <typ INTE COMM MPI_ MPI_ ount of</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO RECVTYPE, ROOT, e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVB GER SENDCOUNTS(*), D I, IERROR SCATTERV is the inverse SCATTERV extends the data to be sent to each</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR) UF(*) ISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, se operation to MPI_GATHERV. e functionality of MPI_SCATTER by allowing a varying process, since sendcounts is now an array. It also allows</pre>
TYPE TYPE INTE TYPE INTE PI_SCAT <typ INTE COMM MPI_ Dunt of</typ 	<pre>int recvcount, terv(sendbuf, sendco recvtype, root, (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Datatype), INTE (MPI_Comm), INTENT(I GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN TERV(SENDBUF, SENDCO RECVTYPE, ROOT, e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVB GER SENDCOUNTS(*), D I, IERROR SCATTERV is the inverse SCATTERV extends the data to be sent to each</pre>	<pre>MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm) unts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, , comm, ierror) INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf :: recvbuf sendcounts(*), displs(*), recvcount, root NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: ierror UNTS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, , COMM, IERROR) UF(*) ISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, se operation to MPI_GATHERV. e functionality of MPI_SCATTER by allowing a varying</pre>

If  $\mathsf{comm}$  is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if the root executed  $\mathsf{n}$  send operations,

 $MPI_Send(sendbuf+displs[i] \cdot extent(sendtype), sendcounts[i], sendtype, i,...), and each process executed a receive,$ 

47 48

46

42

43

 $44 \\ 45$ 

<sup>1</sup> MPI\_Recv(recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, i,...).

The send buffer is ignored for all non-root processes.

The type signature implied by sendcount[i], sendtype at the root must be equal to the type signature implied by recvcount, recvtype at process i (however, the type maps may be different). This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between each process and the root. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

All arguments to the function are significant on process root, while on other processes,
 only arguments recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, and comm are significant. The arguments
 root and comm must have identical values on all processes.

<sup>11</sup> The specification of counts, types, and displacements should not cause any location on <sup>12</sup> the root to be read more than once.

<sup>13</sup> The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE as <sup>14</sup> the value of recvbuf at the root. In such a case, recvcount and recvtype are ignored, and <sup>15</sup> root "sends" no data to itself. The scattered vector is still assumed to contain n segments, <sup>16</sup> where n is the group size; the *root*-th segment, which root should "send to itself," is not <sup>17</sup> moved.

<sup>18</sup> If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercom-<sup>19</sup> municator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the <sup>20</sup> other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root <sup>21</sup> in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A <sup>22</sup> pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in root. Data is scattered from the root to all processes in <sup>23</sup> group B. The receive buffer arguments of the processes in group B must be consistent with <sup>24</sup> the send buffer argument of the root.

25 26

27

 $^{31}$ 

32

# 5.6.1 Examples using MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCATTERV

<sup>28</sup> The examples in this section use intracommunicators.

# <sup>29</sup> Example 5.11

The reverse of Example 5.2. Scatter sets of 100 ints from the root to each process in the group. See Figure 5.9.

33 MPI\_Comm comm; 34 int gsize,\*sendbuf; 35 int root, rbuf[100]; 36 . . . 37 MPI\_Comm\_size(comm, &gsize); 38 sendbuf = (int \*)malloc(gsize\*100\*sizeof(int)); 39 . . . 40 MPI\_Scatter(sendbuf, 100, MPI\_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI\_INT, root, comm); 41 42

### <sup>43</sup> Example 5.12

<sup>44</sup> The reverse of Example 5.5. The root process scatters sets of 100 ints to the other <sup>45</sup> processes, but the sets of 100 are *stride ints* apart in the sending buffer. Requires use of <sup>46</sup> MPI\_SCATTERV. Assume *stride*  $\geq$  100. See Figure 5.10.

47 48

 $\mathbf{2}$ 




Figure 5.10: The root process scatters sets of 100 ints, moving by stride ints from send to send in the scatter.

```
MPI_Comm comm;
int gsize,*sendbuf;
int root, rbuf[100], i, *displs, *scounts;
...
MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
sendbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*stride*sizeof(int));
...
displs = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
scounts = (int *)malloc(gsize*sizeof(int));
for (i=0; i<gsize; ++i) {
    displs[i] = i*stride;
    scounts[i] = 100;
}
MPI_Scatterv(sendbuf, scounts, displs, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT,
```

#### root, comm);

20

21 22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26 27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 


47

48

#### Example 5.13

The reverse of Example 5.9. We have a varying stride between blocks at sending (root) side, at the receiving side we receive into the i-th column of a  $100 \times 150$  C array. See Figure 5.11.

MPI\_Comm comm; int gsize,recvarray[100][150],\*rptr;



#### 5.7 Gather-to-all

1  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_ALLGATHER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm) 5 IN sendbuf starting address of send buffer (choice) 6 7 sendcount IN number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-8 ger) 9 IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) 10 OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice) 11 12number of elements received from any process (non-IN recvcount 13 negative integer) 14IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) 15IN comm communicator (handle) 161718 int MPI\_Allgather(const void\* sendbuf, int sendcount, 19 MPI\_Datatype sendtype, void\* recvbuf, int recvcount, 20MPI\_Datatype recvtype, MPI\_Comm comm) 21MPI\_Allgather(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, 22 comm, ierror) 23TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf 24TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf 25INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount 26TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype 27TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 28 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 29 MPI\_ALLGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, 30 31COMM, IERROR) 32 <type> SENDBUF(\*), RECVBUF(\*) 33 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR 34 MPI\_ALLGATHER can be thought of as MPI\_GATHER, but where all processes receive 35the result, instead of just the root. The block of data sent from the j-th process is received 36 by every process and placed in the j-th block of the buffer recvbuf. 37 The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype, at a process must be equal to 38 the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at any other process. 39 If comm is an intracommunicator, the outcome of a call to MPI\_ALLGATHER(...) is as 40 if all processes executed n calls to 41 42MPI\_Gather(sendbuf,sendcount,sendtype,recvbuf,recvcount, 43 recvtype,root,comm) 44for root = 0 , ..., n-1. The rules for correct usage of MPI\_ALLGATHER are easily found 45

from the corresponding rules for MPI\_GATHER. 46The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value 4748

MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at all processes. sendcount and sendtype are ignored.

Then the input data of each process is assumed to be in the area where that process would
 receive its own contribution to the receive buffer.

<sup>3</sup> If comm is an intercommunicator, then each process of one group (group A) contributes <sup>4</sup> sendcount data items; these data are concatenated and the result is stored at each process <sup>5</sup> in the other group (group B). Conversely the concatenation of the contributions of the <sup>6</sup> processes in group B is stored at each process in group A. The send buffer arguments in <sup>7</sup> group A must be consistent with the receive buffer arguments in group B, and vice versa.

Advice to users. The communication pattern of MPI\_ALLGATHER executed on an intercommunication domain need not be symmetric. The number of items sent by processes in group A (as specified by the arguments sendcount, sendtype in group A and the arguments recvcount, recvtype in group B), need not equal the number of items sent by processes in group B (as specified by the arguments sendcount, sendtype in group B and the arguments recvcount, recvtype in group A). In particular, one can move data in only one direction by specifying sendcount = 0 for the communication in the reverse direction. (*End of advice to users.*)

17 18 19

20 21

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MPI\_ALLGATHERV(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, comm)

```
IN
                  sendbuf
                                               starting address of send buffer (choice)
22
23
       IN
                  sendcount
                                               number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-
^{24}
                                               ger)
25
       IN
                  sendtype
                                               data type of send buffer elements (handle)
26
       OUT
                  recvbuf
                                               address of receive buffer (choice)
27
28
       IN
                                               non-negative integer array (of length group size) con-
                  recvcounts
29
                                               taining the number of elements that are received from
30
                                               each process
^{31}
       IN
                  displs
                                               integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies
32
                                               the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place
33
                                               the incoming data from process i
34
       IN
                                               data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
                  recvtype
35
36
       IN
                  comm
                                               communicator (handle)
37
38
      int MPI_Allgatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount,
39
                     MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[],
40
                     const int displs[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm)
41
     MPI_Allgatherv(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs,
42
                     recvtype, comm, ierror)
43
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                         sendbuf
44
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
45
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcounts(*), displs(*)
46
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
47
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
48
```

```
1
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                            ierror
                                                                                            \mathbf{2}
MPI_ALLGATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS,
                                                                                            3
               RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR)
                                                                                            4
    <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
                                                                                            5
    INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, COMM,
                                                                                            6
    IERROR
                                                                                            7
                                                                                            8
    MPI_ALLGATHERV can be thought of as MPI_GATHERV, but where all processes re-
                                                                                            9
ceive the result, instead of just the root. The block of data sent from the j-th process is
                                                                                            10
received by every process and placed in the j-th block of the buffer recvbuf. These blocks
                                                                                            11
need not all be the same size.
    The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype, at process j must be equal to
                                                                                            12
                                                                                            13
the type signature associated with recvcounts[j], recvtype at any other process.
                                                                                            14
    If comm is an intracommunicator, the outcome is as if all processes executed calls to
                                                                                            15
    MPI_Gatherv(sendbuf,sendcount,sendtype,recvbuf,recvcounts,displs,
                                                                                            16
                                                           recvtype,root,comm),
                                                                                            17
                                                                                            18
for root = 0, ..., n-1. The rules for correct usage of MPI_ALLGATHERV are easily
                                                                                            19
found from the corresponding rules for MPI_GATHERV.
                                                                                            20
    The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value
                                                                                            21
MPI_IN_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at all processes. In such a case, sendcount and
                                                                                            22
sendtype are ignored, and the input data of each process is assumed to be in the area where
                                                                                            23
that process would receive its own contribution to the receive buffer.
                                                                                            ^{24}
    If comm is an intercommunicator, then each process of one group (group A) contributes
                                                                                            25
sendcount data items; these data are concatenated and the result is stored at each process
                                                                                            26
in the other group (group B). Conversely the concatenation of the contributions of the
                                                                                            27
processes in group B is stored at each process in group A. The send buffer arguments in
                                                                                            28
group A must be consistent with the receive buffer arguments in group B, and vice versa.
                                                                                            29
                                                                                            30
       Example using MPI_ALLGATHER
5.7.1
                                                                                            31
                                                                                            32
The example in this section uses intracommunicators.
                                                                                            33
Example 5.14
                                                                                            34
    The all-gather version of Example 5.2. Using MPI_ALLGATHER, we will gather 100
                                                                                            35
ints from every process in the group to every process.
                                                                                            36
                                                                                            37
    MPI_Comm comm;
                                                                                            38
    int gsize, sendarray[100];
                                                                                            39
    int *rbuf;
                                                                                            40
     . . .
                                                                                            41
    MPI_Comm_size(comm, &gsize);
                                                                                            42
    rbuf = (int *)malloc(gsize*100*sizeof(int));
                                                                                            43
    MPI_Allgather(sendarray, 100, MPI_INT, rbuf, 100, MPI_INT, comm);
                                                                                            44
                                                                                            45
    After the call, every process has the group-wide concatenation of the sets of data.
                                                                                            46
                                                                                            47
                                                                                            48
```

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION

# 5.8 All-to-All Scatter/Gather

MPI\_ALLTOALL(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm)

6	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
7 8	IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each process (non-negative integer)
9 10	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
11	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
12 13	IN	recvcount	number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
14 15	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
16	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
17 18 19 20 21 22		void* recvbuf, int re MPI_Comm comm)	ouf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, ecvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	TYPE(* TYPE(* INTEGE TYPE(M TYPE(M	<pre>comm, ierror) ), DIMENSION(), INTENT</pre>	C(IN) :: sendbuf ecvbuf punt, recvcount :: sendtype, recvtype comm
30 31 32 33 34	<type> INTEGE</type>	COMM, IERROR) SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) R SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, R	ENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	sends distin by process j The typ the type sig that the am- every pair o If comm	ct data to each of the receive and is placed in the i-th blo- pe signature associated with gnature associated with recvo- ount of data sent must be equ- f processes. As usual, howeve	sendcount, sendtype, at a process must be equal to count, recvtype at any other process. This implies al to the amount of data received, pairwise between er, the type maps may be different. ne outcome is as if each process executed a send to
44 45 46	•	sendbuf+i $\cdot$ sendcount $\cdot$ extent( other process with a call to,	(sendtype),sendcount,sendtype,i,), and a receive
47 48	MPI_Recv(	recvbuf+i∙ recvcount∙ extent(r	ecvtype),recvcount,recvtype,i,).

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument **comm** must have identical values on all processes.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at *all* processes. In such a case, sendcount and sendtype are ignored. The data to be sent is taken from the recvbuf and replaced by the received data. Data sent and received must have the same type map as specified by recvcount and recvtype.

*Rationale.* For large MPI\_ALLTOALL instances, allocating both send and receive buffers may consume too much memory. The "in place" option effectively halves the application memory consumption and is useful in situations where the data to be sent will not be used by the sending process after the MPI\_ALLTOALL exchange (e.g., in parallel Fast Fourier Transforms). (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. Users may opt to use the "in place" option in order to conserve memory. Quality MPI implementations should thus strive to minimize system buffering. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The j-th send buffer of process i in group A should be consistent with the i-th receive buffer of process j in group B, and vice versa.

Advice to users. When a complete exchange is executed on an intercommunication domain, then the number of data items sent from processes in group A to processes in group B need not equal the number of items sent in the reverse direction. In particular, one can have unidirectional communication by specifying sendcount = 0 in the reverse direction. (*End of advice to users.*)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

12	MPI_ALLT(	DALLV(sendbuf, sendcounts, se recvtype, comm)	displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls,
3 4	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
4 5 6	IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements to send to each rank
7 8 9	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry $j$ specifies the displacement (relative to ${\sf sendbuf})$ from which to take the outgoing data destined for process $j$
10 11	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
12	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
13 14 15	IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank
16 17 18 19	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i
20	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
21	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	TYPE(› TYPE(›	<pre>int recvcounts[], co MPI_Comm comm) allv(sendbuf, sendcounts rdispls, recvtype, c *), DIMENSION(), INTENT *), DIMENSION() :: re</pre>	
33 34 35 36	rdisp TYPE(1 TYPE(1		) :: sendtype, recvtype comm
37 38 39 40 41 42	<type INTEG</type 	RDISPLS, RECVTYPE, C > SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)	, SDISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, OMM, IERROR) S(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), RDISPLS(*),
43 44 45 46 47 48	the send is side is spec If com	specified by sdispls and the la ified by $rdispls$ . n is an intracommunicator, the	b MPI_ALLTOALL in that the location of data for boation of the placement of the data on the receive then the j-th block sent from process i is received by of recvbuf. These blocks need not all have the same

The type signature associated with sendcounts[j], sendtype at process i must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcounts[i], recvtype at process j. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The outcome is as if each process sent a message to every other process with,

MPI\_Send(sendbuf+sdispls[i] extent(sendtype),sendcounts[i],sendtype,i,...), and received a message from every other process with a call to

MPI\_Recv(recvbuf+rdispls[i] extent(recvtype), recvcounts[i], recvtype, i,...).

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument **comm** must have identical values on all processes.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at *all* processes. In such a case, sendcounts, sdispls and sendtype are ignored. The data to be sent is taken from the recvbuf and replaced by the received data. Data sent and received must have the same type map as specified by the recvcounts array and the recvtype, and is taken from the locations of the receive buffer specified by rdispls.

Advice to users. Specifying the "in place" option (which must be given on all processes) implies that the same amount and type of data is sent and received between any two processes in the group of the communicator. Different pairs of processes can exchange different amounts of data. Users must ensure that recvcounts[j] and recvtype on process i match recvcounts[i] and recvtype on process j. This symmetric exchange can be useful in applications where the data to be sent will not be used by the sending process after the MPI\_ALLTOALLV exchange. (*End of advice to users.*)

If **comm** is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The j-th send buffer of process i in group A should be consistent with the i-th receive buffer of process j in group B, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The definitions of MPI\_ALLTOALL and MPI\_ALLTOALLV give as much flexibility as one would achieve by specifying n independent, point-to-point communications, with two exceptions: all messages use the same datatype, and messages are scattered from (or gathered to) sequential storage. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. Although the discussion of collective communication in terms of point-to-point operation implies that each message is transferred directly from sender to receiver, implementations may use a tree communication pattern. Messages can be forwarded by intermediate nodes where they are split (for scatter) or concatenated (for gather), if this is more efficient. (End of advice to implementors.)

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

1 2	MPI_ALL	TOALLW(sendbuf, sendco recvtypes, comm)	unts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls,
3	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
4 5 6	IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements to send to each rank
7 8 9 10	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process j (array of integers)
11 12 13	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry j spec- ifies the type of data to send to process j (array of handles)
14 15	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
16 17 18	IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank
19 20 21 22	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i (array of integers)
23 24 25 26	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry i spec- ifies the type of data received from process i (array of handles)
27	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
28 29 30 31 32 33	int MPI_	<pre>int sdispls[], o const int recvco</pre>	<pre>sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const const MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], void* recvbuf, punts[], const int rdispls[], const cvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm)</pre>
33 34 35		rdispls, recvty	ounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, pes, comm, ierror)
36 37	TYPE	(*), DIMENSION() :	<pre>INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf     : recvbuf sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*),</pre>
38 39		pls(*)	
40		• -	<pre>NT(IN) :: sendtypes(*)</pre>
41		• 1	<pre>NT(IN) :: recvtypes(*)</pre>
42		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	
43			
44 45	MPI_ALLT		DUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPES, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, PES, COMM, IERROR)
46	<tvp< td=""><td>e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBU</td><td></td></tvp<>	e> SENDBUF(*), RECVBU	
47 48	INTE		<pre>DISPLS(*), SENDTYPES(*), RECVCOUNTS(*),</pre>

#### 5.9. GLOBAL REDUCTION OPERATIONS

MPI\_ALLTOALLW is the most general form of complete exchange. Like MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_STRUCT, the most general type constructor, MPI\_ALLTOALLW allows separate specification of count, displacement and datatype. In addition, to allow maximum flexibility, the displacement of blocks within the send and receive buffers is specified in bytes.

If comm is an intracommunicator, then the j-th block sent from process i is received by process j and is placed in the i-th block of recvbuf. These blocks need not all have the same size.

The type signature associated with sendcounts[j], sendtypes[j] at process i must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcounts[i], recvtypes[i] at process j. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The outcome is as if each process sent a message to every other process with

MPI\_Send(sendbuf+sdispls[i],sendcounts[i],sendtypes[i],i,...), and received a message from every other process with a call to

MPI\_Recv(recvbuf+rdispls[i],recvcounts[i],recvtypes[i],i,...).

All arguments on all processes are significant. The argument **comm** must describe the same communicator on all processes.

Like for MPI\_ALLTOALLV, the "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at *all* processes. In such a case, sendcounts, sdispls and sendtypes are ignored. The data to be sent is taken from the recvbuf and replaced by the received data. Data sent and received must have the same type map as specified by the recvounts and recvtypes arrays, and is taken from the locations of the receive buffer specified by rdispls.

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the outcome is as if each process in group A sends a message to each process in group B, and vice versa. The j-th send buffer of process i in group A should be consistent with the i-th receive buffer of process j in group B, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The MPI\_ALLTOALLW function generalizes several MPI functions by carefully selecting the input arguments. For example, by making all but one process have sendcounts[i] = 0, this achieves an MPI\_SCATTERW function. (*End of rationale.*)

# 5.9 Global Reduction Operations

The functions in this section perform a global reduce operation (for example sum, maximum, and logical and) across all members of a group. The reduction operation can be either one of a predefined list of operations, or a user-defined operation. The global reduction functions come in several flavors: a reduce that returns the result of the reduction to one member of a group, an all-reduce that returns this result to all members of a group, and two scan (parallel prefix) operations. In addition, a reduce-scatter operation combines the functionality of a reduce and of a scatter operation.

 $^{24}$ 

```
174
                                           CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1
     5.9.1
             Reduce
\mathbf{2}
3
4
      MPI_REDUCE(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm)
5
       IN
                  sendbuf
                                              address of send buffer (choice)
6
       OUT
\overline{7}
                  recvbuf
                                              address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at
8
                                               root)
9
       IN
                  count
                                              number of elements in send buffer (non-negative inte-
10
                                               ger)
11
       IN
                  datatype
                                              data type of elements of send buffer (handle)
12
       IN
                                              reduce operation (handle)
13
                  ор
14
       IN
                                              rank of root process (integer)
                  root
15
       IN
                                               communicator (handle)
                  comm
16
17
      int MPI_Reduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
18
                     MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, int root, MPI_Comm comm)
19
20
     MPI_Reduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm, ierror)
21
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf
22
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
23
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, root
^{24}
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
25
          TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
26
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
27
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
28
     MPI_REDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, IERROR)
29
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
30
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, IERROR
^{31}
32
          If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI_REDUCE combines the elements provided in the
33
     input buffer of each process in the group, using the operation op, and returns the combined
34
      value in the output buffer of the process with rank root. The input buffer is defined by
35
      the arguments sendbuf, count and datatype; the output buffer is defined by the arguments
36
      recvbuf, count and datatype; both have the same number of elements, with the same type.
37
      The routine is called by all group members using the same arguments for count, datatype, op,
38
      root and comm. Thus, all processes provide input buffers of the same length, with elements
39
      of the same type as the output buffer at the root. Each process can provide one element, or a
40
      sequence of elements, in which case the combine operation is executed element-wise on each
41
      entry of the sequence. For example, if the operation is MPI_MAX and the send buffer contains
42
      two elements that are floating point numbers (count = 2 and datatype = MPI_FLOAT), then
43
      recvbuf(1) = global \max(sendbuf(1)) and recvbuf(2) = global \max(sendbuf(2)).
44
          Section 5.9.2, lists the set of predefined operations provided by MPI. That section also
45
      enumerates the datatypes to which each operation can be applied.
46
          In addition, users may define their own operations that can be overloaded to operate
47
```

on several datatypes, either basic or derived. This is further explained in Section 5.9.5.

The operation **op** is always assumed to be associative. All predefined operations are also assumed to be commutative. Users may define operations that are assumed to be associative, but not commutative. The "canonical" evaluation order of a reduction is determined by the ranks of the processes in the group. However, the implementation can take advantage of associativity, or associativity and commutativity in order to change the order of evaluation. This may change the result of the reduction for operations that are not strictly associative and commutative, such as floating point addition.

Advice to implementors. It is strongly recommended that MPI\_REDUCE be implemented so that the same result be obtained whenever the function is applied on the same arguments, appearing in the same order. Note that this may prevent optimizations that take advantage of the physical location of ranks. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Advice to users. Some applications may not be able to ignore the non-associative nature of floating-point operations or may use user-defined operations (see Section 5.9.5) that require a special reduction order and cannot be treated as associative. Such applications should enforce the order of evaluation explicitly. For example, in the case of operations that require a strict left-to-right (or right-to-left) evaluation order, this could be done by gathering all operands at a single process (e.g., with MPI\_GATHER), applying the reduction operation in the desired order (e.g., with MPI\_REDUCE\_LOCAL), and if needed, broadcast or scatter the result to the other processes (e.g., with MPI\_BCAST). (End of advice to users.)

The datatype argument of MPI\_REDUCE must be compatible with op. Predefined operators work only with the MPI types listed in Section 5.9.2 and Section 5.9.4. Furthermore, the datatype and op given for predefined operators must be the same on all processes.

Note that it is possible for users to supply different user-defined operations to MPI\_REDUCE in each process. MPI does not define which operations are used on which operands in this case. User-defined operators may operate on general, derived datatypes. In this case, each argument that the reduce operation is applied to is one element described by such a datatype, which may contain several basic values. This is further explained in Section 5.9.5.

Advice to users. Users should make no assumptions about how MPI\_REDUCE is implemented. It is safest to ensure that the same function is passed to MPI\_REDUCE by each process. (*End of advice to users.*)

Overlapping datatypes are permitted in "send" buffers. Overlapping datatypes in "receive" buffers are erroneous and may give unpredictable results.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value MPI\_IN\_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at the root. In such a case, the input data is taken at the root from the receive buffer, where it will be replaced by the output data.

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the call involves all processes in the intercommunicator, but with one group (group A) defining the root process. All processes in the other group (group B) pass the same value in argument root, which is the rank of the root in group A. The root passes the value MPI\_ROOT in root. All other processes in group A pass the value MPI\_PROC\_NULL in root. Only send buffer arguments are significant in group B and only receive buffer arguments are significant at the root. 43 44 45 46 46 47 88

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

	176	CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1	5.9.2 Predefined Reduction Operat	ions
2 3 4 5 6 7	MPI_ALLREDUCE, MPI_REDUCE_SC MPI_SCAN, MPI_EXSCAN, all nonbl	are supplied for MPI_REDUCE and related functions CATTER_BLOCK, MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER, ocking variants of those (see Section 5.12), and ions are invoked by placing the following in op.
8 9	Name	Meaning
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 23 24 25 26	tion 5.9.4. For the other predefined	<pre>maximum minimum sum product logical and bit-wise and logical or bit-wise or logical exclusive or (xor) bit-wise exclusive or (xor) max value and location min value and location C and MPI_MAXLOC are discussed separately in Secoperations, we enumerate below the allowed combi- s. First, define groups of MPI basic datatypes in the</pre>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	C integer:	MPI_INT, MPI_LONG, MPI_SHORT, MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT, MPI_UNSIGNED, MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG, MPI_LONG_LONG_INT, MPI_LONG_LONG (as synonym), MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG, MPI_SIGNED_CHAR, MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR, MPI_INT8_T, MPI_INT16_T, MPI_INT32_T, MPI_INT64_T, MPI_UINT8_T, MPI_UINT16_T, MPI_UINT32_T, MPI_UINT64_T MPI_UINT32_T, MPI_UINT64_T
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Fortran integer: Floating point:	MPI_INTEGER, and handles returned from MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER, and if available: MPI_INTEGER1, MPI_INTEGER2, MPI_INTEGER4, MPI_INTEGER8, MPI_INTEGER16 MPI_FLOAT, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_REAL, MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION MPI_LONG_DOUBLE and handles returned from

	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL,	1
	and if available: MPI_REAL2,	2
	MPI_REAL4, MPI_REAL8, MPI_REAL16	3
Logical:	MPI_LOGICAL,MPI_C_BOOL,	4
-	MPI_CXX_BOOL	5
Complex:	MPI_COMPLEX, MPI_C_COMPLEX,	6
	MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX (as synonym),	7
	MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	8
	MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	9
	MPI_CXX_FLOAT_COMPLEX,	10
	MPI_CXX_DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	11
	MPI_CXX_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	12
	and handles returned from	13
	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_COMPLEX,	13
	and if available: MPI_DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	
	MPI_COMPLEX4, MPI_COMPLEX8,	15
	MPI_COMPLEX16, MPI_COMPLEX32	16
Byte:	MPI_BYTE	17
Multi-language types:	MPI_AINT, MPI_OFFSET, MPI_COUNT	18
		19
Now, the valid datatypes for each	ch operation are specified below.	20
		21
0		22
Ор	Allowed Types	23
		24
MPI_MAX, MPI_MIN	C integer, Fortran integer, Floating point,	25
	Multi-language types	26
MPI_SUM, MPI_PROD	C integer, Fortran integer, Floating point, Complex,	27
	Multi-language types	28
MPI_LAND, MPI_LOR, MPI_LXOR	C integer, Logical	29
MPI_BAND, MPI_BOR, MPI_BXOR	C integer, Fortran integer, Byte, Multi-language types	30
These operations together with	all listed datatypes are valid in all supported program-	31
ming languages, see also Reduce Op	erations on page 652 in Section 17.2.6.	32
The following examples use intr		33
		34
Example 5.15		35
A routine that computes the do	ot product of two vectors that are distributed across a	36
group of processes and returns the a	nswer at node zero.	37
		38
		39
		40
		40
		42
		43
		44
		45
		46
		47
		48

```
1
     SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS1(m, a, b, c, comm)
\mathbf{2}
     REAL a(m), b(m)
                           ! local slice of array
3
                              ! result (at node zero)
     REAL c
4
     REAL sum
\mathbf{5}
     INTEGER m, comm, i, ierr
6
\overline{7}
     ! local sum
8
     sum = 0.0
9
     DO i = 1, m
10
        sum = sum + a(i)*b(i)
^{11}
     END DO
12
13
     ! global sum
14
     CALL MPI_REDUCE(sum, c, 1, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, 0, comm, ierr)
15
     RETURN
16
     END
17
18
     Example 5.16
19
          A routine that computes the product of a vector and an array that are distributed
20
     across a group of processes and returns the answer at node zero.
21
22
     SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS2(m, n, a, b, c, comm)
23
     REAL a(m), b(m,n)
                          ! local slice of array
^{24}
     REAL c(n)
                             ! result
25
     REAL sum(n)
26
     INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr
27
28
     ! local sum
29
     DO j= 1, n
30
       sum(j) = 0.0
31
       DO i = 1, m
32
          sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)
33
       END DO
34
     END DO
35
36
     ! global sum
37
     CALL MPI_REDUCE(sum, c, n, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, 0, comm, ierr)
38
39
     ! return result at node zero (and garbage at the other nodes)
40
     RETURN
41
     END
42
43
     5.9.3 Signed Characters and Reductions
44
45
     The types MPI_SIGNED_CHAR and MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR can be used in reduction opera-
```

tions. MPI\_CHAR, MPI\_WCHAR, and MPI\_CHARACTER (which represent printable characters) cannot be used in reduction operations. In a heterogeneous environment, MPI\_CHAR,
 MPI\_WCHAR, and MPI\_CHARACTER will be translated so as to preserve the printable

character, whereas MPI\_SIGNED\_CHAR and MPI\_UNSIGNED\_CHAR will be translated so as to preserve the integer value.

Advice to users. The types MPI\_CHAR, MPI\_WCHAR, and MPI\_CHARACTER are intended for characters, and so will be translated to preserve the printable representation, rather than the integer value, if sent between machines with different character codes. The types MPI\_SIGNED\_CHAR and MPI\_UNSIGNED\_CHAR should be used in C if the integer value should be preserved. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 5.9.4 MINLOC and MAXLOC

The operator MPI\_MINLOC is used to compute a global minimum and also an index attached to the minimum value. MPI\_MAXLOC similarly computes a global maximum and index. One application of these is to compute a global minimum (maximum) and the rank of the process containing this value.

The operation that defines MPI\_MAXLOC is:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u\\i\end{array}\right)\circ\left(\begin{array}{c} v\\j\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} w\\k\end{array}\right)$$

where

$$w = \max(u, v)$$

and

$$k = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } u > v \\ \min(i, j) & \text{if } u = v \\ j & \text{if } u < v \end{cases}$$

MPI\_MINLOC is defined similarly:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u\\i\end{array}\right)\circ\left(\begin{array}{c} v\\j\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} w\\k\end{array}\right)$$

where

$$w = \min(u, v)$$

and

$$k = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } u < v \\ \min(i,j) & \text{if } u = v \\ j & \text{if } u > v \end{cases}$$

Both operations are associative and commutative. Note that if MPI\_MAXLOC is applied to reduce a sequence of pairs  $(u_0, 0), (u_1, 1), \ldots, (u_{n-1}, n-1)$ , then the value returned is (u, r), where  $u = \max_i u_i$  and r is the index of the first global maximum in the sequence. Thus, if each process supplies a value and its rank within the group, then a reduce operation with op = MPI\_MAXLOC will return the maximum value and the rank of the first process with that value. Similarly, MPI\_MINLOC can be used to return a minimum and its index. More generally, MPI\_MINLOC computes a *lexicographic minimum*, where elements are ordered 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

_				
1	according to the first component of each pair, and ties are resolved according to the second			
2	component.			
3	The reduce operation is defined to operate on arguments that consist of a pair: value			
4	and index. For both Fortran and C, types are provided to describe the pair. The potentially			
5		problem in Fortran. The problem is circumvented,		
6		type consist of a pair of the same type as value,		
7	0	. In C, the MPI-provided pair type has distinct		
8	types and the index is an int.			
9		_MAXLOC in a reduce operation, one must provide		
10	a datatype argument that represents a pair (value and index). MPI provides nine such			
11		IPI_MAXLOC and MPI_MINLOC can be used with		
12	each of the following datatypes.			
13	_			
14	Fortran:			
15	Name	Description		
16	MPI_2REAL	pair of REALs		
17	MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION	pair of DOUBLE PRECISION variables		
18	MPI_2INTEGER	pair of INTEGERs		
19				
20				
21	C:			
22	Name	Description		
23	MPI_FLOAT_INT	float and int		
24	MPI_DOUBLE_INT	double and int		
25	MPI_LONG_INT	long and int		
26	MPI_2INT	pair of int		
27	MPI_SHORT_INT	short and int		
28	MPI_LONG_DOUBLE_INT	long double and int		
29	The datatype MPL $2REAL$ is as if det	fined by the following (see Section $4.1$ ).		
30	The datatype with_2REAE is us if de	lined by the following (see Section 4.1).		
31	MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS(2, MPI_REAL, M	PI 2REAL)		
32				
33	Similar statements apply for MPI_2IN	TEGER, MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION, and MPI_2INT.		
34		f defined by the following sequence of instructions.		
35				
36	type[0] = MPI_FLOAT			
37	type[1] = MPI_INT			
38	disp[0] = 0			
39	disp[1] = sizeof(float)			
40	block[0] = 1			
41	block[1] = 1			
42	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(2, block, d	isp, type, MPI_FLOAT_INT)		
43				
44	Similar statements apply for MPI_LONG_I			
45	The following examples use intracom	nmunicators.		
46	Example 5.17			
47	Example 5.17	blog in C. For each of the 20 leasting accurate		
	Lach process has an array of 30 dou	bles, in C. For each of the 30 locations, compute		

Each process has an array of 30 doubles, in C. For each of the 30 locations, compute
 the value and rank of the process containing the largest value.

```
1
    . . .
                                                                                       \mathbf{2}
    /* each process has an array of 30 double: ain[30]
                                                                                        3
     */
                                                                                       4
    double ain[30], aout[30];
    int ind[30];
                                                                                        5
                                                                                        6
    struct {
                                                                                        7
        double val;
                                                                                        8
              rank;
        int
                                                                                       9
    } in[30], out[30];
                                                                                       10
    int i, myrank, root;
                                                                                       11
    MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
                                                                                       12
    for (i=0; i<30; ++i) {
                                                                                       13
                                                                                       14
        in[i].val = ain[i];
                                                                                       15
        in[i].rank = myrank;
                                                                                       16
    }
                                                                                       17
    MPI_Reduce(in, out, 30, MPI_DOUBLE_INT, MPI_MAXLOC, root, comm);
    /* At this point, the answer resides on process root
                                                                                       18
                                                                                       19
     */
                                                                                       20
    if (myrank == root) {
                                                                                       21
        /* read ranks out
         */
                                                                                       22
        for (i=0; i<30; ++i) {</pre>
                                                                                       23
             aout[i] = out[i].val;
                                                                                       ^{24}
                                                                                       25
             ind[i] = out[i].rank;
                                                                                       26
        }
    }
                                                                                       27
                                                                                       28
                                                                                       29
Example 5.18
                                                                                       30
   Same example, in Fortran.
                                                                                       ^{31}
                                                                                       32
    . . .
                                                                                       33
    ! each process has an array of 30 double: ain(30)
                                                                                       34
                                                                                       35
    DOUBLE PRECISION ain(30), aout(30)
                                                                                       36
    INTEGER ind(30)
                                                                                       37
    DOUBLE PRECISION in(2,30), out(2,30)
                                                                                       38
    INTEGER i, myrank, root, ierr
                                                                                       39
                                                                                       40
    CALL MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, myrank, ierr)
                                                                                       41
    DO I=1, 30
                                                                                       42
        in(1,i) = ain(i)
                                                                                       43
        in(2,i) = myrank ! myrank is coerced to a double
                                                                                       44
    END DO
                                                                                       45
                                                                                       46
    CALL MPI_REDUCE(in, out, 30, MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION, MPI_MAXLOC, root,
                                                                                       47
                                                                      comm, ierr)
                                                                                       48
```

```
1
          ! At this point, the answer resides on process root
\mathbf{2}
3
         IF (myrank .EQ. root) THEN
4
              ! read ranks out
5
              DO I= 1, 30
6
                   aout(i) = out(1,i)
7
                   ind(i) = out(2,i) ! rank is coerced back to an integer
8
              END DO
9
         END IF
10
11
     Example 5.19
12
         Each process has a non-empty array of values. Find the minimum global value, the
13
     rank of the process that holds it and its index on this process.
14
15
     #define LEN
                      1000
16
17
     float val[LEN];
                               /* local array of values */
18
                               /* local number of values */
     int count;
19
     int myrank, minrank, minindex;
20
     float minval;
21
22
     struct {
23
         float value;
^{24}
         int
                index;
25
     } in, out;
26
27
         /* local minloc */
28
     in.value = val[0];
29
     in.index = 0;
30
     for (i=1; i < count; i++)</pre>
^{31}
          if (in.value > val[i]) {
32
              in.value = val[i];
33
              in.index = i;
34
         }
35
36
         /* global minloc */
37
     MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &myrank);
38
     in.index = myrank*LEN + in.index;
39
     MPI_Reduce( &in, &out, 1, MPI_FLOAT_INT, MPI_MINLOC, root, comm );
40
          /* At this point, the answer resides on process root
^{41}
           */
42
     if (myrank == root) {
43
         /* read answer out
44
           */
45
         minval = out.value;
46
         minrank = out.index / LEN;
47
         minindex = out.index % LEN;
48
     }
```

*Rationale.* The definition of MPI\_MINLOC and MPI\_MAXLOC given here has the advantage that it does not require any special-case handling of these two operations: they are handled like any other reduce operation. A programmer can provide his or her own definition of MPI\_MAXLOC and MPI\_MINLOC, if so desired. The disadvantage is that values and indices have to be first interleaved, and that indices and values have to be coerced to the same type, in Fortran. (*End of rationale.*)

#### 5.9.5 User-Defined Reduction Operations

INTEGER OP, IERROR

MPI\_OP\_CREATE(user\_fn, commute, op) user\_fn IN user defined function (function) IN commute true if commutative; false otherwise. OUT operation (handle) op int MPI\_Op\_create(MPI\_User\_function\* user\_fn, int commute, MPI\_Op\* op) MPI\_Op\_create(user\_fn, commute, op, ierror) PROCEDURE(MPI\_User\_function) :: user\_fn LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: commute TYPE(MPI\_Op), INTENT(OUT) :: op INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_OP\_CREATE( USER\_FN, COMMUTE, OP, IERROR) EXTERNAL USER\_FN LOGICAL COMMUTE

MPI\_OP\_CREATE binds a user-defined reduction operation to an op handle that can subsequently be used in MPI\_REDUCE, MPI\_ALLREDUCE, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK, MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER, MPI\_SCAN, MPI\_EXSCAN, all nonblocking variants of those (see Section 5.12), and MPI\_REDUCE\_LOCAL. The user-defined operation is assumed to be associative. If commute = true, then the operation should be both commutative and associative. If commute = false, then the order of operands is fixed and is defined to be in ascending, process rank order, beginning with process zero. The order of evaluation can be changed, talking advantage of the associativity of the operation. If commute = true then the order of evaluation can be changed, taking advantage of commutativity and associativity.

The argument user\_fn is the user-defined function, which must have the following four arguments: invec, inoutvec, len, and datatype. The ISO C prototype for the function is the following. two defined MDL large function (are identicated on the input terms).

# typedef void MPI\_User\_function(void\* invec, void\* inoutvec, int \*len, MPI\_Datatype \*datatype);

The Fortran declarations of the user-defined function user\_fn appear below. ABSTRACT INTERFACE SUBROUTINE MPI\_User\_function(invec, inoutvec, len, datatype) USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO\_C\_BINDING, ONLY : C\_PTR 1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

7 8

9 10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

43

44

45

46

47

1	
1 2	TYPE(C_PTR), VALUE :: invec, inoutvec
3	INTEGER :: len
4	TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
5	SUBROUTINE USER_FUNCTION(INVEC, INOUTVEC, LEN, DATATYPE)
6	<type> INVEC(LEN), INOUTVEC(LEN)</type>
7	INTEGER LEN, DATATYPE
8	The datatype argument is a handle to the data type that was passed into the call to
9	MPI_REDUCE. The user reduce function should be written such that the following holds:
10	Let $u[0], \ldots, u[len-1]$ be the len elements in the communication buffer described by the
11	arguments invec, len and datatype when the function is invoked; let $v[0], \ldots, v[len-1]$ be len
12	elements in the communication buffer described by the arguments inoutvec, len and datatype
13	when the function is invoked; let $w[0], \ldots, w[len-1]$ be len elements in the communication
14	buffer described by the arguments inoutvec, len and datatype when the function returns;
15	then $w[i] = u[i] \circ v[i]$ , for i=0,, len-1, where $\circ$ is the reduce operation that the function
16	computes.
17	Informally, we can think of invec and inoutvec as arrays of len elements that user_fn
18	is combining. The result of the reduction over-writes values in inoutvec, hence the name.
19	Each invocation of the function results in the pointwise evaluation of the reduce operator
20	on len elements: i.e., the function returns in $inoutvec[i]$ the value $invec[i] \circ inoutvec[i]$ , for
21	i=0,, count-1, where $\circ$ is the combining operation computed by the function.
22	
23	<i>Rationale.</i> The len argument allows MPI_REDUCE to avoid calling the function for
24 25	each element in the input buffer. Rather, the system can choose to apply the function to chunks of input. In C, it is passed in as a reference for reasons of compatibility
25 26	with Fortran.
27	
28	By internally comparing the value of the datatype argument to known, global handles,
29	it is possible to overload the use of a single user-defined function for several, different data tamor (End of rationals)
30	data types. (End of rationale.)
31	General datatypes may be passed to the user function. However, use of datatypes that
32	are not contiguous is likely to lead to inefficiencies.
33	No MPI communication function may be called inside the user function. MPI_ABORT
34	may be called inside the function in case of an error.
35	
36	Advice to users. Suppose one defines a library of user-defined reduce functions that
37	are overloaded: the datatype argument is used to select the right execution path at each
38	invocation, according to the types of the operands. The user-defined reduce function
39	cannot "decode" the <b>datatype</b> argument that it is passed, and cannot identify, by itself,
40	the correspondence between the datatype handles and the datatype they represent. This correspondence was established when the datatypes were created. Before the
41	
42	library is used, a library initialization preamble must be executed. This preamble code will define the datatypes that are used by the library, and store handles to these
43	datatypes in global, static variables that are shared by the user code and the library
44	code.
45 46	
40 47	The Fortran version of MPI_REDUCE will invoke a user-defined reduce function using
48	the Fortran calling conventions and will pass a Fortran-type datatype argument; the
10	C version will use C calling convention and the C representation of a datatype handle.

Users who plan to mix languages should define their reduction functions accordingly. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. We outline below a naive and inefficient implementation of MPI\_REDUCE not supporting the "in place" option.

```
MPI_Comm_size(comm, &groupsize);
MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &rank);
if (rank > 0) {
    MPI_Recv(tempbuf, count, datatype, rank-1,...);
    User_reduce(tempbuf, sendbuf, count, datatype);
}
if (rank < groupsize-1) {
    MPI_Send(sendbuf, count, datatype, rank+1, ...);
}
/* answer now resides in process groupsize-1 ... now send to root
 */
if (rank == root) {
    MPI_Irecv(recvbuf, count, datatype, groupsize-1,..., &req);
}
if (rank == groupsize-1) {
    MPI_Send(sendbuf, count, datatype, root, ...);
}
if (rank == root) {
    MPI_Wait(&req, &status);
}
```

The reduction computation proceeds, sequentially, from process 0 to process groupsize-1. This order is chosen so as to respect the order of a possibly noncommutative operator defined by the function User\_reduce(). A more efficient implementation is achieved by taking advantage of associativity and using a logarithmic tree reduction. Commutativity can be used to advantage, for those cases in which the commute argument to MPI\_OP\_CREATE is true. Also, the amount of temporary buffer required can be reduced, and communication can be pipelined with computation, by transferring and reducing the elements in chunks of size len <count.

The predefined reduce operations can be implemented as a library of user-defined operations. However, better performance might be achieved if MPI\_REDUCE handles these functions as a special case. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

```
1
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
\mathbf{2}
     MPI_OP_FREE(OP, IERROR)
3
          INTEGER OP, IERROR
4
5
         Marks a user-defined reduction operation for deallocation and sets op to MPI_OP_NULL.
6
7
     Example of User-defined Reduce
8
     It is time for an example of user-defined reduction. The example in this section uses an
9
     intracommunicator.
10
11
     Example 5.20 Compute the product of an array of complex numbers, in C.
12
13
     typedef struct {
14
          double real, imag;
15
     } Complex;
16
17
     /* the user-defined function
18
      */
19
     void myProd(void *inP, void *inoutP, int *len, MPI_Datatype *dptr)
20
     {
21
          int i;
22
          Complex c;
23
          Complex *in = (Complex *)inP, *inout = (Complex *)inoutP;
^{24}
25
          for (i=0; i< *len; ++i) {</pre>
26
              c.real = inout->real*in->real -
27
                           inout->imag*in->imag;
28
              c.imag = inout->real*in->imag +
                           inout->imag*in->real;
29
30
              *inout = c;
^{31}
              in++; inout++;
32
          }
33
     }
34
35
     /* and, to call it...
36
      */
37
      . . .
38
39
          /* each process has an array of 100 Complexes
40
           */
41
          Complex a[100], answer[100];
42
          MPI_Op myOp;
43
          MPI_Datatype ctype;
44
45
          /* explain to MPI how type Complex is defined
46
           */
47
          MPI_Type_contiguous(2, MPI_DOUBLE, &ctype);
48
          MPI_Type_commit(&ctype);
```

```
/* create the complex-product user-op
 */
MPI_Op_create( myProd, 1, &myOp );
MPI_Reduce(a, answer, 100, ctype, myOp, root, comm);
/* At this point, the answer, which consists of 100 Complexes,
 * resides on process root
 */
```

Example 5.21 How to use the mpi\_f08 interface of the Fortran MPI\_User\_function.

```
subroutine my_user_function( invec, inoutvec, len, type )
use, intrinsic :: iso_c_binding, only : c_ptr, c_f_pointer
use mpi_f08
type(c_ptr), value :: invec, inoutvec
integer :: len
type(MPI_Datatype) :: type
real, pointer :: invec_r(:), inoutvec_r(:)
if (type%MPI_VAL == MPI_REAL%MPI_VAL) then
call c_f_pointer(invec, invec_r, (/ len /) )
call c_f_pointer(inoutvec, inoutvec_r, (/ len /) )
inoutvec_r = invec_r + inoutvec_r
end if
end subroutine
```

### 5.9.6 All-Reduce

MPI includes a variant of the reduce operations where the result is returned to all processes in a group. MPI requires that all processes from the same group participating in these operations receive identical results.

MPI\_ALLREDUCE(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm)

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	35	
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	36	
IN	count	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	37 38 39	
IN	datatype	data type of elements of send buffer (handle)	40	
IN	ор	operation (handle)	41	
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	42 43	
int MDT				
IIIC MPI_	<pre>int MPI_Allreduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,</pre>			

MPI\_Allreduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, ierror)
 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $14 \\ 15$ 

1	
	TYPE(*), DIMENSION() :: recvbuf
2	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
3	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
4	TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
5	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
6	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
7	
8	MPI_ALLREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)
9	<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</type>
10	INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR
11	If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI_ALLREDUCE behaves the same as
12	MPI_REDUCE except that the result appears in the receive buffer of all the group members.
13	White the post of an one result appears in the receive suffer of an the group members.
14	Advice to implementors. The all-reduce operations can be implemented as a re-
15	duce, followed by a broadcast. However, a direct implementation can lead to better
16	performance. (End of advice to implementors.)
17	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing the value
19	MPI_IN_PLACE to the argument sendbuf at all processes. In this case, the input data is
20	taken at each process from the receive buffer, where it will be replaced by the output data.
20	If comm is an intercommunicator, then the result of the reduction of the data provided
21	by processes in group A is stored at each process in group B, and vice versa. Both groups
23	should provide <b>count</b> and <b>datatype</b> arguments that specify the same type signature.
23 24	The following example uses an intracommunicator.
24	
26	Example 5.22
	A routine that computes the product of a vector and an array that are distributed
27	across a group of processes and returns the answer at all nodes (see also Example $5.16$ ).
28	
29	SUBROUTINE PAR_BLAS2(m, n, a, b, c, comm)
30	REAL a(m), b(m,n) ! local slice of array
31	REAL c(n) ! result
32	REAL sum(n)
32 33	
32 33 34	REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr
32 33 34 35	REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum
32 33 34 35 36	REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n
32 33 34 35 36 37	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0 DO i = 1, m</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n    sum(j) = 0.0    DO i = 1, m       sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0 DO i = 1, m</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n    sum(j) = 0.0    DO i = 1, m       sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0 DO i = 1, m    sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j) END DO</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0 DO i = 1, m    sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j) END DO</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0   DO i = 1, m     sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)   END DO END DO</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0   DO i = 1, m     sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)   END DO END DO ! global sum</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum DO j= 1, n   sum(j) = 0.0   DO i = 1, m     sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j)   END DO END DO ! global sum</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum D0 j= 1, n sum(j) = 0.0 D0 i = 1, m sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j) END D0 END D0 ! global sum CALL MPI_ALLREDUCE(sum, c, n, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, comm, ierr)</pre>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<pre>REAL sum(n) INTEGER n, comm, i, j, ierr ! local sum D0 j= 1, n sum(j) = 0.0 D0 i = 1, m sum(j) = sum(j) + a(i)*b(i,j) END D0 END D0 ! global sum CALL MPI_ALLREDUCE(sum, c, n, MPI_REAL, MPI_SUM, comm, ierr) ! return result at all nodes</pre>

5.9.7 Pro	ocess-Local Reduction		1	
implement MPI opera	special reduction patterns the tions.	ortance to library implementors who may want to at are otherwise not easily covered by the standard luction operator to local arguments.	2 3 4 5 6 7	
MPI_REDU	JCE_LOCAL( inbuf, inoutbuf, o	count, datatype, op)	8	
IN	inbuf	input buffer (choice)	9 10	
INOUT	inoutbuf	combined input and output buffer (choice)	11	
IN	count	number of elements in inbuf and inoutbuf buffers (non-negative integer)	12 13	
IN	datatype	data type of elements of inbuf and inoutbuf buffers (handle)	14 15 16	
IN	ор	operation (handle)	17 18	
int MPI_R	int MPI_Reduce_local(const void* inbuf, void* inoutbuf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op)			
<pre>MPI_Reduce_local(inbuf, inoutbuf, count, datatype, op, ierror)    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN) :: inbuf    TYPE(*), DIMENSION() :: inoutbuf    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype    TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>				
<type< td=""><td>E_LOCAL(INBUF, INOUTBUF, &gt; INBUF(*), INOUTBUF(*) ER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, I</td><td>COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, IERROR) IERROR</td><td>29 30 31 32</td></type<>	E_LOCAL(INBUF, INOUTBUF, > INBUF(*), INOUTBUF(*) ER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, I	COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, IERROR) IERROR	29 30 31 32	
The function applies the operation given by <b>op</b> element-wise to the elements of inbuf and inoutbuf with the result stored element-wise in inoutbuf, as explained for user-defined operations in Section 5.9.5. Both inbuf and inoutbuf (input as well as result) have the same number of elements given by count and the same datatype given by datatype. The MPI_IN_PLACE option is not allowed. Reduction operations can be queried for their commutativity.			32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	
MPI_OP_C	COMMUTATIVE( op, commute	e)	40	
IN	ор	operation (handle)	41 42	
OUT	commute	true if op is commutative, false otherwise (logical)	43	
			44	
int MPI_C	p_commutative(MPI_Op op,	int *commute)	45 46	
-	<pre>mmutative(op, commute, io MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: o</pre>	error) op	47 48	

12		CAL, INTENT(OUT) :: comm GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	
3 4 5 6	LOGI	OMMUTATIVE(OP, COMMUTE, I CAL COMMUTE GER OP, IERROR	ERROR)
7 8 9	5.10 R	Reduce-Scatter	
10 11 12 13	in a group	*	rations where the result is scattered to all processes rs equal-sized blocks to all processes, while another size for each process.
14 15 16	5.10.1 N	MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BL	.OCK
17 18	MPI RED	UCE SCATTER BLOCK( send	buf, recvbuf, recvcount, datatype, op, comm)
19	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
20	OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
21 22	IN	recvcount	element count per block (non-negative integer)
23 24	IN	datatype	data type of elements of send and receive buffers (han- dle)
25 26	IN	ор	operation (handle)
27	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
28 29 30 31 32	int MPI_		t void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, Watatype datatype, MPI_Op op,
33	MPI_Redu	<pre>ce_scatter_block(sendbuf,</pre>	recvbuf, recvcount, datatype, op, comm,
34 35	TYPE	(*), DIMENSION(), INTEN	T(IN) :: sendbuf
36		(*), DIMENSION() :: r	
37		GER, INTENT(IN) :: recvc (MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	
38 39		(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) ::	• -
40		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	
41		GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	
42 43	MPI_REDU	CE_SCATTER_BLOCK (SENDBUF, IERROR)	RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM,
44	<typ< th=""><th>e&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</th><th></th></typ<>	e> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)	
45	01		
46	INTE	GER RECVCOUNT, DATATYPE,	OP, COMM, IERROR
47	If cor	GER RECVCOUNT, DATATYPE, mm is an intracommunicator,	OP, COMM, IERROR MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK first performs a s of count = $n^*$ recvcount elements in the send buffers

defined by sendbuf, count and datatype, using the operation op, where n is the number of processes in the group of comm. The routine is called by all group members using the same arguments for recvcount, datatype, op and comm. The resulting vector is treated as n consecutive blocks of recvcount elements that are scattered to the processes of the group. The i-th block is sent to process i and stored in the receive buffer defined by recvbuf, recvcount, and datatype.

Advice to implementors. The MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK routine is functionally equivalent to: an MPI\_REDUCE collective operation with count equal to recvcount\*n, followed by an MPI\_SCATTER with sendcount equal to recvcount. However, a direct implementation may run faster. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE in the sendbuf argument on *all* processes. In this case, the input data is taken from the receive buffer.

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the result of the reduction of the data provided by processes in one group (group A) is scattered among processes in the other group (group B) and vice versa. Within each group, all processes provide the same value for the recvcount argument, and provide input vectors of count =  $n^{recvcount}$  elements stored in the send buffers, where n is the size of the group. The number of elements count must be the same for the two groups. The resulting vector from the other group is scattered in blocks of recvcount elements among the processes in the group.

*Rationale.* The last restriction is needed so that the length of the send buffer of one group can be determined by the local **recvcount** argument of the other group. Otherwise, a communication is needed to figure out how many elements are reduced. (*End of rationale.*)

# 5.10.2 MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER

MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER extends the functionality of MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK such that the scattered blocks can vary in size. Block sizes are determined by the recvcounts array, such that the i-th block contains recvcounts[i] elements.

MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER( sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcounts, datatype, op, comm)

IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	36
IIN	Sellabal	starting address of send buner (choice)	37
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	38
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec-	39
		ifying the number of elements of the result distributed	40
		to each process.	41
IN	datatype	data type of elements of send and receive buffers (han-	42
IIN	uatatype		43
		dle)	44
IN	ор	operation (handle)	45
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	46
			47

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

```
1
     int MPI_Reduce_scatter(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, const
2
                     int recvcounts[], MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op,
3
                     MPI_Comm comm)
4
     MPI_Reduce_scatter(sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcounts, datatype, op, comm,
5
                     ierror)
6
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                        sendbuf
7
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
8
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: recvcounts(*)
9
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
10
          TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
11
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
12
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
13
14
     MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DATATYPE, OP, COMM,
15
                     IERROR)
16
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
17
          INTEGER RECVCOUNTS(*), DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR
18
          If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER first performs a global,
19
     element-wise reduction on vectors of count = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} recvcounts[i] elements in the send buffers
20
     defined by sendbuf, count and datatype, using the operation op, where n is the number of
21
     processes in the group of comm. The routine is called by all group members using the
22
     same arguments for recvcounts, datatype, op and comm. The resulting vector is treated as
23
     n consecutive blocks where the number of elements of the i-th block is recvcounts[i]. The
^{24}
     blocks are scattered to the processes of the group. The i-th block is sent to process i and
25
     stored in the receive buffer defined by recvbuf, recvcounts[i] and datatype.
26
27
           Advice to implementors. The MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER routine is functionally equiv-
28
           alent to: an MPI_REDUCE collective operation with count equal to the sum of
29
           recvcounts[i] followed by MPI_SCATTERV with sendcounts equal to recvcounts. How-
30
           ever, a direct implementation may run faster. (End of advice to implementors.)
31
32
          The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI_IN_PLACE in
33
     the sendbuf argument. In this case, the input data is taken from the receive buffer. It is
34
     not required to specify the "in place" option on all processes, since the processes for which
35
     recvcounts[i] == 0 may not have allocated a receive buffer.
36
          If comm is an intercommunicator, then the result of the reduction of the data provided
37
     by processes in one group (group A) is scattered among processes in the other group (group
38
     B), and vice versa. Within each group, all processes provide the same recvcounts argument,
39
     and provide input vectors of count = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} recvcounts[i] elements stored in the send buffers,
40
     where n is the size of the group. The resulting vector from the other group is scattered in
41
     blocks of recvcounts[i] elements among the processes in the group. The number of elements
42
     count must be the same for the two groups.
43
44
           Rationale. The last restriction is needed so that the length of the send buffer can be
45
           determined by the sum of the local recvcounts entries. Otherwise, a communication
```

is needed to figure out how many elements are reduced. (End of rationale.)

46

5.11. SCA	$\Lambda N$	1	193
5.11 So	can		1
			2
5.11.1 In	5.11.1 Inclusive Scan		
			4
			5
MPI_SCAN	l(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datat	ype, op, comm)	6
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	7 8
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	9
IN	count	number of elements in input buffer (non-negative teger)	11
IN	datatype	data type of elements of input buffer (handle)	12
IN	ор	operation (handle)	13 14
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	15
	comm	communicator (nandic)	16
int MDT C	loop (const woid & condbuf	void* recvbuf, int count,	17
IIIC MPI_S		e, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm)	18
	Mri_Datatype datatype	s, Mr1_0p 0p, Mr1_Comm comm)	19
		datatype, op, comm, ierror)	20
	*), DIMENSION(), INTENT	C(IN) :: sendbuf	21
		cvbuf	22
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count		23
	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)	• -	24
	<pre>MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: c</pre>	-	25
	<pre>MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>		26
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	27
MPT SCAN(	SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT,	DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)	28
	<pre>&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</pre>	,,,,,	29
• -	ER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, C	OMM. IERROR	30
			31
	*	IPI_SCAN is used to perform a prefix reduction	
		peration returns, in the receive buffer of the proc	
		in the send buffers of processes with ranks $0,$ .	
(inclusive)		oup members using the same arguments for cou	nt, 35

datatype, op and comm, except that for user-defined operations, the same rules apply as for MPI\_REDUCE. The type of operations supported, their semantics, and the constraints on send and receive buffers are as for MPI\_REDUCE.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE in the sendbuf argument. In this case, the input data is taken from the receive buffer, and replaced by the output data.

This operation is invalid for intercommunicators.

```
194
                                          CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1
     5.11.2 Exclusive Scan
\mathbf{2}
3
4
     MPI_EXSCAN(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm)
5
       IN
                 sendbuf
                                              starting address of send buffer (choice)
6
       OUT
\overline{7}
                 recvbuf
                                              starting address of receive buffer (choice)
8
       IN
                 count
                                              number of elements in input buffer (non-negative in-
9
                                              teger)
10
       IN
                                              data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
                 datatype
11
       IN
                                              operation (handle)
                 ор
12
13
       IN
                 comm
                                              intracommunicator (handle)
14
15
     int MPI_Exscan(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
16
                     MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm)
17
18
     MPI_Exscan(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, ierror)
19
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
20
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::
                                      count
21
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
22
23
          TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
24
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
25
26
     MPI_EXSCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR)
27
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
28
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, IERROR
29
30
          If comm is an intracommunicator, MPI_EXSCAN is used to perform a prefix reduction
^{31}
     on data distributed across the group. The value in recvbuf on the process with rank 0 is
32
     undefined, and recvbuf is not significant on process 0. The value in recvbuf on the process
33
     with rank 1 is defined as the value in sendbuf on the process with rank 0. For processes
34
     with rank i > 1, the operation returns, in the receive buffer of the process with rank i, the
35
     reduction of the values in the send buffers of processes with ranks 0, \ldots, i-1 (inclusive). The
36
     routine is called by all group members using the same arguments for count, datatype, op and
```

<sup>37</sup> comm, except that for user-defined operations, the same rules apply as for MPI\_REDUCE.
 <sup>38</sup> The type of operations supported, their semantics, and the constraints on send and receive
 <sup>39</sup> buffers, are as for MPI\_REDUCE.

The "in place" option for intracommunicators is specified by passing MPI\_IN\_PLACE in the sendbuf argument. In this case, the input data is taken from the receive buffer, and replaced by the output data. The receive buffer on rank 0 is not changed by this operation. This operation is invalid for intercommunicators.

*Rationale.* The exclusive scan is more general than the inclusive scan. Any inclusive scan operation can be achieved by using the exclusive scan and then locally combining
the local contribution. Note that for non-invertable operations such as MPI\_MAX, the
exclusive scan cannot be computed with the inclusive scan. (*End of rationale.*)

### 5.11.3 Example using MPI\_SCAN

The example in this section uses an intracommunicator.

### Example 5.23

This example uses a user-defined operation to produce a *segmented scan*. A segmented scan takes, as input, a set of values and a set of logicals, and the logicals delineate the various segments of the scan. For example:

values	$v_1$	$v_2$	$v_3$	$v_4$	$v_5$	$v_6$	$v_7$	$v_8$	
logicals	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	
result	$v_1$	$v_1 + v_2$	$v_3$	$v_3 + v_4$	$v_3 + v_4 + v_5$	$v_6$	$v_6 + v_7$	$v_8$	

The operator that produces this effect is

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u\\i\end{array}\right)\circ\left(\begin{array}{c} v\\j\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} w\\j\end{array}\right),$$

where

$$w = \begin{cases} u + v & \text{if } i = j \\ v & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Note that this is a non-commutative operator. C code that implements it is given below.

```
typedef struct {
    double val;
    int log;
} SegScanPair;
/* the user-defined function
*/
void segScan(SegScanPair *in, SegScanPair *inout, int *len,
                                                  MPI_Datatype *dptr)
{
    int i;
    SegScanPair c;
    for (i=0; i< *len; ++i) {</pre>
        if (in->log == inout->log)
            c.val = in->val + inout->val;
        else
            c.val = inout->val;
        c.log = inout->log;
        *inout = c;
        in++; inout++;
    }
}
```

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

 $^{41}$ 

42

43

44

45

46

 $47 \\ 48$ 

Note that the inout argument to the user-defined function corresponds to the righthand operand of the operator. When using this operator, we must be careful to specify that it is non-commutative, as in the following.

```
4
         int i, base;
5
         SegScanPair
                       a, answer;
6
         MPI_Op
                        myOp;
7
         MPI_Datatype type[2] = {MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_INT};
8
         MPI_Aint
                        disp[2];
9
                        blocklen[2] = \{ 1, 1\};
         int
10
         MPI_Datatype sspair;
11
12
         /* explain to MPI how type SegScanPair is defined
13
           */
14
         MPI_Get_address( &a, disp);
15
         MPI_Get_address( &a.log, disp+1);
16
         base = disp[0];
17
         for (i=0; i<2; ++i) disp[i] -= base;</pre>
18
         MPI_Type_create_struct( 2, blocklen, disp, type, &sspair );
19
         MPI_Type_commit( &sspair );
20
         /* create the segmented-scan user-op
21
          */
22
         MPI_Op_create(segScan, 0, &myOp);
23
         . . .
24
         MPI_Scan( &a, &answer, 1, sspair, myOp, comm );
25
```

# 5.12 Nonblocking Collective Operations

29As described in Section 3.7, performance of many applications can be improved by over-30 lapping communication and computation, and many systems enable this. Nonblocking  $^{31}$ collective operations combine the potential benefits of nonblocking point-to-point opera-32 tions, to exploit overlap and to avoid synchronization, with the optimized implementation 33 and message scheduling provided by collective operations [30, 34]. One way of doing this 34would be to perform a blocking collective operation in a separate thread. An alternative 35 mechanism that often leads to better performance (e.g., avoids context switching, scheduler 36 overheads, and thread management) is to use nonblocking collective communication [32].

37 The nonblocking collective communication model is similar to the model used for non-38blocking point-to-point communication. A nonblocking call initiates a collective operation, 39 which must be completed in a separate completion call. Once initiated, the operation 40may progress independently of any computation or other communication at participating  $^{41}$ processes. In this manner, nonblocking collective operations can mitigate possible synchro-42nizing effects of collective operations by running them in the "background." In addition to 43enabling communication-computation overlap, nonblocking collective operations can per-44form collective operations on overlapping communicators, which would lead to deadlocks 45with blocking operations. Their semantic advantages can also be useful in combination with 46 point-to-point communication.

<sup>47</sup> As in the nonblocking point-to-point case, all calls are local and return immediately, <sup>48</sup> irrespective of the status of other processes. The call initiates the operation, which indicates

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

26 27

that the system may start to copy data out of the send buffer and into the receive buffer. Once initiated, all associated send buffers and buffers associated with input arguments (such as arrays of counts, displacements, or datatypes in the vector versions of the collectives) should not be modified, and all associated receive buffers should not be accessed, until the collective operation completes. The call returns a request handle, which must be passed to a completion call.

All completion calls (e.g., MPI\_WAIT) described in Section 3.7.3 are supported for nonblocking collective operations. Similarly to the blocking case, nonblocking collective operations are considered to be complete when the local part of the operation is finished, i.e., for the caller, the semantics of the operation are guaranteed and all buffers can be safely accessed and modified. Completion does not indicate that other processes have completed or even started the operation (unless otherwise implied by the description of the operation). Completion of a particular nonblocking collective operation also does not indicate completion of any other posted nonblocking collective (or send-receive) operations, whether they are posted before or after the completed operation.

Advice to users. Users should be aware that implementations are allowed, but not required (with exception of MPI\_IBARRIER), to synchronize processes during the completion of a nonblocking collective operation. (*End of advice to users.*)

Upon returning from a completion call in which a nonblocking collective operation completes, the MPI\_ERROR field in the associated status object is set appropriately, see Section 3.2.5 on page 30. The values of the MPI\_SOURCE and MPI\_TAG fields are undefined. It is valid to mix different request types (i.e., any combination of collective requests, I/O requests, generalized requests, or point-to-point requests) in functions that enable multiple completions (e.g., MPI\_WAITALL). It is erroneous to call MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE or MPI\_CANCEL for a request associated with a nonblocking collective operation. Nonblocking collective requests are not persistent.

*Rationale.* Freeing an active nonblocking collective request could cause similar problems as discussed for point-to-point requests (see Section 3.7.3). Cancelling a request is not supported because the semantics of this operation are not well-defined. (*End of rationale.*)

Multiple nonblocking collective operations can be outstanding on a single communicator. If the nonblocking call causes some system resource to be exhausted, then it will fail and generate an MPI exception. Quality implementations of MPI should ensure that this happens only in pathological cases. That is, an MPI implementation should be able to support a large number of pending nonblocking operations.

Unlike point-to-point operations, nonblocking collective operations do not match with blocking collective operations, and collective operations do not have a tag argument. All processes must call collective operations (blocking and nonblocking) in the same order per communicator. In particular, once a process calls a collective operation, all other processes in the communicator must eventually call the same collective operation, and no other collective operation with the same communicator in between. This is consistent with the ordering rules for blocking collective operations in threaded environments.

*Rationale.* Matching blocking and nonblocking collective operations is not allowed because the implementation might use different communication algorithms for the two

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $46 \\ 47$ 

	198	CHAPTER 5.	COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1 2 3	tion, while nonble		optimized for minimal time to comple- may balance time to completion with
4 5 6	The use of tags for $(End \ of \ rationale.)$	· · ·	revent certain hardware optimizations.
7 8 9 10 11	-	ons, then a nonblocking coll	re matching blocking and nonblocking ective operation can be initiated and to emulate blocking behavior. ( <i>End of</i>
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as its blocking counterp tion. Likewise, upon con- effect as their blocking reduction orders apply. The use of the "in blocking collective oper as both send and receiv	part for intracommunicators mpletion, nonblocking collect counterparts, and the same place" option is allowed exact ations. When using the "in p	collective operation has the same effect and intercommunicators after comple- ive reduction operations have the same restrictions and recommendations on ctly as described for the corresponding place" option, message buffers function d not be modified or accessed until the
20 21 22 23	0	For nonblocking collective op bint operations, refer to Secti	perations are similar to progression of on $3.7.4$ .
24 25 26 27	local execution sc	8	ve operations can be implemented with ag point-to-point communication and a <i>ntors.</i> )
28 29 30	5.12.1 Nonblocking E	Barrier Synchronization	
31 32	MPI_IBARRIER(comm ,	request)	
33	IN comm	communica	tor (handle)
34 35	OUT request	communica	tion request (handle)
36 37	int MPI_Ibarrier(MPI	[_Comm comm, MPI_Request	*request)
38 39 40 41 42 43	-	INTENT(IN) :: comm 5), INTENT(OUT) :: reque AL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierre REQUEST, IERROR)	
44 45 46 47 48	MPI_IBARRIER is a process notifies that	a nonblocking version of MPI, it has reached the barrier. T	BARRIER. By calling MPI_IBARRIER, The call returns immediately, indepen- BARRIER. The usual barrier semantics

are enforced at the corresponding completion operation (test or wait), which in the intracommunicator case will complete only after all other processes in the communicator have called MPI\_IBARRIER. In the intercommunicator case, it will complete when all processes in the remote group have called MPI\_IBARRIER.

Advice to users. A nonblocking barrier can be used to hide latency. Moving independent computations between the MPI\_IBARRIER and the subsequent completion call can overlap the barrier latency and therefore shorten possible waiting times. The semantic properties are also useful when mixing collective operations and point-to-point messages. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 5.12.2 Nonblocking Broadcast

MPI\_IBCAST(buffer, count, datatype, root, comm, request)

INOUT	buffer	starting address of buffer (choice)	17
IN	count	number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)	18
IN	datatype	data type of buffer (handle)	19
IN	root	rank of broadcast root (integer)	20 21
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	21
OUT			23
001	request	communication request (handle)	24
int MDT	Theast (woid + huffor int	count, MPI_Datatype datatype, int root,	25
IIIC FIFI_	MPI_Comm comm, MPI_F		26
	- -		27
		e, root, comm, request, ierror)	28 29
	(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC GER, INTENT(IN) :: count		30
	(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	-	31
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::		32
	(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT		33
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	34
MPT TRCA	ST (BUFFER COUNT DATATYP	E, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)	35
	e> BUFFER(*)		36
51	GER COUNT, DATATYPE, ROOT	, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR	37 38
Thia	all starts a pophlashing varia	ant of MPI_BCAST (see Section $5.4$ ).	39
1 1115	can starts a nonbiocking varia	ant of MFT_BCAST (see Section 5.4).	40
Example u	sing MPI_IBCAST		41
⊾∧ampie u			42

The example in this section uses an intracommunicator.

### Example 5.24

Start a broadcast of 100 ints from process 0 to every process in the group, perform some computation on independent data, and then complete the outstanding broadcast operation.

199

1 2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10 11 12

13 14 15

16

43 44

45

46

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	int int MPI  MPI .comj	pute(array2, 100)	00, MPI_INT, root, comm, &req); ;
8 9	MPI.	_Wait(&req, MPI_S	TATUS_IGNORE);
10 11 12	5.12.3	Nonblocking Gather	
13 14 15	MPI_IGA	THER(sendbuf, send request)	count, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm,
15 16	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
17 18	IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
19 20	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
20 21 22	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)
23 24	IN	recvcount	number of elements for any single receive (non-negative integer, significant only at root)
25 26 27	IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)
28	IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)
29	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
30 31	OUT	request	communication request (handle)
32 33 34 35	int MPI	void* recvb	id* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, ouf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root, omm, MPI_Request *request)
36	MPI_Iga		dcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
37 38	ורועיד		request, ierror)
39			<pre>.), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf .), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf</pre>
40			:: sendcount, recvcount, root
41			INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
42 43		E(MPI_Comm), INTE	
44		-	NTENT(OUT) :: request NTENT(OUT) :: ierror
45			
46	MP1_1GA		DCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)
47 48	<ty]< th=""><th>pe&gt; SENDBUF(*), R</th><th></th></ty]<>	pe> SENDBUF(*), R	

INTEC IERRO		RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST,	1 2 3
This o	call starts a nonblocking varia	nt of $MPI_GATHER$ (see Section 5.5).	3 4
			5
MPI_IGAT	HERV(sendbuf, sendcount, se comm, request)	ndtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, root,	6 7
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	8 9
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	10 11
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	12
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at root)	13 14 15
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) con- taining the number of elements that are received from each process (significant only at root)	16 17 18
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement relative to <b>recvbuf</b> at which to place the incoming data from process i (significant only at root)	19 20 21 22 23
IN	recvtype	data type of recv buffer elements (significant only at root) (handle)	23 24 25
IN	root	rank of receiving process (integer)	26
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	27
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	28 29
int MPI_]	void* recvbuf, const	<pre>buf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype, int recvcounts[], const int displs[], e, int root, MPI_Comm comm, c)</pre>	30 31 32 33 34
MPI_Igath	nerv(sendbuf, sendcount,	sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs,	35 36
	recvtype, root, comm	-	37
	(*), DIMENSION(), INIEN (*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC	T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf HRONOUS :: recybuf	38
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: sendc		39
		NOUS :: recvcounts(*), displs(*)	40 41
	(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)		41
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT)		43
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	-	44
			45
MP1_1GAT	RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM	SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DISPLS,	46 47
<type< td=""><td><pre>&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</pre></td><td>·, ···································</td><td>48</td></type<>	<pre>&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)</pre>	·, ···································	48

```
202
                                          CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1
          INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), DISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, ROOT,
\mathbf{2}
          COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
3
         This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI_GATHERV (see Section 5.5).
4
5
     5.12.4 Nonblocking Scatter
6
7
8
9
     MPI_ISCATTER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root, comm,
10
                     request)
11
       IN
                  sendbuf
                                             address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)
12
       IN
                  sendcount
                                             number of elements sent to each process (non-negative
13
                                             integer, significant only at root)
14
15
       IN
                  sendtype
                                             data type of send buffer elements (significant only at
16
                                             root) (handle)
17
       OUT
                  recvbuf
                                             address of receive buffer (choice)
18
       IN
                                             number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in-
                  recvcount
19
                                             teger)
20
       IN
                                             data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
21
                  recvtype
22
       IN
                  root
                                             rank of sending process (integer)
23
       IN
                                             communicator (handle)
                  comm
^{24}
       OUT
25
                 request
                                             communication request (handle)
26
27
     int MPI_Iscatter(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype sendtype,
28
                     void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype, int root,
29
                    MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
30
     MPI_Iscatter(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
^{31}
                    root, comm, request, ierror)
32
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf
33
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf
34
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount, root
35
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
36
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
37
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  request
38
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
39
40
     MPI_ISCATTER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE,
41
                    ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
42
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
43
          INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST,
44
          IERROR
45
         This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI_SCATTER (see Section 5.6).
46
47
48
```

MPI_IS	CATTERV(sendbuf, sendcount comm, request)	s, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, root,	$\frac{1}{2}$
IN	sendbuf	address of send buffer (choice, significant only at root)	3
IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements to send to each rank	4 5 6
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to <b>sendbuf</b> ) from which to take the outgoing data to process i	7 8 9
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	10 11
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)	12
IN	recvcount	number of elements in receive buffer (non-negative in- teger)	13 14
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	15
IN	root	rank of sending process (integer)	16 17
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	18
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	19
		- 、 ,	20 21
int MP	I_Iscatterv(const void* s	endbuf, const int sendcounts[], const	22
	-	_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf,	23
		[_Datatype recvtype, int root, MPI_Comm comm,	24
	MPI_Request *reque		25
MPI_Is		ts, displs, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,	26
	• 1	omm, request, ierror)	27 28
		ENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf	28 29
	PE(*), DIMENSION(), ASY		30
	TEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCH TEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rec	RONOUS :: sendcounts(*), displs(*)	31
		IN) :: sendtype, recvtype	32
	PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN)		33
	PE(MPI_Request), INTENT(0		34
	TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(O	-	35
WDT TO			36
MP1_1S		TS, DISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT,	37
/+	<pre>ype&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(</pre>	DMM, REQUEST, IERROR)	38
	-	*) LS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, ROOT,	39
	MM, REQUEST, IERROR		40 41
			41
'I'h	is call starts a nonblocking va	riant of $MPI_SCATTERV$ (see Section 5.6).	43
			44

	204		CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION
1 2 3	5.12.5	Nonblocking Gather-to-	all
4 5	MPI_IA	LLGATHER(sendbuf, send request)	count, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, comm,
6 7	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
8 9	IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)
10	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
11 12	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)
13 14	IN	recvcount	number of elements received from any process (non-negative integer)
15	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
16 17	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
18	OUT	request	communication request (handle)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	MPI_Ia TYI TYI IN TYI TYI IN MPI_IA <t;< td=""><td>MPI_Datatype s MPI_Datatype r llgather(sendbuf, sen comm, request, PE(*), DIMENSION(), PE(*), DIMENSION(), TEGER, INTENT(IN) :: PE(MPI_Datatype), INT PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT( PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT( PE(MPI_Request), INTE TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTE LLGATHER(SENDBUF, SEN COMM, REQUEST, ype&gt; SENDBUF(*), RECV</td><td><pre>INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf sendcount, recvcount ENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype IN) :: comm NT(OUT) :: request NT(OUT) :: ierror DCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, IERROR)</pre></td></t;<>	MPI_Datatype s MPI_Datatype r llgather(sendbuf, sen comm, request, PE(*), DIMENSION(), PE(*), DIMENSION(), TEGER, INTENT(IN) :: PE(MPI_Datatype), INT PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT( PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT( PE(MPI_Request), INTE TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTE LLGATHER(SENDBUF, SEN COMM, REQUEST, ype> SENDBUF(*), RECV	<pre>INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf sendcount, recvcount ENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype IN) :: comm NT(OUT) :: request NT(OUT) :: ierror DCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, IERROR)</pre>
<ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> <li>48</li> </ol>		-	ag variant of MPI_ALLGATHER (see Section 5.7).

MPI_IALLG	ATHERV(sendbuf, sendcount, request)	sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, recvtype, comm,	1 2	
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	3	
IN	sendcount	number of elements in send buffer (non-negative integer)	4 5 6	
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	7	
OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)	8	
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length group size) con-	9 10	
		taining the number of elements that are received from each process	11 12	
IN	displs	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i	13 14 15	
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	16 17	
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	18	
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	19	
<pre>int MPI_Iallgatherv(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount,</pre>				

1 5.12.6 Nonblocking All-to-All Scatter/Gather  $\mathbf{2}$ 3 4 MPI\_IALLTOALL(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recybuf, recycount, recytype, comm, request) 56 IN sendbuf starting address of send buffer (choice) 7 IN sendcount 8 number of elements sent to each process (non-negative 9 integer) 10 IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) 11 OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice) 12IN number of elements received from any process (non-13 recvcount 14negative integer) 15IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) 16IN communicator (handle) comm 17OUT 18 request communication request (handle) 1920int MPI\_Ialltoall(const void\* sendbuf, int sendcount, 21MPI\_Datatype sendtype, void\* recvbuf, int recvcount, 22 MPI\_Datatype recvtype, MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Request \*request) 23MPI\_Ialltoall(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype, 24comm, request, ierror) 25TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf 26TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf 27INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount 28TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype 29 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 30 TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request  $^{31}$ INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 32 33 MPI\_IALLTOALL(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, 34COMM, REQUEST, IERROR) 35 <type> SENDBUF(\*), RECVBUF(\*) 36 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 37 This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI\_ALLTOALL (see Section 5.8). 38 39 40 41 4243 44 4546 4748

<pre>N sendbuf starting address of send buffer (choice) N sendcounts non-negative integer array (of length group size) specifying the number of elements to send to each rank IN sdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process j IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice) IN recvcounts non-negative integer array (of length group size) specifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank IN rdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) OUT request communicator (handle) OUT request communicator (handle) IN comm communicator (handle) IN comm communication request (handle) IN the stispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_Ialltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request </pre>	MPI_IAL	LTOALLV(sendbuf, sendc recvtype, comm, r	ounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, request)	1 2		
<pre>ifying the number of elements to send to each rank ifying the number of elements to send to each rank integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process j IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice) IN recvcounts non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank IN rdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) OUT request communicator (handle) OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_Ialltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Caquest), INTENT(IN) :: request </pre>	IN			3		
<pre>the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to     take the outgoing data destined for process j  IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice) IN recvcounts non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec-     ifying the number of elements that can be received     from each rank IN rdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies     the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place         the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) OUT request OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const         int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, recvcounts,         rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*),     recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype     TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm     TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request </pre>	IN	sendcounts		4 5 6		
OUT       recvbuf       address of receive buffer (choice)         IN       recvcounts       non-negative integer array (of length group size) specifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank         IN       rdispls       integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i         IN       recvtype       data type of receive buffer elements (handle)         IN       comm       communicator (handle)         OUT       request       communication request (handle)         int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)         MPI_lalltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror)         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf         INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf         INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm         TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request	IN	sdispls	the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to	7 8 9		
<pre>IN recvcounts non-negative integer array (of length group size) spec- ifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank IN rdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) IN comm communicator (handle) OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_Ialltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request</pre>	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	10 11		
<pre>ifying the number of elements that can be received from each rank IN rdispls integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) IN comm communicator (handle) OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_lalltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), recvcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(IN) :: request</pre>	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)	11		
<pre>the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i IN recvtype data type of receive buffer elements (handle) IN comm communicator (handle) OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_Ialltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request</pre>	IN	recvcounts	ifying the number of elements that can be received	13 14 15		
<pre>IN comm communicator (handle) OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const</pre>	IN	rdispls	the displacement (relative to $recvbuf)$ at which to place	16 17 18 19		
<pre>OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const</pre>	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	20		
<pre>int MPI_Ialltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const</pre>	IN	comm	communicator (handle)	21 22		
<pre>int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request) MPI_Ialltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request</pre>	OUT	OUT     request     communication request (handle)				
<pre>rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request</pre>	<pre>int sdispls[], MPI_Datatype sendtype, void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], MPI_Datatype recvtype,</pre>					
	<pre>rdispls, recvtype, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request</pre>			28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		
<pre>MPI_IALLTOALLV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS,</pre>	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47					

12	MPI_IALLTOALLW(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtypes, comm, request)				
3	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)		
4 5 6 7	IN	sendcounts	integer array (of length group size) specifying the num- ber of elements to send to each rank (array of non- negative integers)		
8 9 10 11	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry j specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for process j (array of integers)		
12 13 14	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry j spec- ifies the type of data to send to process j (array of handles)		
15 16	OUT	recvbuf	address of receive buffer (choice)		
17 18 19	IN	recvcounts	integer array (of length group size) specifying the num- ber of elements that can be received from each rank (array of non-negative integers)		
20 21 22 23	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length group size). Entry i specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from process i (array of integers)		
24 25 26	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length group size). Entry i spec- ifies the type of data received from process i (array of handles)		
27 28	IN comm communicator (handle)				
29	OUT	request	communication request (handle)		
30 31 32 33 34 35	<pre>int MPI_Ialltoallw(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const int sdispls[], const MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const int rdispls[], const MPI_Datatype recvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)</pre>				
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<pre>MPI_Ialltoallw(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, rdispls, recvtypes, comm, request, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), sdispls(*), recvcounts(*), rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendtypes(*), recvtypes(*) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>				
47 48	MPI_IALL		NTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPES, RECVBUF, S, RECVTYPES, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)		

<type> SENDBUF(\*), RECVBUF(\*) 1 2 INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(\*), SDISPLS(\*), SENDTYPES(\*), RECVCOUNTS(\*), 3 RDISPLS(\*), RECVTYPES(\*), COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 4 This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI\_ALLTOALLW (see Section 5.8). 5 6 5.12.7 Nonblocking Reduce 7 9 MPI\_IREDUCE(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm, request) 10 11 IN sendbuf address of send buffer (choice) 12OUT recvbuf address of receive buffer (choice, significant only at 13 root) 14IN number of elements in send buffer (non-negative intecount 1516ger) 17IN data type of elements of send buffer (handle) datatype 18 IN reduce operation (handle) op 19 IN rank of root process (integer) root 2021IN comm communicator (handle) 22 OUT request communication request (handle) 2324int MPI\_Ireduce(const void\* sendbuf, void\* recvbuf, int count, 25MPI\_Datatype datatype, MPI\_Op op, int root, MPI\_Comm comm, 26MPI\_Request \*request) 2728 MPI\_Ireduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, root, comm, request, 29ierror) 30 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf 31TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf 32 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, root 33 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 34 TYPE(MPI\_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op 35 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 36 TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 37 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 38 MPI\_IREDUCE(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, 39 IERROR) 40 <type> SENDBUF(\*), RECVBUF(\*) 41 INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, ROOT, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR 4243 This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI\_REDUCE (see Section 5.9.1). 44The implementation is explicitly allowed to use different 45Advice to implementors. 46

algorithms for blocking and nonblocking reduction operations that might change the order of evaluation of the operations. However, as for MPI\_REDUCE, it is strongly recommended that MPI\_IREDUCE be implemented so that the same result be obtained 48

	210	CI	HAPTER 5.	COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION		
1 2 3 4 5	whenever the function is applied on the same arguments, appearing in the same order. Note that this may prevent optimizations that take advantage of the physical location of processes. ( <i>End of advice to implementors.</i> ) <i>Advice to users.</i> For operations which are not truly associative, the result delivered					
6 7 8 9	ered	-	0	may not exactly equal the result deliv- ifying the same arguments in the same		
10 11 12	5.12.8 No	onblocking All-Reduce				
13	MPI_IALLF	REDUCE(sendbuf, recvbuf, cou	nt, datatype,	op, comm, request)		
14 15	IN	sendbuf	starting add	lress of send buffer (choice)		
16	OUT	recvbuf	starting add	lress of receive buffer (choice)		
17 18 19	IN	count	number of e ger)	lements in send buffer (non-negative inte-		
20	IN	datatype	data type of	f elements of send buffer (handle)		
21	IN	ор	operation (l	handle)		
22 23	IN	comm	communicat	for (handle)		
23	OUT	request	communicat	tion request (handle)		
25 26 27 28	int MPI_Iallreduce(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)					
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	<pre>MPI_Iallreduce(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request,</pre>					

5.12.	NONBLOCKING COLLEC	TIVE OPERATIONS 211	
5.12.9	Nonblocking Reduce-Scat	ter with Equal Blocks	1 2
MPI_	REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK	(sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcount, datatype, op, comm, request)	3 4 5
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	6 7
OU	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	8
IN	recvcount	element count per block (non-negative integer)	9 10
IN	datatype	data type of elements of send and receive buffers (han- dle)	10 11 12
IN	ор	operation (handle)	13
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	14 15
OU	request	communication request (handle)	16
	int recvcount, 1 MPI_Comm comm, 1	c(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Request *request) adbuf, recvbuf, recvcount, datatype, op, comm,	17 18 19 20 21
ר ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו	request, ierror	TITENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf SYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf recvcount IT(IN) :: datatype :: op I) :: comm C(OUT) :: request	22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
<	REQUEST, IERROR type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBU		32 33 34 35
	This call starts a nonblocking .10.1).	g variant of $MPI\_REDUCE\_SCATTER\_BLOCK$ (see Sec-	36 37 38
			39 40
			41
			42
			43 44
			44 45
			46
			47
			48

```
Nonblocking Reduce-Scatter
1
     5.12.10
\mathbf{2}
3
4
     MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER(sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcounts, datatype, op, comm, request)
5
       IN
                 sendbuf
                                             starting address of send buffer (choice)
6
       OUT
7
                 recvbuf
                                             starting address of receive buffer (choice)
8
       IN
                 recvcounts
                                             non-negative integer array specifying the number of
9
                                             elements in result distributed to each process. Array
10
                                             must be identical on all calling processes.
11
       IN
                                             data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
                 datatype
12
       IN
                                             operation (handle)
13
                 ор
14
       IN
                 comm
                                             communicator (handle)
15
       OUT
                                             communication request (handle)
                 request
16
17
     int MPI_Ireduce_scatter(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, const
18
                     int recvcounts[], MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op,
19
                     MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Request *request)
20
21
     MPI_Ireduce_scatter(sendbuf, recvbuf, recvcounts, datatype, op, comm,
22
                     request, ierror)
23
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf
^{24}
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf
25
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvcounts(*)
26
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
27
          TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
28
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
29
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request
30
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
^{31}
     MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, DATATYPE, OP, COMM,
32
                     REQUEST, IERROR)
33
34
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
          INTEGER RECVCOUNTS(*), DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
35
36
         This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER (see Section 5.10.2).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

CHAPTER 5. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION

# 5.12.11 Nonblocking Inclusive Scan

5.12.11	Nonbiocking inclusion		2
			3
MPI_ISC	AN(sendbuf, recvbuf, o	count, datatype, op, comm, request)	4
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	5 6
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	7
IN	count	number of elements in input buffer (non-negative in-	8
		teger)	9
IN	datatype	data type of elements of input buffer (handle)	10 11
IN	ор	operation (handle)	12
IN	comm	communicator (handle)	13
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	14 15
			16
int MPI		sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,	17
	MPI_Datatype MPI_Request	e datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,	18
	-	-	19 20
		f, count, datatype, op, comm, request, ierror) ), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf	21
		), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf	22
	EGER, INTENT(IN) :		23 24
		NTENT(IN) :: datatype	24 25
	E(MPI_Op), INTENT( E(MPI_Comm), INTEN	•	26
		TENT(OUT) :: request	27
INT	EGER, OPTIONAL, IN	TENT(OUT) :: ierror	28 29
MPI_ISC	AN(SENDBUF, RECVBU	F, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)	30
•	pe> SENDBUF(*), RE		31
INT	EGER COUNT, DATATY	PE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR	32 33
Thi	s call starts a nonbloc	king variant of $MPI_SCAN$ (see Section 5.11).	33 34
			35
			36
			37 38
			39
			40
			41
			42 43
			43
			45
			46
			47 48

```
5.12.12 Nonblocking Exclusive Scan
1
\mathbf{2}
3
4
     MPI_IEXSCAN(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request)
5
       IN
                 sendbuf
                                              starting address of send buffer (choice)
6
       OUT
\overline{7}
                 recvbuf
                                              starting address of receive buffer (choice)
8
       IN
                                              number of elements in input buffer (non-negative in-
                 count
9
                                              teger)
10
       IN
                 datatype
                                              data type of elements of input buffer (handle)
11
       IN
                                              operation (handle)
                 ор
12
13
       IN
                 comm
                                              intracommunicator (handle)
14
       OUT
                                              communication request (handle)
                 request
15
16
     int MPI_Iexscan(const void* sendbuf, void* recvbuf, int count,
17
                     MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Comm comm,
18
                     MPI_Request *request)
19
20
     MPI_Iexscan(sendbuf, recvbuf, count, datatype, op, comm, request, ierror)
21
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS ::
                                                                        sendbuf
22
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf
23
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
^{24}
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
25
          TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op
26
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
27
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request
28
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
29
     MPI_IEXSCAN(SENDBUF, RECVBUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
30
          <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
^{31}
          INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, OP, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR
32
33
          This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI_EXSCAN (see Section 5.11.2).
34
35
     5.13
             Correctness
36
37
     A correct, portable program must invoke collective communications so that deadlock will not
38
     occur, whether collective communications are synchronizing or not. The following examples
39
     illustrate dangerous use of collective routines on intracommunicators.
40
```

```
Example 5.25
```

The following is erroneous.

43 44

41

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

<pre>switch(rank) {</pre>	1
case 0:	2
<pre>MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);</pre>	3
<pre>MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 1, comm);</pre>	4
break;	5
case 1:	6
<pre>MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 1, comm);</pre>	7
<pre>MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);</pre>	8
break;	9
}	10
	11

We assume that the group of comm is  $\{0,1\}$ . Two processes execute two broadcast operations in reverse order. If the operation is synchronizing then a deadlock will occur.

Collective operations must be executed in the same order at all members of the communication group.

### Example 5.26

The following is erroneous.

```
switch(rank) {
   case 0:
        MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm0);
        MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 2, comm2);
        break;
   case 1:
        MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 1, comm1);
        MPI_Bcast(buf2, count, type, 0, comm0);
        break;
   case 2:
        MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 2, comm2);
        MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 1, comm1);
        break;
}
```

}

Assume that the group of comm0 is  $\{0,1\}$ , of comm1 is  $\{1, 2\}$  and of comm2 is  $\{2,0\}$ . If the broadcast is a synchronizing operation, then there is a cyclic dependency: the broadcast in comm2 completes only after the broadcast in comm0; the broadcast in comm0 completes only after the broadcast in comm1; and the broadcast in comm1 completes only after the broadcast in comm1; and the broadcast in comm1 completes only after the broadcast in comm2. Thus, the code will deadlock.

Collective operations must be executed in an order so that no cyclic dependencies occur. Nonblocking collective operations can alleviate this issue.

### Example 5.27

The following is erroneous.


 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $41 \\ 42$ 

```
1
      switch(rank) {
\mathbf{2}
          case 0:
3
               MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
4
               MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
5
               break;
6
          case 1:
7
               MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, 0, tag, comm, status);
8
               MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
9
               break;
10
     }
11
          Process zero executes a broadcast, followed by a blocking send operation. Process one
12
      first executes a blocking receive that matches the send, followed by broadcast call that
13
      matches the broadcast of process zero. This program may deadlock. The broadcast call on
14
      process zero may block until process one executes the matching broadcast call, so that the
15
      send is not executed. Process one will definitely block on the receive and so, in this case,
16
      never executes the broadcast.
17
          The relative order of execution of collective operations and point-to-point operations
18
     should be such, so that even if the collective operations and the point-to-point operations
19
      are synchronizing, no deadlock will occur.
20
21
      Example 5.28
22
          An unsafe, non-deterministic program.
23
^{24}
     switch(rank) {
25
          case 0:
26
               MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
27
               MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
28
               break;
29
          case 1:
30
               MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, MPI_ANY_SOURCE, tag, comm, status);
31
               MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
32
               MPI_Recv(buf2, count, type, MPI_ANY_SOURCE, tag, comm, status);
33
               break;
34
          case 2:
35
               MPI_Send(buf2, count, type, 1, tag, comm);
36
               MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
37
               break;
38
      }
39
40
          All three processes participate in a broadcast. Process 0 sends a message to process
^{41}
      1 after the broadcast, and process 2 sends a message to process 1 before the broadcast.
42
      Process 1 receives before and after the broadcast, with a wildcard source argument.
43
          Two possible executions of this program, with different matchings of sends and receives,
^{44}
      are illustrated in Figure 5.12. Note that the second execution has the peculiar effect that
45
```

<sup>45</sup> a send executed after the broadcast is received at another node before the broadcast. This
<sup>46</sup> example illustrates the fact that one should not rely on collective communication functions
<sup>47</sup> to have particular synchronization effects. A program that works correctly only when the
<sup>48</sup> first execution occurs (only when broadcast is synchronizing) is erroneous.



executing, collective communication call at a process. In these situations, it is the user's responsibility to ensure that the same communicator is not used concurrently by two different collective communication calls at the same process.

Advice to implementors. Assume that broadcast is implemented using point-to-point MPI communication. Suppose the following two rules are followed.

- 1. All receives specify their source explicitly (no wildcards).
- 2. Each process sends all messages that pertain to one collective call before sending any message that pertain to a subsequent collective call.

Then, messages belonging to successive broadcasts cannot be confused, as the order of point-to-point messages is preserved.

It is the implementor's responsibility to ensure that point-to-point messages are not confused with collective messages. One way to accomplish this is, whenever a communicator is created, to also create a "hidden communicator" for collective communication. One could achieve a similar effect more cheaply, for example, by using a hidden tag or context bit to indicate whether the communicator is used for point-to-point or collective communication. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

### Example 5.29

Blocking and nonblocking collective operations can be interleaved, i.e., a blocking collective operation can be posted even if there is a nonblocking collective operation outstanding.  $^{24}$ 

```
1
     MPI_Request req;
\mathbf{2}
3
     MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
4
     MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
\mathbf{5}
     MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
6
          Each process starts a nonblocking barrier operation, participates in a blocking broad-
7
     cast and then waits until every other process started the barrier operation. This ef-
8
     fectively turns the broadcast into a synchronizing broadcast with possible communica-
9
     tion/communication overlap (MPI_Bcast is allowed, but not required to synchronize).
10
11
     Example 5.30
12
          The starting order of collective operations on a particular communicator defines their
13
     matching. The following example shows an erroneous matching of different collective oper-
14
     ations on the same communicator.
15
16
     MPI_Request req;
17
     switch(rank) {
18
          case 0:
19
               /* erroneous matching */
20
              MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
21
              MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
22
              MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
23
              break;
24
          case 1:
25
               /* erroneous matching */
26
              MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm);
27
              MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
28
              MPI_Wait(&reg, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
29
              break;
30
     }
^{31}
32
          This ordering would match MPI_Ibarrier on rank 0 with MPI_Bcast on rank 1 which is
33
     erroneous and the program behavior is undefined. However, if such an order is required, the
34
     user must create different duplicate communicators and perform the operations on them.
35
     If started with two processes, the following program would be correct:
36
37
     MPI_Request req;
38
     MPI_Comm dupcomm;
39
     MPI_Comm_dup(comm, &dupcomm);
40
     switch(rank) {
41
          case 0:
42
              MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
43
              MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, dupcomm);
44
              MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
45
              break;
46
          case 1:
47
              MPI_Bcast(buf1, count, type, 0, dupcomm);
48
              MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
```

```
MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
break;
```

}

Advice to users. The use of different communicators offers some flexibility regarding the matching of nonblocking collective operations. In this sense, communicators could be used as an equivalent to tags. However, communicator construction might induce overheads so that this should be used carefully. (End of advice to users.)

### Example 5.31

Nonblocking collective operations can rely on the same progression rules as nonblocking point-to-point messages. Thus, if started with two processes, the following program is a valid MPI program and is guaranteed to terminate:

```
MPI_Request req;
```

```
switch(rank) {
   case 0:
    MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
    MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    MPI_Send(buf, count, dtype, 1, tag, comm);
    break;
   case 1:
    MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &req);
    MPI_Recv(buf, count, dtype, 0, tag, comm, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
    break;
```

```
}
```

The MPI library must progress the barrier in the MPI\_Recv call. Thus, the MPI\_Wait call in rank 0 will eventually complete, which enables the matching MPI\_Send so all calls eventually return.

# Example 5.32

Blocking and nonblocking collective operations do not match. The following example is erroneous.

```
MPI_Request req;
switch(rank) {
    case 0:
        /* erroneous false matching of Alltoall and Ialltoall */
        MPI_Ialltoall(sbuf, scnt, stype, rbuf, rcnt, rtype, comm, &req);
        MPI_Wait(&req, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
        break;
    case 1:
        /* erroneous false matching of Alltoall and Ialltoall */
        MPI_Alltoall(sbuf, scnt, stype, rbuf, rcnt, rtype, comm);
        break;
}
```

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

```
1
     Example 5.33
\mathbf{2}
          Collective and point-to-point requests can be mixed in functions that enable multiple
3
      completions. If started with two processes, the following program is valid.
4
     MPI_Request reqs[2];
\mathbf{5}
6
\overline{7}
     switch(rank) {
8
          case 0:
            MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &reqs[0]);
9
            MPI_Send(buf, count, dtype, 1, tag, comm);
10
            MPI_Wait(&reqs[0], MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
11
            break;
12
          case 1:
13
            MPI_Irecv(buf, count, dtype, 0, tag, comm, &reqs[0]);
14
            MPI_Ibarrier(comm, &reqs[1]);
15
16
            MPI_Waitall(2, regs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
17
            break;
     }
18
19
          The MPI_Waitall call returns only after the barrier and the receive completed.
20
21
     Example 5.34
22
          Multiple nonblocking collective operations can be outstanding on a single communicator
23
      and match in order.
24
25
     MPI_Request reqs[3];
26
27
      compute(buf1);
28
     MPI_Ibcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[0]);
29
      compute(buf2);
30
     MPI_Ibcast(buf2, count, type, 0, comm, &regs[1]);
^{31}
      compute(buf3);
32
     MPI_Ibcast(buf3, count, type, 0, comm, &regs[2]);
33
     MPI_Waitall(3, reqs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
34
35
           Advice to users. Pipelining and double-buffering techniques can efficiently be used
36
           to overlap computation and communication. However, having too many outstanding
37
           requests might have a negative impact on performance. (End of advice to users.)
38
39
           Advice to implementors.
                                       The use of pipelining may generate many outstanding
40
           requests. A high-quality hardware-supported implementation with limited resources
41
           should be able to fall back to a software implementation if its resources are exhausted.
42
           In this way, the implementation could limit the number of outstanding requests only
43
           by the available memory. (End of advice to implementors.)
44
45
46
      Example 5.35
47
48
```




Figure 5.13: Example with overlapping communicators.

Nonblocking collective operations can also be used to enable simultaneous collective operations on multiple overlapping communicators (see Figure 5.13). The following example is started with three processes and three communicators. The first communicator comm1 includes ranks 0 and 1, comm2 includes ranks 1 and 2, and comm3 spans ranks 0 and 2. It is not possible to perform a blocking collective operation on all communicators because there exists no deadlock-free order to invoke them. However, nonblocking collective operations can easily be used to achieve this task.

```
MPI_Request reqs[2];
```

```
switch(rank) {
    case 0:
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf1, rbuf1, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm1, &reqs[0]);
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf3, rbuf3, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm3, &reqs[1]);
                                                                                   27
      break;
                                                                                   28
    case 1:
                                                                                   29
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf1, rbuf1, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm1, &reqs[0]);
                                                                                   30
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf2, rbuf2, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm2, &reqs[1]);
                                                                                   31
      break;
                                                                                   33
    case 2:
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf2, rbuf2, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm2, &reqs[0]);
                                                                                   34
      MPI_Iallreduce(sbuf3, rbuf3, count, dtype, MPI_SUM, comm3, &reqs[1]);
                                                                                   35
      break;
                                                                                   36
}
                                                                                   37
MPI_Waitall(2, reqs, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
                                                                                   38
```

Advice to users. This method can be useful if overlapping neighboring regions (halo or ghost zones) are used in collective operations. The sequence of the two calls in each process is irrelevant because the two nonblocking operations are performed on different communicators. (End of advice to users.)

### Example 5.36

The progress of multiple outstanding nonblocking collective operations is completely independent.

221

1213 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22 23

 $^{24}$ 25

26

32

39

40

41

42

43 4445

46

47

```
1
     MPI_Request reqs[2];
\mathbf{2}
3
     compute(buf1);
4
     MPI_Ibcast(buf1, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[0]);
5
     compute(buf2);
6
     MPI_Ibcast(buf2, count, type, 0, comm, &reqs[1]);
7
     MPI_Wait(&reqs[1], MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
8
     /* nothing is known about the status of the first bcast here */
9
     MPI_Wait(&reqs[0], MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
10
          Finishing the second MPI_IBCAST is completely independent of the first one. This
11
     means that it is not guaranteed that the first broadcast operation is finished or even started
12
13
     after the second one is completed via reqs[1].
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

## Chapter 6

## Groups, Contexts, Communicators, and Caching

 $^{24}$ 

#### 6.1 Introduction

This chapter introduces MPI features that support the development of parallel libraries. Parallel libraries are needed to encapsulate the distracting complications inherent in parallel implementations of key algorithms. They help to ensure consistent correctness of such procedures, and provide a "higher level" of portability than MPI itself can provide. As such, libraries prevent each programmer from repeating the work of defining consistent data structures, data layouts, and methods that implement key algorithms (such as matrix operations). Since the best libraries come with several variations on parallel systems (different data layouts, different strategies depending on the size of the system or problem, or type of floating point), this too needs to be hidden from the user.

We refer the reader to [55] and [3] for further information on writing libraries in MPI, using the features described in this chapter.

#### 6.1.1 Features Needed to Support Libraries

The key features needed to support the creation of robust parallel libraries are as follows:

- Safe communication space, that guarantees that libraries can communicate as they need to, without conflicting with communication extraneous to the library,
- Group scope for collective operations, that allow libraries to avoid unnecessarily synchronizing uninvolved processes (potentially running unrelated code),
- Abstract process naming to allow libraries to describe their communication in terms suitable to their own data structures and algorithms,
- The ability to "adorn" a set of communicating processes with additional user-defined attributes, such as extra collective operations. This mechanism should provide a means for the user or library writer effectively to extend a message-passing notation.

In addition, a unified mechanism or object is needed for conveniently denoting communication context, the group of communicating processes, to house abstract process naming, and to store adornments.

#### 6.1.2 MPI's Support for Libraries

The corresponding concepts that MPI provides, specifically to support robust libraries, are as follows:

- *Contexts* of communication,
- *Groups* of processes,
- Virtual topologies,
- Attribute caching,
- Communicators.

<sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup> Communicators (see [21, 53, 57]) encapsulate all of these ideas in order to provide the <sup>15</sup> appropriate scope for all communication operations in MPI. Communicators are divided <sup>16</sup> into two kinds: intra-communicators for operations within a single group of processes and <sup>17</sup> inter-communicators for operations between two groups of processes.

<sup>19</sup> Caching. Communicators (see below) provide a "caching" mechanism that allows one to <sup>20</sup> associate new attributes with communicators, on par with MPI built-in features. This can <sup>21</sup> be used by advanced users to adorn communicators further, and by MPI to implement <sup>22</sup> some communicator functions. For example, the virtual-topology functions described in <sup>23</sup> Chapter 7 are likely to be supported this way.

 $^{24}$ 

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

18

Groups. Groups define an ordered collection of processes, each with a rank, and it is this group that defines the low-level names for inter-process communication (ranks are used for sending and receiving). Thus, groups define a scope for process names in point-to-point communication. In addition, groups define the scope of collective operations. Groups may be manipulated separately from communicators in MPI, but only communicators can be used in communication operations.

31

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Intra-communicators. The most commonly used means for message passing in MPI is via
 intra-communicators. Intra-communicators contain an instance of a group, contexts of
 communication for both point-to-point and collective communication, and the ability to
 include virtual topology and other attributes. These features work as follows:

• Contexts provide the ability to have separate safe "universes" of message-passing in MPI. A context is akin to an additional tag that differentiates messages. The system manages this differentiation process. The use of separate communication contexts by distinct libraries (or distinct library invocations) insulates communication internal to the library execution from external communication. This allows the invocation of the library even if there are pending communications on "other" communicators, and avoids the need to synchronize entry or exit into library code. Pending point-to-point communications are also guaranteed not to interfere with collective communications within a single communicator.

- $45 \\ 46$
- *Groups* define the participants in the communication (see above) of a communicator.
- 47 48

- A *virtual topology* defines a special mapping of the ranks in a group to and from a topology. Special constructors for communicators are defined in Chapter 7 to provide this feature. Intra-communicators as described in this chapter do not have topologies.
- *Attributes* define the local information that the user or library has added to a communicator for later reference.

Advice to users. The practice in many communication libraries is that there is a unique, predefined communication universe that includes all processes available when the parallel program is initiated; the processes are assigned consecutive ranks. Participants in a point-to-point communication are identified by their rank; a collective communication (such as broadcast) always involves all processes. This practice can be followed in MPI by using the predefined communicator MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. Users who are satisfied with this practice can plug in MPI\_COMM\_WORLD wherever a communicator argument is required, and can consequently disregard the rest of this chapter. (End of advice to users.)

Inter-communicators. The discussion has dealt so far with *intra-communication*: communication within a group. MPI also supports *inter-communication*: communication between two non-overlapping groups. When an application is built by composing several parallel modules, it is convenient to allow one module to communicate with another using local ranks for addressing within the second module. This is especially convenient in a clientserver computing paradigm, where either client or server are parallel. The support of intercommunication also provides a mechanism for the extension of MPI to a dynamic model where not all processes are preallocated at initialization time. In such a situation, it becomes necessary to support communicators. These objects bind two groups together with communication contexts shared by both groups. For inter-communicators, these features work as follows:

- Contexts provide the ability to have a separate safe "universe" of message-passing between the two groups. A send in the local group is always a receive in the remote group, and vice versa. The system manages this differentiation process. The use of separate communication contexts by distinct libraries (or distinct library invocations) insulates communication internal to the library execution from external communication. This allows the invocation of the library even if there are pending communications on "other" communicators, and avoids the need to synchronize entry or exit into library code.
- A local and remote group specify the recipients and destinations for an inter-communicator.
- Virtual topology is undefined for an inter-communicator.
- As before, attributes cache defines the local information that the user or library has added to a communicator for later reference.

MPI provides mechanisms for creating and manipulating inter-communicators. They are used for point-to-point and collective communication in an related manner to intracommunicators. Users who do not need inter-communication in their applications can safely 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

ignore this extension. Users who require inter-communication between overlapping groups must layer this capability on top of MPI.

#### **Basic Concepts** 6.2

In this section, we turn to a more formal definition of the concepts introduced above.

#### 6.2.1 Groups

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 6

> 7 8

9

20

21

22

2324

25

10 A group is an ordered set of process identifiers (henceforth processes); processes are implementation-11dependent objects. Each process in a group is associated with an integer rank. Ranks are 12contiguous and start from zero. Groups are represented by opaque group objects, and hence 13 cannot be directly transferred from one process to another. A group is used within a com-14municator to describe the participants in a communication "universe" and to rank such 15participants (thus giving them unique names within that "universe" of communication).

16There is a special pre-defined group: MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY, which is a group with no 17members. The predefined constant MPI\_GROUP\_NULL is the value used for invalid group 18 handles. 19

- MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY, which is a valid handle to an empty group, Advice to users. should not be confused with MPI\_GROUP\_NULL, which in turn is an invalid handle. The former may be used as an argument to group operations; the latter, which is returned when a group is freed, is not a valid argument. (End of advice to users.)
- Advice to implementors. A group may be represented by a virtual-to-real processaddress-translation table. Each communicator object (see below) would have a pointer 26to such a table. 27
- 28Simple implementations of MPI will enumerate groups, such as in a table. However, 29 more advanced data structures make sense in order to improve scalability and memory 30 usage with large numbers of processes. Such implementations are possible with MPI.  $^{31}$ (End of advice to implementors.) 32

#### 6.2.2 Contexts

A context is a property of communicators (defined next) that allows partitioning of the 35 communication space. A message sent in one context cannot be received in another context. 36 Furthermore, where permitted, collective operations are independent of pending point-to-37 point operations. Contexts are not explicit MPI objects; they appear only as part of the 38 realization of communicators (below). 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

33

34

Advice to implementors. Distinct communicators in the same process have distinct contexts. A context is essentially a system-managed tag (or tags) needed to make a communicator safe for point-to-point and MPI-defined collective communication. Safety means that collective and point-to-point communication within one communicator do not interfere, and that communication over distinct communicators don't interfere.

47A possible implementation for a context is as a supplemental tag attached to messages 48 on send and matched on receive. Each intra-communicator stores the value of its two tags (one for point-to-point and one for collective communication). Communicatorgenerating functions use a collective communication to agree on a new group-wide unique context.

Analogously, in inter-communication, two context tags are stored per communicator, one used by group A to send and group B to receive, and a second used by group B to send and for group A to receive.

Since contexts are not explicit objects, other implementations are also possible. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

#### 6.2.3 Intra-Communicators

Intra-communicators bring together the concepts of group and context. To support implementation-specific optimizations, and application topologies (defined in the next chapter, Chapter 7), communicators may also "cache" additional information (see Section 6.7). MPI communication operations reference communicators to determine the scope and the "communication universe" in which a point-to-point or collective operation is to operate.

Each communicator contains a group of valid participants; this group always includes the local process. The source and destination of a message is identified by process rank within that group.

For collective communication, the intra-communicator specifies the set of processes that participate in the collective operation (and their order, when significant). Thus, the communicator restricts the "spatial" scope of communication, and provides machine-independent process addressing through ranks.

Intra-communicators are represented by opaque *intra-communicator objects*, and hence cannot be directly transferred from one process to another.

#### 6.2.4 Predefined Intra-Communicators

An initial intra-communicator MPI\_COMM\_WORLD of all processes the local process can communicate with after initialization (itself included) is defined once MPI\_INIT or MPI\_INIT\_THREAD has been called. In addition, the communicator MPI\_COMM\_SELF is provided, which includes only the process itself.

The predefined constant MPI\_COMM\_NULL is the value used for invalid communicator handles.

In a static-process-model implementation of MPI, all processes that participate in the 35 computation are available after MPI is initialized. For this case, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD is a 36 37 communicator of all processes available for the computation; this communicator has the same value in all processes. In an implementation of MPI where processes can dynami-38 39 cally join an MPI execution, it may be the case that a process starts an MPI computation without having access to all other processes. In such situations, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD is a 40 41 communicator incorporating all processes with which the joining process can immediately 42communicate. Therefore, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD may simultaneously represent disjoint groups in different processes. 43

All MPI implementations are required to provide the MPI\_COMM\_WORLD communicator. It cannot be deallocated during the life of a process. The group corresponding to this communicator does not appear as a pre-defined constant, but it may be accessed using MPI\_COMM\_GROUP (see below). MPI does not specify the correspondence between the process rank in MPI\_COMM\_WORLD and its (machine-dependent) absolute address. Neither 48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

does MPI specify the function of the host process, if any. Other implementation-dependent, predefined communicators may also be provided.

#### 6.3 Group Management

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3 4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

This section describes the manipulation of process groups in MPI. These operations are local and their execution does not require interprocess communication.

```
9
     6.3.1 Group Accessors
10
11
12
     MPI_GROUP_SIZE(group, size)
13
14
       IN
                                             group (handle)
                 group
15
       OUT
                                             number of processes in the group (integer)
                 size
16
17
     int MPI_Group_size(MPI_Group group, int *size)
18
19
     MPI_Group_size(group, size, ierror)
20
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group
21
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size
22
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
23
     MPI_GROUP_SIZE(GROUP, SIZE, IERROR)
^{24}
          INTEGER GROUP, SIZE, IERROR
25
26
27
     MPI_GROUP_RANK(group, rank)
28
29
       IN
                                             group (handle)
                 group
30
       OUT
                 rank
                                             rank of the calling process in group, or
^{31}
                                             MPI_UNDEFINED if the process is not a member (in-
32
                                             teger)
33
34
     int MPI_Group_rank(MPI_Group group, int *rank)
35
36
     MPI_Group_rank(group, rank, ierror)
37
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group
38
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank
39
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
40
     MPI_GROUP_RANK(GROUP, RANK, IERROR)
41
          INTEGER GROUP, RANK, IERROR
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

MPI_GROUP_TRANSLATE_RANKS(group1, n, ranks1, group2, ranks2) <sup>1</sup>			1
IN	group1	group1 (handle)	2 3
IN	n	number of ranks in ${\sf ranks1}$ and ${\sf ranks2}$ arrays (integer)	4
IN	ranks1	array of zero or more valid ranks in group1	5
IN	group2	group2 (handle)	6
OUT	ranks2	array of corresponding ranks in group2,	7 8
		MPI_UNDEFINED when no correspondence exists.	9
			10
int MPI_(	-	_Group group1, int n, const int ranks1[],	11
	MPI_Group group2, in	it ranks2[])	12 13
-		n, ranks1, group2, ranks2, ierror)	14
	(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) : GER, INTENT(IN) :: n, ra		15
	GER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank		16 17
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	18
MPI_GROU	P_TRANSLATE_RANKS(GROUP1,	N, RANKS1, GROUP2, RANKS2, IERROR)	19
INTE	GER GROUP1, N, RANKS1(*),	GROUP2, RANKS2(*), IERROR	20
This f	function is important for deter	mining the relative numbering of the same processes	21 22
		one knows the ranks of certain processes in the group	23
	· –	to know their ranks in a subset of that group. input to MPI_GROUP_TRANSLATE_RANKS, which	24
	PI_PROC_NULL as the translat	- /	25
			26 27
MPI_GRO	UP_COMPARE(group1, group2	2, result)	28
IN	group1	first group (handle)	29
IN	group2	second group (handle)	30 31
OUT	result	result (integer)	32
001	losale	roburt (moger)	33 34
int MPI_(	<pre>int MPI_Group_compare(MPI_Group group1,MPI_Group group2, int *result)</pre>		
MPI_Group_compare(group1, group2, result, ierror)			35 36
-	(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :		37
	GER, INTENT(OUT) :: resu		38
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	):: ierror	39 40
	P_COMPARE(GROUP1, GROUP2,		41
INTE	INTEGER GROUP1, GROUP2, RESULT, IERROR		
MPI_IDENT results if the group members and group order is exactly the same in both groups.			43
This happens for instance if group1 and group2 are the same handle. MPI_SIMILAR results if the group members are the same but the order is different. MPI_UNEQUAL results otherwise.		44 45	
me group	members are the same but the	order is unicient. Wit LONEQUAL results otherwise.	46
			47

 $^{48}$ 

```
6.3.2 Group Constructors
```

1

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

 $\mathbf{2}$ Group constructors are used to subset and superset existing groups. These constructors 3 construct new groups from existing groups. These are local operations, and distinct groups 4 may be defined on different processes; a process may also define a group that does not 5include itself. Consistent definitions are required when groups are used as arguments in 6 communicator-building functions. MPI does not provide a mechanism to build a group 7 from scratch, but only from other, previously defined groups. The base group, upon which 8 all other groups are defined, is the group associated with the initial communicator 9 MPI\_COMM\_WORLD (accessible through the function MPI\_COMM\_GROUP). 10

Rationale. In what follows, there is no group duplication function analogous to MPI\_COMM\_DUP, defined later in this chapter. There is no need for a group duplicator. A group, once created, can have several references to it by making copies of the handle. The following constructors address the need for subsets and supersets of existing groups. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. Each group constructor behaves as if it returned a new group object. When this new group is a copy of an existing group, then one can avoid creating such new objects, using a reference-count mechanism. (End of advice to implementors.)

```
23
24 MPL COMM CROUP(comm
```

```
<sup>24</sup> MPI_COMM_GROUP(comm, group)
```

```
25
       IN
                 comm
                                            communicator (handle)
26
       OUT
                 group
                                            group corresponding to comm (handle)
27
28
     int MPI_Comm_group(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Group *group)
29
30
     MPI_Comm_group(comm, group, ierror)
^{31}
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
32
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                              group
33
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
34
     MPI_COMM_GROUP(COMM, GROUP, IERROR)
35
          INTEGER COMM, GROUP, IERROR
36
37
          MPI_COMM_GROUP returns in group a handle to the group of comm.
38
39
40
     MPI_GROUP_UNION(group1, group2, newgroup)
41
       IN
                                            first group (handle)
                group1
42
       IN
                 group2
                                            second group (handle)
43
44
       OUT
                 newgroup
                                            union group (handle)
45
46
     int MPI_Group_union(MPI_Group group1, MPI_Group group2,
47
                    MPI_Group *newgroup)
48
```

	MPI_Group_union(group1, group2, newgroup, ierror)		
TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group1, group2 TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: newgroup			2 3
	YPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(U) NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT((	<b>.</b>	4
T I	MILGER, DI HONAL, INILNI (	JUI) IEIIUI	5
	ROUP_UNION(GROUP1, GROUP2	· ·	6
I	NTEGER GROUP1, GROUP2, NEW	VGROUP, IERROR	7
			8
		1	9
	GROUP_INTERSECTION(group		10
IN	group1	first group (handle)	11 12
IN	group2	second group (handle)	13
OUT	newgroup	intersection group (handle)	14
			15
int M	PI_Group_intersection(MPI	_Group group1, MPI_Group group2,	16
	MPI_Group *newgro	up)	17
MPT G	roup intersection(group1.	group2, newgroup, ierror)	18
	YPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN)	• • • •	19
	YPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OU		20 21
I	NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(	JUT) :: ierror	21
MPT G	SOUP INTERSECTION (GROUP1	GROUP2, NEWGROUP, IERROR)	23
	NTEGER GROUP1, GROUP2, NEW		24
		·····	25
			26
MPI_G	GROUP_DIFFERENCE(group1,	group2, newgroup)	27
IN	group1	first group (handle)	28
IN	<b>C</b> .	( )	29
	group2	second group (handle)	30 31
OUT	newgroup	difference group (handle)	32
			33
int M		roup group1, MPI_Group group2,	34
	MPI_Group *newgro	up)	35
	roup_difference(group1, g		36
	YPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN)		37
	YPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT		38
1.	NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(	DUT) :: ierror	39 40
MPI_G	ROUP_DIFFERENCE(GROUP1, G	ROUP2, NEWGROUP, IERROR)	40
I	NTEGER GROUP1, GROUP2, NEW	JGROUP, IERROR	42
The se	t-like operations are defined a	s follows:	43
union	All elements of the first grou	up (group1), followed by all elements of second group	44
	(group2) not in the first group.		45 46
inters	ect all elements of the first o	roup that are also in the second group, ordered as in	40
	the first group.		

1 difference all elements of the first group that are not in the second group, ordered as in  $\mathbf{2}$ the first group. 3 Note that for these operations the order of processes in the output group is determined 4 primarily by order in the first group (if possible) and then, if necessary, by order in the 5second group. Neither union nor intersection are commutative, but both are associative. 6 The new group can be empty, that is, equal to MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY. 7 8 9 MPI\_GROUP\_INCL(group, n, ranks, newgroup) 10 IN group (handle) group 11 12IN number of elements in array ranks (and size of n 13 newgroup) (integer) 14IN ranks of processes in group to appear in ranks 15newgroup (array of integers) 16OUT newgroup new group derived from above, in the order defined by 17ranks (handle) 18 19int MPI\_Group\_incl(MPI\_Group group, int n, const int ranks[], 2021MPI\_Group \*newgroup) 22MPI\_Group\_incl(group, n, ranks, newgroup, ierror) 23TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group  $^{24}$ INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: n, ranks(n) 25TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: newgroup 26INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 27MPI\_GROUP\_INCL(GROUP, N, RANKS, NEWGROUP, IERROR) 28INTEGER GROUP, N, RANKS(\*), NEWGROUP, IERROR 2930 The function MPI\_GROUP\_INCL creates a group newgroup that consists of the  $^{31}$ n processes in group with ranks  $ranks[0], \ldots, ranks[n-1]$ ; the process with rank i in newgroup 32 is the process with rank ranks[i] in group. Each of the n elements of ranks must be a valid 33 rank in group and all elements must be distinct, or else the program is erroneous. If n = 0, 34 then newgroup is MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY. This function can, for instance, be used to reorder 35 the elements of a group. See also MPI\_GROUP\_COMPARE. 36 37 38MPI\_GROUP\_EXCL(group, n, ranks, newgroup) 39 IN group (handle) group 40IN number of elements in array ranks (integer) 41 n 42IN ranks array of integer ranks in group not to appear in 43 newgroup 44OUT newgroup new group derived from above, preserving the order 45defined by group (handle) 46 47

<pre>int MPI_Group_excl(MPI_Group group, int n, const int ranks[], MPI_Group *newgroup)</pre>			1 2
TYPE( INTEG TYPE(	<pre>MPI_Group_excl(group, n, ranks, newgroup, ierror)    TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: n, ranks(n)    TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: newgroup    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>		3 4 5 6 7 8
	P_EXCL(GROUP, N, RANKS, NE ER GROUP, N, RANKS(*), NE		9 10
by deleting processes i must be a	The function MPI_GROUP_EXCL creates a group of processes newgroup that is obtained by deleting from group those processes with ranks ranks[0] , ranks[n-1]. The ordering of processes in newgroup is identical to the ordering in group. Each of the n elements of ranks must be a valid rank in group and all elements must be distinct; otherwise, the program is erroneous. If $n = 0$ , then newgroup is identical to group.		11 12 13 14 15 16 17
MPI GROU	JP_RANGE_INCL(group, n, ra	nges. newgroup)	18
IN	group	group (handle)	19 20
IN	n	number of triplets in array ranges (integer)	20 21
IN	ranges	a one-dimensional array of integer triplets, of the form	22
		(first rank, last rank, stride) indicating ranks in group of processes to be included in newgroup	23 24 25
OUT	newgroup	new group derived from above, in the order defined by $ranges\ (\mathrm{handle})$	26 27
int MPI_G	<pre>int MPI_Group_range_incl(MPI_Group group, int n, int ranges[][3], MPI_Group *newgroup)</pre>		28 29 30
<pre>MPI_Group_range_incl(group, n, ranges, newgroup, ierror)    TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: n, ranges(3,n)    TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: newgroup    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>		31 32 33 34 35 36	
	MPI_GROUP_RANGE_INCL(GROUP, N, RANGES, NEWGROUP, IERROR) INTEGER GROUP, N, RANGES(3,*), NEWGROUP, IERROR		37 38
If ranges $co$	If ranges consists of the triplets		39 40
(firs	$(first_1, last_1, stride_1), \ldots, (first_n, last_n, stride_n)$		41
ζ-			42
tnen newgr	then newgroup consists of the sequence of processes in group with ranks		43 44
first	$t_1, first_1 + stride_1, \dots, first_1$	$+ \left\lfloor \frac{last_1 - first_1}{stride_1} \right\rfloor stride_1, \dots,$	44 45 46
first	$t_n, first_n + stride_n, \dots, first_n$	$a_n + \left\lfloor \frac{last_n - first_n}{stride_n}  ight\rfloor stride_n.$	47 48

<sup>1</sup> Each computed rank must be a valid rank in group and all computed ranks must be <sup>2</sup> distinct, or else the program is erroneous. Note that we may have  $first_i > last_i$ , and  $stride_i$ <sup>3</sup> may be negative, but cannot be zero.

The functionality of this routine is specified to be equivalent to expanding the array of ranges to an array of the included ranks and passing the resulting array of ranks and other arguments to MPI\_GROUP\_INCL. A call to MPI\_GROUP\_INCL is equivalent to a call to MPI\_GROUP\_RANGE\_INCL with each rank i in ranks replaced by the triplet (i,i,1) in the argument ranges.

```
MPI_GROUP_RANGE_EXCL(group, n, ranges, newgroup)
```

9 10

11

29 30

 $^{31}$ 

32

40

45

```
12
       IN
                                               group (handle)
                  group
13
       IN
                  n
                                               number of elements in array ranges (integer)
14
                                               a one-dimensional array of integer triplets of the form
       IN
                  ranges
15
                                               (first rank, last rank, stride), indicating the ranks in
16
                                               group of processes to be excluded from the output
17
                                               group newgroup.
18
19
        OUT
                  newgroup
                                               new group derived from above, preserving the order
20
                                               in group (handle)
21
22
      int MPI_Group_range_excl(MPI_Group group, int n, int ranges[][3],
23
                     MPI_Group *newgroup)
^{24}
     MPI_Group_range_excl(group, n, ranges, newgroup, ierror)
25
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group
26
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: n, ranges(3,n)
27
          TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: newgroup
28
```

INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

# MPI\_GROUP\_RANGE\_EXCL(GROUP, N, RANGES, NEWGROUP, IERROR) INTEGER GROUP, N, RANGES(3,\*), NEWGROUP, IERROR

Each computed rank must be a valid rank in group and all computed ranks must be distinct,
 or else the program is erroneous.

The functionality of this routine is specified to be equivalent to expanding the array of ranges to an array of the excluded ranks and passing the resulting array of ranks and other arguments to MPI\_GROUP\_EXCL. A call to MPI\_GROUP\_EXCL is equivalent to a call to MPI\_GROUP\_RANGE\_EXCL with each rank i in ranks replaced by the triplet (i,i,1) in the argument ranges.

Advice to users. The range operations do not explicitly enumerate ranks, and
 therefore are more scalable if implemented efficiently. Hence, we recommend MPI
 programmers to use them whenenever possible, as high-quality implementations will
 take advantage of this fact. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. The range operations should be implemented, if possible, without enumerating the group members, in order to obtain better scalability (time and space). (End of advice to implementors.)

```
MPI_GROUP_FREE(group)
INOUT group group (handle)
int MPI_Group_free(MPI_Group *group)
MPI_Group_free(group, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_Group), INTENT(INOUT) :: group
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_GROUP_FREE(GROUP, IERROR)
    INTEGER GROUP, IERROR
```

This operation marks a group object for deallocation. The handle group is set to MPI\_GROUP\_NULL by the call. Any on-going operation using this group will complete normally.

Advice to implementors. One can keep a reference count that is incremented for each call to MPI\_COMM\_GROUP, MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, MPI\_COMM\_DUP, and MPI\_COMM\_IDUP, and decremented for each call to MPI\_GROUP\_FREE or MPI\_COMM\_FREE; the group object is ultimately deallocated when the reference count drops to zero. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

### 6.4 Communicator Management

This section describes the manipulation of communicators in MPI. Operations that access communicators are local and their execution does not require interprocess communication. Operations that create communicators are collective and may require interprocess communication.

Advice to implementors. High-quality implementations should amortize the overheads associated with the creation of communicators (for the same group, or subsets thereof) over several calls, by allocating multiple contexts with one collective communication. (End of advice to implementors.)

```
37
6.4.1 Communicator Accessors
                                                                                              38
The following are all local operations.
                                                                                              39
                                                                                              40
                                                                                              41
MPI_COMM_SIZE(comm, size)
                                                                                              42
  IN
            comm
                                         communicator (handle)
                                                                                              43
                                                                                              44
  OUT
                                         number of processes in the group of comm (integer)
            size
                                                                                              45
                                                                                              46
int MPI_Comm_size(MPI_Comm comm, int *size)
                                                                                              47
                                                                                              48
MPI_Comm_size(comm, size, ierror)
```

1 2 3 4	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
5 6 7	MPI_COMM_SIZE(COMM, SIZE, IERROR) INTEGER COMM, SIZE, IERROR
8 9 10 11 12	<i>Rationale.</i> This function is equivalent to accessing the communicator's group with MPI_COMM_GROUP (see above), computing the size using MPI_GROUP_SIZE, and then freeing the temporary group via MPI_GROUP_FREE. However, this function is so commonly used that this shortcut was introduced. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )
12 13 14 15 16 17	Advice to users. This function indicates the number of processes involved in a communicator. For MPI_COMM_WORLD, it indicates the total number of processes available unless the number of processes has been changed by using the functions described in Chapter 10; note that the number of processes in MPI_COMM_WORLD does not change during the life of an MPI program.
18 19 20 21 22 23	This call is often used with the next call to determine the amount of concurrency available for a specific library or program. The following call, MPI_COMM_RANK indicates the rank of the process that calls it in the range from 0size-1, where size is the return value of MPI_COMM_SIZE.( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )
24 25	MPI_COMM_RANK(comm, rank)
26	IN comm communicator (handle)
27 28	OUT     rank       rank of the calling process in group of comm (integer)
29 30	<pre>int MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_Comm comm, int *rank)</pre>
31 32 33 34	<pre>MPI_Comm_rank(comm, rank, ierror)     TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm     INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>
35 36 37 38	MPI_COMM_RANK(COMM, RANK, IERROR) INTEGER COMM, RANK, IERROR
39 40 41 42	<i>Rationale.</i> This function is equivalent to accessing the communicator's group with MPI_COMM_GROUP (see above), computing the rank using MPI_GROUP_RANK, and then freeing the temporary group via MPI_GROUP_FREE. However, this function is so commonly used that this shortcut was introduced. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )
43 44 45	Advice to users. This function gives the rank of the process in the particular commu- nicator's group. It is useful, as noted above, in conjunction with MPI_COMM_SIZE.
46 47 48	Many programs will be written with the master-slave model, where one process (such as the rank-zero process) will play a supervisory role, and the other processes will serve as compute nodes. In this framework, the two preceding calls are useful for

determining the roles of the various processes of a communicator. (*End of advice to users.*)

MPI_COMM_COMPARE(comm1, comm2, result)			
IN	comm1	first communicator (handle)	
IN	comm2	second communicator (handle)	
OUT	result	result (integer)	
<pre>int MPI_Comm_compare(MPI_Comm comm1, MPI_Comm comm2, int *result) MPI_Comm_compare(comm1, comm2, result, ierror)     TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm1, comm2     INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: result     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>			
	COMPARE(COMM1, COMM2, RES ER COMM1, COMM2, RESULT,	-	

MPI\_IDENT results if and only if comm1 and comm2 are handles for the same object (identical groups and same contexts). MPI\_CONGRUENT results if the underlying groups are identical in constituents and rank order; these communicators differ only by context. MPI\_SIMILAR results if the group members of both communicators are the same but the rank order differs. MPI\_UNEQUAL results otherwise.

#### 6.4.2 Communicator Constructors

The following are collective functions that are invoked by all processes in the group or groups associated with comm, with the exception of MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP, which is invoked only by the processes in the group of the new communicator being constructed.

*Rationale.* Note that there is a chicken-and-egg aspect to MPI in that a communicator is needed to create a new communicator. The base communicator for all MPI communicators is predefined outside of MPI, and is MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. This model was arrived at after considerable debate, and was chosen to increase "safety" of programs written in MPI. (*End of rationale.*)

This chapter presents the following communicator construction routines: MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, MPI\_COMM\_DUP, MPI\_COMM\_IDUP, MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_WITH\_INFO, and MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT can be used to create both intracommunicators and intercommunicators; MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP and MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE (see Section 6.6.2) can be used to create intracommunicators; and MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE (see Section 6.6.2) can be used to create intercommunicators.

An intracommunicator involves a single group while an intercommunicator involves 45 two groups. Where the following discussions address intercommunicator semantics, the 46 two groups in an intercommunicator are called the *left* and *right* groups. A process in an 47 intercommunicator is a member of either the left or the right group. From the point of view 48

 $^{24}$ 

<sup>1</sup> of that process, the group that the process is a member of is called the *local* group; the <sup>2</sup> other group (relative to that process) is the *remote* group. The left and right group labels <sup>3</sup> give us a way to describe the two groups in an intercommunicator that is not relative to <sup>4</sup> any particular process (as the local and remote groups are).

```
<sup>6</sup>
7 MPI_COMM_DUP(comm, newcomm)
```

5

```
8
       IN
                                          communicator (handle)
                comm
9
       OUT
                                          copy of comm (handle)
                newcomm
10
11
     int MPI_Comm_dup(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Comm *newcomm)
12
13
    MPI_Comm_dup(comm, newcomm, ierror)
14
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
15
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newcomm
16
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                               ierror
17
     MPI_COMM_DUP(COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR)
18
         INTEGER COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR
19
```

<sup>20</sup> MPI\_COMM\_DUP duplicates the existing communicator comm with associated key <sup>21</sup> values, topology information, and info hints. For each key value, the respective copy callback <sup>22</sup> function determines the attribute value associated with this key in the new communicator; <sup>23</sup> one particular action that a copy callback may take is to delete the attribute from the new <sup>24</sup> communicator. Returns in newcomm a new communicator with the same group or groups, <sup>25</sup> same topology, same info hints, any copied cached information, but a new context (see <sup>26</sup> Section 6.7.1).

Advice to users. This operation is used to provide a parallel library with a duplicate 28communication space that has the same properties as the original communicator. This 29 includes any attributes (see below), topologies (see Chapter 7), and associated info 30 hints (see Section 6.4.4). This call is valid even if there are pending point-to-point 31communications involving the communicator comm. A typical call might involve a 32 MPI\_COMM\_DUP at the beginning of the parallel call, and an MPI\_COMM\_FREE of 33 that duplicated communicator at the end of the call. Other models of communicator 34 management are also possible. 35

This call applies to both intra- and inter-communicators. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. One need not actually copy the group information, but only add a new reference and increment the reference count. Copy on write can be used for the cached information. (End of advice to implementors.)

40 41 42

43

36

37

38

39

27

MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_WITH\_INFO(comm, info, newcomm)

44	IN	comm	communicator (handle)
45 46	IN	info	info object (handle)
40 47	OUT	newcomm	copy of comm (handle)
48			

```
1
int MPI_Comm_dup_with_info(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Info info, MPI_Comm *newcomm)
                                                                                       2
MPI_Comm_dup_with_info(comm, info, newcomm, ierror)
                                                                                       3
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::
                                      comm
                                                                                       4
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                      info
                                                                                       5
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                       newcomm
                                                                                       6
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_COMM_DUP_WITH_INFO(COMM, INFO, NEWCOMM, IERROR)
                                                                                       9
    INTEGER COMM, INFO, NEWCOMM, IERROR
                                                                                       10
    MPI_COMM_DUP_WITH_INFO behaves exactly as MPI_COMM_DUP except that the
                                                                                       11
info hints associated with the communicator comm are not duplicated in newcomm. The
                                                                                       12
hints provided by the argument info are associated with the output communicator newcomm
                                                                                       13
instead.
                                                                                       14
                                                                                       15
     Rationale. It is expected that some hints will only be valid at communicator creation
                                                                                       16
     time. However, for legacy reasons, most communicator creation calls do not provide
                                                                                       17
     an info argument. One may associate info hints with a duplicate of any communicator
                                                                                       18
     at creation time through a call to MPI_COMM_DUP_WITH_INFO. (End of rationale.)
                                                                                       19
                                                                                       20
                                                                                       21
MPI_COMM_IDUP(comm, newcomm, request)
                                                                                       22
                                                                                       23
  IN
                                      communicator (handle)
           comm
                                                                                       ^{24}
  OUT
           newcomm
                                      copy of comm (handle)
                                                                                       25
                                                                                       26
  OUT
                                      communication request (handle)
           request
                                                                                       27
                                                                                       28
int MPI_Comm_idup(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Comm *newcomm, MPI_Request *request)
                                                                                       29
MPI_Comm_idup(comm, newcomm, request, ierror)
                                                                                       30
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
                                                                                       31
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT), ASYNCHRONOUS :: newcomm
                                                                                       32
    TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                           request
                                                                                       33
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                           ierror
                                                                                       34
                                                                                       35
MPI_COMM_IDUP(COMM, NEWCOMM, REQUEST, IERROR)
                                                                                       36
    INTEGER COMM, NEWCOMM, REQUEST, IERROR
                                                                                       37
```

MPI\_COMM\_IDUP is a nonblocking variant of MPI\_COMM\_DUP. The semantics of MPI\_COMM\_IDUP are as if MPI\_COMM\_DUP was executed at the time that MPI\_COMM\_IDUP is called. For example, attributes changed after MPI\_COMM\_IDUP will not be copied to the new communicator. All restrictions and assumptions for nonblocking collective operations (see Section 5.12) apply to MPI\_COMM\_IDUP and the returned request.

It is erroneous to use the communicator **newcomm** as an input argument to other MPI functions before the MPI\_COMM\_IDUP operation completes.

*Rationale.* This functionality is crucial for the development of purely nonblocking libraries (see [36]). (*End of rationale.*)

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

240 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING

MPI\_COMM\_CREATE(comm, group, newcomm)

1

2 IN communicator (handle) comm 3 IN group, which is a subset of the group of comm (handle) group 4 5OUT newcomm new communicator (handle) 6  $\overline{7}$ int MPI\_Comm\_create(MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Group group, MPI\_Comm \*newcomm) 8 MPI\_Comm\_create(comm, group, newcomm, ierror) 9 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: 10 comm TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group 11 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newcomm 12INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 13 14MPI\_COMM\_CREATE(COMM, GROUP, NEWCOMM, IERROR) 15INTEGER COMM, GROUP, NEWCOMM, IERROR 1617If comm is an intracommunicator, this function returns a new communicator newcomm with communication group defined by the group argument. No cached information 18 propagates from comm to newcomm. Each process must call MPI\_COMM\_CREATE with 19a group argument that is a subgroup of the group associated with comm; this could be 2021MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY. The processes may specify different values for the group argument. If a process calls with a non-empty group then all processes in that group must call the 22function with the same group as argument, that is the same processes in the same order. 23Otherwise, the call is erroneous. This implies that the set of groups specified across the  $^{24}$ processes must be disjoint. If the calling process is a member of the group given as group 2526argument, then **newcomm** is a communicator with **group** as its associated group. In the case that a process calls with a group to which it does not belong, e.g., MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY, 27then MPI\_COMM\_NULL is returned as newcomm. The function is collective and must be 28called by all processes in the group of comm. 2930 Rationale. The interface supports the original mechanism from MPI-1.1, which re-31quired the same group in all processes of comm. It was extended in MPI-2.2 to allow 32 the use of disjoint subgroups in order to allow implementations to eliminate unnec-33 essary communication that MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT would incur when the user already 34 knows the membership of the disjoint subgroups. (End of rationale.) 3536 The requirement that the entire group of comm participate in the call Rationale. 37 stems from the following considerations: 38 39 • It allows the implementation to layer MPI\_COMM\_CREATE on top of regular 40 collective communications. 41 • It provides additional safety, in particular in the case where partially overlapping 42groups are used to create new communicators. 43 • It permits implementations to sometimes avoid communication related to context 44creation. 4546(End of rationale.) 47 48

Advice to users. MPI\_COMM\_CREATE provides a means to subset a group of processes for the purpose of separate MIMD computation, with separate communication space. newcomm, which emerges from MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, can be used in subsequent calls to MPI\_COMM\_CREATE (or other communicator constructors) to further subdivide a computation into parallel sub-computations. A more general service is provided by MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, below. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. When calling MPI\_COMM\_DUP, all processes call with the same group (the group associated with the communicator). When calling MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, the processes provide the same group or disjoint subgroups. For both calls, it is theoretically possible to agree on a group-wide unique context with no communication. However, local execution of these functions requires use of a larger context name space and reduces error checking. Implementations may strike various compromises between these conflicting goals, such as bulk allocation of multiple contexts in one collective operation.

Important: If new communicators are created without synchronizing the processes involved then the communication system must be able to cope with messages arriving in a context that has not yet been allocated at the receiving process. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

If comm is an intercommunicator, then the output communicator is also an intercommunicator where the local group consists only of those processes contained in group (see Figure 6.1). The group argument should only contain those processes in the local group of the input intercommunicator that are to be a part of newcomm. All processes in the same local group of comm must specify the same value for group, i.e., the same members in the same order. If either group does not specify at least one process in the local group of the intercommunicator, or if the calling process is not included in the group, MPI\_COMM\_NULL is returned.

*Rationale.* In the case where either the left or right group is empty, a null communicator is returned instead of an intercommunicator with MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY because the side with the empty group must return MPI\_COMM\_NULL. (*End of rationale.*)

**Example 6.1** The following example illustrates how the first node in the left side of an intercommunicator could be joined with all members on the right side of an intercommunicator to form a new intercommunicator.

```
38
MPI_Comm inter_comm, new_inter_comm;
                                                                            39
MPI_Group local_group, group;
          rank = 0; /* rank on left side to include in
                                                                            40
int
                                                                            41
                        new inter-comm */
                                                                            42
/* Construct the original intercommunicator: "inter_comm" */
                                                                            43
                                                                            44
. . .
                                                                            45
                                                                            46
/* Construct the group of processes to be in new
                                                                            47
   intercommunicator */
                                                                            48
if (/* I'm on the left side of the intercommunicator */) {
```

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33 34

35

36

```
1
                                INTER-COMMUNICATOR CREATE
2
                       Before
3
4
                              0
5
                         6
                                    0
7
                          4
8
                                                                     2
                                    IŌ
9
10
11
                                  1
                                                                 ١
                                                               ١
                        After
12
                                  T
13
                                 1
14
                               081
15
                                                                   1
16
17
                                                                       Ж
                                                                  2
18
19
20
21
22
     Figure 6.1: Intercommunicator creation using MPI_COMM_CREATE extended to intercom-
23
     municators. The input groups are those in the grey circle.
^{24}
25
                 MPI_Comm_group ( inter_comm, &local_group );
26
                 MPI_Group_incl ( local_group, 1, &rank, &group );
27
                 MPI_Group_free ( &local_group );
28
              }
29
              else
30
                 MPI_Comm_group ( inter_comm, &group );
^{31}
32
              MPI_Comm_create ( inter_comm, group, &new_inter_comm );
33
              MPI_Group_free( &group );
34
35
36
     MPI_COMM_CREATE_GROUP(comm, group, tag, newcomm)
37
38
       IN
                 comm
                                             intracommunicator (handle)
39
       IN
                                             group, which is a subset of the group of comm (handle)
                 group
40
41
       IN
                                             tag (integer)
                 tag
42
       OUT
                                             new communicator (handle)
                 newcomm
43
44
     int MPI_Comm_create_group(MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Group group, int tag,
45
                     MPI_Comm *newcomm)
46
47
     MPI_Comm_create_group(comm, group, tag, newcomm, ierror)
48
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
```

1 TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(IN) :: group 2 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: tag 3 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newcomm INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 5 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP(COMM, GROUP, TAG, NEWCOMM, IERROR) 6 INTEGER COMM, GROUP, TAG, NEWCOMM, IERROR 7 8 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP is similar to MPI\_COMM\_CREATE; however, 9 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE must be called by all processes in the group of 10 comm, whereas MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP must be called by all processes in group, 11 which is a subgroup of the group of comm. In addition, MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP requires that comm is an intracommunicator. MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP returns a new 1213 intracommunicator, newcomm, for which the group argument defines the communication 14group. No cached information propagates from comm to newcomm. Each process must 15provide a group argument that is a subgroup of the group associated with comm; this 16could be MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY. If a non-empty group is specified, then all processes in that 17 group must call the function, and each of these processes must provide the same arguments, 18including a group that contains the same members with the same ordering. Otherwise 19the call is erroneous. If the calling process is a member of the group given as the group 20argument, then newcomm is a communicator with group as its associated group. If the 21calling process is not a member of group, e.g., group is MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY, then the call 22is a local operation and MPI\_COMM\_NULL is returned as newcomm. 23Rationale. Functionality similar to MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP can be imple- $^{24}$ mented through repeated MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE and 25MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE calls that start with the MPI\_COMM\_SELF communica-26tors at each process in group and build up an intracommunicator with group 27group [16]. Such an algorithm requires the creation of many intermediate communi-28cators; MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP can provide a more efficient implementation 29that avoids this overhead. (End of rationale.) 30 31Advice to users. An intercommunicator can be created collectively over processes in 32 the union of the local and remote groups by creating the local communicator using 33 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP and using that communicator as the local communi-34 cator argument to MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE. (End of advice to users.) 3536 The tag argument does not conflict with tags used in point-to-point communication and 37 is not permitted to be a wildcard. If multiple threads at a given process perform concurrent 38 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP operations, the user must distinguish these operations by 39 providing different tag or comm arguments. 40 41 Advice to users. MPI\_COMM\_CREATE may provide lower overhead than 42MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP because it can take advantage of collective communi-43 cation on comm when constructing newcomm. (End of advice to users.) 4445464748

MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT(comm, color, key, newcomm)

2	IN	comm	communicator (handle)	
3 4	IN	color	control of subset assignment (integer)	
5	IN	key	control of rank assignment (integer)	
6	OUT	Ş	new communicator (handle)	
7	001	newcomm	new communicator (nandie)	
8 9	int MPI_C	Comm_split(MPI_Comm comm,	int color, int key, MPI_Comm *newcomm)	
10	MPI_Comm_	split(comm, color, key, s	newcomm, ierror)	
11		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	comm	
12		GER, INTENT(IN) :: color		
13		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :		
14 15	INTEC	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	
16	MPI_COMM_	SPLIT(COMM, COLOR, KEY, 1	NEWCOMM, IERROR)	
17	INTEG	ER COMM, COLOR, KEY, NEW	COMM, IERROR	
18	This funct	ion partitions the group asso	ciated with <b>comm</b> into disjoint subgroups, one for	
19			tains all processes of the same color. Within each	
20			the order defined by the value of the argument	
21	key, with ties broken according to their rank in the old group. A new communicator is			
22	created for	each subgroup and returned	in newcomm. A process may supply the color value	
23			mm returns MPI_COMM_NULL. This is a collective	
24			ovide different values for color and key.	
25 26			a call to MPI_COMM_CREATE(comm, group, new-	
20	,	-	COMM_SPLIT(comm, color, key, newcomm), where up argument provide color = number of the group	
28	-	•	sjoint groups) and $key = rank$ in group, and all	
29	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		group argument provide $color = MPI_UNDEFINED$ .	
30	-	alue of color must be non-neg		
31		0		
32			tremely powerful mechanism for dividing a single	
33		00 1 1	into $k$ subgroups, with $k$ chosen implicitly by the	
34		( )	erted over all the processes). Each resulting com-	
35			g. Such a division could be useful for defining a	
36			s for multigrid, or linear algebra. For intracommu- ides similar capability as MPI_COMM_CREATE to	
37		·	disjoint subgroups. MPI_COMM_SPLIT is useful	
38 39	-		complete information of the other members in their	
39 40		· ·	e color of) the group to which they belong. In this	

- group, but all processes know (the color of) the group to which they belong. In this
   case, the MPI implementation discovers the other group members via communication.
   MPI\_COMM\_CREATE is useful when all processes have complete information of the
   members of their group. In this case, MPI can avoid the extra communication required
   to discover group membership. MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP is useful when all pro cesses in a given group have complete information of the members of their group and
   synchronization with processes outside the group can be avoided.
- <sup>47</sup> Multiple calls to MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT can be used to overcome the requirement that <sup>48</sup> any call have no overlap of the resulting communicators (each process is of only one

color per call). In this way, multiple overlapping communication structures can be created. Creative use of the color and key in such splitting operations is encouraged.

Note that, for a fixed color, the keys need not be unique. It is MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT's responsibility to sort processes in ascending order according to this key, and to break ties in a consistent way. If all the keys are specified in the same way, then all the processes in a given color will have the relative rank order as they did in their parent group.

Essentially, making the key value zero for all processes of a given color means that one does not really care about the rank-order of the processes in the new communicator. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Rationale.* color is restricted to be non-negative, so as not to confict with the value assigned to MPI\_UNDEFINED. (*End of rationale.*)

The result of MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT on an intercommunicator is that those processes on the left with the same color as those processes on the right combine to create a new intercommunicator. The key argument describes the relative rank of processes on each side of the intercommunicator (see Figure 6.2). For those colors that are specified only on one side of the intercommunicator, MPI\_COMM\_NULL is returned. MPI\_COMM\_NULL is also returned to those processes that specify MPI\_UNDEFINED as the color.


Advice to users. For intercommunicators, MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT is more general than MPI\_COMM\_CREATE. A single call to MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT can create a set of disjoint intercommunicators, while a call to MPI\_COMM\_CREATE creates only one. (*End of advice to users.*)

**Example 6.2** (Parallel client-server model). The following client code illustrates how clients on the left side of an intercommunicator could be assigned to a single server from a pool of servers on the right side of an intercommunicator.

```
30
/* Client code */
                                                                            31
MPI_Comm multiple_server_comm;
                                                                            32
MPI_Comm single_server_comm;
                                                                            33
int
          color, rank, num_servers;
                                                                            34
/* Create intercommunicator with clients and servers:
                                                                            35
                                                                            36
   multiple_server_comm */
                                                                            37
. . .
                                                                            38
                                                                            39
/* Find out the number of servers available */
MPI_Comm_remote_size ( multiple_server_comm, &num_servers );
                                                                            40
                                                                            41
                                                                            42
/* Determine my color */
MPI_Comm_rank ( multiple_server_comm, &rank );
                                                                            43
                                                                            44
color = rank % num_servers;
                                                                            45
                                                                            46
/* Split the intercommunicator */
                                                                            47
MPI_Comm_split ( multiple_server_comm, color, rank,
                                                                            48
                  &single_server_comm );
```

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 



The following is the corresponding server code:

```
\mathbf{2}
         /* Server code */
                                                                                        3
         MPI_Comm multiple_client_comm;
                                                                                        4
         MPI_Comm single_server_comm;
                                                                                        5
         int
                    rank;
                                                                                        6
                                                                                        7
         /* Create intercommunicator with clients and servers:
            multiple_client_comm */
                                                                                        9
         . . .
                                                                                        10
                                                                                        11
         /* Split the intercommunicator for a single server per group
                                                                                       12
            of clients */
                                                                                        13
         MPI_Comm_rank ( multiple_client_comm, &rank );
                                                                                       14
         MPI_Comm_split ( multiple_client_comm, rank, 0,
                                                                                        15
                            &single_server_comm );
                                                                                        16
                                                                                        17
                                                                                        18
MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE(comm, split_type, key, info, newcomm)
                                                                                        19
                                                                                       20
  IN
                                      communicator (handle)
           comm
                                                                                       21
  IN
           split_type
                                      type of processes to be grouped together (integer)
                                                                                       22
                                                                                       23
  IN
           key
                                      control of rank assignment (integer)
                                                                                        ^{24}
  IN
           info
                                      info argument (handle)
                                                                                       25
  OUT
           newcomm
                                      new communicator (handle)
                                                                                        26
                                                                                       27
int MPI_Comm_split_type(MPI_Comm comm, int split_type, int key,
                                                                                       28
              MPI_Info info, MPI_Comm *newcomm)
                                                                                       29
                                                                                       30
MPI_Comm_split_type(comm, split_type, key, info, newcomm, ierror)
                                                                                        31
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
                                                                                        32
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: split_type, key
                                                                                        33
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
                                                                                       34
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newcomm
                                                                                       35
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                       36
MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE(COMM, SPLIT_TYPE, KEY, INFO, NEWCOMM, IERROR)
                                                                                       37
    INTEGER COMM, SPLIT_TYPE, KEY, INFO, NEWCOMM, IERROR
                                                                                       38
```

This function partitions the group associated with comm into disjoint subgroups, based on the type specified by split\_type. Each subgroup contains all processes of the same type. Within each subgroup, the processes are ranked in the order defined by the value of the argument key, with ties broken according to their rank in the old group. A new communicator is created for each subgroup and returned in newcomm. This is a collective call; all processes must provide the same split\_type, but each process is permitted to provide different values for key. An exception to this rule is that a process may supply the type value MPI\_UNDEFINED, in which case newcomm returns MPI\_COMM\_NULL.

The following type is predefined by MPI:

1

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1 MPI\_COMM\_TYPE\_SHARED — this type splits the communicator into subcommunicators,  $\mathbf{2}$ each of which can create a shared memory region. 3 4 Advice to implementors. Implementations can define their own types, or use the info argument, to assist in creating communicators that help expose platform-specific 5information to the application. (End of advice to implementors.) 6  $\overline{7}$ 8 6.4.3 Communicator Destructors 9 10 11MPI\_COMM\_FREE(comm) 12INOUT communicator to be destroyed (handle) comm 13 14int MPI\_Comm\_free(MPI\_Comm \*comm) 1516MPI\_Comm\_free(comm, ierror) 17TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(INOUT) :: comm 18 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 19MPI\_COMM\_FREE(COMM, IERROR) 20INTEGER COMM, IERROR 2122This collective operation marks the communication object for deallocation. The handle 23is set to MPI\_COMM\_NULL. Any pending operations that use this communicator will com- $^{24}$ plete normally; the object is actually deallocated only if there are no other active references 25to it. This call applies to intra- and inter-communicators. The delete callback functions for 26all cached attributes (see Section 6.7) are called in arbitrary order.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

Advice to implementors. A reference-count mechanism may be used: the reference count is incremented by each call to MPI\_COMM\_DUP or MPI\_COMM\_IDUP, and decremented by each call to MPI\_COMM\_FREE. The object is ultimately deallocated when the count reaches zero.

Though collective, it is anticipated that this operation will normally be implemented to be local, though a debugging version of an MPI library might choose to synchronize. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

#### 6.4.4 Communicator Info

 <sup>38</sup>Hints specified via info (see Chapter 9) allow a user to provide information to direct opti-<sup>39</sup>mization. Providing hints may enable an implementation to deliver increased performance <sup>40</sup>or minimize use of system resources. However, hints do not change the semantics of any MPI <sup>41</sup>interfaces. In other words, an implementation is free to ignore all hints. Hints are specified <sup>42</sup>on a per communicator basis, in MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_WITH\_INFO, MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO, <sup>43</sup>MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT, and

<sup>44</sup> MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, via the opaque info object. When an info object that speci-<sup>45</sup> fies a subset of valid hints is passed to MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO, there will be no effect on <sup>46</sup> previously set or defaulted hints that the info does not specify.

Advice to implementors. It may happen that a program is coded with hints for one system, and later executes on another system that does not support these hints. In general, unsupported hints should simply be ignored. Needless to say, no hint can be mandatory. However, for each hint used by a specific implementation, a default value must be provided when the user does not specify a value for this hint. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Info hints are not propagated by MPI from one communicator to another except when the communicator is duplicated using MPI\_COMM\_DUP or MPI\_COMM\_IDUP. In this case, all hints associated with the original communicator are also applied to the duplicated communicator.

MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO(comm, info)

INOUT	comm	communicator (handle)
IN	info	info object (handle)

int MPI\_Comm\_set\_info(MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Info info)

- MPI\_Comm\_set\_info(comm, info, ierror)
   TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
   TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
   INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
  MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO(COMM, INFO, IERROR)
  - INTEGER COMM, INFO, IERROR

MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO sets new values for the hints of the communicator associated with comm. MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO is a collective routine. The info object may be different on each process, but any info entries that an implementation requires to be the same on all processes must appear with the same value in each process's info object.

Advice to users. Some info items that an implementation can use when it creates a communicator cannot easily be changed once the communicator has been created. Thus, an implementation may ignore hints issued in this call that it would have accepted in a creation call. (*End of advice to users.*)

MPI\_COMM\_GET\_INFO(comm, info\_used) IN communicator object (handle) comm OUT info\_used new info object (handle) int MPI\_Comm\_get\_info(MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Info \*info\_used) MPI\_Comm\_get\_info(comm, info\_used, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(OUT) :: info\_used INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 

 $^{24}$ 

#### 1 MPI\_COMM\_GET\_INFO(COMM, INFO\_USED, IERROR) $\mathbf{2}$

INTEGER COMM, INFO\_USED, IERROR

MPI\_COMM\_GET\_INFO returns a new info object containing the hints of the communicator associated with comm. The current setting of all hints actually used by the system related to this communicator is returned in info\_used. If no such hints exist, a handle to a newly created info object is returned that contains no key/value pair. The user is responsible for freeing info\_used via MPI\_INFO\_FREE.

Advice to users. The info object returned in info\_used will contain all hints currently active for this communicator. This set of hints may be greater or smaller than the set of hints specified when the communicator was created, as the system may not recognize some hints set by the user, and may recognize other hints that the user has not set. (End of advice to users.)

156.5 16

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

#### Motivating Examples

176.5.1 Current Practice #1 18 19 Example #1a: 20int main(int argc, char \*argv[]) 21{ 22int me, size; 2324. . . MPI\_Init ( &argc, &argv ); 25MPI\_Comm\_rank (MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, &me); 26MPI\_Comm\_size (MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, &size); 2728(void)printf ("Process %d size %d\n", me, size); 29 30 . . . MPI\_Finalize(); 31return 0; 32 } 33 34

Example #1a is a do-nothing program that initializes itself, and refers to the "all" commu-35 nicator, and prints a message. It terminates itself too. This example does not imply that 36 MPI supports printf-like communication itself.

```
37
      Example #1b (supposing that size is even):
38
```

```
39
         int main(int argc, char *argv[])
40
         {
41
             int me, size;
42
             int SOME_TAG = 0;
43
             . . .
44
            MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
45
46
            MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &me); /* local */
47
             MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size); /* local */
48
```

```
if((me % 2) == 0)
{
    /* send unless highest-numbered process */
    if((me + 1) < size)
        MPI_Send(..., me + 1, SOME_TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
}
else
    MPI_Recv(..., me - 1, SOME_TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
...
MPI_Finalize();
return 0;
}</pre>
```

Example #1b schematically illustrates message exchanges between "even" and "odd" processes in the "all" communicator.

#### 6.5.2 Current Practice #2

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
  int me, count;
  void *data;
  . . .
  MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
  MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &me);
  if(me == 0)
  ſ
      /* get input, create buffer ''data'' */
      . . .
  }
  MPI_Bcast(data, count, MPI_BYTE, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
  . . .
  MPI_Finalize();
  return 0;
}
```

This example illustrates the use of a collective communication.

```
6.5.3 (Approximate) Current Practice #3
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int me, count, count2;
    void *send_buf, *recv_buf, *send_buf2, *recv_buf2;
```

 $\frac{24}{25}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
          MPI_Group MPI_GROUP_WORLD, grprem;
\mathbf{2}
          MPI_Comm commslave;
3
          static int ranks[] = {0};
4
          . . .
5
          MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
6
          MPI_Comm_group(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
\overline{7}
          MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &me); /* local */
8
9
          MPI_Group_excl(MPI_GROUP_WORLD, 1, ranks, &grprem); /* local */
10
          MPI_Comm_create(MPI_COMM_WORLD, grprem, &commslave);
11
12
          if(me != 0)
13
          {
14
            /* compute on slave */
15
            . . .
16
            MPI_Reduce(send_buf,recv_buf,count, MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, 1, commslave);
17
18
            MPI_Comm_free(&commslave);
19
          }
20
          /* zero falls through immediately to this reduce, others do later... */
21
          MPI_Reduce(send_buf2, recv_buf2, count2,
22
                      MPI_INT, MPI_SUM, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
23
24
          MPI_Group_free(&MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
25
          MPI_Group_free(&grprem);
26
          MPI_Finalize();
27
          return 0;
       }
28
29
     This example illustrates how a group consisting of all but the zeroth process of the "all"
30
     group is created, and then how a communicator is formed (commslave) for that new group.
^{31}
     The new communicator is used in a collective call, and all processes execute a collective call
32
     in the MPI_COMM_WORLD context. This example illustrates how the two communicators
33
     (that inherently possess distinct contexts) protect communication. That is, communication
34
     in MPI_COMM_WORLD is insulated from communication in commslave, and vice versa.
35
         In summary, "group safety" is achieved via communicators because distinct contexts
36
     within communicators are enforced to be unique on any process.
37
38
39
     6.5.4 Example #4
40
     The following example is meant to illustrate "safety" between point-to-point and collective
41
     communication. MPI guarantees that a single communicator can do safe point-to-point and
42
     collective communication.
43
44
         #define TAG_ARBITRARY 12345
45
         #define SOME_COUNT
                                     50
46
47
         int main(int argc, char *argv[])
48
         {
```

```
1
     int me;
                                                                                        \mathbf{2}
     MPI_Request request[2];
                                                                                        3
     MPI_Status status[2];
     MPI_Group MPI_GROUP_WORLD, subgroup;
                                                                                        4
     int ranks[] = \{2, 4, 6, 8\};
                                                                                        5
                                                                                        6
     MPI_Comm the_comm;
                                                                                        7
     . . .
                                                                                        8
     MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
     MPI_Comm_group(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
                                                                                        9
                                                                                        10
                                                                                        11
     MPI_Group_incl(MPI_GROUP_WORLD, 4, ranks, &subgroup); /* local */
     MPI_Group_rank(subgroup, &me);
                                          /* local */
                                                                                       12
                                                                                        13
                                                                                       14
     MPI_Comm_create(MPI_COMM_WORLD, subgroup, &the_comm);
                                                                                        15
                                                                                        16
     if(me != MPI_UNDEFINED)
                                                                                        17
     {
                                                                                       18
         MPI_Irecv(buff1, count, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_ANY_SOURCE, TAG_ARBITRARY,
                                                                                       19
                             the_comm, request);
                                                                                       20
          MPI_Isend(buff2, count, MPI_DOUBLE, (me+1)%4, TAG_ARBITRARY,
                                                                                       21
                             the_comm, request+1);
          for(i = 0; i < SOME_COUNT; i++)</pre>
                                                                                       22
            MPI_Reduce(..., the_comm);
                                                                                       23
                                                                                        ^{24}
         MPI_Waitall(2, request, status);
                                                                                        25
                                                                                        26
          MPI_Comm_free(&the_comm);
     }
                                                                                       27
                                                                                       28
     MPI_Group_free(&MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
                                                                                       29
                                                                                        30
     MPI_Group_free(&subgroup);
     MPI_Finalize();
                                                                                        ^{31}
                                                                                        32
     return 0;
                                                                                        33
   }
                                                                                       34
                                                                                       35
6.5.5
      Library Example \#1
                                                                                       36
The main program:
                                                                                       37
                                                                                        38
   int main(int argc, char *argv[])
                                                                                        39
   {
                                                                                        40
     int done = 0;
                                                                                        41
     user_lib_t *libh_a, *libh_b;
                                                                                        42
     void *dataset1, *dataset2;
                                                                                        43
     . . .
                                                                                        44
     MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
                                                                                        45
     . . .
                                                                                        46
     init_user_lib(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &libh_a);
                                                                                        47
     init_user_lib(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &libh_b);
                                                                                        48
```

```
1
           . . .
\mathbf{2}
           user_start_op(libh_a, dataset1);
3
           user_start_op(libh_b, dataset2);
4
           . . .
5
           while(!done)
6
           {
7
              /* work */
8
               . . .
9
              MPI_Reduce(..., MPI_COMM_WORLD);
10
               . . .
11
              /* see if done */
12
               . . .
13
           }
14
           user_end_op(libh_a);
15
           user_end_op(libh_b);
16
17
           uninit_user_lib(libh_a);
18
           uninit_user_lib(libh_b);
19
           MPI_Finalize();
20
           return 0;
21
         }
22
     The user library initialization code:
23
24
         void init_user_lib(MPI_Comm comm, user_lib_t **handle)
25
         {
26
           user_lib_t *save;
27
28
           user_lib_initsave(&save); /* local */
29
           MPI_Comm_dup(comm, &(save -> comm));
30
^{31}
           /* other inits */
32
           . . .
33
34
           *handle = save;
35
         }
36
37
     User start-up code:
38
         void user_start_op(user_lib_t *handle, void *data)
39
         {
40
           MPI_Irecv( ..., handle->comm, &(handle -> irecv_handle) );
^{41}
           MPI_Isend( ..., handle->comm, &(handle -> isend_handle) );
42
         }
43
44
     User communication clean-up code:
45
46
         void user_end_op(user_lib_t *handle)
47
         {
48
           MPI_Status status;
```

```
1
     MPI_Wait(& handle -> isend_handle, &status);
                                                                                      \mathbf{2}
     MPI_Wait(& handle -> irecv_handle, &status);
                                                                                      3
   }
                                                                                      4
User object clean-up code:
                                                                                      5
                                                                                      6
   void uninit_user_lib(user_lib_t *handle)
                                                                                      7
   {
                                                                                       8
     MPI_Comm_free(&(handle -> comm));
                                                                                      9
     free(handle);
                                                                                      10
   }
                                                                                      11
                                                                                      12
      Library Example #2
6.5.6
                                                                                      13
                                                                                      14
The main program:
                                                                                      15
   int main(int argc, char *argv[])
                                                                                      16
   Ł
                                                                                      17
     int ma, mb;
                                                                                      18
     MPI_Group MPI_GROUP_WORLD, group_a, group_b;
                                                                                      19
     MPI_Comm comm_a, comm_b;
                                                                                      20
                                                                                      21
     static int list_a[] = {0, 1};
                                                                                      22
#if defined(EXAMPLE_2B) || defined(EXAMPLE_2C)
                                                                                      23
     static int list_b[] = {0, 2, 3};
                                                                                      ^{24}
#else/* EXAMPLE_2A */
                                                                                      25
     static int list_b[] = {0, 2};
                                                                                      26
#endif
                                                                                      27
     int size_list_a = sizeof(list_a)/sizeof(int);
                                                                                      28
     int size_list_b = sizeof(list_b)/sizeof(int);
                                                                                      29
                                                                                      30
     . . .
                                                                                      31
     MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
                                                                                      32
     MPI_Comm_group(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
                                                                                      33
                                                                                      34
     MPI_Group_incl(MPI_GROUP_WORLD, size_list_a, list_a, &group_a);
                                                                                      35
     MPI_Group_incl(MPI_GROUP_WORLD, size_list_b, list_b, &group_b);
                                                                                      36
                                                                                      37
     MPI_Comm_create(MPI_COMM_WORLD, group_a, &comm_a);
                                                                                      38
     MPI_Comm_create(MPI_COMM_WORLD, group_b, &comm_b);
                                                                                      39
                                                                                      40
     if(comm_a != MPI_COMM_NULL)
                                                                                      41
        MPI_Comm_rank(comm_a, &ma);
                                                                                      42
     if(comm_b != MPI_COMM_NULL)
                                                                                      43
        MPI_Comm_rank(comm_b, &mb);
                                                                                      44
                                                                                      45
     if(comm_a != MPI_COMM_NULL)
                                                                                      46
        lib_call(comm_a);
                                                                                      47
```

```
1
           if(comm_b != MPI_COMM_NULL)
\mathbf{2}
           {
3
             lib_call(comm_b);
4
             lib_call(comm_b);
5
           }
6
7
           if(comm_a != MPI_COMM_NULL)
8
             MPI_Comm_free(&comm_a);
9
           if(comm_b != MPI_COMM_NULL)
10
             MPI_Comm_free(&comm_b);
11
           MPI_Group_free(&group_a);
12
           MPI_Group_free(&group_b);
13
           MPI_Group_free(&MPI_GROUP_WORLD);
14
           MPI_Finalize();
15
           return 0;
16
         }
17
     The library:
18
19
         void lib_call(MPI_Comm comm)
20
         ſ
21
           int me, done = 0;
22
           MPI_Status status;
23
           MPI_Comm_rank(comm, &me);
^{24}
           if(me == 0)
25
              while(!done)
26
              {
27
                  MPI_Recv(..., MPI_ANY_SOURCE, MPI_ANY_TAG, comm, &status);
28
                  . . .
29
              }
30
           else
31
           ſ
32
             /* work */
33
             MPI_Send(..., 0, ARBITRARY_TAG, comm);
34
              . . . .
35
           }
36
     #ifdef EXAMPLE_2C
37
           /* include (resp, exclude) for safety (resp, no safety): */
38
           MPI_Barrier(comm);
39
     #endif
40
         }
41
```

The above example is really three examples, depending on whether or not one includes rank 3 in list\_b, and whether or not a synchronize is included in lib\_call. This example illustrates that, despite contexts, subsequent calls to lib\_call with the same context need not be safe from one another (colloquially, "back-masking"). Safety is realized if the MPI\_Barrier is added. What this demonstrates is that libraries have to be written carefully, even with contexts. When rank 3 is excluded, then the synchronize is not needed to get safety from back-masking. Algorithms like "reduce" and "allreduce" have strong enough source selectivity properties so that they are inherently okay (no back-masking), provided that MPI provides basic guarantees. So are multiple calls to a typical tree-broadcast algorithm with the same root or different roots (see [57]). Here we rely on two guarantees of MPI: pairwise ordering of messages between processes in the same context, and source selectivity — deleting either feature removes the guarantee that back-masking cannot be required.

Algorithms that try to do non-deterministic broadcasts or other calls that include wildcard operations will not generally have the good properties of the deterministic implementations of "reduce," "allreduce," and "broadcast." Such algorithms would have to utilize the monotonically increasing tags (within a communicator scope) to keep things straight.

All of the foregoing is a supposition of "collective calls" implemented with point-topoint operations. MPI implementations may or may not implement collective calls using point-to-point operations. These algorithms are used to illustrate the issues of correctness and safety, independent of how MPI implements its collective calls. See also Section 6.9.

#### 6.6 Inter-Communication

This section introduces the concept of inter-communication and describes the portions of MPI that support it. It describes support for writing programs that contain user-level servers.

All communication described thus far has involved communication between processes that are members of the same group. This type of communication is called "intra-communication" and the communicator used is called an "intra-communicator," as we have noted earlier in the chapter.

In modular and multi-disciplinary applications, different process groups execute distinct modules and processes within different modules communicate with one another in a pipeline or a more general module graph. In these applications, the most natural way for a process to specify a target process is by the rank of the target process within the target group. In applications that contain internal user-level servers, each server may be a process group that provides services to one or more clients, and each client may be a process group that uses the services of one or more servers. It is again most natural to specify the target process by rank within the target group in these applications. This type of communication is called "inter-communication" and the communicator used is called an "inter-communicator," as introduced earlier.

An inter-communication is a point-to-point communication between processes in different groups. The group containing a process that initiates an inter-communication operation is called the "local group," that is, the sender in a send and the receiver in a receive. The group containing the target process is called the "remote group," that is, the receiver in a send and the sender in a receive. As in intra-communication, the target process is specified using a (communicator, rank) pair. Unlike intra-communication, the rank is relative to a second, remote group.

All inter-communicator constructors are blocking except for MPI\_COMM\_IDUP and require that the local and remote groups be disjoint.

Advice to users. The groups must be disjoint for several reasons. Primarily, this is the intent of the intercommunicators — to provide a communicator for communication between disjoint groups. This is reflected in the definition of

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

### 258 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING

1 2 3 4 5	MPI_INTERCOMM_MERGE, which allows the user to control the ranking of the pro- cesses in the created intracommunicator; this ranking makes little sense if the groups are not disjoint. In addition, the natural extension of collective operations to inter- communicators makes the most sense when the groups are disjoint. ( <i>End of advice to</i> <i>users.</i> )
6 7	Here is a summary of the properties of inter-communication and inter-communicators:
8 9 10 11	• The syntax of point-to-point and collective communication is the same for both inter- and intra-communication. The same communicator can be used both for send and for receive operations.
12 13 14	• A target process is addressed by its rank in the remote group, both for sends and for receives.
14 15 16	• Communications using an inter-communicator are guaranteed not to conflict with any communications that use a different communicator.
17 18	• A communicator will provide either intra- or inter-communication, never both.
19 20 21 22 23	The routine MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER may be used to determine if a communicator is an inter- or intra-communicator. Inter-communicators can be used as arguments to some of the other communicator access routines. Inter-communicators cannot be used as input to some of the constructor routines for intra-communicators (for instance, MPI_CART_CREATE).
24 25	Advice to implementors. For the purpose of point-to-point communication, commu- nicators can be represented in each process by a tuple consisting of:
26 27	group
28	send_context
29 30	receive_context
31	source
32 33 34 35 36	For inter-communicators, <i>group</i> describes the remote group, and <i>source</i> is the rank of the process in the local group. For intra-communicators, <i>group</i> is the communicator group (remote=local), <i>source</i> is the rank of the process in this group, and <i>send context</i> and <i>receive context</i> are identical. A group can be represented by a rank-to-absolute-address translation table.
37 38 39 40 41	The inter-communicator cannot be discussed sensibly without considering processes in both the local and remote groups. Imagine a process $\mathbf{P}$ in group $\mathcal{P}$ , which has an inter- communicator $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , and a process $\mathbf{Q}$ in group $\mathcal{Q}$ , which has an inter-communicator $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . Then
42	• $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .group describes the group $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .group describes the group $\mathcal{P}$ .
43 44 45	• $C_{\mathcal{P}}$ .send_context = $C_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .receive_context and the context is unique in $\mathcal{Q}$ ; $C_{\mathcal{P}}$ .receive_context = $C_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .send_context and this context is unique in $\mathcal{P}$ .
45 46	• $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .source is rank of <b>P</b> in $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .source is rank of <b>Q</b> in $\mathcal{Q}$ .
47 48	

Assume that  $\mathbf{P}$  sends a message to  $\mathbf{Q}$  using the inter-communicator. Then  $\mathbf{P}$  uses the **group** table to find the absolute address of  $\mathbf{Q}$ ; **source** and **send\_context** are appended to the message.

Assume that  $\mathbf{Q}$  posts a receive with an explicit source argument using the intercommunicator. Then  $\mathbf{Q}$  matches *receive\_context* to the message context and source argument to the message source.

The same algorithm is appropriate for intra-communicators as well.

In order to support inter-communicator accessors and constructors, it is necessary to supplement this model with additional structures, that store information about the local communication group, and additional safe contexts. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

#### 6.6.1 Inter-communicator Accessors

MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER(comm, flag)

IN	comm	communicator (handle)
OUT	flag	(logical)

int MPI\_Comm\_test\_inter(MPI\_Comm comm, int \*flag)

```
MPI_Comm_test_inter(comm, flag, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
    LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER(COMM, FLAG, IERROR)
    INTEGER COMM, IERROR
    LOGICAL FLAG
```

This local routine allows the calling process to determine if a communicator is an intercommunicator or an intra-communicator. It returns true if it is an inter-communicator, otherwise false.

When an inter-communicator is used as an input argument to the communicator accessors described above under intra-communication, the following table describes behavior.

MPI_COMM_SIZE	returns the size of the local group.
MPI_COMM_GROUP	returns the local group.
	returns the rank in the local group

Table 6.1: MPI\_COMM\_\* Function Behavior (in Inter-Communication Mode)

Furthermore, the operation MPI\_COMM\_COMPARE is valid for inter-communicators. Both communicators must be either intra- or inter-communicators, or else MPI\_UNEQUAL results. Both corresponding local and remote groups must compare correctly to get the results MPI\_CONGRUENT or MPI\_SIMILAR. In particular, it is possible for MPI\_SIMILAR to result because either the local or remote groups were similar but not identical. 

 $^{24}$ 

1 The following accessors provide consistent access to the remote group of an inter- $\mathbf{2}$ communicator. The following are all local operations. 3 4 MPI\_COMM\_REMOTE\_SIZE(comm, size) 56 IN comm inter-communicator (handle)  $\overline{7}$ OUT number of processes in the remote group of comm size 8 (integer) 9 10 int MPI\_Comm\_remote\_size(MPI\_Comm comm, int \*size) 11 12MPI\_Comm\_remote\_size(comm, size, ierror) 13TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 14INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size 15INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 16MPI\_COMM\_REMOTE\_SIZE(COMM, SIZE, IERROR) 17INTEGER COMM, SIZE, IERROR 18 192021MPI\_COMM\_REMOTE\_GROUP(comm, group) 22IN comm inter-communicator (handle) 23OUT remote group corresponding to **comm** (handle) group  $^{24}$ 25int MPI\_Comm\_remote\_group(MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Group \*group) 2627MPI\_Comm\_remote\_group(comm, group, ierror) 28TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 29TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: group 30 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror  $^{31}$ MPI\_COMM\_REMOTE\_GROUP(COMM, GROUP, IERROR) 32 INTEGER COMM, GROUP, IERROR 33 34 35Rationale. Symmetric access to both the local and remote groups of an inter-36 communicator is important, so this function, as well as MPI\_COMM\_REMOTE\_SIZE 37 have been provided. (End of rationale.) 38 39 Inter-communicator Operations 6.6.2 40 $^{41}$ This section introduces four blocking inter-communicator operations. 42MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE is used to bind two intra-communicators into an inter-communicator; the function MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE creates an intra-communicator by merg-43ing the local and remote groups of an inter-communicator. The functions MPI\_COMM\_DUP  $^{44}$ and MPI\_COMM\_FREE, introduced previously, duplicate and free an inter-communicator, 4546respectively.

<sup>47</sup> Overlap of local and remote groups that are bound into an inter-communicator is <sup>48</sup> prohibited. If there is overlap, then the program is erroneous and is likely to deadlock. (If a process is multithreaded, and MPI calls block only a thread, rather than a process, then "dual membership" can be supported. It is then the user's responsibility to make sure that calls on behalf of the two "roles" of a process are executed by two independent threads.)

The function MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE can be used to create an inter-communicator from two existing intra-communicators, in the following situation: At least one selected member from each group (the "group leader") has the ability to communicate with the selected member from the other group; that is, a "peer" communicator exists to which both leaders belong, and each leader knows the rank of the other leader in this peer communicator. Furthermore, members of each group know the rank of their leader.

Construction of an inter-communicator from two intra-communicators requires separate collective operations in the local group and in the remote group, as well as a point-to-point communication between a process in the local group and a process in the remote group.

In standard MPI implementations (with static process allocation at initialization), the MPI\_COMM\_WORLD communicator (or preferably a dedicated duplicate thereof) can be this peer communicator. For applications that have used spawn or join, it may be necessary to first create an intracommunicator to be used as peer.

The application topology functions described in Chapter 7 do not apply to intercommunicators. Users that require this capability should utilize

MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE to build an intra-communicator, then apply the graph or cartesian topology capabilities to that intra-communicator, creating an appropriate topologyoriented intra-communicator. Alternatively, it may be reasonable to devise one's own application topology mechanisms for this case, without loss of generality.

# MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE(local\_comm, local\_leader, peer\_comm, remote\_leader, tag, newintercomm)

	,		
IN	local_comm	local intra-communicator (handle)	27
IN	local_leader	rank of local group leader in local_comm (integer)	28 29
IN	peer_comm	"peer" communicator; significant only at the	30
		local_leader (handle)	31
IN	remote_leader	rank of remote group leader in peer_comm; significant only at the local_leader (integer)	32 33
IN	tag	tag (integer)	34
	-		35
OUT	newintercomm	new inter-communicator (handle)	36
			37
int MPI_I	ntercomm_create(MPI_Comm	<pre>local_comm, int local_leader,</pre>	38
	MPI_Comm peer_comm,	int remote_leader, int tag,	39
	MPI_Comm *newintercor	nm)	40
MDT Inton	comm crosto(locs] comm ]	.ocal_leader, peer_comm, remote_leader,	41
HFT_INCEL	tag, newintercomm, ie	-	42
TVDF (	MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::		43
		leader, remote_leader, tag	44
	MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) ::	-	45
	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		46
INILO	Lit, OF HOMME, INTENT (0017	101101	47
			48

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23 24

25

1 MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE(LOCAL\_COMM, LOCAL\_LEADER, PEER\_COMM, REMOTE\_LEADER,  $\mathbf{2}$ TAG, NEWINTERCOMM, IERROR) 3 INTEGER LOCAL\_COMM, LOCAL\_LEADER, PEER\_COMM, REMOTE\_LEADER, TAG, 4 NEWINTERCOMM, IERROR 5This call creates an inter-communicator. It is collective over the union of the local and 6 remote groups. Processes should provide identical local\_comm and local\_leader arguments 7 within each group. Wildcards are not permitted for remote\_leader, local\_leader, and tag. 8 9 10MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE(intercomm, high, newintracomm) 11IN intercomm Inter-Communicator (handle) 12IN 13high (logical) 14OUT newintracomm new intra-communicator (handle) 1516int MPI\_Intercomm\_merge(MPI\_Comm intercomm, int high, 17MPI\_Comm \*newintracomm) 18 19MPI\_Intercomm\_merge(intercomm, high, newintracomm, ierror) 20TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: intercomm 21LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: high 22 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newintracomm 23INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 24MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE(INTERCOMM, HIGH, NEWINTRACOMM, IERROR) 25INTEGER INTERCOMM, NEWINTRACOMM, IERROR 26LOGICAL HIGH 2728This function creates an intra-communicator from the union of the two groups that are 29associated with intercomm. All processes should provide the same high value within each 30of the two groups. If processes in one group provided the value high = false and processes  $^{31}$ in the other group provided the value high = true then the union orders the "low" group 32before the "high" group. If all processes provided the same high argument then the order 33 of the union is arbitrary. This call is blocking and collective within the union of the two 34 groups. 35 The error handler on the new intercommunicator in each process is inherited from 36 the communicator that contributes the local group. Note that this can result in different 37 processes in the same communicator having different error handlers. 38 Advice to implementors. The implementation of MPI\_INTERCOMM\_MERGE, 39 MPI\_COMM\_FREE, and MPI\_COMM\_DUP are similar to the implementation of 4041 MPI\_INTERCOMM\_CREATE, except that contexts private to the input inter-com-42municator are used for communication between group leaders rather than contexts inside a bridge communicator. (End of advice to implementors.) 43 44454647 48




Figure 6.3: Three-group pipeline

#### 6.6.3 Inter-Communication Examples

#### Example 1: Three-Group "Pipeline"

Groups 0 and 1 communicate. Groups 1 and 2 communicate. Therefore, group 0 requires one inter-communicator, group 1 requires two inter-communicators, and group 2 requires 1 inter-communicator.

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
                                                                                 17
{
                                                                                 18
                            /* intra-communicator of local sub-group */
 MPI_Comm
             myComm;
                                                                                 19
 MPI_Comm
             myFirstComm; /* inter-communicator */
                                                                                 20
 MPI_Comm
             mySecondComm; /* second inter-communicator (group 1 only) */
                                                                                 21
  int membershipKey;
                                                                                 22
  int rank;
                                                                                 23
                                                                                 24
  MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
                                                                                 25
  MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
                                                                                 26
                                                                                 27
  /* User code must generate membershipKey in the range [0, 1, 2] */
                                                                                 28
  membershipKey = rank % 3;
                                                                                 29
                                                                                 30
  /* Build intra-communicator for local sub-group */
                                                                                 31
  MPI_Comm_split(MPI_COMM_WORLD, membershipKey, rank, &myComm);
                                                                                 32
                                                                                 33
  /* Build inter-communicators. Tags are hard-coded. */
                                                                                 34
  if (membershipKey == 0)
                                                                                 35
                         /* Group 0 communicates with group 1. */
  {
                                                                                 36
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1,
                                                                                 37
                          1, &myFirstComm);
                                                                                 38
  }
                                                                                 39
  else if (membershipKey == 1)
                                                                                 40
  {
                  /* Group 1 communicates with groups 0 and 2. */
                                                                                 41
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 0,
                                                                                 42
                          1, &myFirstComm);
                                                                                 43
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 2,
                                                                                 44
                          12, &mySecondComm);
                                                                                 45
  }
                                                                                 46
  else if (membershipKey == 2)
                                                                                 47
                         /* Group 2 communicates with group 1. */
  {
                                                                                 48
```

1 2

9

10 11

12

13

14

```
1
2
3
                           Group 0
                                                               Group 2
4
                                             Group 1
5
6
7
8
                                  Figure 6.4: Three-group ring
9
10
             MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1,
11
                                     12, &myFirstComm);
12
           }
13
14
           /* Do work ... */
15
16
           switch(membershipKey) /* free communicators appropriately */
17
           {
18
           case 1:
19
              MPI_Comm_free(&mySecondComm);
20
           case 0:
21
           case 2:
22
              MPI_Comm_free(&myFirstComm);
23
              break;
^{24}
           }
25
26
           MPI_Finalize();
27
           return 0;
28
        }
29
30
     Example 2: Three-Group "Ring"
31
32
     Groups 0 and 1 communicate. Groups 1 and 2 communicate. Groups 0 and 2 communicate.
     Therefore, each requires two inter-communicators.
33
34
         int main(int argc, char *argv[])
35
         {
36
           MPI_Comm
                                      /* intra-communicator of local sub-group */
                       myComm;
37
           MPI_Comm
                       myFirstComm; /* inter-communicators */
38
           MPI_Comm
                       mySecondComm;
39
           int membershipKey;
40
           int rank;
^{41}
42
           MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
43
           MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
44
           . . .
45
46
           /* User code must generate membershipKey in the range [0, 1, 2] */
47
           membershipKey = rank % 3;
48
```

```
/* Build intra-communicator for local sub-group */
  MPI_Comm_split(MPI_COMM_WORLD, membershipKey, rank, &myComm);
  /* Build inter-communicators. Tags are hard-coded. */
  if (membershipKey == 0)
  {
                /* Group 0 communicates with groups 1 and 2. */
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1,
                         1, &myFirstComm);
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 2,
                         2, &mySecondComm);
  }
  else if (membershipKey == 1)
  {
            /* Group 1 communicates with groups 0 and 2. */
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 0,
                         1, &myFirstComm);
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 2,
                         12, &mySecondComm);
  }
  else if (membershipKey == 2)
           /* Group 2 communicates with groups 0 and 1. */
  {
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 0,
                         2, &myFirstComm);
    MPI_Intercomm_create( myComm, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1,
                         12, &mySecondComm);
  }
  /* Do some work ... */
  /* Then free communicators before terminating... */
  MPI_Comm_free(&myFirstComm);
  MPI_Comm_free(&mySecondComm);
  MPI_Comm_free(&myComm);
  MPI_Finalize();
  return 0;
}
```

# 6.7 Caching

MPI provides a "caching" facility that allows an application to attach arbitrary pieces of information, called *attributes*, to three kinds of MPI objects, communicators, windows, and datatypes. More precisely, the caching facility allows a portable library to do the following:

- pass information between calls by associating it with an MPI intra- or inter-communicator, window, or datatype,
- quickly retrieve that information, and

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

• be guaranteed that out-of-date information is never retrieved, even if the object is freed and its handle subsequently reused by MPI.

The caching capabilities, in some form, are required by built-in MPI routines such as collective communication and application topology. Defining an interface to these capabilities as part of the MPI standard is valuable because it permits routines like collective communication and application topologies to be implemented as portable code, and also because it makes MPI more extensible by allowing user-written routines to use standard MPI calling sequences.

Advice to users. The communicator MPI\_COMM\_SELF is a suitable choice for posting process-local attributes, via this attribute-caching mechanism. (*End of advice to* users.)

Rationale. In one extreme one can allow caching on all opaque handles. The other extreme is to only allow it on communicators. Caching has a cost associated with it and should only be allowed when it is clearly needed and the increased cost is modest. This is the reason that windows and datatypes were added but not other handles. (End of rationale.)

One difficulty is the potential for size differences between Fortran integers and C pointers. For this reason, the Fortran versions of these routines use integers of kind MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND.

Advice to implementors. High-quality implementations should raise an error when a keyval that was created by a call to MPI\_XXX\_CREATE\_KEYVAL is used with an object of the wrong type with a call to MPI\_YYY\_GET\_ATTR, MPI\_YYY\_SET\_ATTR, MPI\_YYY\_DELETE\_ATTR, or MPI\_YYY\_FREE\_KEYVAL. To do so, it is necessary to maintain, with each keyval, information on the type of the associated user function. (End of advice to implementors.)

 $^{24}$ 

### 6.7.1 Functionality

Attributes can be attached to communicators, windows, and datatypes. Attributes are local to the process and specific to the communicator to which they are attached. Attributes are not propagated by MPI from one communicator to another except when the communicator is duplicated using MPI\_COMM\_DUP or MPI\_COMM\_IDUP (and even then the application must give specific permission through callback functions for the attribute to be copied).

Advice to users. Attributes in C are of type void \*. Typically, such an attribute will be a pointer to a structure that contains further information, or a handle to an MPI object. In Fortran, attributes are of type INTEGER. Such attribute can be a handle to an MPI object, or just an integer-valued attribute. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. Attributes are scalar values, equal in size to, or larger than a C-language pointer. Attributes can always hold an MPI handle. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The caching interface defined here requires that attributes be stored by MPI opaquely within a communicator, window, and datatype. Accessor functions include the following:

- obtain a key value (used to identify an attribute); the user specifies "callback" functions by which MPI informs the application when the communicator is destroyed or copied.
- store and retrieve the value of an attribute;

Advice to implementors. Caching and callback functions are only called synchronously, in response to explicit application requests. This avoids problems that result from repeated crossings between user and system space. (This synchronous calling rule is a general property of MPI.)

The choice of key values is under control of MPI. This allows MPI to optimize its implementation of attribute sets. It also avoids conflict between independent modules caching information on the same communicators.

A much smaller interface, consisting of just a callback facility, would allow the entire caching facility to be implemented by portable code. However, with the minimal callback interface, some form of table searching is implied by the need to handle arbitrary communicators. In contrast, the more complete interface defined here permits rapid access to attributes through the use of pointers in communicators (to find the attribute table) and cleverly chosen key values (to retrieve individual attributes). In light of the efficiency "hit" inherent in the minimal interface, the more complete interface defined here is seen to be superior. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

MPI provides the following services related to caching. They are all process local.

#### 6.7.2 Communicators

Functions for caching on communicators are:

# MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_KEYVAL(comm\_copy\_attr\_fn, comm\_delete\_attr\_fn, comm\_keyval, extra\_state)

IN	comm_copy_attr_fn	copy callback function for $comm\_keyval$ (function)	32
IN	comm_delete_attr_fn	delete callback function for $comm\_keyval\xspace$ (function)	33
OUT	comm_keyval	key value for future access (integer)	34 35
IN	extra_state	extra state for callback functions	36
			37

MPI\_Comm\_create\_keyval(comm\_copy\_attr\_fn, comm\_delete\_attr\_fn, comm\_keyval, extra\_state, ierror) PROCEDURE(MPI\_Comm\_copy\_attr\_function) :: comm\_copy\_attr\_fn PROCEDURE(MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr\_function) :: comm\_delete\_attr\_fn INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: comm\_keyval INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: extra\_state INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 

```
1
     MPI_COMM_CREATE_KEYVAL(COMM_COPY_ATTR_FN, COMM_DELETE_ATTR_FN, COMM_KEYVAL,
\mathbf{2}
                   EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
3
         EXTERNAL COMM_COPY_ATTR_FN, COMM_DELETE_ATTR_FN
4
         INTEGER COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR
5
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
6
         Generates a new attribute key. Keys are locally unique in a process, and opaque to
7
     user, though they are explicitly stored in integers. Once allocated, the key value can be
8
     used to associate attributes and access them on any locally defined communicator.
9
     The C callback functions are:
10
     typedef int MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function(MPI_Comm oldcomm, int comm_keyval,
11
                   void *extra_state, void *attribute_val_in,
12
                   void *attribute_val_out, int *flag);
13
14
     and
15
     typedef int MPI_Comm_delete_attr_function(MPI_Comm comm, int comm_keyval,
16
                   void *attribute_val, void *extra_state);
17
     which are the same as the MPI-1.1 calls but with a new name. The old names are deprecated.
18
     With the mpi_f08 module, the Fortran callback functions are:
19
     ABSTRACT INTERFACE
20
       SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function(oldcomm, comm_keyval, extra_state,
21
       attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)
22
           TYPE(MPI_Comm) :: oldcomm
23
           INTEGER :: comm_keyval, ierror
24
           INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,
25
           attribute_val_out
26
           LOGICAL :: flag
27
28
     and
^{29}
     ABSTRACT INTERFACE
30
       SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_delete_attr_function(comm, comm_keyval,
31
       attribute_val, extra_state, ierror)
32
           TYPE(MPI_Comm) :: comm
33
           INTEGER :: comm_keyval, ierror
34
           INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: attribute_val, extra_state
35
     With the mpi module and mpif.h, the Fortran callback functions are:
36
     SUBROUTINE COMM_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDCOMM, COMM_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
37
                   ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
38
         INTEGER OLDCOMM, COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR
39
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN,
40
              ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
41
         LOGICAL FLAG
42
43
     and
44
     SUBROUTINE COMM_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
45
                   EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
46
         INTEGER COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR
47
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
48
```

1 The comm\_copy\_attr\_fn function is invoked when a communicator is duplicated by  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_COMM\_DUP or MPI\_COMM\_IDUP. comm\_copy\_attr\_fn should be of type 3 MPI\_Comm\_copy\_attr\_function. The copy callback function is invoked for each key value in 4 oldcomm in arbitrary order. Each call to the copy callback is made with a key value and its  $\mathbf{5}$ corresponding attribute. If it returns flag = 0 or .FALSE, then the attribute is deleted in the duplicated communicator. Otherwise (flag = 1 or .TRUE.), the new attribute value is set to 6  $\overline{7}$ the value returned in attribute\_val\_out. The function returns MPI\_SUCCESS on success and an error code on failure (in which case MPI\_COMM\_DUP or MPI\_COMM\_IDUP will fail). 8

The argument comm\_copy\_attr\_fn may be specified as MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN or MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_FN from either C or Fortran. MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN is a function that does nothing other than returning flag = 0 or .FALSE. (depending on whether 12the keyval was created with a C or Fortran binding to MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_KEYVAL) and MPI\_SUCCESS. MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_FN is a simple-minded copy function that sets flag = 1 or .TRUE., returns the value of attribute\_val\_in in attribute\_val\_out, and returns MPI\_SUCCESS. These replace the MPI-1 predefined callbacks MPI\_NULL\_COPY\_FN and MPI\_DUP\_FN, whose use is deprecated.

Even though both formal arguments attribute\_val\_in and Advice to users. attribute\_val\_out are of type void \*, their usage differs. The C copy function is passed by MPI in attribute\_val\_in the value of the attribute, and in attribute\_val\_out the address of the attribute, so as to allow the function to return the (new) attribute value. The use of type void \* for both is to avoid messy type casts.

A valid copy function is one that completely duplicates the information by making a full duplicate copy of the data structures implied by an attribute; another might just make another reference to that data structure, while using a reference-count mechanism. Other types of attributes might not copy at all (they might be specific to oldcomm only). (End of advice to users.)

A C interface should be assumed for copy and delete Advice to implementors. functions associated with key values created in C: a Fortran calling interface should be assumed for key values created in Fortran. (End of advice to implementors.)

Analogous to comm\_copy\_attr\_fn is a callback deletion function, defined as follows. The comm\_delete\_attr\_fn function is invoked when a communicator is deleted by MPI\_COMM\_FREE or when a call is made explicitly to MPI\_COMM\_DELETE\_ATTR. comm\_delete\_attr\_fn should be of type MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr\_function.

This function is called by MPI\_COMM\_FREE, MPI\_COMM\_DELETE\_ATTR, and MPI\_COMM\_SET\_ATTR to do whatever is needed to remove an attribute. The function returns MPI\_SUCCESS on success and an error code on failure (in which case MPI\_COMM\_FREE will fail).

The argument comm\_delete\_attr\_fn may be specified as 41 42MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_DELETE\_FN from either C or Fortran. MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_DELETE\_FN is a function that does nothing, other than returning 43 MPI\_SUCCESS. MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_DELETE\_FN replaces MPI\_NULL\_DELETE\_FN, whose 44use is deprecated. 4546

If an attribute copy function or attribute delete function returns other than MPI\_SUCCESS, then the call that caused it to be invoked (for example, MPI\_COMM\_FREE), is erroneous.

9

10 11

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1 2 3			INVALID is never returned by ore, it can be used for static initialization of key			
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Advice to implementors. The predefined Fortran functions MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN, MPI_COMM_DUP_FN, and MPI_COMM_NULL_DELETE_FN are defined in the mpi module (and mpif.h) and the mpi_f08 module with the same name, but with different interfaces. Each function can coexist twice with the same name in the same MPI library, one routine as an implicit interface outside of the mpi module, i.e., declared as EXTERNAL, and the other routine within mpi_f08 declared with CONTAINS. These routines have different link names, which are also different to the link names used for the routines used in C. (End of advice to implementors.)					
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MPI_ MPI_ that	COMM_NULL_COPY_FN, M COMM_NULL_DELETE_FN s uses the mpi_f08 module to an if.h, and vice versa; see also	Uding the predefined Fortran functions PI_COMM_DUP_FN, and should not be passed from one application routine nother application routine that uses the mpi module the advice to users on page 654. ( <i>End of advice to</i>			
21 22	MPI_COM	M_FREE_KEYVAL(comm_key	val)			
23 24	INOUT	comm_keyval	key value (integer)			
25 26	int MPI_C	comm_free_keyval(int *comm	n_keyval)			
27 28 29	MPI_Comm_free_keyval(comm_keyval, ierror) INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: comm_keyval INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror					
30 31 32		FREE_KEYVAL(COMM_KEYVAL, ER COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR	IERROR)			
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Frees an extant attribute key. This function sets the value of keyval to MPI_KEYVAL_INVALID. Note that it is not erroneous to free an attribute key that is in use, because the actual free does not transpire until after all references (in other communicators on the process) to the key have been freed. These references need to be explicitly freed by the program, either via calls to MPI_COMM_DELETE_ATTR that free one attribute instance, or by calls to MPI_COMM_FREE that free all attribute instances associated with the freed communicator.					
42	MPI_COM	M_SET_ATTR(comm, comm_l	keyval, attribute_val)			
43 44	INOUT	comm	communicator from which attribute will be attached (handle)			
45 46	IN	comm_keyval	key value (integer)			
47 48	IN	attribute_val	attribute value			

#### 6.7. CACHING

int MPI_	<pre>int MPI_Comm_set_attr(MPI_Comm comm, int comm_keyval, void *attribute_val)</pre>				
MPI_Comm_set_attr(comm, comm_keyval, attribute_val, ierror)					
TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm					
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: comm		4		
		D), INTENT(IN) :: attribute_val	5 6		
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	T) :: ierror	7		
	SET ATTR/COMM COMM KEY	VAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, IERROR)	8		
	GER COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, I		9		
	GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN		10		
			11		
	-	l attribute value attribute_val for subsequent retrieval	12		
		value is already present, then the outcome is as if	13		
		called to delete the previous value (and the callback cuted), and a new value was next stored. The call	14		
		lue keyval; in particular MPI_KEYVAL_INVALID is an	15		
	0	f the comm_delete_attr_fn function returned an error	16		
	r than MPI_SUCCESS.		17 18		
	-		18		
			20		
MPI_COM	IM_GET_ATTR(comm, comm	n_keyval, attribute_val, flag)	21		
IN	comm	communicator to which the attribute is attached (han-	22		
		dle)	23		
IN	comm_keyval	key value (integer)	24		
OUT	attribute_val	attribute value, unless $flag = false$	25		
OUT	flag	false if no attribute is associated with the key (logical)	26		
			27 28		
int MPT	Comm get attr(MPI Comm c	comm, int comm_keyval, void *attribute_val,	28 29		
	int *flag)		30		
107 a	C		31		
	•	val, attribute_val, flag, ierror)	32		
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) : GER, INTENT(IN) :: comm		33		
		D), INTENT(OUT) :: attribute_val	34		
	CAL, INTENT(OUT) :: fla		35		
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	0	36		
			37		
		VAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, FLAG, IERROR)	38		
	GER COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, I		39		
	GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN CAL FLAG	D) AIIKIBUIL_VAL	40		
			41 42		
Retrieves attribute value by key. The call is erroneous if there is no key with value keyval. On the other hand, the call is correct if the key value exists, but no attribute is					

Retrieves attribute value by key. The call is erroneous if there is no key with value keyval. On the other hand, the call is correct if the key value exists, but no attribute is attached on comm for that key; in such case, the call returns flag = false. In particular MPI\_KEYVAL\_INVALID is an erroneous key value.

Advice to users. The call to MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr passes in attribute\_val the value of the attribute; the call to MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr passes in attribute\_val the address of the 48

271

44

45

location where the attribute value is to be returned. Thus, if the attribute value itself is a pointer of type void\*, then the actual attribute\_val parameter to MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr will be of type void\* and the actual attribute\_val parameter to MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr will be of type void\*\*. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Rationale.* The use of a formal parameter attribute\_val of type void\* (rather than void\*\*) avoids the messy type casting that would be needed if the attribute value is declared with a type other than void\*. (*End of rationale.*)

10 11

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

MPI\_COMM\_DELETE\_ATTR(comm, comm\_keyval)

12INOUT communicator from which the attribute is deleted (hancomm 13 dle) 14IN comm\_keyval key value (integer) 1516int MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr(MPI\_Comm comm, int comm\_keyval) 1718 MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr(comm, comm\_keyval, ierror) 19TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 20INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: comm\_keyval 21INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 22 MPI\_COMM\_DELETE\_ATTR(COMM, COMM\_KEYVAL, IERROR) 23INTEGER COMM, COMM\_KEYVAL, IERROR  $^{24}$ 25Delete attribute from cache by key. This function invokes the attribute delete function 26comm\_delete\_attr\_fn specified when the keyval was created. The call will fail if the 27comm\_delete\_attr\_fn function returns an error code other than MPI\_SUCCESS. 28Whenever a communicator is replicated using the function MPI\_COMM\_DUP or 29MPI\_COMM\_IDUP, all call-back copy functions for attributes that are currently set are 30 invoked (in arbitrary order). Whenever a communicator is deleted using the function  $^{31}$ MPI\_COMM\_FREE all callback delete functions for attributes that are currently set are 32 invoked. 33 346.7.3 Windows 35 36 The functions for caching on windows are: 37 38 MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_KEYVAL(win\_copy\_attr\_fn, win\_delete\_attr\_fn, win\_keyval, extra\_state) 39 4041 IN win\_copy\_attr\_fn copy callback function for win\_keyval (function) 42IN win\_delete\_attr\_fn delete callback function for win\_keyval (function) 43OUT win\_keyval key value for future access (integer) 44IN extra state for callback functions extra\_state 454647int MPI\_Win\_create\_keyval(MPI\_Win\_copy\_attr\_function \*win\_copy\_attr\_fn, 48 MPI\_Win\_delete\_attr\_function \*win\_delete\_attr\_fn,

<pre>int *win_keyval, void *extra_state)</pre>	1
MPI_Win_create_keyval(win_copy_attr_fn, win_delete_attr_fn, win_keyval,	2
extra_state, ierror)	$\frac{3}{4}$
PROCEDURE(MPI_Win_copy_attr_function) :: win_copy_attr_fn	5
PROCEDURE(MPI_Win_delete_attr_function) :: win_delete_attr_fn	6
INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: win_keyval	7
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: extra_state	8
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	9
MPI_WIN_CREATE_KEYVAL(WIN_COPY_ATTR_FN, WIN_DELETE_ATTR_FN, WIN_KEYVAL,	10
EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)	11
EXTERNAL WIN_COPY_ATTR_FN, WIN_DELETE_ATTR_FN	12
INTEGER WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR	13
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE	14
The argument win_copy_attr_fn may be specified as MPI_WIN_NULL_COPY_FN or	15
MPI_WIN_DUP_FN from either C or Fortran. MPI_WIN_NULL_COPY_FN is a function	16
that does nothing other than returning $flag = 0$ and MPI_SUCCESS. MPI_WIN_DUP_FN is	17
a simple-minded copy function that sets $flag = 1$ , returns the value of attribute_val_in in	18 19
attribute_val_out, and returns MPI_SUCCESS.	20
The argument win_delete_attr_fn may be specified as MPI_WIN_NULL_DELETE_FN	20
from either C or Fortran. $MPI_WIN_NULL_DELETE_FN$ is a function that does nothing,	22
other than returning MPI_SUCCESS.	23
The C callback functions are:	24
<pre>typedef int MPI_Win_copy_attr_function(MPI_Win oldwin, int win_keyval,</pre>	25
<pre>void *extra_state, void *attribute_val_in,</pre>	26
<pre>void *attribute_val_out, int *flag);</pre>	27
and	28
<pre>typedef int MPI_Win_delete_attr_function(MPI_Win win, int win_keyval,</pre>	29
<pre>void *attribute_val, void *extra_state);</pre>	30
With the mpi_f08 module, the Fortran callback functions are:	31
ABSTRACT INTERFACE	32 33
SUBROUTINE MPI_Win_copy_attr_function(oldwin, win_keyval, extra_state,	33 34
attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)	35
TYPE(MPI_Win) :: oldwin	36
INTEGER :: win_keyval, ierror	37
<pre>INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,</pre>	38
attribute_val_out	39
LOGICAL :: flag	40
and	41
ABSTRACT INTERFACE	42
SUBROUTINE MPI_Win_delete_attr_function(win, win_keyval, attribute_val,	43
extra_state, ierror)	44
TYPE(MPI_Win) :: win	45
INTEGER :: win_keyval, ierror	46
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: attribute_val, extra_state	47 48
	-40

```
1
     With the mpi module and mpif.h, the Fortran callback functions are:
\mathbf{2}
     SUBROUTINE WIN_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDWIN, WIN_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
3
                    ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
4
          INTEGER OLDWIN, WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
\mathbf{5}
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN,
6
              ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
7
         LOGICAL FLAG
8
     and
9
     SUBROUTINE WIN_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
10
                    EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
11
         INTEGER WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
12
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
13
14
         If an attribute copy function or attribute delete function returns other than
15
     MPI_SUCCESS, then the call that caused it to be invoked (for example, MPI_WIN_FREE), is
16
     erroneous.
17
18
     MPI_WIN_FREE_KEYVAL(win_keyval)
19
20
       INOUT
                win_keyval
                                            key value (integer)
21
22
     int MPI_Win_free_keyval(int *win_keyval)
23
     MPI_Win_free_keyval(win_keyval, ierror)
^{24}
          INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: win_keyval
25
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
26
27
     MPI_WIN_FREE_KEYVAL(WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR)
28
          INTEGER WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
29
30
^{31}
     MPI_WIN_SET_ATTR(win, win_keyval, attribute_val)
32
33
       INOUT
                                            window to which attribute will be attached (handle)
                win
34
       IN
                win_keyval
                                            key value (integer)
35
       IN
                attribute_val
                                            attribute value
36
37
     int MPI_Win_set_attr(MPI_Win win, int win_keyval, void *attribute_val)
38
39
     MPI_Win_set_attr(win, win_keyval, attribute_val, ierror)
40
         TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
41
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: win_keyval
42
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: attribute_val
43
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
44
     MPI_WIN_SET_ATTR(WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, IERROR)
45
          INTEGER WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
46
47
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL
48
```

MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, win\_keyval, attribute\_val, flag) IN win window to which the attribute is attached (handle) win\_keyval IN key value (integer) OUT attribute\_val attribute value, unless flag = falseOUT flag false if no attribute is associated with the key (logical) int MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(MPI\_Win win, int win\_keyval, void \*attribute\_val, int \*flag) MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, win\_keyval, attribute\_val, flag, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 12INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: win\_keyval 14INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: attribute\_val LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(WIN, WIN\_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE\_VAL, FLAG, IERROR) 18 INTEGER WIN, WIN\_KEYVAL, IERROR INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) ATTRIBUTE\_VAL 20LOGICAL FLAG 2122 23MPI\_WIN\_DELETE\_ATTR(win, win\_keyval)  $^{24}$ 25INOUT window from which the attribute is deleted (handle) win 26IN win\_keyval key value (integer) 2728int MPI\_Win\_delete\_attr(MPI\_Win win, int win\_keyval) 29 30 MPI\_Win\_delete\_attr(win, win\_keyval, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: win\_keyval INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 34MPI\_WIN\_DELETE\_ATTR(WIN, WIN\_KEYVAL, IERROR) 35 INTEGER WIN, WIN\_KEYVAL, IERROR 36 37 6.7.4 Datatypes

The new functions for caching on datatypes are:

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

56

7 8

9

10 11

13

15

16

17

19

31

32

33

38

39

276 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING

```
1
     MPI_TYPE_CREATE_KEYVAL(type_copy_attr_fn, type_delete_attr_fn, type_keyval,
\mathbf{2}
                    extra_state)
3
       IN
                type_copy_attr_fn
                                           copy callback function for type_keyval (function)
4
       IN
                type_delete_attr_fn
                                           delete callback function for type_keyval (function)
5
6
       OUT
                type_keyval
                                           key value for future access (integer)
7
       IN
                extra_state
                                           extra state for callback functions
8
9
     int MPI_Type_create_keyval(MPI_Type_copy_attr_function *type_copy_attr_fn,
10
                    MPI_Type_delete_attr_function *type_delete_attr_fn,
11
                    int *type_keyval, void *extra_state)
12
13
     MPI_Type_create_keyval(type_copy_attr_fn, type_delete_attr_fn, type_keyval,
14
                    extra_state, ierror)
15
         PROCEDURE(MPI_Type_copy_attr_function) :: type_copy_attr_fn
16
         PROCEDURE(MPI_Type_delete_attr_function) :: type_delete_attr_fn
17
         INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: type_keyval
18
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: extra_state
19
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
20
     MPI_TYPE_CREATE_KEYVAL(TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FN, TYPE_DELETE_ATTR_FN, TYPE_KEYVAL,
21
                    EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
22
         EXTERNAL TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FN, TYPE_DELETE_ATTR_FN
23
         INTEGER TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
^{24}
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
25
26
         The argument type_copy_attr_fn may be specified as MPI_TYPE_NULL_COPY_FN or
27
     MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN from either C or Fortran. MPI_TYPE_NULL_COPY_FN is a function
28
     that does nothing other than returning flag = 0 and MPI_SUCCESS. MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN
^{29}
     is a simple-minded copy function that sets flag = 1, returns the value of attribute_val_in in
30
     attribute_val_out, and returns MPI_SUCCESS.
^{31}
         The argument type_delete_attr_fn may be specified as MPI_TYPE_NULL_DELETE_FN
32
     from either C or Fortran. MPI_TYPE_NULL_DELETE_FN is a function that does nothing,
33
     other than returning MPI_SUCCESS.
34
     The C callback functions are:
35
     typedef int MPI_Type_copy_attr_function(MPI_Datatype oldtype,
36
                    int type_keyval, void *extra_state, void *attribute_val_in,
37
                    void *attribute_val_out, int *flag);
38
     and
39
     typedef int MPI_Type_delete_attr_function(MPI_Datatype datatype,
40
                    int type_keyval, void *attribute_val, void *extra_state);
41
42
     With the mpi_f08 module, the Fortran callback functions are:
43
     ABSTRACT INTERFACE
44
       SUBROUTINE MPI_Type_copy_attr_function(oldtype, type_keyval, extra_state,
45
       attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)
46
            TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: oldtype
47
            INTEGER :: type_keyval, ierror
48
```

```
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,
                                                                                      1
                                                                                      \mathbf{2}
      attribute_val_out
                                                                                      3
      LOGICAL :: flag
                                                                                      4
and
                                                                                      5
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                      6
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Type_delete_attr_function(datatype, type_keyval,
                                                                                      7
  attribute_val, extra_state, ierror)
                                                                                      8
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
                                                                                      9
      INTEGER :: type_keyval, ierror
                                                                                      10
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: attribute_val, extra_state
                                                                                      11
With the mpi module and mpif.h, the Fortran callback functions are:
                                                                                      12
                                                                                      13
SUBROUTINE TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDTYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
                                                                                      14
              ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
                                                                                      15
    INTEGER OLDTYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                      16
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE,
                                                                                      17
         ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
                                                                                      18
    LOGICAL FLAG
                                                                                      19
and
                                                                                      20
SUBROUTINE TYPE_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
                                                                                      21
              EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
                                                                                      22
    INTEGER DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                      23
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
                                                                                      24
                                                                                      25
    If an attribute copy function or attribute delete function returns other than
                                                                                      26
MPI_SUCCESS, then the call that caused it to be invoked (for example, MPI_TYPE_FREE),
                                                                                      27
is erroneous.
                                                                                      28
                                                                                      29
MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL(type_keyval)
                                                                                      30
                                                                                      31
  INOUT
           type_keyval
                                      key value (integer)
                                                                                      32
                                                                                      33
int MPI_Type_free_keyval(int *type_keyval)
                                                                                      34
MPI_Type_free_keyval(type_keyval, ierror)
                                                                                      35
    INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: type_keyval
                                                                                      36
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                      37
                                                                                      38
MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL(TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR)
                                                                                      39
    INTEGER TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                      40
                                                                                      41
                                                                                      42
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
                                                                                      45
```

```
278 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING
```

```
1
     MPI_TYPE_SET_ATTR(datatype, type_keyval, attribute_val)
\mathbf{2}
       INOUT
                 datatype
                                            datatype to which attribute will be attached (handle)
3
       IN
                 type_keyval
                                            key value (integer)
4
5
       IN
                 attribute_val
                                            attribute value
6
\overline{7}
     int MPI_Type_set_attr(MPI_Datatype datatype, int type_keyval,
8
                    void *attribute_val)
9
     MPI_Type_set_attr(datatype, type_keyval, attribute_val, ierror)
10
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
11
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: type_keyval
12
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: attribute_val
13
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
14
15
     MPI_TYPE_SET_ATTR(DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, IERROR)
16
          INTEGER DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
17
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL
18
19
20
     MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR(datatype, type_keyval, attribute_val, flag)
21
       IN
                 datatype
                                            datatype to which the attribute is attached (handle)
22
23
       IN
                 type_keyval
                                            key value (integer)
24
                 attribute_val
       OUT
                                            attribute value, unless flag = false
25
       OUT
                                            false if no attribute is associated with the key (logical)
                 flag
26
27
     int MPI_Type_get_attr(MPI_Datatype datatype, int type_keyval, void
28
                    *attribute_val, int *flag)
29
30
     MPI_Type_get_attr(datatype, type_keyval, attribute_val, flag, ierror)
^{31}
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
32
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: type_keyval
33
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: attribute_val
34
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag
35
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
36
37
     MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR(DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, FLAG, IERROR)
38
          INTEGER DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
39
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL
40
          LOGICAL FLAG
41
42
43
     MPI_TYPE_DELETE_ATTR(datatype, type_keyval)
44
       INOUT
                 datatype
                                            datatype from which the attribute is deleted (handle)
45
       IN
                 type_keyval
                                            key value (integer)
46
47
48
     int MPI_Type_delete_attr(MPI_Datatype datatype, int type_keyval)
```

```
1
MPI_Type_delete_attr(datatype, type_keyval, ierror)
                                                                                       2
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
                                                                                       3
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: type_keyval
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                                                       4
                                          ierror
                                                                                       5
MPI_TYPE_DELETE_ATTR(DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR)
                                                                                       6
    INTEGER DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                       7
                                                                                       9
6.7.5 Error Class for Invalid Keyval
                                                                                      10
Key values for attributes are system-allocated, by
                                                                                      11
MPI_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}_CREATE_KEYVAL. Only such values can be passed to the func-
                                                                                      12
tions that use key values as input arguments. In order to signal that an erroneous key value
                                                                                      13
has been passed to one of these functions, there is a new MPI error class: MPI_ERR_KEYVAL.
                                                                                      14
It can be returned by MPI_ATTR_PUT, MPI_ATTR_GET, MPI_ATTR_DELETE,
                                                                                      15
MPI_KEYVAL_FREE, MPI_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}_DELETE_ATTR,
                                                                                      16
MPI_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}_SET_ATTR, MPI_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}_GET_ATTR,
                                                                                      17
MPI_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}_FREE_KEYVAL, MPI_COMM_DUP, MPI_COMM_IDUP,
                                                                                      18
MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT, and MPI_COMM_FREE. The last four are included because
                                                                                      19
keyval is an argument to the copy and delete functions for attributes.
                                                                                      20
                                                                                      21
6.7.6 Attributes Example
                                                                                      22
                                                                                      23
                        This example shows how to write a collective communication
     Advice to users.
                                                                                      24
     operation that uses caching to be more efficient after the first call. (End of advice to
                                                                                      25
     users.)
                                                                                      26
                                                                                      27
                                                                                      28
   /* key for this module's stuff: */
                                                                                      29
   static int gop_key = MPI_KEYVAL_INVALID;
                                                                                      30
                                                                                      31
   typedef struct
                                                                                      32
   {
                                                                                      33
      int ref_count;
                                 /* reference count */
                                                                                      34
       /* other stuff, whatever else we want */
                                                                                      35
   } gop_stuff_type;
                                                                                      36
                                                                                      37
   void Efficient_Collective_Op (MPI_Comm comm, ...)
                                                                                      38
   {
                                                                                      39
     gop_stuff_type *gop_stuff;
                                                                                      40
     MPI_Group
                       group;
                                                                                      41
     int
                       foundflag;
                                                                                      42
     MPI_Comm_group(comm, &group);
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
     if (gop_key == MPI_KEYVAL_INVALID) /* get a key on first call ever */
                                                                                      45
                                                                                      46
     ſ
                                                                                      47
       if ( ! MPI_Comm_create_keyval( gop_stuff_copier,
                                                                                      48
                                   gop_stuff_destructor,
```

```
1
                                       &gop_key, (void *)0));
2
            /* get the key while assigning its copy and delete callback
3
               behavior. */
4
5
            MPI_Abort (comm, 99);
6
          }
7
8
          MPI_Comm_get_attr (comm, gop_key, &gop_stuff, &foundflag);
9
          if (foundflag)
10
          { /* This module has executed in this group before.
11
                We will use the cached information */
12
          }
13
          else
14
          { /* This is a group that we have not yet cached anything in.
15
               We will now do so.
            */
16
17
18
            /* First, allocate storage for the stuff we want,
19
                and initialize the reference count */
20
21
            gop_stuff = (gop_stuff_type *) malloc (sizeof(gop_stuff_type));
22
            if (gop_stuff == NULL) { /* abort on out-of-memory error */ }
23
^{24}
            gop_stuff -> ref_count = 1;
25
26
            /* Second, fill in *gop_stuff with whatever we want.
27
                This part isn't shown here */
28
29
            /* Third, store gop_stuff as the attribute value */
30
            MPI_Comm_set_attr (comm, gop_key, gop_stuff);
31
          3
32
          /* Then, in any case, use contents of *gop_stuff
33
             to do the global op ... */
34
        }
35
36
        /* The following routine is called by MPI when a group is freed */
37
38
        int gop_stuff_destructor (MPI_Comm comm, int keyval, void *gop_stuffP,
39
                                void *extra)
40
        {
41
          gop_stuff_type *gop_stuff = (gop_stuff_type *)gop_stuffP;
42
          if (keyval != gop_key) { /* abort -- programming error */ }
43
44
          /* The group's being freed removes one reference to gop_stuff */
45
          gop_stuff -> ref_count -= 1;
46
47
          /* If no references remain, then free the storage */
48
          if (gop_stuff -> ref_count == 0) {
```

```
free((void *)gop_stuff);
  }
  return MPI_SUCCESS;
}
/* The following routine is called by MPI when a group is copied */
int gop_stuff_copier (MPI_Comm comm, int keyval, void *extra,
 void *gop_stuff_inP, void *gop_stuff_outP, int *flag)
{
  gop_stuff_type *gop_stuff_in = (gop_stuff_type *)gop_stuff_inP;
  gop_stuff_type **gop_stuff_out = (gop_stuff_type **)gop_stuff_outP;
  if (keyval != gop_key) { /* abort -- programming error */ }
  /* The new group adds one reference to this gop_stuff */
  gop_stuff_in -> ref_count += 1;
  *gop_stuff_out = gop_stuff_in;
  return MPI_SUCCESS;
}
```

### 6.8 Naming Objects

There are many occasions on which it would be useful to allow a user to associate a printable identifier with an MPI communicator, window, or datatype, for instance error reporting, debugging, and profiling. The names attached to opaque objects do not propagate when the object is duplicated or copied by MPI routines. For communicators this can be achieved using the following two functions.

```
MPI_COMM_SET_NAME (comm, comm_name)
```

INOUT	comm	communicator whose identifier is to be set (handle)	30
			31
IN	comm_name	the character string which is remembered as the name	32
		(string)	33
			34
int MPI_C	omm_set_name(MPI_Comm com	m, const char *comm_name)	35
			36
	<pre>set_name(comm, comm_name,</pre>		37
TYPE(	MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	comm	38
CHARA	CTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :	: comm_name	39
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	40
MPI_COMM_	SET_NAME(COMM, COMM_NAME,	IERROR)	41
INTEG	ER COMM, IERROR		42
CHARA	CTER*(*) COMM_NAME		43
			44

MPI\_COMM\_SET\_NAME allows a user to associate a name string with a communicator. The character string which is passed to MPI\_COMM\_SET\_NAME will be saved inside the MPI library (so it can be freed by the caller immediately after the call, or allocated on the

281

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

 $47 \\ 48$ 

45

#### 282 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING

1stack). Leading spaces in name are significant but trailing ones are not.  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_COMM\_SET\_NAME is a local (non-collective) operation, which only affects the 3 name of the communicator as seen in the process which made the MPI\_COMM\_SET\_NAME 4 call. There is no requirement that the same (or any) name be assigned to a communicator  $\mathbf{5}$ in every process where it exists. 6 Advice to users. Since MPI\_COMM\_SET\_NAME is provided to help debug code, it 7 is sensible to give the same name to a communicator in all of the processes where it 8 9 exists, to avoid confusion. (End of advice to users.) 10 The length of the name which can be stored is limited to the value of 11 MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME in Fortran and MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME-1 in C to allow for the 12null terminator. Attempts to put names longer than this will result in truncation of the 13 name. MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME must have a value of at least 64. 1415Advice to users. Under circumstances of store exhaustion an attempt to put a name 16of any length could fail, therefore the value of MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME should be 17 viewed only as a strict upper bound on the name length, not a guarantee that setting 18 names of less than this length will always succeed. (End of advice to users.) 19 20Advice to implementations. Implementations which pre-allocate a fixed size space for a 21name should use the length of that allocation as the value of MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME. 22 Implementations which allocate space for the name from the heap should still define 23MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME to be a relatively small value, since the user has to allocate 24space for a string of up to this size when calling MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME. (End of 25advice to implementors.) 26272829MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME (comm, comm\_name, resultlen) 30 IN communicator whose name is to be returned (handle) comm  $^{31}$ OUT the name previously stored on the communicator, or comm\_name 32 an empty string if no such name exists (string) 33 34 OUT resultlen length of returned name (integer) 35 36 int MPI\_Comm\_get\_name(MPI\_Comm comm, char \*comm\_name, int \*resultlen) 37 MPI\_Comm\_get\_name(comm, comm\_name, resultlen, ierror) 38TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 39 CHARACTER(LEN=MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: 40comm name INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: resultlen 41 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 4243MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME(COMM, COMM\_NAME, RESULTLEN, IERROR) 44INTEGER COMM, RESULTLEN, IERROR 45CHARACTER\*(\*) COMM\_NAME 46 47MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME returns the last name which has previously been associated 48with the given communicator. The name may be set and retrieved from any language. The

same name will be returned independent of the language used. name should be allocated so that it can hold a resulting string of length MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME characters. MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME returns a copy of the set name in name.

In C, a null character is additionally stored at name[resultlen]. The value of resultlen cannot be larger than MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME-1. In Fortran, name is padded on the right with blank characters. The value of resultlen cannot be larger than MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME.

If the user has not associated a name with a communicator, or an error occurs, MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME will return an empty string (all spaces in Fortran, "" in C). The three predefined communicators will have predefined names associated with them. Thus, the names of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, MPI\_COMM\_SELF, and the communicator returned by MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT (if not MPI\_COMM\_NULL) will have the default of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, MPI\_COMM\_SELF, and MPI\_COMM\_PARENT. The fact that the system may have chosen to give a default name to a communicator does not prevent the user from setting a name on the same communicator; doing this removes the old name and assigns the new one.

*Rationale.* We provide separate functions for setting and getting the name of a communicator, rather than simply providing a predefined attribute key for the following reasons:

- It is not, in general, possible to store a string as an attribute from Fortran.
- It is not easy to set up the delete function for a string attribute unless it is known to have been allocated from the heap.
- To make the attribute key useful additional code to call strdup is necessary. If this is not standardized then users have to write it. This is extra unneeded work which we can easily eliminate.
- The Fortran binding is not trivial to write (it will depend on details of the Fortran compilation system), and will not be portable. Therefore it should be in the library rather than in user code.

(End of rationale.)

Advice to users. The above definition means that it is safe simply to print the string returned by MPI\_COMM\_GET\_NAME, as it is always a valid string even if there was no name.

Note that associating a name with a communicator has no effect on the semantics of an MPI program, and will (necessarily) increase the store requirement of the program, since the names must be saved. Therefore there is no requirement that users use these functions to associate names with communicators. However debugging and profiling MPI applications may be made easier if names are associated with communicators, since the debugger or profiler should then be able to present information in a less cryptic manner. (*End of advice to users.*)

The following functions are used for setting and getting names of datatypes. The constant MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME also applies to these names.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

46

```
284 CHAPTER 6. GROUPS, CONTEXTS, COMMUNICATORS, AND CACHING
```

```
1
     MPI_TYPE_SET_NAME (datatype, type_name)
2
       INOUT
                 datatype
                                             datatype whose identifier is to be set (handle)
3
       IN
                 type_name
                                             the character string which is remembered as the name
4
                                             (string)
5
6
7
     int MPI_Type_set_name(MPI_Datatype datatype, const char *type_name)
8
     MPI_Type_set_name(datatype, type_name, ierror)
9
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
10
          CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: type_name
11
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
12
     MPI_TYPE_SET_NAME(DATATYPE, TYPE_NAME, IERROR)
13
14
          INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR
15
          CHARACTER*(*) TYPE_NAME
16
17
18
     MPI_TYPE_GET_NAME (datatype, type_name, resultlen)
19
       IN
                 datatype
                                             datatype whose name is to be returned (handle)
20
       OUT
                 type_name
                                             the name previously stored on the datatype, or a empty
21
                                             string if no such name exists (string)
22
23
       OUT
                 resultlen
                                             length of returned name (integer)
^{24}
25
     int MPI_Type_get_name(MPI_Datatype datatype, char *type_name, int
26
                    *resultlen)
27
     MPI_Type_get_name(datatype, type_name, resultlen, ierror)
28
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
29
30
          CHARACTER(LEN=MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: type_name
^{31}
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: resultlen
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
32
33
     MPI_TYPE_GET_NAME(DATATYPE, TYPE_NAME, RESULTLEN, IERROR)
34
          INTEGER DATATYPE, RESULTLEN, IERROR
35
          CHARACTER*(*) TYPE_NAME
36
37
         Named predefined datatypes have the default names of the datatype name. For exam-
38
     ple, MPI_WCHAR has the default name of MPI_WCHAR.
39
         The following functions are used for setting and getting names of windows. The con-
40
     stant MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME also applies to these names.
41
42
     MPI_WIN_SET_NAME (win, win_name)
43
44
       INOUT
                                             window whose identifier is to be set (handle)
                 win
45
       IN
                 win_name
                                             the character string which is remembered as the name
46
                                             (string)
47
48
```

1 int MPI\_Win\_set\_name(MPI\_Win win, const char \*win\_name) 2 MPI\_Win\_set\_name(win, win\_name, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 4 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: win\_name 5 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 6 MPI\_WIN\_SET\_NAME(WIN, WIN\_NAME, IERROR) 7 INTEGER WIN, IERROR 9 CHARACTER\*(\*) WIN\_NAME 10 11 12MPI\_WIN\_GET\_NAME (win, win\_name, resultlen) 13 IN win window whose name is to be returned (handle) 14OUT 15win\_name the name previously stored on the window, or a empty 16string if no such name exists (string) 17OUT resultlen length of returned name (integer) 18 19 int MPI\_Win\_get\_name(MPI\_Win win, char \*win\_name, int \*resultlen) 2021MPI\_Win\_get\_name(win, win\_name, resultlen, ierror) 22 TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 23CHARACTER(LEN=MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: win\_name  $^{24}$ INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: resultlen 25INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 26MPI\_WIN\_GET\_NAME(WIN, WIN\_NAME, RESULTLEN, IERROR) 27INTEGER WIN, RESULTLEN, IERROR 28 CHARACTER\*(\*) WIN\_NAME 29 30 316.9 Formalizing the Loosely Synchronous Model 32

In this section, we make further statements about the loosely synchronous model, with particular attention to intra-communication.

### 6.9.1 Basic Statements

When a caller passes a communicator (that contains a context and group) to a callee, that communicator must be free of side effects throughout execution of the subprogram: there should be no active operations on that communicator that might involve the process. This provides one model in which libraries can be written, and work "safely." For libraries so designated, the callee has permission to do whatever communication it likes with the communicator, and under the above guarantee knows that no other communications will interfere. Since we permit good implementations to create new communicators without synchronization (such as by preallocated contexts on communicators), this does not impose a significant overhead.

33

34

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

This form of safety is analogous to other common computer-science usages, such as passing a descriptor of an array to a library routine. The library routine has every right to expect such a descriptor to be valid and modifiable.

#### 6.9.2 Models of Execution

In the loosely synchronous model, transfer of control to a *parallel procedure* is effected by
 having each executing process invoke the procedure. The invocation is a collective operation:
 it is executed by all processes in the execution group, and invocations are similarly ordered
 at all processes. However, the invocation need not be synchronized.

We say that a parallel procedure is *active* in a process if the process belongs to a group that may collectively execute the procedure, and some member of that group is currently executing the procedure code. If a parallel procedure is active in a process, then this process may be receiving messages pertaining to this procedure, even if it does not currently execute the code of this procedure.

16

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6

#### 17 Static Communicator Allocation

This covers the case where, at any point in time, at most one invocation of a parallel procedure can be active at any process, and the group of executing processes is fixed. For example, all invocations of parallel procedures involve all processes, processes are singlethreaded, and there are no recursive invocations.

In such a case, a communicator can be statically allocated to each procedure. The static allocation can be done in a preamble, as part of initialization code. If the parallel procedures can be organized into libraries, so that only one procedure of each library can be concurrently active in each processor, then it is sufficient to allocate one communicator per library.

27 28

29

#### Dynamic Communicator Allocation

Calls of parallel procedures are well-nested if a new parallel procedure is always invoked in
 a subset of a group executing the same parallel procedure. Thus, processes that execute
 the same parallel procedure have the same execution stack.

In such a case, a new communicator needs to be dynamically allocated for each new invocation of a parallel procedure. The allocation is done by the caller. A new communicator can be generated by a call to MPI\_COMM\_DUP, if the callee execution group is identical to the caller execution group, or by a call to MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT if the caller execution group is split into several subgroups executing distinct parallel routines. The new communicator is passed as an argument to the invoked routine.

The need for generating a new communicator at each invocation can be alleviated or avoided altogether in some cases: If the execution group is not split, then one can allocate a stack of communicators in a preamble, and next manage the stack in a way that mimics the stack of recursive calls.

One can also take advantage of the well-ordering property of communication to avoid
 confusing caller and callee communication, even if both use the same communicator. To do
 so, one needs to abide by the following two rules:

46 47

48

• messages sent before a procedure call (or before a return from the procedure) are also received before the matching call (or return) at the receiving end;

#### The General Case

In the general case, there may be multiple concurrently active invocations of the same parallel procedure within the same group; invocations may not be well-nested. A new communicator needs to be created for each invocation. It is the user's responsibility to make sure that, should two distinct parallel procedures be invoked concurrently on overlapping sets of processes, communicator creation is properly coordinated.  $\overline{7}$ 

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8 9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20 21					
21					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32 33					
33 34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
$44 \\ 45$					
45 46					
47					
48					

# Chapter 7

# **Process Topologies**

#### 7.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the MPI topology mechanism. A topology is an extra, optional attribute that one can give to an intra-communicator; topologies cannot be added to intercommunicators. A topology can provide a convenient naming mechanism for the processes of a group (within a communicator), and additionally, may assist the runtime system in mapping the processes onto hardware.

 $^{24}$ 

As stated in Chapter 6, a process group in MPI is a collection of n processes. Each process in the group is assigned a rank between 0 and n-1. In many parallel applications a linear ranking of processes does not adequately reflect the logical communication pattern of the processes (which is usually determined by the underlying problem geometry and the numerical algorithm used). Often the processes are arranged in topological patterns such as two- or three-dimensional grids. More generally, the logical process arrangement is described by a graph. In this chapter we will refer to this logical process arrangement as the "virtual topology."

A clear distinction must be made between the virtual process topology and the topology of the underlying, physical hardware. The virtual topology can be exploited by the system in the assignment of processes to physical processors, if this helps to improve the communication performance on a given machine. How this mapping is done, however, is outside the scope of MPI. The description of the virtual topology, on the other hand, depends only on the application, and is machine-independent. The functions that are described in this chapter deal with machine-independent mapping and communication on virtual process topologies.

Rationale. Though physical mapping is not discussed, the existence of the virtual topology information may be used as advice by the runtime system. There are well-known techniques for mapping grid/torus structures to hardware topologies such as hypercubes or grids. For more complicated graph structures good heuristics often yield nearly optimal results [44]. On the other hand, if there is no way for the user to specify the logical process arrangement as a "virtual topology," a random mapping is most likely to result. On some machines, this will lead to unnecessary contention in the interconnection network. Some details about predicted and measured performance improvements that result from good process-to-processor mapping on modern wormhole-routing architectures can be found in [11, 12].

Besides possible performance benefits, the virtual topology can function as a convenient, process-naming structure, with significant benefits for program readability and notational power in message-passing programming. (*End of rationale.*)

## 7.2 Virtual Topologies

The communication pattern of a set of processes can be represented by a graph. The nodes represent processes, and the edges connect processes that communicate with each other. MPI provides message-passing between any pair of processes in a group. There is no requirement for opening a channel explicitly. Therefore, a "missing link" in the user-defined process graph does not prevent the corresponding processes from exchanging messages. It means rather that this connection is neglected in the virtual topology. This strategy implies that the topology gives no convenient way of naming this pathway of communication. Another possible consequence is that an automatic mapping tool (if one exists for the runtime environment) will not take account of this edge when mapping.

16Specifying the virtual topology in terms of a graph is sufficient for all applications. 17However, in many applications the graph structure is regular, and the detailed set-up of the 18 graph would be inconvenient for the user and might be less efficient at run time. A large frac-19tion of all parallel applications use process topologies like rings, two- or higher-dimensional 20grids, or tori. These structures are completely defined by the number of dimensions and 21the numbers of processes in each coordinate direction. Also, the mapping of grids and tori 22is generally an easier problem than that of general graphs. Thus, it is desirable to address 23these cases explicitly.

<sup>24</sup> Process coordinates in a Cartesian structure begin their numbering at 0. Row-major <sup>25</sup> numbering is always used for the processes in a Cartesian structure. This means that, for <sup>26</sup> example, the relation between group rank and coordinates for four processes in a  $(2 \times 2)$ <sup>27</sup> grid is as follows.

coord (0,0): coord (0,1): coord (1,0):

coord (1,1):

31 32 33

34

35 36

37

38

39

29

30

## 7.3 Embedding in MPI

The support for virtual topologies as defined in this chapter is consistent with other parts of MPI, and, whenever possible, makes use of functions that are defined elsewhere. Topology information is associated with communicators. It is added to communicators using the caching mechanism described in Chapter 6.

40 41

42

7.4

## 7.4 Overview of the Functions

rank 0

rank 1

rank 2

rank 3

<sup>43</sup> MPI supports three topology types: Cartesian, graph, and distributed graph. The function MPI\_CART\_CREATE is used to create Cartesian topologies, the function

<sup>45</sup> MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE is used to create graph topologies, and the functions

<sup>46</sup> MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT and MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE are used to cre-

 $\frac{4}{48}$  at distributed graph topologies. These topology creation functions are collective. As with

1

2

3

 $\frac{4}{5}$ 

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

other collective calls, the program must be written to work correctly, whether the call synchronizes or not.

The topology creation functions take as input an existing communicator comm\_old, which defines the set of processes on which the topology is to be mapped. For MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE and MPI\_CART\_CREATE, all input arguments must have identical values on all processes of the group of comm\_old. When calling MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE, each process specifies all nodes and edges in the graph. In contrast, the functions MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT or MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE are used to specify the graph in a distributed fashion, whereby each process only specifies a subset of the edges in the graph such that the entire graph structure is defined collectively across the set of processes. Therefore the processes provide different values for the arguments specifying the graph. However, all processes must give the same value for reorder and the info argument. In all cases, a new communicator comm\_topol is created that carries the topological structure as cached information (see Chapter 6). In analogy to function MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, no cached information propagates from comm\_old to comm\_topol.

MPI\_CART\_CREATE can be used to describe Cartesian structures of arbitrary dimension. For each coordinate direction one specifies whether the process structure is periodic or not. Note that an *n*-dimensional hypercube is an *n*-dimensional torus with 2 processes per coordinate direction. Thus, special support for hypercube structures is not necessary. The local auxiliary function MPI\_DIMS\_CREATE can be used to compute a balanced distribution of processes among a given number of dimensions.

*Rationale.* Similar functions are contained in EXPRESS [13] and PARMACS. (*End of rationale.*)

MPI defines functions to query a communicator for topology information. The function MPI\_TOPO\_TEST is used to query for the type of topology associated with a communicator. Depending on the topology type, different information can be extracted. For a graph topology, the functions MPI\_GRAPHDIMS\_GET and MPI\_GRAPH\_GET return the values that were specified in the call to MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE. Additionally, the functions MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT and MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS can be used to obtain the neighbors of an arbitrary node in the graph. For a distributed graph topology, the functions MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT and MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS can be used to obtain the neighbors of the calling process. For a Cartesian topology, the functions MPI\_CARTDIM\_GET and MPI\_CART\_GET return the values that were specified in the call to MPI\_CART\_CREATE. Additionally, the functions MPI\_CART\_RANK and MPI\_CART\_COORDS translate Cartesian coordinates into a group rank, and vice-versa. The function MPI\_CART\_SHIFT provides the information needed to communicate with neighbors along a Cartesian dimension. All of these query functions are local.

For Cartesian topologies, the function MPI\_CART\_SUB can be used to extract a Cartesian subspace (analogous to MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT). This function is collective over the input communicator's group.

The two additional functions, MPI\_GRAPH\_MAP and MPI\_CART\_MAP, are, in general, not called by the user directly. However, together with the communicator manipulation functions presented in Chapter 6, they are sufficient to implement all other topology functions. Section 7.5.8 outlines such an implementation.

The neighborhood collective communication routines MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHER, MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHERV, MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLTOALL,

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

```
1
     MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV, and MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW communicate with the
\mathbf{2}
     nearest neighbors on the topology associated with the communicator. The nonblocking
3
     variants are MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV,
4
     MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV, and
5
     MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW.
6
\overline{7}
            Topology Constructors
     7.5
8
9
     7.5.1 Cartesian Constructor
10
11
12
     MPI_CART_CREATE(comm_old, ndims, dims, periods, reorder, comm_cart)
13
14
       IN
                  comm_old
                                             input communicator (handle)
15
       IN
                  ndims
                                             number of dimensions of Cartesian grid (integer)
16
       IN
                  dims
                                             integer array of size ndims specifying the number of
17
                                             processes in each dimension
18
19
       IN
                  periods
                                             logical array of size ndims specifying whether the grid
                                             is periodic (true) or not (false) in each dimension
20
21
       IN
                  reorder
                                             ranking may be reordered (true) or not (false) (logical)
22
       OUT
                                             communicator with new Cartesian topology (handle)
                  comm_cart
23
^{24}
     int MPI_Cart_create(MPI_Comm comm_old, int ndims, const int dims[], const
25
                     int periods[], int reorder, MPI_Comm *comm_cart)
26
27
     MPI_Cart_create(comm_old, ndims, dims, periods, reorder, comm_cart, ierror)
28
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm_old
29
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: ndims, dims(ndims)
30
          LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: periods(ndims), reorder
^{31}
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: comm_cart
32
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
33
34
     MPI_CART_CREATE(COMM_OLD, NDIMS, DIMS, PERIODS, REORDER, COMM_CART, IERROR)
          INTEGER COMM_OLD, NDIMS, DIMS(*), COMM_CART, IERROR
35
          LOGICAL PERIODS(*), REORDER
36
37
          MPI_CART_CREATE returns a handle to a new communicator to which the Cartesian
38
     topology information is attached. If reorder = false then the rank of each process in the
39
     new group is identical to its rank in the old group. Otherwise, the function may reorder
40
     the processes (possibly so as to choose a good embedding of the virtual topology onto
41
     the physical machine). If the total size of the Cartesian grid is smaller than the size of
42
     the group of comm_old, then some processes are returned MPI_COMM_NULL, in analogy to
43
     MPI_COMM_SPLIT.
44
         If ndims is zero then a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology is created. The call is
45
     erroneous if it specifies a grid that is larger than the group size or if ndims is negative.
46
```

CHAPTER 7. PROCESS TOPOLOGIES

47 48

7.5.2 Cartesian Convenience Function: MPI_DIMS_CREATE				
For Cartesian topologies, the function MPI_DIMS_CREATE helps the user select a balanced				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	te direction, depending on the number of processes	3		
· ·	ional constraints that can be specified by the user.	4		
	es (the size of MPI_COMM_WORLD's group) into an	5		
<i>n</i> -dimensional topology.		6		
		7		
		8		
MPI_DIMS_CREATE(nnodes, ndims, di	ms)	9		
IN nnodes	number of nodes in a grid (integer)	10 11		
IN ndims	number of Cartesian dimensions (integer)	11		
		12		
INOUT dims	integer array of size ndims specifying the number of	14		
	nodes in each dimension	15		
		16		
<pre>int MPI_Dims_create(int nnodes,</pre>	int ndims, int dims[])	17		
MPI_Dims_create(nnodes, ndims, d	ims. ierror)	18		
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: nnod		19		
INTEGER, INTENT(INOUT) :: d	-	20		
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	T) :: ierror	21		
NOT DING ODEAME (NNODEG NOTING D		22		
	MPI_DIMS_CREATE(NNODES, NDIMS, DIMS, IERROR)			
INTEGER NNODES, NDIMS, DIMS(	*), IERRUR	24		
The entries in the array dims are se	et to describe a Cartesian grid with ndims dimensions	25		
and a total of <b>nnodes</b> nodes. The dimensions are set to be as close to each other as possible,				

and a total of **nnodes** nodes. The dimensions are set to be as close to each other as possible, using an appropriate divisibility algorithm. The caller may further constrain the operation of this routine by specifying elements of array dims. If dims[i] is set to a positive number, the routine will not modify the number of nodes in dimension i; only those entries where dims[i] = 0 are modified by the call.

Negative input values of dims[i] are erroneous. An error will occur if nnodes is not a multiple of

 $\prod_{i,dims[i]\neq 0} dims[i].$ 

For dims[i] set by the call, dims[i] will be ordered in non-increasing order. Array dims is suitable for use as input to routine MPI\_CART\_CREATE. MPI\_DIMS\_CREATE is local.

#### Example 7.1

dims	function call	dims
before call		on return
(0,0)	MPI_DIMS_CREATE(6, 2, dims)	(3,2)
(0,0)	MPI_DIMS_CREATE(7, 2, dims)	(7,1)
(0,3,0)	MPI_DIMS_CREATE(6, 3, dims)	(2,3,1)
(0,3,0)	MPI_DIMS_CREATE(7, 3, dims)	erroneous call

293

27 $^{28}$ 

2930

 $^{31}$ 

32

33 3435

36

37 38

CHAPTER 7. PROCESS TOPOLOGIES

#### 7.5.3 Graph Constructor

MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE(comm\_old, nnodes, index, edges, reorder, comm\_graph)

5							
6	IN	comm_old	input communicator (handle)				
7	IN	nnodes	number of nodes in graph (integer)				
8	IN	index	array of integers describing node degrees (see below)				
9 10	IN	edges	array of integers describing graph edges (see below)				
11	IN	reorder	ranking may be reordered (true) or not (false) (logical)				
12	OUT	comm_graph	communicator with graph topology added (handle)				
13 14							
15	int MPI_Gr	•	n_old, int nnodes, const int index[],				
16		const int edges[], in	nt reorder, MPI_Comm *comm_graph)				
17	MPI_Graph_create(comm_old, nnodes, index, edges, reorder, comm_graph,						
18	ierror)						
19	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm_old						
20	<pre>INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: nnodes, index(nnodes), edges(*)</pre>						
21	LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: reorder						
22	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: comm_graph						
23	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror						
24	MDT CDADU	CDEATE COMM OF NINODES	THEY EDGES DECEDED COMM CRADII				
25	MPI_GRAPH_	IERROR)	INDEX, EDGES, REORDER, COMM_GRAPH,				
26	титест		V(+) EDGEG(+) COMM CDADU TEDDOD				
27			X(*), EDGES(*), COMM_GRAPH, IERROR				
28	LOGICAL REORDER						

MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE returns a handle to a new communicator to which the graph 2930topology information is attached. If reorder = false then the rank of each process in the 31new group is identical to its rank in the old group. Otherwise, the function may reorder the 32 processes. If the size, nnodes, of the graph is smaller than the size of the group of comm\_old, 33 then some processes are returned MPI\_COMM\_NULL, in analogy to MPI\_CART\_CREATE 34and MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT. If the graph is empty, i.e., nnodes == 0, then MPI\_COMM\_NULL 35 is returned in all processes. The call is erroneous if it specifies a graph that is larger than 36 the group size of the input communicator.

37 The three parameters nnodes, index and edges define the graph structure. nnodes is the 38number of nodes of the graph. The nodes are numbered from 0 to nnodes-1. The i-th entry 39of array index stores the total number of neighbors of the first i graph nodes. The lists of 40neighbors of nodes 0, 1, ..., nnodes-1 are stored in consecutive locations in array edges.  $^{41}$ The array edges is a flattened representation of the edge lists. The total number of entries 42in index is nodes and the total number of entries in edges is equal to the number of graph 43edges.

44The definitions of the arguments nnodes, index, and edges are illustrated with the 45following simple example.

46

#### 47Example 7.2

```
48
```

Assume there are four processes 0, 1, 2, 3 with the following adjacency matrix:

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 3 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

process	neighbors
0	1, 3
1	0
2	3
3	0, 2

Then, the input arguments are:

Thus, in C, index[0] is the degree of node zero, and index[i] - index[i-1] is the degree of node i, i=1, ..., nnodes-1; the list of neighbors of node zero is stored in edges[j], for  $0 \le j \le index[0] - 1$  and the list of neighbors of node i, i > 0, is stored in edges[j], index[i-1]  $\le j \le index[i] - 1$ .

In Fortran, index(1) is the degree of node zero, and index(i+1) - index(i) is the degree of node i, i=1, ..., nnodes-1; the list of neighbors of node zero is stored in edges(j), for  $1 \le j \le$  index(1) and the list of neighbors of node i, i > 0, is stored in edges(j), index(i)+1  $\le j \le$  index(i+1).

A single process is allowed to be defined multiple times in the list of neighbors of a process (i.e., there may be multiple edges between two processes). A process is also allowed to be a neighbor to itself (i.e., a self loop in the graph). The adjacency matrix is allowed to be non-symmetric.

Advice to users. Performance implications of using multiple edges or a non-symmetric adjacency matrix are not defined. The definition of a node-neighbor edge does not imply a direction of the communication. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. The following topology information is likely to be stored with a communicator:

- Type of topology (Cartesian/graph),
- For a Cartesian topology:
  - 1. ndims (number of dimensions),
  - 2. dims (numbers of processes per coordinate direction),
  - 3. periods (periodicity information),
  - 4. own\_position (own position in grid, could also be computed from rank and dims)
- For a graph topology:

index,
 edges,

which are the vectors defining the graph structure.

For a graph structure the number of nodes is equal to the number of processes in the group. Therefore, the number of nodes does not have to be stored explicitly. An additional zero entry at the start of array index simplifies access to the topology information. (*End of advice to implementors.*) 45

 $^{24}$ 

# 7.5.4 Distributed Graph Constructor

2 MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE requires that each process passes the full (global) communication 3 graph to the call. This limits the scalability of this constructor. With the distributed graph 4 interface, the communication graph is specified in a fully distributed fashion. Each process 5specifies only the part of the communication graph of which it is aware. Typically, this 6 could be the set of processes from which the process will eventually receive or get data. 7 or the set of processes to which the process will send or put data, or some combination of 8 such edges. Two different interfaces can be used to create a distributed graph topology. 9 MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT creates a distributed graph communicator with 10 each process specifying each of its incoming and outgoing (adjacent) edges in the logical 11 communication graph and thus requires minimal communication during creation. 12

<sup>13</sup> MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE provides full flexibility such that any process can indicate that <sup>14</sup> communication will occur between any pair of processes in the graph.

To provide better possibilities for optimization by the MPI library, the distributed graph constructors permit weighted communication edges and take an info argument that can further influence process reordering or other optimizations performed by the MPI library. For example, hints can be provided on how edge weights are to be interpreted, the quality of the reordering, and/or the time permitted for the MPI library to process the graph.

20 21

22

23

MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT(comm\_old, indegree, sources, sourceweights, outdegree, destinations, destweights, info, reorder, comm\_dist\_graph)

23			
24	IN	comm_old	input communicator (handle)
25 26	IN	indegree	size of sources and sourceweights arrays (non-negative integer)
			integer)
27 28	IN	sources	ranks of processes for which the calling process is a
28 29			destination (array of non-negative integers)
30	IN	sourceweights	weights of the edges into the calling process (array of
31		0	non-negative integers)
32	IN	outdegree	size of destinations and destweights arrays (non-negative
33		0	integer)
34	IN	destinations	ranks of processes for which the calling process is a
35			source (array of non-negative integers)
36 37	IN	destweights	weights of the edges out of the calling process (array
38		C C	of non-negative integers)
39	IN	info	hints on optimization and interpretation of weights
40			(handle)
41	IN	reorder	the ranks may be reordered (true) or not (false) (logi-
42			cal)
43		comm dist granh	,
44	OUT	comm_dist_graph	communicator with distributed graph topology (han- dle)
45			die)
46			
47	int MPI_D		(MPI_Comm comm_old, int indegree, const
48		int sources[], const	<pre>int sourceweights[], int outdegree, const</pre>

```
1
              int destinations[], const int destweights[], MPI_Info info,
                                                                                   \mathbf{2}
              int reorder, MPI_Comm *comm_dist_graph)
                                                                                   3
MPI_Dist_graph_create_adjacent(comm_old, indegree, sources, sourceweights,
                                                                                   4
              outdegree, destinations, destweights, info, reorder,
                                                                                   5
              comm_dist_graph, ierror)
                                                                                   6
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm_old
                                                                                   7
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::
                             indegree, sources(indegree), outdegree,
                                                                                   8
    destinations(outdegree)
                                                                                   9
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::
                             sourceweights(*), destweights(*)
                                                                                   10
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                    info
                                                                                   11
    LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) ::
                             reorder
                                                                                   12
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: comm_dist_graph
                                                                                   13
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                   14
                                                                                   15
MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE_ADJACENT(COMM_OLD, INDEGREE, SOURCES, SOURCEWEIGHTS,
                                                                                   16
              OUTDEGREE, DESTINATIONS, DESTWEIGHTS, INFO, REORDER,
                                                                                   17
              COMM_DIST_GRAPH, IERROR)
                                                                                   18
    INTEGER COMM_OLD, INDEGREE, SOURCES(*), SOURCEWEIGHTS(*), OUTDEGREE,
                                                                                   19
        DESTINATIONS(*), DESTWEIGHTS(*), INFO, COMM_DIST_GRAPH, IERROR
                                                                                   20
    LOGICAL REORDER
```

MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT returns a handle to a new communicator to which the distributed graph topology information is attached. Each process passes all information about its incoming and outgoing edges in the virtual distributed graph topology. The calling processes must ensure that each edge of the graph is described in the source and in the destination process with the same weights. If there are multiple edges for a given (source,dest) pair, then the sequence of the weights of these edges does not matter. The complete communication topology is the combination of all edges shown in the sources arrays of all processes in comm\_old, which must be identical to the combination of all edges shown in the destinations arrays. Source and destination ranks must be process ranks of comm\_old. This allows a fully distributed specification of the communication graph. Isolated processes (i.e., processes with no outgoing or incoming edges, that is, processes that have specified indegree and outdegree as zero and thus do not occur as source or destination rank in the graph specification) are allowed.

The call creates a new communicator comm\_dist\_graph of distributed graph topology type to which topology information has been attached. The number of processes in comm\_dist\_graph is identical to the number of processes in comm\_old. The call to MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT is collective.

Weights are specified as non-negative integers and can be used to influence the process 39 remapping strategy and other internal MPI optimizations. For instance, approximate count 40 arguments of later communication calls along specific edges could be used as their edge 41 weights. Multiplicity of edges can likewise indicate more intense communication between 42pairs of processes. However, the exact meaning of edge weights is not specified by the MPI 43 standard and is left to the implementation. In C or Fortran, an application can supply 44the special value MPI\_UNWEIGHTED for the weight array to indicate that all edges have 45the same (effectively no) weight. It is erroneous to supply MPI\_UNWEIGHTED for some 46but not all processes of comm\_old. If the graph is weighted but indegree or outdegree is 47zero, then MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY or any arbitrary array may be passed to sourceweights 48

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

or destweights respectively. Note that MPI\_UNWEIGHTED and MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY are  $\mathbf{2}$ not special weight values; rather they are special values for the total array argument. In 3 Fortran, MPI\_UNWEIGHTED and MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY are objects like MPI\_BOTTOM (not 4 usable for initialization or assignment). See Section 2.5.4. 5In the case of an empty weights array argument passed while Advice to users. 6 constructing a weighted graph, one should not pass NULL because the value of 7 MPI\_UNWEIGHTED may be equal to NULL. The value of this argument would then 8 be indistinguishable from MPI\_UNWEIGHTED to the implementation. In this case 9 MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY should be used instead. (End of advice to users.) 10 11 Advice to implementors. It is recommended that MPI\_UNWEIGHTED not be imple-12mented as NULL. (End of advice to implementors.) 13 14*Rationale.* To ensure backward compatibility, MPI\_UNWEIGHTED may still be imple-15mented as NULL. See Annex B.1. (End of rationale.) 1617The meaning of the info and reorder arguments is defined in the description of the 18 following routine. 192021MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE(comm\_old, n, sources, degrees, destinations, weights, info, reorder, comm\_dist\_graph) 2223IN comm\_old input communicator (handle)  $^{24}$ number of source nodes for which this process specifies IN n 25edges (non-negative integer) 26IN 27sources array containing the n source nodes for which this process specifies edges (array of non-negative integers) 2829IN degrees array specifying the number of destinations for each 30 source node in the source node array (array of non- $^{31}$ negative integers) 32 IN destinations destination nodes for the source nodes in the source 33 node array (array of non-negative integers) 34 IN weights weights for source to destination edges (array of non-35 negative integers) 36 37 IN info hints on optimization and interpretation of weights 38 (handle) 39 IN reorder the process may be reordered (true) or not (false) (log-40 ical) 41 OUT comm\_dist\_graph communicator with distributed graph topology added 42(handle) 43 4445int MPI\_Dist\_graph\_create(MPI\_Comm comm\_old, int n, const int sources[], 46const int degrees[], const int destinations[], const 47int weights[], MPI\_Info info, int reorder, 48 MPI\_Comm \*comm\_dist\_graph)

```
MPI_Dist_graph_create(comm_old, n, sources, degrees, destinations, weights,
             info, reorder, comm_dist_graph, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm_old
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: n, sources(n), degrees(n), destinations(*)
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: weights(*)
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                   info
    LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) ::
                            reorder
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                    comm_dist_graph
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE(COMM_OLD, N, SOURCES, DEGREES, DESTINATIONS, WEIGHTS,
             INFO, REORDER, COMM_DIST_GRAPH, IERROR)
    INTEGER COMM_OLD, N, SOURCES(*), DEGREES(*), DESTINATIONS(*),
    WEIGHTS(*), INFO, COMM_DIST_GRAPH, IERROR
    LOGICAL REORDER
```

MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE returns a handle to a new communicator to which the distributed graph topology information is attached. Concretely, each process calls the constructor with a set of directed (source, destination) communication edges as described below. 19Every process passes an array of n source nodes in the sources array. For each source node, a 20non-negative number of destination nodes is specified in the degrees array. The destination 21nodes are stored in the corresponding consecutive segment of the destinations array. More 22precisely, if the i-th node in sources is s, this specifies degrees[i] edges (s,d) with d of the 23j-th such edge stored in destinations[degrees[0]+ $\dots$ +degrees[i-1]+j]. The weight of this edge is stored in weights  $[degrees[0]+\ldots+degrees[i-1]+i]$ . Both the sources and the destinations arrays may contain the same node more than once, and the order in which nodes are listed as destinations or sources is not significant. Similarly, different processes may specify edges 27with the same source and destination nodes. Source and destination nodes must be pro-28cess ranks of comm\_old. Different processes may specify different numbers of source and 29destination nodes, as well as different source to destination edges. This allows a fully dis-30 tributed specification of the communication graph. Isolated processes (i.e., processes with  $^{31}$ no outgoing or incoming edges, that is, processes that do not occur as source or destination node in the graph specification) are allowed. 33

The call creates a new communicator **comm\_dist\_graph** of distributed graph topology type to which topology information has been attached. The number of processes in comm\_dist\_graph is identical to the number of processes in comm\_old. The call to MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE is collective.

If reorder = false, all processes will have the same rank in comm\_dist\_graph as in  $comm_old$ . If reorder = true then the MPI library is free to remap to other processes (of comm\_old) in order to improve communication on the edges of the communication graph. The weight associated with each edge is a hint to the MPI library about the amount or intensity of communication on that edge, and may be used to compute a "best" reordering.

42Weights are specified as non-negative integers and can be used to influence the process remapping strategy and other internal MPI optimizations. For instance, approximate count 4344arguments of later communication calls along specific edges could be used as their edge 45weights. Multiplicity of edges can likewise indicate more intense communication between 46pairs of processes. However, the exact meaning of edge weights is not specified by the MPI 47standard and is left to the implementation. In C or Fortran, an application can supply

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

32

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

1 the special value MPI\_UNWEIGHTED for the weight array to indicate that all edges have the  $\mathbf{2}$ same (effectively no) weight. It is erroneous to supply MPI\_UNWEIGHTED for some but not 3 all processes of comm\_old. If the graph is weighted but n = 0, then MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY 4 or any arbitrary array may be passed to weights. Note that MPI\_UNWEIGHTED and  $\mathbf{5}$ MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY are not special weight values; rather they are special values for the 6 total array argument. In Fortran, MPI\_UNWEIGHTED and MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY are objects 7like MPI\_BOTTOM (not usable for initialization or assignment). See Section 2.5.4. 8

- In the case of an empty weights array argument passed while Advice to users. constructing a weighted graph, one should not pass NULL because the value of MPI\_UNWEIGHTED may be equal to NULL. The value of this argument would then be indistinguishable from MPI\_UNWEIGHTED to the implementation. In this case MPI\_WEIGHTS\_EMPTY should be used instead. (End of advice to users.)
- Advice to implementors. It is recommended that MPI\_UNWEIGHTED not be implemented as NULL. (End of advice to implementors.)
  - *Rationale.* To ensure backward compatibility, MPI\_UNWEIGHTED may still be implemented as NULL. See Annex B.1. (End of rationale.)

The meaning of the weights argument can be influenced by the info argument. Info 21arguments can be used to guide the mapping; possible options include minimizing the 22maximum number of edges between processes on different SMP nodes, or minimizing the 23sum of all such edges. An MPI implementation is not obliged to follow specific hints, and it  $^{24}$ is valid for an MPI implementation not to do any reordering. An MPI implementation may 25specify more info key-value pairs. All processes must specify the same set of key-value info 26pairs.

2728

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

> Advice to implementors. MPI implementations must document any additionally supported key-value info pairs. MPI\_INFO\_NULL is always valid, and may indicate the default creation of the distributed graph topology to the MPI library.

> An implementation does not explicitly need to construct the topology from its distributed parts. However, all processes can construct the full topology from the distributed specification and use this in a call to MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE to create the topology. This may serve as a reference implementation of the functionality, and may be acceptable for small communicators. However, a scalable high-quality implementation would save the topology graph in a distributed way. (End of advice to *implementors.*)

38 39

40 **Example 7.3** As for Example 7.2, assume there are four processes 0, 1, 2, 3 with the following adjacency matrix and unit edge weights: 42

43	process	neighbors
44	0	1, 3
45	1	0
46	2	3
47	3	0, 2
48	L	1 .

With MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, this graph could be constructed in many different ways. One way would be that each process specifies its outgoing edges. The arguments per process would be:

process	n	sources	degrees	destinations	weights
0	1	0	2	1,3	1,1
1	1	1	1	0	1
2	1	2	1	3	1
3	1	3	2	0,2	1,1

Another way would be to pass the whole graph on process 0, which could be done with the following arguments per process:

process	n	sources	degrees	destinations	weights
0	4	0,1,2,3	2,1,1,2	$1,\!3,\!0,\!3,\!0,\!2$	1,1,1,1,1,1
1	0	-	-	-	-
2	0	-	-	-	-
3	0	-	_	_	

In both cases above, the application could supply MPI\_UNWEIGHTED instead of explicitly providing identical weights.

 $\mathsf{MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT}$  could be used to specify this graph using the following arguments:

process	indegree	sources	sourceweights	outdegree	destinations	destweights
0	2	$1,\!3$	1,1	2	$1,\!3$	1,1
1	1	0	1	1	0	1
2	1	3	1	1	3	1
3	2	$0,\!2$	1,1	2	0,2	1,1

**Example 7.4** A two-dimensional PxQ torus where all processes communicate along the dimensions and along the diagonal edges. This cannot be modeled with Cartesian topologies, but can easily be captured with MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE as shown in the following code. In this example, the communication along the dimensions is twice as heavy as the communication along the diagonals:

### /\*

```
Input: dimensions P, Q
Condition: number of processes equal to P*Q; otherwise only
ranks smaller than P*Q participate
*/
int rank, x, y;
int sources[1], degrees[1];
int destinations[8], weights[8];
MPI_Comm comm_dist_graph;
MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
/* get x and y dimension */
y=rank/P; x=rank%P;
```

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     /* get my communication partners along x dimension */
\mathbf{2}
     destinations[0] = P*y+(x+1)%P; weights[0] = 2;
3
     destinations[1] = P*y+(P+x-1)%P; weights[1] = 2;
4
5
     /* get my communication partners along y dimension */
6
     destinations[2] = P*((y+1)%Q)+x; weights[2] = 2;
7
     destinations[3] = P*((Q+y-1)%Q)+x; weights[3] = 2;
8
9
     /* get my communication partners along diagonals */
10
     destinations[4] = P*((y+1))(Q)+(x+1)(P); weights[4] = 1;
^{11}
     destinations[5] = P*((Q+y-1)%Q)+(x+1)%P; weights[5] = 1;
12
     destinations[6] = P*((y+1)%Q)+(P+x-1)%P; weights[6] = 1;
13
     destinations[7] = P*((Q+y-1)%Q)+(P+x-1)%P; weights[7] = 1;
14
15
     sources[0] = rank;
16
     degrees[0] = 8;
17
     MPI_Dist_graph_create(MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1, sources, degrees, destinations,
18
                             weights, MPI_INFO_NULL, 1, &comm_dist_graph);
19
20
     7.5.5 Topology Inquiry Functions
21
     If a topology has been defined with one of the above functions, then the topology information
22
     can be looked up using inquiry functions. They all are local calls.
23
24
25
     MPI_TOPO_TEST(comm, status)
26
       IN
                                           communicator (handle)
                 comm
27
28
       OUT
                 status
                                            topology type of communicator comm (state)
29
30
     int MPI_Topo_test(MPI_Comm comm, int *status)
^{31}
     MPI_Topo_test(comm, status, ierror)
32
         TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
33
         INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: status
34
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
35
36
     MPI_TOPO_TEST(COMM, STATUS, IERROR)
37
          INTEGER COMM, STATUS, IERROR
38
         The function MPI_TOPO_TEST returns the type of topology that is assigned to a
39
     communicator.
40
         The output value status is one of the following:
41
42
       MPI_GRAPH
                                              graph topology
43
       MPI_CART
                                              Cartesian topology
44
       MPI_DIST_GRAPH
                                              distributed graph topology
45
                                             no topology
       MPI_UNDEFINED
46
47
48
```

MPI\_GRAPHDIMS\_GET(comm, nnodes, nedges)

MPI_GRA	.PHDIMS_GET(comm, nno	des, nedges)	1
IN	comm	communicator for group with graph structure (handle)	2 3
OUT	nnodes	number of nodes in graph (integer) (same as number	4
		of processes in the group)	5
OUT	nedges	number of edges in graph (integer)	6
			7
int MPI_	Graphdims_get(MPI_Comm	n comm, int *nnodes, int *nedges)	8 9
MPI_Grap	hdims_get(comm, nnodes	s, nedges, ierror)	10
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN)		11
	GER, INTENT(OUT) :: n		12
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(		13 14
	HDIMS_GET(COMM, NNODES		15
INTE	GER COMM, NNODES, NEDG	ES, IERRUR	16
		<b>GET</b> and <b>MPI_GRAPH_GET</b> retrieve the graph-topology	17
		h a communicator by MPI_GRAPH_CREATE. MPI_GRAPHDIMS_GET can be used to dimension the	18
	÷ 0	r the following call to MPI_GRAPH_GET.	19 20
			21
	PH_GET(comm, maxindex	maxedges index edges)	22
			23
IN	comm	communicator with graph structure (handle)	24 25
IN	maxindex	length of vector index in the calling program (integer)	26
			27
IN	maxedges	length of vector <b>edges</b> in the calling program (integer)	28
OUT	index	array of integers containing the graph structure (for	29 30
001	macx	details see the definition of MPI_GRAPH_CREATE)	31
OUT	edges	array of integers containing the graph structure	32
•••		arrely of arrely containing and Shake arrely ar	33
int MPI_	Graph_get(MPI_Comm com	<pre>m, int maxindex, int maxedges, int index[],</pre>	34 35
	<pre>int edges[])</pre>		36
MPI_Grap	h_get(comm, maxindex,	maxedges, index, edges, ierror)	37
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN)		38
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: ma	-	39 40
	GER, INTENI(UUI) I GER, OPTIONAL, INTENI(	ndex(maxindex), edges(maxedges)	40
			42
		MAXEDGES, INDEX, EDGES, IERROR) AXEDGES, INDEX(*), EDGES(*), IERROR	43
			44
			45 46
			47
			48

```
1
     MPI_CARTDIM_GET(comm, ndims)
2
       IN
                                             communicator with Cartesian structure (handle)
                  comm
3
       OUT
                                             number of dimensions of the Cartesian structure (in-
                  ndims
4
                                             teger)
5
6
7
     int MPI_Cartdim_get(MPI_Comm comm, int *ndims)
8
     MPI_Cartdim_get(comm, ndims, ierror)
9
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::
                                             comm
10
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: ndims
11
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
12
     MPI_CARTDIM_GET(COMM, NDIMS, IERROR)
13
14
          INTEGER COMM, NDIMS, IERROR
15
          The functions MPI_CARTDIM_GET and MPI_CART_GET return the Cartesian topol-
16
     ogy information that was associated with a communicator by MPI_CART_CREATE. If comm
17
     is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology, MPI_CARTDIM_GET returns
18
     ndims=0 and MPI_CART_GET will keep all output arguments unchanged.
19
20
21
     MPI_CART_GET(comm, maxdims, dims, periods, coords)
22
       IN
                  comm
                                             communicator with Cartesian structure (handle)
23
       IN
                  maxdims
                                             length of vectors dims, periods, and
^{24}
                                             coords in the calling program (integer)
25
26
       OUT
                 dims
                                             number of processes for each Cartesian dimension (ar-
27
                                             ray of integer)
28
       OUT
                  periods
                                             periodicity (true/false) for each Cartesian dimension
29
                                             (array of logical)
30
       OUT
                                             coordinates of calling process in Cartesian structure
^{31}
                 coords
                                             (array of integer)
32
33
34
     int MPI_Cart_get(MPI_Comm comm, int maxdims, int dims[], int periods[],
35
                     int coords[])
36
     MPI_Cart_get(comm, maxdims, dims, periods, coords, ierror)
37
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::
                                             comm
38
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: maxdims
39
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: dims(maxdims), coords(maxdims)
40
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: periods(maxdims)
41
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
42
43
     MPI_CART_GET(COMM, MAXDIMS, DIMS, PERIODS, COORDS, IERROR)
44
          INTEGER COMM, MAXDIMS, DIMS(*), COORDS(*), IERROR
45
          LOGICAL PERIODS(*)
46
47
48
```

MPI\_CART\_RANK(comm, coords, rank) 1  $\mathbf{2}$ IN communicator with Cartesian structure (handle) comm 3 IN coords integer array (of size ndims) specifying the Cartesian 4 coordinates of a process 5 6 OUT rank rank of specified process (integer) 7 int MPI\_Cart\_rank(MPI\_Comm comm, const int coords[], int \*rank) 9 MPI\_Cart\_rank(comm, coords, rank, ierror) 10 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 11 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: coords(\*) 12INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank 13 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 14 15MPI\_CART\_RANK(COMM, COORDS, RANK, IERROR) 16INTEGER COMM, COORDS(\*), RANK, IERROR 17For a process group with Cartesian structure, the function MPI\_CART\_RANK trans-18 lates the logical process coordinates to process ranks as they are used by the point-to-point 19routines. 20For dimension i with periods(i) = true, if the coordinate, coords(i), is out of range, that 21is, coords(i) < 0 or  $coords(i) \ge dims(i)$ , it is shifted back to the interval 22  $0 \leq coords(i) < dims(i)$  automatically. Out-of-range coordinates are erroneous for non-23periodic dimensions.  $^{24}$ If comm is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology, coords is not signif-25icant and 0 is returned in rank. 262728MPI\_CART\_COORDS(comm, rank, maxdims, coords) 29 IN communicator with Cartesian structure (handle) comm 30 31IN rank of a process within group of comm (integer) rank 32 IN maxdims length of vector **coords** in the calling program (integer) 33 OUT coords integer array (of size ndims) containing the Cartesian 34 coordinates of specified process (array of integers) 35 36 37 int MPI\_Cart\_coords(MPI\_Comm comm, int rank, int maxdims, int coords[]) 38 MPI\_Cart\_coords(comm, rank, maxdims, coords, ierror) 39 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 40 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank, maxdims 41 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: coords(maxdims) 42INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 43 44 MPI\_CART\_COORDS(COMM, RANK, MAXDIMS, COORDS, IERROR) 45INTEGER COMM, RANK, MAXDIMS, COORDS(\*), IERROR 46The inverse mapping, rank-to-coordinates translation is provided by 47MPI\_CART\_COORDS. 48

```
1
         If comm is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology,
\mathbf{2}
     coords will be unchanged.
3
4
     MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT(comm, rank, nneighbors)
5
6
       IN
                                            communicator with graph topology (handle)
                 comm
7
       IN
                                            rank of process in group of comm (integer)
                 rank
8
       OUT
                 nneighbors
                                            number of neighbors of specified process (integer)
9
10
11
     int MPI_Graph_neighbors_count(MPI_Comm comm, int rank, int *nneighbors)
12
     MPI_Graph_neighbors_count(comm, rank, nneighbors, ierror)
13
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
14
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank
15
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: nneighbors
16
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
17
18
     MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT(COMM, RANK, NNEIGHBORS, IERROR)
19
          INTEGER COMM, RANK, NNEIGHBORS, IERROR
20
21
22
     MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS(comm, rank, maxneighbors, neighbors)
23
       IN
                                            communicator with graph topology (handle)
                 comm
^{24}
       IN
                 rank
                                            rank of process in group of comm (integer)
25
26
       IN
                 maxneighbors
                                            size of array neighbors (integer)
27
       OUT
                 neighbors
                                            ranks of processes that are neighbors to specified pro-
28
                                             cess (array of integer)
29
30
     int MPI_Graph_neighbors(MPI_Comm comm, int rank, int maxneighbors,
^{31}
                    int neighbors[])
32
33
     MPI_Graph_neighbors(comm, rank, maxneighbors, neighbors, ierror)
34
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
35
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank, maxneighbors
36
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: neighbors(maxneighbors)
37
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
38
     MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS(COMM, RANK, MAXNEIGHBORS, NEIGHBORS, IERROR)
39
          INTEGER COMM, RANK, MAXNEIGHBORS, NEIGHBORS(*), IERROR
40
41
          MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT and MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS provide adjacency
42
     information for a graph topology. The returned count and array of neighbors for the queried
43
     rank will both include all neighbors and reflect the same edge ordering as was specified by
44
     the original call to MPI_GRAPH_CREATE. Specifically, MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT
45
     and MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS will return values based on the original index and edges array
46
     passed to MPI_GRAPH_CREATE (assuming that index[-1] effectively equals zero):
47
48
```

### 7.5. TOPOLOGY CONSTRUCTORS

- The number of neighbors (nneighbors) returned from MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT will be (index[rank] - index[rank-1]).
- The neighbors array returned from MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS will be edges[index[rank-1]] through edges[index[rank]-1].

#### Example 7.5

Assume there are four processes 0, 1, 2, 3 with the following adjacency matrix (note that some neighbors are listed multiple times):

process	neighbors	
0	1, 1, 3	
1	0, 0	
2	3	
3	0, 2, 2	
Thus, the	input argun	ments to MPI_GRAPH_CREATE are:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{nnodes} = & 4 \\ \text{index} = & 3, \, 5, \, 6, \, 9 \\ \text{edges} = & 1, \, 1, \, 3, \, 0, \, 0, \, 3, \, 0, \, 2, \, 2 \end{array}$ 

Therefore, calling MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT and MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS for each of the 4 processes will return:

Input rank	Count	Neighbors
0	3	1, 1, 3
1	2	0, 0
2	1	3
3	3	0, 2, 2

### Example 7.6

Suppose that **comm** is a communicator with a shuffle-exchange topology. The group has  $2^n$  members. Each process is labeled by  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  with  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and has three neighbors: exchange $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, \bar{a}_n$  ( $\bar{a} = 1 - a$ ), shuffle $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_1$ , and unshuffle $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_n, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ . The graph adjacency list is illustrated below for n = 3.

r	node	exchange	shuffle	unshuffle
		neighbors(1)	neighbors(2)	neighbors(3)
0	(000)	1	0	0
1	(001)	0	2	4
2	(010)	3	4	1
3	(011)	2	6	5
4	(100)	5	1	2
5	(101)	4	3	6
6	(110)	7	5	3
7	(111)	6	7	7

Suppose that the communicator **comm** has this topology associated with it. The following code fragment cycles through the three types of neighbors and performs an appropriate permutation for each.

 $^{31}$ 

1 C assume: each process has stored a real number A.  $\mathbf{2}$ C extract neighborhood information 3 CALL MPI\_COMM\_RANK(comm, myrank, ierr) 4 CALL MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS(comm, myrank, 3, neighbors, ierr)  $\mathbf{5}$ C perform exchange permutation 6 CALL MPI\_SENDRECV\_REPLACE(A, 1, MPI\_REAL, neighbors(1), 0, 7 neighbors(1), 0, comm, status, ierr) + 8 C perform shuffle permutation 9 CALL MPI\_SENDRECV\_REPLACE(A, 1, MPI\_REAL, neighbors(2), 0, 10 + neighbors(3), 0, comm, status, ierr) 11C perform unshuffle permutation 12CALL MPI\_SENDRECV\_REPLACE(A, 1, MPI\_REAL, neighbors(3), 0, 13 neighbors(2), 0, comm, status, ierr) + 14MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT and MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS pro-1516vide adjacency information for a distributed graph topology. 1718 MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT(comm, indegree, outdegree, weighted) 19 IN 20communicator with distributed graph topology (hancomm 21dle) 22OUT indegree number of edges into this process (non-negative inte-23ger)  $^{24}$ OUT outdegree number of edges out of this process (non-negative in-25teger) 26OUT weighted false if MPI\_UNWEIGHTED was supplied during cre-27ation, true otherwise (logical) 282930 int MPI\_Dist\_graph\_neighbors\_count(MPI\_Comm comm, int \*indegree,  $^{31}$ int \*outdegree, int \*weighted) 32 MPI\_Dist\_graph\_neighbors\_count(comm, indegree, outdegree, weighted, ierror) 33 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 34 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: indegree, outdegree 35 LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: weighted 36 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 37 38 MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT(COMM, INDEGREE, OUTDEGREE, WEIGHTED, IERROR) 39 INTEGER COMM, INDEGREE, OUTDEGREE, IERROR 40LOGICAL WEIGHTED 41 4243 444546 4748

MPI_DIS	T_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS(comr destinations, destweight	n, maxindegree, sources, sourceweights, maxoutdegree, s)	$\frac{1}{2}$
IN	comm	communicator with distributed graph topology (han- dle)	3 4
IN	maxindegree	size of sources and sourceweights arrays (non-negative integer)	5 6 7
OUT	sources	processes for which the calling process is a destination (array of non-negative integers)	8 9
OUT	sourceweights	weights of the edges into the calling process (array of non-negative integers)	10 11
IN	maxoutdegree	size of destinations and destweights arrays (non-negative integer)	12 13 14
OUT	destinations	processes for which the calling process is a source (ar- ray of non-negative integers)	15 16
OUT	destweights	weights of the edges out of the calling process (array of non-negative integers)	17 18 19
int MPI_		_Comm comm, int maxindegree, int sources[], , int maxoutdegree, int destinations[],	20 21 22 23
TYPE INTE INTE dest INTE		ndegree, maxoutdegree rces(maxindegree), , destweights(*)	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
INTE	MAXOUTDEGREE, DESTI	AXINDEGREE, SOURCES, SOURCEWEIGHTS, NATIONS, DESTWEIGHTS, IERROR) DURCES(*), SOURCEWEIGHTS(*), MAXOUTDEGREE, GHTS(*), IERROR	32 33 34 35 36
MPI_DIS <sup>-</sup> call to M tially by MPI_DIS <sup>-</sup>	<b>F_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COU</b> <b>PI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE_A</b> processes other than the ca <b>F_GRAPH_CREATE</b> ). Multip	er of edges into and out of the process returned by INT are the total number of such edges given in the ADJACENT or MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE (poten- ulling process in the case of ly defined edges are all counted and returned by me order. If MPI_UNWEIGHTED is supplied for	37 38 39 40 41 42

MOL DICT COADU NEICUDODC iahi

MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS in some order. If MPI\_UNWEIGHTED is supplied for 43sourceweights or destweights or both, or if MPI\_UNWEIGHTED was supplied during the con-44struction of the graph then no weight information is returned in that array or those arrays. If the communicator was created with MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT then for 4546each rank in comm, the order of the values in sources and destinations is identical to the in-47put that was used by the process with the same rank in comm\_old in the creation call. If the 48 communicator was created with MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE then the only requirement on

the order of values in sources and destinations is that two calls to the routine with same input
 argument comm will return the same sequence of edges. If maxindegree or maxoutdegree is
 smaller than the numbers returned by MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBOR\_COUNT, then only
 the first part of the full list is returned.

Advice to implementors. Since the query calls are defined to be local, each process needs to store the list of its neighbors with incoming and outgoing edges. Communication is required at the collective MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE call in order to compute the neighbor lists for each process from the distributed graph specification. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

### 7.5.6 Cartesian Shift Coordinates

<sup>13</sup> If the process topology is a Cartesian structure, an MPI\_SENDRECV operation is likely to <sup>15</sup> be used along a coordinate direction to perform a shift of data. As input, MPI\_SENDRECV <sup>16</sup> takes the rank of a source process for the receive, and the rank of a destination process for the <sup>17</sup> send. If the function MPI\_CART\_SHIFT is called for a Cartesian process group, it provides <sup>18</sup> the calling process with the above identifiers, which then can be passed to MPI\_SENDRECV. <sup>19</sup> The user specifies the coordinate direction and the size of the step (positive or negative). <sup>20</sup> The function is local.

```
21
22
```

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

7

8

9

10 11 12

MPI\_CART\_SHIFT(comm, direction, disp, rank\_source, rank\_dest)

23			
24	IN	comm	communicator with Cartesian structure (handle)
25	IN	direction	coordinate dimension of shift (integer)
26 27 28	IN	disp	displacement (> 0: upwards shift, < 0: downwards shift) (integer)
28 29	OUT	rank_source	rank of source process (integer)
30	OUT	rank_dest	rank of destination process (integer)
31			
32	int MPI_C	art_shift(MPI_Comm comm,	int direction, int disp,
33		int *rank_source, ir	nt *rank_dest)
34			
35			<pre>isp, rank_source, rank_dest, ierror)</pre>
36		MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	
37		ER, INTENT(IN) :: direc	-
38	INTEG		
			source, rank_dest
39	INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	-
39 40		ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror
	MPI_CART_	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D	) :: ierror MISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR)
40	MPI_CART_ INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP	) :: ierror DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR) , RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR
40 41	MPI_CART_ INTEG The di	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP rection argument indicates th	) :: ierror DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR) , RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR he coordinate dimension to be traversed by the shift.
40 41 42	MPI_CART_ INTEG The di	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP rection argument indicates th	) :: ierror DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR) , RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR
40 41 42 43	MPI_CART_ INTEG The di The dimensi	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP rection argument indicates th sions are numbered from 0 to	) :: ierror DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR) , RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR he coordinate dimension to be traversed by the shift.
40 41 42 43 44	MPI_CART_ INTEG The di The dimens Depen	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP rection argument indicates th sions are numbered from 0 to ding on the periodicity of the	<ul> <li>) :: ierror</li> <li>DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR)</li> <li>P, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR</li> <li>ne coordinate dimension to be traversed by the shift.</li> <li>ndims-1, where ndims is the number of dimensions.</li> </ul>
40 41 42 43 44 45	MPI_CART_ INTEG The di The dimens Depen tion, MPI_0	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT SHIFT(COMM, DIRECTION, D ER COMM, DIRECTION, DISP rection argument indicates th sions are numbered from 0 to ding on the periodicity of the CART_SHIFT provides the ide	<ul> <li>) :: ierror</li> <li>DISP, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR)</li> <li>P, RANK_SOURCE, RANK_DEST, IERROR</li> <li>ae coordinate dimension to be traversed by the shift.</li> <li>andims-1, where ndims is the number of dimensions.</li> <li>ae Cartesian group in the specified coordinate direc-</li> </ul>

It is erroneous to call MPI\_CART\_SHIFT with a direction that is either negative or greater than or equal to the number of dimensions in the Cartesian communicator. This implies that it is erroneous to call MPI\_CART\_SHIFT with a comm that is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology.

### Example 7.7

The communicator, **comm**, has a two-dimensional, periodic, Cartesian topology associated with it. A two-dimensional array of **REALs** is stored one element per process, in variable **A**. One wishes to skew this array, by shifting column i (vertically, i.e., along the column) by i steps.

### C find process rank CALL MPI\_COMM\_RANK(comm, rank, ierr) C find Cartesian coordinates CALL MPI\_CART\_COORDS(comm, rank, maxdims, coords, ierr) C compute shift source and destination CALL MPI\_CART\_SHIFT(comm, 0, coords(2), source, dest, ierr) C skew array CALL MPI\_SENDRECV\_REPLACE(A, 1, MPI\_REAL, dest, 0, source, 0, comm, + status, ierr)

Advice to users. In Fortran, the dimension indicated by DIRECTION = i has DIMS(i+1) nodes, where DIMS is the array that was used to create the grid. In C, the dimension indicated by direction = i is the dimension specified by dims[i]. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 7.5.7 Partitioning of Cartesian Structures

### MPI\_CART\_SUB(comm, remain\_dims, newcomm)

	(	,		
IN	comm	communicator with Cartesian structure (handle)	31	
IN	remain_dims	the i-th entry of $remain\_dims$ specifies whether the	32 33	
		i-th dimension is kept in the subgrid (true) or is drop-	34	
		ped (false) (logical vector)	35	
OUT	newcomm	communicator containing the subgrid that includes	36	
		the calling process (handle)	37	
			38	
int MPI_Cart_sub(MPI_Comm comm, const int remain_dims[], MPI_Comm *newcomm)				
	MPI_Cart_sub(comm, remain_dims, newcomm, ierror)			
	TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm			
	LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: remain_dims(*)			
	PI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) ::		44	
INTEGE	R, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: lerror	45	
MPI_CART_S	MPI_CART_SUB(COMM, REMAIN_DIMS, NEWCOMM, IERROR)			
INTEGE	INTEGER COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR			
LOGICA	L REMAIN_DIMS(*)		48	

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

1 If a Cartesian topology has been created with MPI\_CART\_CREATE, the function  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_CART\_SUB can be used to partition the communicator group into subgroups that 3 form lower-dimensional Cartesian subgrids, and to build for each subgroup a communicator 4 with the associated subgrid Cartesian topology. If all entries in remain\_dims are false or  $\mathbf{5}$ comm is already associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology then newcomm is 6 associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology. (This function is closely related to 7MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT.) 8 Example 7.8 9 Assume that MPI\_CART\_CREATE(..., comm) has defined a  $(2 \times 3 \times 4)$  grid. Let 10 remain\_dims = (true, false, true). Then a call to, 1112MPI\_CART\_SUB(comm, remain\_dims, comm\_new), 13 will create three communicators each with eight processes in a  $2 \times 4$  Cartesian topology. 14If remain\_dims = (false, false, true) then the call to  $MPI_CART_SUB(comm, remain_dims,$ 15comm\_new) will create six non-overlapping communicators, each with four processes, in a 1617one-dimensional Cartesian topology. 18 197.5.8 Low-Level Topology Functions 20The two additional functions introduced in this section can be used to implement all other 21topology functions. In general they will not be called by the user directly, unless he or she 22is creating additional virtual topology capability other than that provided by MPI. The two 23calls are both local. 242526MPI\_CART\_MAP(comm, ndims, dims, periods, newrank) 27IN input communicator (handle) comm 28IN number of dimensions of Cartesian structure (integer) ndims 2930 IN dims integer array of size ndims specifying the number of  $^{31}$ processes in each coordinate direction 32 logical array of size ndims specifying the periodicity IN periods 33 specification in each coordinate direction 34OUT newrank reordered rank of the calling process; 35 MPI\_UNDEFINED if calling process does not belong 36 to grid (integer) 37 38 39 int MPI\_Cart\_map(MPI\_Comm comm, int ndims, const int dims[], const 40int periods[], int \*newrank) 41 MPI\_Cart\_map(comm, ndims, dims, periods, newrank, ierror) 42TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 43 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: ndims, dims(ndims) 44LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: periods(ndims) 45INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: newrank 46 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 4748MPI\_CART\_MAP(COMM, NDIMS, DIMS, PERIODS, NEWRANK, IERROR)

INTEGER COMM, NDIMS, DIMS(\*), NEWRANK, IERROR 1  $\mathbf{2}$ LOGICAL PERIODS(\*) 3 MPI\_CART\_MAP computes an "optimal" placement for the calling process on the phys-4 ical machine. A possible implementation of this function is to always return the rank of the 5calling process, that is, not to perform any reordering. 6 7 The function MPI\_CART\_CREATE(comm, ndims, dims, Advice to implementors. 8 periods, reorder, comm\_cart), with reorder = true can be implemented by calling 9 MPI\_CART\_MAP(comm, ndims, dims, periods, newrank), then calling 10 MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT(comm, color, key, comm\_cart), with color = 0 if newrank  $\neq$ 11 MPI\_UNDEFINED, color = MPI\_UNDEFINED otherwise, and key = newrank. If ndims 12is zero then a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology is created. 13 The function MPI\_CART\_SUB(comm, remain\_dims, comm\_new) can be implemented 14 by a call to MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT(comm, color, key, comm\_new), using a single number 15encoding of the lost dimensions as color and a single number encoding of the preserved 16 dimensions as key. 17 18 All other Cartesian topology functions can be implemented locally, using the topology 19information that is cached with the communicator. (End of advice to implementors.) 20The corresponding function for graph structures is as follows. 2122 23MPI\_GRAPH\_MAP(comm, nnodes, index, edges, newrank)  $^{24}$ 25IN comm input communicator (handle) 26IN nnodes number of graph nodes (integer) 27IN index integer array specifying the graph structure, see 28MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE 29 30 IN edges integer array specifying the graph structure 31OUT newrank reordered rank of the calling process; 32 MPI\_UNDEFINED if the calling process does not be-33 long to graph (integer) 34 35int MPI\_Graph\_map(MPI\_Comm comm, int nnodes, const int index[], const 36 int edges[], int \*newrank) 37 38 MPI\_Graph\_map(comm, nnodes, index, edges, newrank, ierror) 39 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 40 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: nnodes, index(nnodes), edges(\*) 41 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: newrank 42INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 43 MPI\_GRAPH\_MAP(COMM, NNODES, INDEX, EDGES, NEWRANK, IERROR) 44INTEGER COMM, NNODES, INDEX(\*), EDGES(\*), NEWRANK, IERROR 454647

Advice to implementors. The function MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE(comm, nnodes, index, edges, reorder, comm\_graph), with reorder = true can be implemented by calling

MPI\_GRAPH\_MAP(comm, nnodes, index, edges, newrank), then calling MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT(comm, color, key, comm\_graph), with color = 0 if newrank  $\neq$ MPI\_UNDEFINED, color = MPI\_UNDEFINED otherwise, and key = newrank.

All other graph topology functions can be implemented locally, using the topology information that is cached with the communicator. (End of advice to implementors.)

#### Neighborhood Collective Communication on Process Topologies 7.6

MPI process topologies specify a communication graph, but they implement no commu-10 nication function themselves. Many applications require sparse nearest neighbor communications that can be expressed as graph topologies. We now describe several collective 12operations that perform communication along the edges of a process topology. All of these 13 functions are collective; i.e., they must be called by all processes in the specified commu-14nicator. See Section 5 for an overview of other dense (global) collective communication 15operations and the semantics of collective operations. 16

If the graph was created with MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT with sources 17and destinations containing  $0, \ldots, n-1$ , where n is the number of processes in the group 18 of comm\_old (i.e., the graph is fully connected and also includes an edge from each node 19 to itself), then the sparse neighborhood communication routine performs the same data 20exchange as the corresponding dense (fully-connected) collective operation. In the case of a 21Cartesian communicator, only nearest neighbor communication is provided, corresponding 22 to rank\_source and rank\_dest in MPI\_CART\_SHIFT with input disp=1. 23

Neighborhood collective communications enable communication on a Rationale. process topology. This high-level specification of data exchange among neighboring processes enables optimizations in the MPI library because the communication pattern is known statically (the topology). Thus, the implementation can compute optimized message schedules during creation of the topology [35]. This functionality can significantly simplify the implementation of neighbor exchanges [31]. (End of rationale.)

 $^{31}$ For a distributed graph topology, created with MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, the se-32 quence of neighbors in the send and receive buffers at each process is defined as the se-33 quence returned by MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS for destinations and sources, respec-34tively. For a general graph topology, created with MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE, the order of 35 neighbors in the send and receive buffers is defined as the sequence of neighbors as re-36 turned by MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS. Note that general graph topologies should generally 37 be replaced by the distributed graph topologies.

38 For a Cartesian topology, created with MPI\_CART\_CREATE, the sequence of neigh-39 bors in the send and receive buffers at each process is defined by order of the dimensions, 40first the neighbor in the negative direction and then in the positive direction with dis- $^{41}$ placement 1. The numbers of sources and destinations in the communication routines are 422<sup>\*</sup>ndims with ndims defined in MPI\_CART\_CREATE. If a neighbor does not exist, i.e., at 43the border of a Cartesian topology in the case of a non-periodic virtual grid dimension (i.e., 44periods[...]==false), then this neighbor is defined to be MPI\_PROC\_NULL.

45If a neighbor in any of the functions is MPI\_PROC\_NULL, then the neighborhood collec-46tive communication behaves like a point-to-point communication with MPI\_PROC\_NULL in 47this direction. That is, the buffer is still part of the sequence of neighbors but it is neither 48communicated nor updated.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

11

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

### 7.6.1 Neighborhood Gather

-----

In this function, each process i gathers data items from each process j if an edge (j, i) exists in the topology graph, and each process i sends the same data items to all processes j where an edge (i, j) exists. The send buffer is sent to each neighboring process and the l-th block in the receive buffer is received from the l-th neighbor.

MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHER(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,

	comm)		
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	10 11
IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative integer)	12 13
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	14
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	15 16
IN	recvcount	number of elements received from each neighbor (non-negative integer)	17 18
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	19
IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)	20 21

MPI_Neighbor_allgather(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,
recvtype, comm, ierror)
TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf
TYPE(*), DIMENSION() :: recvbuf
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

```
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT,
RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR)
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR
```

This function supports Cartesian communicators, graph communicators, and distributed graph communicators as described in Section 7.6. If **comm** is a distributed graph communicator, the outcome is as if each process executed sends to each of its outgoing neighbors and receives from each of its incoming neighbors:

```
MPI_Dist_graph_neighbors_count(comm,&indegree,&outdegree,&weighted);
int *srcs=(int*)malloc(indegree*sizeof(int));
int *dsts=(int*)malloc(outdegree*sizeof(int));
MPI_Dist_graph_neighbors(comm,indegree,srcs,MPI_UNWEIGHTED,
outdegree,dsts,MPI_UNWEIGHTED);
```

 $45 \\ 46$ 

```
1
      int k,l;
\mathbf{2}
3
      /* assume sendbuf and recvbuf are of type (char*) */
4
      for(k=0; k<outdegree; ++k)</pre>
5
        MPI_Isend(sendbuf,sendcount,sendtype,dsts[k],...);
6
\overline{7}
      for(l=0; l<indegree; ++1)</pre>
8
        MPI_Irecv(recvbuf+l*recvcount*extent(recvtype), recvcount, recvtype,
9
                     srcs[1],...);
10
11
      MPI_Waitall(...);
12
           Figure 7.1 shows the neighborhood gather communication of one process with outgoing
13
      neighbors d_0 \ldots d_3 and incoming neighbors s_0 \ldots s_5. The process will send its sendbuf to
14
      all four destinations (outgoing neighbors) and it will receive the contribution from all six
15
      sources (incoming neighbors) into separate locations of its receive buffer.
16
17
                                               d_0
18
                                                               d_2, s_4
19
                                            s_0
20
21
                               d_1
                                                           s_1
22
23
24
                                                                   S_3
                                            s_2
25
26
                                                       d_{3}, s_{5}
27
                        sendbuf
28
29
30
                                     s_0
                                            s_1
                                                    s_2
                                                            s_3
                                                                    s_4
                                                                            s_5
^{31}
                       recvbuf
32
33
         Figure 7.1: FIXME: You cannot use the label command without a caption
34
35
           All arguments are significant on all processes and the argument
36
      comm must have identical values on all processes.
37
           The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype, at a process must be equal to
38
      the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at all other processes. This implies
39
```

the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at all other processes. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of communicating processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

*Rationale.* For optimization reasons, the same type signature is required independently of whether the topology graph is connected or not. (*End of rationale.*)

<sup>46</sup> The "in place" option is not meaningful for this operation.

<sup>47</sup> The vector variant of MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHER allows one to gather different
 <sup>48</sup> numbers of elements from each neighbor.

43

44

MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHERV(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, 1  $\mathbf{2}$ recvtype, comm) 3 IN sendbuf starting address of send buffer (choice) 4 IN sendcount number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative 5integer) 6 7 IN sendtype data type of send buffer elements (handle) 8 OUT recvbuf starting address of receive buffer (choice) 9 non-negative integer array (of length indegree) con-IN recvcounts 10 taining the number of elements that are received from 11 each neighbor 12IN integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the 13 displs 14displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the 15incoming data from neighbor i 16IN data type of receive buffer elements (handle) recvtype 17IN communicator with topology structure (handle) comm 18 19 int MPI\_Neighbor\_allgatherv(const void\* sendbuf, int sendcount, 20MPI\_Datatype sendtype, void\* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], 21const int displs[], MPI\_Datatype recvtype, MPI\_Comm comm) 22 23MPI\_Neighbor\_allgatherv(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, 24displs, recvtype, comm, ierror) 25TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf 26TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf 27INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcounts(\*), displs(\*) 28TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype 29 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 30 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 31MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLGATHERV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, 32 DISPLS, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR) 33 <type> SENDBUF(\*), RECVBUF(\*) 34 INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(\*), DISPLS(\*), RECVTYPE, COMM, 35 IERROR 36 37 This function supports Cartesian communicators, graph communicators, and distributed 38 graph communicators as described in Section 7.6. If comm is a distributed graph commu-39 nicator, the outcome is as if each process executed sends to each of its outgoing neighbors 40 and receives from each of its incoming neighbors: 41 42MPI\_Dist\_graph\_neighbors\_count(comm,&indegree,&outdegree,&weighted); 43 int \*srcs=(int\*)malloc(indegree\*sizeof(int)); 44int \*dsts=(int\*)malloc(outdegree\*sizeof(int)); 45MPI\_Dist\_graph\_neighbors(comm, indegree, srcs, MPI\_UNWEIGHTED, 46outdegree,dsts,MPI\_UNWEIGHTED);

int k,l;

47

```
1
\mathbf{2}
      /* assume sendbuf and recvbuf are of type (char*) */
3
      for(k=0; k<outdegree; ++k)</pre>
4
        MPI_Isend(sendbuf,sendcount,sendtype,dsts[k],...);
5
6
      for(1=0; l<indegree; ++1)</pre>
7
        MPI_Irecv(recvbuf+displs[1]*extent(recvtype),recvcounts[1],recvtype,
8
                    srcs[1],...);
9
10
     MPI_Waitall(...);
11
          The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype, at process j must be equal
12
      to the type signature associated with recvcounts[I], recvtype at any other process with
13
      srcs[I] = = j. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of
14
      data received, pairwise between every pair of communicating processes. Distinct type maps
15
16
      between sender and receiver are still allowed. The data received from the l-th neighbor is
17
      placed into recvbuf beginning at offset displs[I] elements (in terms of the recvtype).
          The "in place" option is not meaningful for this operation.
18
          All arguments are significant on all processes and the argument
19
      comm must have identical values on all processes.
20
21
22
      7.6.2
             Neighbor Alltoall
23
      In this function, each process i receives data items from each process j if an edge (j,i)
24
      exists in the topology graph or Cartesian topology. Similarly, each process i sends data
25
      items to all processes j where an edge (i, j) exists. This call is more general than
26
      MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER in that different data items can be sent to each neighbor.
27
      The k-th block in send buffer is sent to the k-th neighboring process and the l-th block in
28
      the receive buffer is received from the l-th neighbor.
29
30
^{31}
      MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
32
                      comm)
33
        IN
                  sendbuf
                                                starting address of send buffer (choice)
34
        IN
35
                  sendcount
                                               number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative
36
                                               integer)
37
        IN
                  sendtype
                                               data type of send buffer elements (handle)
38
        OUT
                  recvbuf
                                               starting address of receive buffer (choice)
39
        IN
                                               number of elements received from each neighbor (non-
40
                  recycount
41
                                                negative integer)
42
        IN
                                               data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
                  recvtype
43
        IN
                                                communicator with topology structure (handle)
                  comm
44
45
      int MPI_Neighbor_alltoall(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount, MPI_Datatype
46
                      sendtype, void* recvbuf, int recvcount, MPI_Datatype recvtype,
47
                      MPI_Comm comm)
48
```

```
MPI_Neighbor_alltoall(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount,
                                                                                 2
             recvtype, comm, ierror)
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: sendbuf
    TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: recvbuf
                                                                                 4
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendcount, recvcount
                                                                                 5
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNT,
                                                                                10
             RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR)
                                                                                11
    <type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*)
                                                                                12
    INTEGER SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNT, RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR
                                                                                13
                                                                                14
```

This function supports Cartesian communicators, graph communicators, and distributed graph communicators as described in Section 7.6. If comm is a distributed graph communicator, the outcome is as if each process executed sends to each of its outgoing neighbors and receives from each of its incoming neighbors:

MPI_Dist_graph_neighbors_count(comm,&indegree,&outdegree,&weighted);	19
<pre>int *srcs=(int*)malloc(indegree*sizeof(int));</pre>	20
<pre>int *dsts=(int*)malloc(outdegree*sizeof(int));</pre>	21
<pre>MPI_Dist_graph_neighbors(comm,indegree,srcs,MPI_UNWEIGHTED,</pre>	22
<pre>outdegree,dsts,MPI_UNWEIGHTED);</pre>	23
int k,l;	24
	25
<pre>/* assume sendbuf and recvbuf are of type (char*) */</pre>	26
<pre>for(k=0; k<outdegree; ++k)<="" pre=""></outdegree;></pre>	27
MPI_Isend(sendbuf+k*sendcount*extent(sendtype),sendcount,sendtype,	28
dsts[k],);	29
	30
<pre>for(1=0; l<indegree; ++1)<="" pre=""></indegree;></pre>	31
<pre>MPI_Irecv(recvbuf+l*recvcount*extent(recvtype),recvcount,recvtype,</pre>	32
<pre>srcs[1],);</pre>	33
	34

MPI\_Waitall(...);

The type signature associated with sendcount, sendtype, at a process must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcount, recvtype at any other process. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of communicating processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The "in place" option is not meaningful for this operation.

All arguments are significant on all processes and the argument comm must have identical values on all processes.

The vector variant of MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLTOALL allows sending/receiving different numbers of elements to and from each neighbor.

1

3

6

7

9

15

16

17

18

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MPI_NEIG	HBOR_ALLTOALLV(sendbuf, rdispls, recvtype, comm)	sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts,
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length outdegree) speci- fying the number of elements to send to each neighbor
IN	sdispls	integer array (of length outdegree). Entry j specifies the displacement (relative to sendbuf) from which to send the outgoing data to neighbor j
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length indegree) speci- fying the number of elements that are received from each neighbor
IN	rdispls	integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from neighbor i
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)
<pre>int MPI_Neighbor_alltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[],</pre>		
<pre>MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV(SENDBUF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF,</pre>		
	IN IN IN IN OUT IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN IN	rdispls, recvtype, comm) IN sendbuf IN sendcounts IN sdispls IN sdispls IN sendtype OUT recvbuf IN recvcounts IN rdispls IN recvtype IN comm int MPI_Neighbor_alltoallv(const v const int sdispls[], const int recvcounts recvtype, MPI_Comm c MPI_Neighbor_alltoallv(sendbuf, set recvcounts, rdispls, TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT TYPE(*), DIMENSION() :: ret INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: sendco rdispls(*) TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) TYPEGPS SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS RECVTYPE, COMM, IERROR This function supports Cartesian cor graph communicators as described in Set nicator, the outcome is as if each proces and receives from each of its incoming n

```
1
int *srcs=(int*)malloc(indegree*sizeof(int));
                                                                                        \mathbf{2}
int *dsts=(int*)malloc(outdegree*sizeof(int));
                                                                                        3
MPI_Dist_graph_neighbors(comm, indegree, srcs, MPI_UNWEIGHTED,
                            outdegree,dsts,MPI_UNWEIGHTED);
                                                                                        4
int k,l;
                                                                                         5
                                                                                         6
/* assume sendbuf and recvbuf are of type (char*) */
                                                                                         7
for(k=0; k<outdegree; ++k)</pre>
                                                                                         8
  MPI_Isend(sendbuf+sdispls[k]*extent(sendtype),sendcounts[k],sendtype,
                                                                                        9
             dsts[k],...);
                                                                                        10
                                                                                        11
for(l=0; l<indegree; ++l)</pre>
                                                                                        12
  MPI_Irecv(recvbuf+rdispls[1]*extent(recvtype), recvcounts[1], recvtype,
                                                                                        13
             srcs[1],...);
                                                                                        14
                                                                                        15
                                                                                        16
MPI_Waitall(...);
                                                                                        17
```

The type signature associated with sendcounts[k], sendtype with dsts[k]==j at process i must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcounts[l], recvtype with srcs[l]==iat process j. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of communicating processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed. The data in the sendbuf beginning at offset sdispls[k] elements (in terms of the sendtype) is sent to the k-th outgoing neighbor. The data received from the l-th incoming neighbor is placed into recvbuf beginning at offset rdispls[l]elements (in terms of the recvtype).

The "in place" option is not meaningful for this operation.

All arguments are significant on all processes and the argument comm must have identical values on all processes.

MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLTOALLW allows one to send and receive with different datatypes to and from each neighbor.

 $^{24}$ 

1 2	MPI_NEI	GHBOR_ALLTOALLW(se rdispls, recvtypes,	ndbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, comm)
3	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
4 5 6	IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length outdegree) speci- fying the number of elements to send to each neighbor
7 8 9 10	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length outdegree). Entry j specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for neighbor j (array of integers)
11 12 13	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length outdegree). Entry j spec- ifies the type of data to send to neighbor j (array of handles)
14 15	OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
16 17 18	IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length indegree) speci- fying the number of elements that are received from each neighbor
19 20 21 22	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from neighbor i (array of integers)
23 24 25 26	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length indegree). Entry i spec- ifies the type of data received from neighbor i (array of handles)
27 28	IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)
29 30 31 32	int MPI_	<pre>const MPI_Aint void* recvbuf,</pre>	onst void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], sdispls[], const MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], const int recvcounts[], const MPI_Aint st MPI_Datatype recvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm)
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> </ul>	TYPE TYPE INTE INTE TYPE TYPE	recvcounts, rdi (*), DIMENSION(), (*), DIMENSION() GER, INTENT(IN) :: GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS	<pre>:: recvbuf sendcounts(*), recvcounts(*) _KIND), INTENT(IN) :: sdispls(*), rdispls(*) NT(IN) :: sendtypes(*), recvtypes(*) N) :: comm</pre>
43 44 45 46 47 48	<tyj INTE</tyj 	RECVCOUNTS, RDJ pe> SENDBUF(*), RECV GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS GER SENDCOUNTS(*), S	UF, SENDCOUNTS, SDISPLS, SENDTYPES, RECVBUF, SPLS, RECVTYPES, COMM, IERROR) BUF(*) _KIND) SDISPLS(*), RDISPLS(*) ENDTYPES(*), RECVCOUNTS(*), RECVTYPES(*), COMM,

This function supports Cartesian communicators, graph communicators, and distributed graph communicators as described in Section 7.6. If **comm** is a distributed graph communicator, the outcome is as if each process executed sends to each of its outgoing neighbors and receives from each of its incoming neighbors:

MPI\_Waitall(...);

The type signature associated with sendcounts[k], sendtypes[k] with dsts[k]==j at process i must be equal to the type signature associated with recvcounts[l], recvtypes[l] with srcs[l]==i at process j. This implies that the amount of data sent must be equal to the amount of data received, pairwise between every pair of communicating processes. Distinct type maps between sender and receiver are still allowed.

The "in place" option is not meaningful for this operation.

All arguments are significant on all processes and the argument comm must have identical values on all processes.

## 7.7 Nonblocking Neighborhood Communication on Process Topologies

Nonblocking variants of the neighborhood collective operations allow relaxed synchronization and overlapping of computation and communication. The semantics are similar to nonblocking collective operations as described in Section 5.12.  $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

324		CHAPTER 7. PROCESS TOPOLOGIES
7.7.1 Nor	nblocking Neighborhood Gath	ner
MPI_INEIG	HBOR_ALLGATHER(sendbuf, comm, request)	sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative integer)
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
IN	recvcount	number of elements received from each neighbor (non-negative integer)
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)
OUT	request	communication request (handle)
<pre>OUT request communication request (handle) int MPI_Ineighbor_allgather(const void* sendbuf, int sendcount,</pre>		
	7.7.1 Nor MPI_INEIG IN IN OUT IN IN OUT int MPI_I MPI_Ineig TYPE( TYPE( INTEG MPI_INEIG MPI_INEIG	<pre>7.7.1 Nonblocking Neighborhood Gath MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER(sendbuf,</pre>

MPI_INE	EIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV( recvtype, comm, r	(sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, displs, equest)	1 $2$
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	3
IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative integer)	4 5 6
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	7
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	8
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length indegree) con- taining the number of elements that are received from each neighbor	9 10 11 12
IN	displs	integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from neighbor i	13 14 15
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	16 17
IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)	18
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	19
MPI_Ine TYP INT INT TYP TYP TYP INT MPI_INE <ty INT</ty 	MPI_Datatype se const int displ MPI_Request *re ighbor_allgatherv(sen displs, recvtyp E(*), DIMENSION(), E(*), DIMENSION(), EGER, INTENT(IN) :: EGER, INTENT(IN), ASY E(MPI_Datatype), INTE E(MPI_Comm), INTENT(I E(MPI_Comm), INTENT(I E(MPI_Request), INTEN EGER, OPTIONAL, INTEN IGHBOR_ALLGATHERV(SEN DISPLS, RECVTYP vpe> SENDBUF(*), RECV	<pre>dbuf, sendcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, be, comm, request, ierror) INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf sendcount NCHRONOUS :: recvcounts(*), displs(*) NT(IN) :: sendtype, recvtype N) :: comm T(OUT) :: request T(OUT) :: ierror DBUF, SENDCOUNT, SENDTYPE, RECVBUF, RECVCOUNTS, PE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR)</pre>	21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41
This	s call starts a nonblocking	g variant of MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV.	42 43 44 45

	326		CHAPTER 7. PROCESS TOPOLOGIES
1 2 3	7.7.2 No	nblocking Neighborhood Allto	ball
4 5	MPI_INEIG	GHBOR_ALLTOALL(sendbuf, second s	endcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype,
6 7	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)
8 9	IN	sendcount	number of elements sent to each neighbor (non-negative integer)
10	IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)
11 12	OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)
13 14	IN	recvcount	number of elements received from each neighbor (non-negative integer)
15	IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)
16 17	IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)
18	OUT	request	communication request (handle)
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MPI_Ineig TYPE( TYPE( INTEG TYPE( TYPE( INTEG	<pre>sendtype, void* recv MPI_Comm comm, MPI_R hbor_alltoall(sendbuf, se recvtype, comm, requ *), DIMENSION(), INTENT *), DIMENSION(), ASYNCH ER, INTENT(IN) :: sendco MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)</pre>	<pre>endcount, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcount, est, ierror) T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf HRONOUS :: recvbuf ount, recvcount ) :: sendtype, recvtype</pre>
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	INTEG		•

MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf, recvcounts, <sup>1</sup> rdispls, recvtype, comm, request) <sup>2</sup>				
IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	3	
IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length outdegree) speci- fying the number of elements to send to each neighbor	4 5 6	
IN	sdispls	integer array (of length outdegree). Entry <b>j</b> specifies the displacement (relative to <b>sendbuf</b> ) from which send the outgoing data to neighbor <b>j</b>	7 8 9	
IN	sendtype	data type of send buffer elements (handle)	10 11	
OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	12	
IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length indegree) speci- fying the number of elements that are received from each neighbor	13 14 15	
IN	rdispls	integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the displacement (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from neighbor i	16 17 18 19	
IN	recvtype	data type of receive buffer elements (handle)	20	
IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)	21	
OUT	request	communication request (handle)	22 23	
<pre>int MPI_Ineighbor_alltoallv(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[],</pre>				
<pre>MPI_Ineighbor_alltoallv(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtype, recvbuf,</pre>				
<type> SENDBUF(*), RECVBUF(*) INTEGER SENDCOUNTS(*), SDISPLS(*), SENDTYPE, RECVCOUNTS(*), RDISPLS(*), RECVTYPE, COMM, REQUEST, IERROR This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV. 44 44 44</type>				

1 2	MPI_INEI	GHBOR_ALLTOALLW(si rdispls, recvtypes,	endbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf, recvcounts, comm, request)	
3 4	IN	sendbuf	starting address of send buffer (choice)	
4 5 6	IN	sendcounts	non-negative integer array (of length outdegree) speci- fying the number of elements to send to each neighbor	
7 8 9 10	IN	sdispls	integer array (of length outdegree). Entry j specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to sendbuf) from which to take the outgoing data destined for neighbor j (array of integers)	
11 12 13 14	IN	sendtypes	array of datatypes (of length outdegree). Entry j spec- ifies the type of data to send to neighbor j (array of handles)	
15	OUT	recvbuf	starting address of receive buffer (choice)	
16 17 18	IN	recvcounts	non-negative integer array (of length indegree) speci- fying the number of elements that are received from each neighbor	
19 20 21 22 23	IN	rdispls	integer array (of length indegree). Entry i specifies the displacement in bytes (relative to recvbuf) at which to place the incoming data from neighbor i (array of integers)	
24 25 26	IN	recvtypes	array of datatypes (of length indegree). Entry i spec- ifies the type of data received from neighbor i (array of handles)	
27	IN	comm	communicator with topology structure (handle)	
28 29	OUT	request	communication request (handle)	
30 31 32 33 34 35	int MPI_Ineighbor_alltoallw(const void* sendbuf, const int sendcounts[], const MPI_Aint sdispls[], const MPI_Datatype sendtypes[], void* recvbuf, const int recvcounts[], const MPI_Aint rdispls[], const MPI_Datatype recvtypes[], MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Bequest *request)			
36	MPI_Ineighbor_alltoallw(sendbuf, sendcounts, sdispls, sendtypes, recvbuf,			
37 38	recvcounts, rdispls, recvtypes, comm, request, ierror)			
39	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendbuf			
40	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: recvbuf INTEGER, INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendcounts(*), recvcounts(*)			
41	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS ::			
42	<pre>sdispls(*), rdispls(*)</pre>			
43		• •	NT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: sendtypes(*),	
44 45		types(*)	N)	
46		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(I (MPI_Request), INTEN		
47		GER, OPTIONAL, INTEN	-	
48		,, <b>_</b>		

This call starts a nonblocking variant of MPI\_NEIGHBOR\_ALLTOALLW.

### 7.8 An Application Example

**Example 7.9** The example in Figures 7.2-7.4 shows how the grid definition and inquiry functions can be used in an application program. A partial differential equation, for instance the Poisson equation, is to be solved on a rectangular domain. First, the processes organize themselves in a two-dimensional structure. Each process then inquires about the ranks of its neighbors in the four directions (up, down, right, left). The numerical problem is solved by an iterative method, the details of which are hidden in the subroutine **relax**.

In each relaxation step each process computes new values for the solution grid function at the points u(1:100,1:100) owned by the process. Then the values at inter-process boundaries have to be exchanged with neighboring processes. For example, the newly calculated values in u(1,1:100) must be sent into the halo cells u(101,1:100) of the left-hand neighbor with coordinates (own\_coord(1)-1,own\_coord(2)).

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
     INTEGER ndims, num_neigh
9
     LOGICAL reorder
10
     PARAMETER (ndims=2, num_neigh=4, reorder=.true.)
11
     INTEGER comm, comm_cart, dims(ndims), ierr
12
     INTEGER neigh_rank(num_neigh), own_coords(ndims), i, j, it
13
     LOGICAL periods(ndims)
14
     REAL u(0:101,0:101), f(0:101,0:101)
15
     DATA dims / ndims * 0 /
16
     comm = MPI_COMM_WORLD
17
     !
         Set process grid size and periodicity
18
     CALL MPI_DIMS_CREATE(comm, ndims, dims,ierr)
19
     periods(1) = .TRUE.
20
     periods(2) = .TRUE.
21
         Create a grid structure in WORLD group and inquire about own position
22
     CALL MPI_CART_CREATE (comm, ndims, dims, periods, reorder, &
23
                        comm_cart,ierr)
^{24}
     CALL MPI_CART_GET (comm_cart, ndims, dims, periods, own_coords,ierr)
25
     i = own_coords(1)
26
     j = own_coords(2)
27
     ! Look up the ranks for the neighbors. Own process coordinates are (i,j).
28
     ! Neighbors are (i-1,j), (i+1,j), (i,j-1), (i,j+1) modulo (dims(1),dims(2))
     CALL MPI_CART_SHIFT (comm_cart, 0,1, neigh_rank(1),neigh_rank(2), ierr)
29
30
     CALL MPI_CART_SHIFT (comm_cart, 1,1, neigh_rank(3),neigh_rank(4), ierr)
^{31}
     ! Initialize the grid functions and start the iteration
32
     CALL init (u, f)
33
     DO it=1,100
34
        CALL relax (u, f)
35
     !
            Exchange data with neighbor processes
36
        CALL exchange (u, comm_cart, neigh_rank, num_neigh)
37
     END DO
38
     CALL output (u)
39
40
        Figure 7.2: Set-up of process structure for two-dimensional parallel Poisson solver.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

```
SUBROUTINE exchange (u, comm_cart, neigh_rank, num_neigh)
REAL u(0:101,0:101)
                                                                                   12
INTEGER comm_cart, num_neigh, neigh_rank(num_neigh)
                                                                                   13
REAL sndbuf(100,num_neigh), rcvbuf(100,num_neigh)
                                                                                   14
INTEGER ierr
sndbuf(1:100,1) = u( 1,1:100)
sndbuf(1:100,2) = u(100,1:100)
sndbuf(1:100,3) = u(1:100, 1)
                                                                                   18
sndbuf(1:100,4) = u(1:100,100)
                                                                                   19
CALL MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL (sndbuf, 100, MPI_REAL, rcvbuf, 100, MPI_REAL, &
                                                                                   20
                             comm_cart, ierr)
                                                                                   21
! instead of
                                                                                   22
! DO i=1,num_neigh
                                                                                   23
    CALL MPI_IRECV(rcvbuf(1,i),100,MPI_REAL,neigh_rank(i),...,rq(2*i-1),&
!
                                                                                   24
!
                    ierr)
                                                                                   25
!
    CALL MPI_ISEND(sndbuf(1,i),100,MPI_REAL,neigh_rank(i),...,rq(2*i),&
                                                                                   26
!
                    ierr)
                                                                                   27
! END DO
                                                                                   28
! CALL MPI_WAITALL (2*num_neigh, rq, statuses, ierr)
                                                                                   29
                                                                                   30
u( 0,1:100) = rcvbuf(1:100,1)
u(101,1:100) = rcvbuf(1:100,2)
                                                                                   32
u(1:100, 0) = rcvbuf(1:100,3)
                                                                                   33
u(1:100,101) = rcvbuf(1:100,4)
                                                                                   34
END
                                                                                   35
                                                                                   36
```

Figure 7.3: Communication routine with local data copying and sparse neighborhood allto-all.

11

15

16

17

 $^{31}$ 

37

38

```
2
3
4
     SUBROUTINE exchange (u, comm_cart, neigh_rank, num_neigh)
5
     IMPLICIT NONE
6
    USE MPI
7
     REAL u(0:101,0:101)
8
     INTEGER comm_cart, num_neigh, neigh_rank(num_neigh)
9
     INTEGER sndcounts(num_neigh), sndtypes(num_neigh)
10
     INTEGER rcvcounts(num_neigh), rcvtypes(num_neigh)
11
     INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lb, sizeofreal
12
     INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) sdispls(num_neigh), rdispls(num_neigh)
13
     INTEGER type_vec, ierr
14
     ! The following initialization need to be done only once
15
     ! before the first call of exchange.
16
    CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT (MPI_REAL, 1b, sizeofreal, ierr)
17
    CALL MPI_TYPE_VECTOR (100, 1, 102, MPI_REAL, type_vec, ierr)
18
    CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT (type_vec, ierr)
19
     sndtypes(1:2) = type_vec
20
     sndcounts(1:2) = 1
21
     sndtypes(3:4) = MPI_REAL
22
     sndcounts(3:4) = 100
23
    rcvtypes = sndtypes
24
    rcvcounts = sndcounts
25
     sdispls(1) = ( 1 + 1*102) * sizeofreal ! first element of u( 1 , 1:100)
26
                                                                              , 1:100)
     sdispls(2) = (100 + 1*102) * size of real ! first element of u(100)
27
     sdispls(3) = ( 1 + 1*102) * sizeofreal ! first element of u( 1:100, 1
                                                                                      )
28
     sdispls(4) = (1 + 100*102) * sizeofreal ! first element of u( 1:100,100
                                                                                      )
29
    rdispls(1) = ( 0 + 1*102) * sizeofreal ! first element of u( 0
                                                                              , 1:100)
30
    rdispls(2) = (101 + 1*102) * size of real ! first element of u(101)
                                                                              . 1:100)
31
    rdispls(3) = (1 + 0*102) * size of real ! first element of u(1:100, 0)
                                                                                      )
32
    rdispls(4) = (1 + 101*102) * sizeofreal ! first element of u( 1:100,101
                                                                                      )
33
     ! the following communication has to be done in each call of exchange
34
    CALL MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW (u, sndcounts, sdispls, sndtypes, &
35
                                   u, rcvcounts, rdispls, rcvtypes, &
36
                                   comm_cart, ierr)
37
     ! The following finalizing need to be done only once
38
     ! after the last call of exchange.
39
     CALL MPI_TYPE_FREE (type_vec, ierr)
40
     END
41
42
43
     Figure 7.4: Communication routine with sparse neighborhood all-to-all-w and without local
44
     data copying.
45
46
47
48
```

## Chapter 8

# **MPI** Environmental Management

This chapter discusses routines for getting and, where appropriate, setting various parameters that relate to the MPI implementation and the execution environment (such as error handling). The procedures for entering and leaving the MPI execution environment are also described here.

6

9

15

16

17

1819

2021

22

23

 $^{24}$ 25

26

2728

29

30

#### Implementation Information 8.1

#### 8.1.1 Version Inquiries

In order to cope with changes to the MPI Standard, there are both compile-time and runtime ways to determine which version of the standard is in use in the environment one is using.

The "version" will be represented by two separate integers, for the version and subversion: In C,

#define MPI\_VERSION 3 #define MPI\_SUBVERSION 0

in Fortran,		31
		32
INTEGER :: MPI_VERSION, MPI_	SUBVERSION	33
PARAMETER (MPI_VERSION =	3)	34
PARAMETER (MPI_SUBVERSION =	0)	35
		36
For runtime determination,		37
		38
MPI_GET_VERSION( version, subversion )		
ΎΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥΥ	,	40
OUT version	version number (integer)	41
OUT subversion	subversion number (integer)	42
		43
<pre>int MPI_Get_version(int *version, int *subversion)</pre>		
,,,,,,,,,		
MPI_Get_version(version, subvers	, ,	46
INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: version, subversion		
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror		

	<b>JJ</b> 4		CHAFTER 8. WFTENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT
1 2			I, SUBVERSION, IERROR) BVERSION, IERROR
3 4 5 6 7	MPI_GET_VERSION can be called before MPI_INIT and after MPI_FINALIZE. Valid (MPI_VERSION, MPI_SUBVERSION) pairs in this and previous versions of the MPI standard are $(3,0)$ , $(2,2)$ , $(2,1)$ , $(2,0)$ , and $(1,2)$ .		
8	MPI_GET	LIBRARY_VERS	ION( version, resultlen )
9 10	OUT	version	version string (string)
11 12 13	OUT	resultlen	Length (in printable characters) of the result returned in version (integer)
14	int MPI	_Get_library_ver	sion(char *version, int *resultlen)
15 16 17 18 19	CHAF INTE	RACTER(LEN=MPI_M EGER, INTENT(OUT	n(version, resultlen, ierror) MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING), INTENT(OUT) :: version C) :: resultlen INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
20 21 22 23	MPI_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION(VERSION, RESULTLEN, IERROR) CHARACTER*(*) VERSION INTEGER RESULTLEN,IERROR		
24 25	This routine returns a string representing the version of the MPI library. The version argument is a character string for maximum flexibility.		
26 27 28 29	for	*	<i>rs.</i> An implementation of MPI should return a different string source code or build that could be visible to the user. ( <i>End of rs.</i> )
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	The argument version must represent storage that is MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING characters long. MPI_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION may write up to this many characters into version. The number of characters actually written is returned in the output argument, resultlen. In C, a null character is additionally stored at version[resultlen]. The value of resultlen cannot be larger than MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING - 1. In Fortran, version is padded on the right with blank characters. The value of resultlen cannot be larger than MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING. MPI_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION_CAN be called before MPI_INIT and after MPI_FINALIZE.		
41 42	8.1.2 E	nvironmental Inqu	iries
43 44 45 46 47	cator MP inquired	I_COMM_WORLD by using the func	scribe the execution environment are attached to the communi- when MPI is initialized. The values of these attributes can be tion MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR described in Section 6.7 and in eous to delete these attributes, free their keys, or change their
48		list of predefined a	attribute keys include

CHAPTER 8. MPI ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

MPI\_PROC\_NULL will be returned.

<b>MPI_TAG_UB</b> Upper bound for tag value.	1
<b>MPI_HOST</b> Host process rank, if such exists, MPI_PROC_NULL, otherwise.	2 3
<b>MPI_IO</b> rank of a node that has regular I/O facilities (possibly myrank). Nodes in the same communicator may return different values for this parameter.	4 5 6
<b>MPI_WTIME_IS_GLOBAL</b> Boolean variable that indicates whether clocks are synchronized.	7
Vendors may add implementation-specific parameters (such as node number, real mem- ory size, virtual memory size, etc.) These predefined attributes do not change value between MPI initialization (MPI_INIT) and MPI completion (MPI_FINALIZE), and cannot be updated or deleted by users.	8 9 10 11 12
Advice to users. Note that in the C binding, the value returned by these attributes is a <i>pointer</i> to an <b>int</b> containing the requested value. ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )	13 14 15
The required parameter values are discussed in more detail below:	$16 \\ 17$
Tag Values Tag values range from 0 to the value returned for MPI_TAG_UB, inclusive. These values are guaranteed to be unchanging during the execution of an MPI program. In addition, the tag upper bound value must be <i>at least</i> 32767. An MPI implementation is free to make the value of MPI_TAG_UB larger than this; for example, the value $2^{30} - 1$ is also a valid value	18 19 20 21 22 23
for MPI_TAG_UB. The attribute MPI_TAG_UB has the same value on all processes of MPI_COMM_WORLD. Host Rank	24 25 26 27
The value returned for MPI_HOST gets the rank of the <i>HOST</i> process in the group associated with communicator MPI_COMM_WORLD, if there is such. MPI_PROC_NULL is returned if there is no host. MPI does not specify what it means for a process to be a <i>HOST</i> , nor does it requires that a <i>HOST</i> exists. The attribute MPI_HOST has the same value on all processes of MPI_COMM_WORLD.	28 29 30 31 32 33
IO Rank	$34 \\ 35$
The value returned for MPI_IO is the rank of a processor that can provide language-standard I/O facilities. For Fortran, this means that all of the Fortran I/O operations are supported (e.g., OPEN, REWIND, WRITE). For C, this means that all of the ISO C I/O operations are supported (e.g., fopen, fprintf, lseek).	36 37 38 39 40
If every process can provide language-standard I/O, then the value MPI_ANY_SOURCE will be returned. Otherwise, if the calling process can provide language-standard I/O, then its rank will be returned. Otherwise, if some process can provide language-standard I/O then the rank of one such process will be returned. The same value need not be returned by all processes. If no process can provide language-standard I/O, then the value	40 41 42 43 44

Advice to users. Note that input is not collective, and this attribute does not indicate which process can or does provide input. (End of advice to users.)

45

46

47

1	Clock Syn	chronization	
2	The value	returned for MPI WT	IME_IS_GLOBAL is 1 if clocks at all processes in
$\frac{3}{4}$	MPI_COMM_WORLD are synchronized, 0 otherwise. A collection of clocks is considered		
4 5	synchronized if explicit effort has been taken to synchronize them. The expectation is that		
6	the variat	ion in time, as measu	red by calls to MPI_WTIME, will be less then one half the
7	-		ssage of length zero. If time is measured at a process just
8		—	cocess just after a matching receive, the second time should
9		higher than the first	
10			_IS_GLOBAL need not be present when the clocks are not
11			ribute key MPI_WTIME_IS_GLOBAL is always valid). This
12		•	th communicators other then MPI_COMM_WORLD. _IS_GLOBAL has the same value on all processes of
13		M_WORLD.	_IJ_GLODAL has the same value on an processes of
14			
15 16	Inquire Pro	ocessor Name	
10	1.		
18			
19	MPI_GET	_PROCESSOR_NAME	( name, resultlen )
20	OUT	name	A unique specifier for the actual (as opposed to vir-
21 22			tual) node.
23	OUT	resultlen	Length (in printable characters) of the result returned
24			in name
25			
26	int MPI_	Get_processor_name	(char *name, int *resultlen)
27	MPI_Get_	processor_name(nam	e, resultlen, ierror)
28 29			PROCESSOR_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: name
30		GER, INTENT(OUT) :	
31	INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INT	ENT(OUT) :: ierror
32	MPI_GET_	PROCESSOR_NAME( NA	ME, RESULTLEN, IERROR)
33		ACTER*(*) NAME	
34	INTE	GER RESULTLEN, IERR	OR.
35	This	routine returns the na	ame of the processor on which it was called at the moment
36			aracter string for maximum flexibility. From this value it
37	must be p	ossible to identify a s	pecific piece of hardware; possible values include "processor
38			d "231" (where 231 is the actual processor number in the
39 40			The argument name must represent storage that is at least
40			haracters long. MPI_GET_PROCESSOR_NAME may write
42	-	many characters into	
43			ctually written is returned in the output argument, resultlen.
44			nally stored at name[resultlen]. The value of resultlen cannot
45	-		SSOR_NAME-1. In Fortran, name is padded on the right with
46	DIALIK CHAI	tacters. The value of r	esultlen cannot be larger than MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME.
47	Rati	ionale. This function	allows MPI implementations that do process migration to

<sup>47</sup> Rationale. This function allows MPI implementations that do process migration to
 <sup>48</sup> return the current processor. Note that nothing in MPI requires or defines process

migration; this definition of MPI\_GET\_PROCESSOR\_NAME simply allows such an implementation. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. The user must provide at least MPI\_MAX\_PROCESSOR\_NAME space to write the processor name — processor names can be this long. The user should examine the output argument, resultlen, to determine the actual length of the name. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 8.2 Memory Allocation

In some systems, message-passing and remote-memory-access (RMA) operations run faster when accessing specially allocated memory (e.g., memory that is shared by the other processes in the communicating group on an SMP). MPI provides a mechanism for allocating and freeing such special memory. The use of such memory for message-passing or RMA is not mandatory, and this memory can be used without restrictions as any other dynamically allocated memory. However, implementations may restrict the use of some RMA functionality as defined in Section 11.5.3.

MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM(size, info, baseptr)

	- ( ; ; , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
IN	size	size of memory segment in bytes (non-negative inte-	21
		ger)	22
	· .	- ,	23
IN	info	info argument (handle)	24
OUT	baseptr	pointer to beginning of memory segment allocated	25
			26
int MPI_A	Alloc_mem(MPI_Aint size, M	<pre>/PI_Info info, void *baseptr)</pre>	27
		-	$^{28}$
MPI_Alloc	_mem(size, info, baseptr	, ierror)	29
USE,	INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDI	ING, ONLY : C_PTR	30
INTEC	<pre>INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size</pre>		
TYPE	<pre>MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	info	32
TYPE	(C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: h	paseptr	33
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	34
MPI_ALLOC_MEM(SIZE, INFO, BASEPTR, IERROR)			35
	ER INFO, IERROR		36
	ER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	) STZE BASEPTB	37
10100			38
If the	Fortran compiler provides TYP	<b>E(C_PTR)</b> , then the following generic interface must	39
be provide	ed in the mpi module and she	ould be provided in mpif.h through overloading,	40
i.e., with t	the same routine name as the	routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	41
BASEPTR, I	out with a different specific pr	ocedure name:	42

INTERFACE MPI_ALLOC_MEM	44
SUBROUTINE MPI_ALLOC_MEM(SIZE, INFO, BASEPTR, IERROR)	45
IMPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KIND	46
INTEGER INFO, IERROR	47
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR	48

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

```
1
          END SUBROUTINE
2
          SUBROUTINE MPI_ALLOC_MEM_CPTR(SIZE, INFO, BASEPTR, IERROR)
3
              USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
4
              IMPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KIND
5
              INTEGER :: INFO, IERROR
6
              INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: SIZE
7
              TYPE(C_PTR) :: BASEPTR
8
          END SUBROUTINE
9
     END INTERFACE
10
          The base procedure name of this overloaded function is MPI_ALLOC_MEM_CPTR. The
11
     implied specific procedure names are described in Section 17.1.5.
12
          The info argument can be used to provide directives that control the desired location
13
     of the allocated memory. Such a directive does not affect the semantics of the call. Valid
14
     info values are implementation-dependent; a null directive value of info = MPI_INFO_NULL
15
     is always valid.
16
          The function MPI_ALLOC_MEM may return an error code of class MPI_ERR_NO_MEM
17
     to indicate it failed because memory is exhausted.
18
19
20
     MPI_FREE_MEM(base)
21
22
       IN
                 base
                                             initial address of memory segment allocated by
23
                                             MPI_ALLOC_MEM (choice)
^{24}
25
     int MPI_Free_mem(void *base)
26
     MPI_Free_mem(base, ierror)
27
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: base
28
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
29
30
     MPI_FREE_MEM(BASE, IERROR)
31
          <type> BASE(*)
32
          INTEGER IERROR
33
          The function MPI_FREE_MEM may return an error code of class MPI_ERR_BASE to
34
     indicate an invalid base argument.
35
36
           Rationale. The C bindings of MPI_ALLOC_MEM and MPI_FREE_MEM are similar
37
           to the bindings for the malloc and free C library calls: a call to
38
           MPI_Alloc_mem(..., &base) should be paired with a call to MPI_Free_mem(base) (one
39
           less level of indirection). Both arguments are declared to be of same type
40
           void<sup>*</sup> so as to facilitate type casting. The Fortran binding is consistent with the C
41
           bindings: the Fortran MPI_ALLOC_MEM call returns in baseptr the TYPE(C_PTR)
42
           pointer or the (integer valued) address of the allocated memory. The base argument
43
           of MPI_FREE_MEM is a choice argument, which passes (a reference to) the variable
44
           stored at that location. (End of rationale.)
45
46
           Advice to implementors.
                                      If MPI_ALLOC_MEM allocates special memory, then a
47
           design similar to the design of C malloc and free functions has to be used, in order
48
```

Advice to implementors. Some compilers map Cray-pointers to address-sized integers, some to TYPE(C\_PTR) pointers (e.g., Cray Fortran, version 7.3.3). From the user's viewpoint, this mapping is irrelevant because Examples 8.2 should work correctly with an MPI-3.0 (or later) library if Cray-pointers are available. (End of advice to implementors.)

Example 8.3 Same example, in C.

 $^{41}$ 

```
1
              (* f)[100][100];
       float
2
       /* no memory is allocated */
3
       MPI_Alloc_mem(sizeof(float)*100*100, MPI_INFO_NULL, &f);
4
       /* memory allocated */
5
        . . .
6
        (*f)[5][3] = 2.71;
\overline{7}
8
       MPI_Free_mem(f);
9
10
```

### 8.3 Error Handling

An MPI implementation cannot or may choose not to handle some errors that occur during MPI calls. These can include errors that generate exceptions or traps, such as floating point errors or access violations. The set of errors that are handled by MPI is implementation-dependent. Each such error generates an *MPI exception*.

The above text takes precedence over any text on error handling within this document. Specifically, text that states that errors *will* be handled should be read as *may* be handled.

A user can associate error handlers to three types of objects: communicators, windows, and files. The specified error handling routine will be used for any MPI exception that occurs during a call to MPI for the respective object. MPI calls that are not related to any objects are considered to be attached to the communicator MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. The attachment of error handlers to objects is purely local: different processes may attach different error handlers to corresponding objects.

Several predefined error handlers are available in MPI:

- MPI\_ERRORS\_ARE\_FATAL The handler, when called, causes the program to abort on all executing processes. This has the same effect as if MPI\_ABORT was called by the process that invoked the handler.
- **MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN** The handler has no effect other than returning the error code to the user.

Implementations may provide additional predefined error handlers and programmers can code their own error handlers.

34The error handler MPI\_ERRORS\_ARE\_FATAL is associated by default with MPI\_COMM-35 \_WORLD after initialization. Thus, if the user chooses not to control error handling, every 36 error that MPI handles is treated as fatal. Since (almost) all MPI calls return an error code, 37 a user may choose to handle errors in its main code, by testing the return code of MPI 38 calls and executing a suitable recovery code when the call was not successful. In this case, 39 the error handler MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN will be used. Usually it is more convenient and 40 more efficient not to test for errors after each MPI call, and have such error handled by a 41 non-trivial MPI error handler.

After an error is detected, the state of MPI is undefined. That is, using a user-defined error handler, or MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN, does *not* necessarily allow the user to continue to use MPI after an error is detected. The purpose of these error handlers is to allow a user to issue user-defined error messages and to take actions unrelated to MPI (such as flushing I/O buffers) before a program exits. An MPI implementation is free to allow MPI to continue after an error but is not required to do so.

11 12

13

14

15

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will, to the greatest possible extent, circumscribe the impact of an error, so that normal processing can continue after an error handler was invoked. The implementation documentation will provide information on the possible effect of each class of errors. (End of advice to implementors.)

An MPI error handler is an opaque object, which is accessed by a handle. MPI calls are provided to create new error handlers, to associate error handlers with objects, and to test which error handler is associated with an object. C has distinct typedefs for user defined error handling callback functions that accept communicator, file, and window arguments. In Fortran there are three user routines.

An error handler object is created by a call to MPI\_XXX\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER, where XXX is, respectively, COMM, WIN, or FILE.

An error handler is attached to a communicator, window, or file by a call to MPI\_XXX\_SET\_ERRHANDLER. The error handler must be either a predefined error handler, or an error handler that was created by a call to MPI\_XXX\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER, with matching XXX. The predefined error handlers MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN and MPI\_ERRORS\_ARE\_FATAL can be attached to communicators, windows, and files.

The error handler currently associated with a communicator, window, or file can be retrieved by a call to MPI\_XXX\_GET\_ERRHANDLER.

The MPI function MPI\_ERRHANDLER\_FREE can be used to free an error handler that was created by a call to MPI\_XXX\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER.

MPI\_{COMM,WIN,FILE}\_GET\_ERRHANDLER behave as if a new error handler object is created. That is, once the error handler is no longer needed, MPI\_ERRHANDLER\_FREE should be called with the error handler returned from MPI\_{COMM,WIN,FILE}\_GET\_ERRHANDLER to mark the error handler for deallocation. This provides behavior similar to that of MPI\_COMM\_GROUP and MPI\_GROUP\_FREE.

Advice to implementors. High-quality implementations should raise an error when an error handler that was created by a call to MPI\_XXX\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER is attached to an object of the wrong type with a call to MPI\_YYY\_SET\_ERRHANDLER. To do so, it is necessary to maintain, with each error handler, information on the typedef of the associated user function. (End of advice to implementors.)

The syntax for these calls is given below.

#### 8.3.1 Error Handlers for Communicators

		39
MPI_COMM_CREATE_ERRHANDLER(co	omm_errhandler_fn, errhandler)	40
IN comm_errhandler_fn	user defined error handling procedure (function)	41
		42
OUT errhandler	MPI error handler (handle)	43
		44
<pre>int MPI_Comm_create_errhandler(MPI</pre>	_Comm_errhandler_function	45
<pre>*comm_errhandler_fn,</pre>	MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)	46
MPI_Comm_create_errhandler(comm_er	mbandlar fr arrhandlar iarrar)	47
mri_create_ennandien(comm_en	inanuier_in, erinanuier, lerror)	10

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6  $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

2728

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33 34

3536

37 38

1 PROCEDURE(MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function) :: comm\_errhandler\_fn  $\mathbf{2}$ TYPE(MPI\_Errhandler), INTENT(OUT) :: errhandler 3 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 4 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER(COMM\_ERRHANDLER\_FN, ERRHANDLER, IERROR) 5EXTERNAL COMM\_ERRHANDLER\_FN 6 INTEGER ERRHANDLER. IERROR 7 8 Creates an error handler that can be attached to communicators. 9 The user routine should be, in C, a function of type MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function, which 10 is defined as 11typedef void MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function(MPI\_Comm \*, int \*, ...); 12The first argument is the communicator in use. The second is the error code to be 13 returned by the MPI routine that raised the error. If the routine would have returned 14 MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS, it is the error code returned in the status for the request that caused 15the error handler to be invoked. The remaining arguments are "varargs" arguments whose 16 number and meaning is implementation-dependent. An implementation should clearly doc-17ument these arguments. Addresses are used so that the handler may be written in Fortran. 18 With the Fortran mpi\_f08 module, the user routine comm\_errhandler\_fn should be of the 19 form: 20ABSTRACT INTERFACE 21SUBROUTINE MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function(comm, error\_code) 22 TYPE(MPI\_Comm) :: comm 23INTEGER :: error\_code  $^{24}$ 25With the Fortran mpi module and mpif.h, the user routine COMM\_ERRHANDLER\_FN 26should be of the form: 27SUBROUTINE COMM\_ERRHANDLER\_FUNCTION(COMM, ERROR\_CODE) 28INTEGER COMM, ERROR\_CODE 29 30 The variable argument list is provided because it provides an ISO-Rationale.  $^{31}$ standard hook for providing additional information to the error handler; without this 32 hook, ISO C prohibits additional arguments. (End of rationale.) 33 34Advice to users. A newly created communicator inherits the error handler that 35 is associated with the "parent" communicator. In particular, the user can specify 36 a "global" error handler for all communicators by associating this handler with the 37 communicator MPI\_COMM\_WORLD immediately after initialization. (End of advice to 38 users.) 39 40 41 MPI\_COMM\_SET\_ERRHANDLER(comm, errhandler) 42INOUT 43 comm communicator (handle) 44IN errhandler new error handler for communicator (handle) 4546int MPI\_Comm\_set\_errhandler(MPI\_Comm comm, MPI\_Errhandler errhandler) 4748MPI\_Comm\_set\_errhandler(comm, errhandler, ierror)

TYPE(MPI_Con	mm), INTENT(IN) ::	comm	1
TYPE(MPI_Errhandler), INTENT(IN) :: errhandler			2
INTEGER, OP	TIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	3
MPI_COMM_SET_ER	RHANDLER(COMM, ERRH	HANDLER, IERROR)	4
	M, ERRHANDLER, IERF	-	5 6
Attachas a no	www.ennon.handlen.to.a	communicator. The error handler must be either	7
		r handler created by a call to	8
•	ATE_ERRHANDLER.	nandier created by a can to	9
			10
			11
MPI_COMM_GET	_ERRHANDLER(comm	n, errhandler)	12
IN comm		communicator (handle)	13
OUT errhan	dler	error handler currently associated with communicator	14
		(handle)	15
			16 17
int MPI_Comm_ge	t_errhandler(MPI_Co	omm comm, MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)	18
_			19
•	rhandler(comm, errh mm), INTENT(IN) ::		20
· _		DUT) :: errhandler	21
	TIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		22
	-		23
MPI_COMM_GET_ERRHANDLER(COMM, ERRHANDLER, IERROR)			24
INTEGER COMM, ERRHANDLER, IERROR			25
Retrieves the	error handler currentl	y associated with a communicator.	26
<b>.</b> ,		register at its entry point the current error handler	27 28
	· ·	error handler for this communicator, and restore	20
before exiting the	previous error handler	·.	30
			31
8.3.2 Error Hand	diers for Windows		32
			33
		auchandlar for anchandlar)	34
	× ×	_errhandler_fn, errhandler)	35
IN win_er	rrhandler_fn	user defined error handling procedure (function)	36
OUT errhan	dler	MPI error handler (handle)	37
			38 39
int MPI_Win_cre	ate_errhandler(MPI_	_Win_errhandler_function	40
*₩	in_errhandler_fn,	MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)	41
MPI Win create	errhandler(win errh	nandler_fn, errhandler, ierror)	42
		function) :: win_errhandler_fn	43
		DUT) :: errhandler	44
	TIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		45
44 MPI_WIN_CREATE_ERRHANDLER(WIN_ERRHANDLER_FN, ERRHANDLER, IERROR)			46
	ERRHANDLER (WIN_ERR N_ERRHANDLER_FN	INIDEDICTN, EIGHIANDEER, TEHHOR)	47
			48

1	INTE	GER ERRHANDLER, IERROR	
2 3 4 5	should be,	, in C, a function of type $MF$	<pre>h be attached to a window object. The user routine Pl_Win_errhandler_function which is defined as function(MPI_Win *, int *,);</pre>
6 7 8 9	The first argument is the window in use, the second is the error code to be returned. With the Fortran mpi_f08 module, the user routine win_errhandler_fn should be of the form: ABSTRACT INTERFACE SUBROUTINE MPI_Win_errhandler_function(win, error_code)		
10 11 12		PE(MPI_Win) :: win IEGER :: error_code	
13 14 15 16 17	With the Fortran mpi module and mpif.h, the user routine WIN_ERRHANDLER_FN should be of the form: SUBROUTINE WIN_ERRHANDLER_FUNCTION(WIN, ERROR_CODE) INTEGER WIN, ERROR_CODE		
18 19	MPI_WIN	_SET_ERRHANDLER(win, ei	rrhandler)
20	INOUT	win	window (handle)
21 22	IN	errhandler	new error handler for window (handle)
23 24	int MPI_N	Win_set_errhandler(MPI_W	in win, MPI_Errhandler errhandler)
25 26 27 28 29	MPI_Win_set_errhandler(win, errhandler, ierror) TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win TYPE(MPI_Errhandler), INTENT(IN) :: errhandler INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror		
30 31	MPI_WIN_SET_ERRHANDLER(WIN, ERRHANDLER, IERROR) INTEGER WIN, ERRHANDLER, IERROR		
32 33 34 35 36	Attaches a new error handler to a window. The error handler must be either a pre- defined error handler, or an error handler created by a call to MPI_WIN_CREATE_ERRHANDLER.		
37	MPI_WIN	_GET_ERRHANDLER(win, e	rrhandler)
38	IN	win	window (handle)
39 40 41 42	OUT	errhandler	error handler currently associated with window (handle)
43	int MPI_N	Win_get_errhandler(MPI_W	/in win, MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)
44 45 46 47 48	TYPE TYPE	get_errhandler(win, errh (MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Errhandler), INTENT GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	win C(OUT) :: errhandler

	_GET_ERRHANDLER(WIN, H EGER WIN, ERRHANDLER,		1 2
Reti	ieves the error handler cu	urrently associated with a window.	3 4
8.3.3 E	rror Handlers for Files		5 6 7
MPI FIL	E CREATE ERRHANDLE	R(file_errhandler_fn, errhandler)	8 9
IN	file_errhandler_fn	user defined error handling procedure (function)	10
OUT	errhandler	MPI error handler (handle)	11 12
int MPI		er(MPI_File_errhandler_function r_fn, MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)	13 14 15
PRO TYP	CEDURE(MPI_File_errham	ile_errhandler_fn, errhandler, ierror) ndler_function) :: file_errhandler_fn TENT(OUT) :: errhandler T(OUT) :: ierror	16 17 18 19 20
EXT	E_CREATE_ERRHANDLER(FI ERNAL FILE_ERRHANDLER EGER ERRHANDLER, IERR(		20 21 22 23
be, in C,	a function of type $MPI\_F$	<pre>can be attached to a file object. The user routine should ile_errhandler_function, which is defined as dler_function(MPI_File *, int *,);</pre>	24 25 26 27
With the ABSTRAC SUBRO T	Fortran mpi_f08 module I INTERFACE	in use, the second is the error code to be returned. , the user routine file_errhandler_fn should be of the form: dler_function(file, error_code)	28 29 30 31 32 33
be of the SUBROUT	form:	<pre>mpif.h, the user routine FILE_ERRHANDLER_FN should JNCTION(FILE, ERROR_CODE)</pre>	34 35 36 37 38 39
MPI FIL	E_SET_ERRHANDLER(file	e. errhandler)	40
INOUT	× ×	file (handle)	41 42
IN	errhandler	new error handler for file (handle)	43
int MPI	_File_set_errhandler(N	<pre>/PI_File file, MPI_Errhandler errhandler)</pre>	44 45
MPI_Fil	e_set_errhandler(file; E(MPI_File), INTENT(IN	, errhandler, ierror)	46 47 48

1	TYPE(MPI_Errhandler), INT	ENT(IN) :: errhandler
2	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	(OUT) :: ierror
3	MPI_FILE_SET_ERRHANDLER(FILE,	ERRHANDLER, IERROR)
4 5	INTEGER FILE, ERRHANDLER,	
6 7		to a file. The error handler must be either a predefined
8	error handler, or an error handler c	reated by a call to MPI_FILE_CREATE_ERRHANDLER.
9 10	MPI_FILE_GET_ERRHANDLER(file	, errhandler)
11	IN file	file (handle)
12	OUT errhandler	
13	001 ermandler	error handler currently associated with file (handle)
14 15	int MPI_File_get_errhandler(M	PI_File file, MPI_Errhandler *errhandler)
16	MPI_File_get_errhandler(file,	errhandler, ierror)
17	TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN)	
18 19	TYPE(MPI_Errhandler), INT	
20	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	(OUT) :: ierror
21	MPI_FILE_GET_ERRHANDLER(FILE,	ERRHANDLER, IERROR)
22	INTEGER FILE, ERRHANDLER,	IERROR
23 24	Retrieves the error handler cur	rrently associated with a file.
25 26 27	8.3.4 Freeing Errorhandlers and F	Retrieving Error Strings
28	MPI_ERRHANDLER_FREE( errhand	ller)
29	Ŷ	,
30 31	INOUT errhandler	MPI error handler (handle)
32 33	<pre>int MPI_Errhandler_free(MPI_E;</pre>	rrhandler *errhandler)
34	MPI_Errhandler_free(errhandle:	
35 36	TYPE(MPI_Errhandler), INT INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	
37	MPI_ERRHANDLER_FREE(ERRHANDLE	R. TEBROR)
38	INTEGER ERRHANDLER, IERRO	-
$\frac{39}{40}$	Marks the error handler associ	ated with errhandler for deallocation and sets errhandler
40		error handler will be deallocated after all the objects
42		window, or file) have been deallocated.
43	( · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		

CHAPTER 8. MPI ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

MPI_ERROR_STRING( errorcode, string, resultlen )			
IN	errorcode	Error code returned by an MPI routine	2 3
OUT	string	Text that corresponds to the <b>errorcode</b>	4
OUT	resultlen	Length (in printable characters) of the result returned	5
001		in string	6
			7
int MPI_E	Error_string(int errorcode	e, char *string, int *resultlen)	8
	-		9
	r_string(errorcode, string EER, INTENT(IN) :: erroro	-	10
			11 12
CHARACTER(LEN=MPI_MAX_ERROR_STRING), INTENT(OUT) :: string INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: resultlen			12
	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		14
			15
	R_STRING(ERRORCODE, STRING ER ERRORCODE, RESULTLEN,		16
	ACTER*(*) STRING	IERROR	17
			18
	0	with an error code or class. The argument string	19
-	0	IPI_MAX_ERROR_STRING characters long.	20
1 ne n	umber of characters actually w	ritten is returned in the output argument, resultlen.	21 22
Ratie	onale. The form of this functi	on was chosen to make the Fortran and C bindings	22
		pointer to a string has two difficulties. First, the	20
		cated and different for each error message (allowing	25
the pointers returned by successive calls to MPI_ERROR_STRING to point to the correct message). Second, in Fortran, a function declared as returning CHARACTER*(*)			26
			27
can 1	can not be referenced in, for example, a PRINT statement. (End of rationale.)		
			29
8.4 Err	or Codes and Classes		30
			31
	-	entirely to the implementation (with the exception	32
	,	an implementation to provide as much information	33 34
-	e in the error code (for use wit	,	35
		ion to interpret an error code, the routine code into one of a small set of standard error codes,	36
		are shown in Table 8.1 and Table 8.2.	37
		e error codes: an MPI function may return an error	38
		RROR_STRING can be used to compute the error	39
		e values defined for MPI error classes are valid MPI	40
error codes	5.		41
The e	rror codes satisfy,		42
			43

 $0 = \mathsf{MPI\_SUCCESS} < \mathsf{MPI\_ERR\_} \dots \leq \mathsf{MPI\_ERR\_LASTCODE}.$ 

*Rationale.* The difference between MPI\_ERR\_UNKNOWN and MPI\_ERR\_OTHER is that MPI\_ERROR\_STRING can return useful information about MPI\_ERR\_OTHER.

_		
1		
2	MPI_SUCCESS	No error
3	MPI_ERR_BUFFER	Invalid buffer pointer
4		-
5	MPI_ERR_COUNT	Invalid count argument
6	MPI_ERR_TYPE	Invalid datatype argument
7	MPI_ERR_TAG	Invalid tag argument
8	MPI_ERR_COMM	Invalid communicator
9	MPI_ERR_RANK	Invalid rank
10	MPI_ERR_REQUEST	Invalid request (handle)
11	MPI_ERR_ROOT	Invalid root
12	MPI_ERR_GROUP	Invalid group
13	MPI_ERR_OP	Invalid operation
14	MPI_ERR_TOPOLOGY	Invalid topology
15	MPI_ERR_DIMS	Invalid dimension argument
	MPI_ERR_ARG	Invalid argument of some other kind
16	MPI_ERR_UNKNOWN	Unknown error
17	MPI_ERR_TRUNCATE	Message truncated on receive
18	MPI_ERR_OTHER	Known error not in this list
19	MPI_ERR_INTERN	Internal MPI (implementation) error
20	MPI_ERR_IN_STATUS	Error code is in status
21	MPI_ERR_PENDING	Pending request
22	MPI_ERR_KEYVAL	Invalid keyval has been passed
23		
24	MPI_ERR_NO_MEM	MPI_ALLOC_MEM failed because memory
25		is exhausted
26	MPI_ERR_BASE	Invalid base passed to MPI_FREE_MEM
27	MPI_ERR_INFO_KEY	Key longer than MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY
28	MPI_ERR_INFO_VALUE	Value longer than MPI_MAX_INFO_VAL
29	MPI_ERR_INFO_NOKEY	Invalid key passed to MPI_INFO_DELETE
30	MPI_ERR_SPAWN	Error in spawning processes
31	MPI_ERR_PORT	Invalid port name passed to
32		MPI_COMM_CONNECT
33	MPI_ERR_SERVICE	Invalid service name passed to
34		MPI_UNPUBLISH_NAME
35	MPI_ERR_NAME	Invalid service name passed to
36		MPI_LOOKUP_NAME
37	MPI_ERR_WIN	Invalid win argument
38	MPI_ERR_SIZE	Invalid size argument
39	MPI_ERR_DISP	Invalid disp argument
40	MPI_ERR_INFO	Invalid info argument
	MPI_ERR_LOCKTYPE	Invalid locktype argument
41	MPI_ERR_ASSERT	Invalid assert argument
42	MPI_ERR_RMA_CONFLICT	Conflicting accesses to window
43	MPI_ERR_RMA_SYNC	Wrong synchronization of RMA calls
44		
45		
46	Table 8.	.1: Error classes (Part 1)
47		

MPI_ERR_RMA_RANGE	Target memory is not part of the win-	4
	dow (in the case of a window created	5
	with MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC, tar-	6
	get memory is not attached)	7
MPI_ERR_RMA_ATTACH	Memory cannot be attached (e.g., because	8
	of resource exhaustion)	9
MPI_ERR_RMA_SHARED	Memory cannot be shared (e.g., some pro-	10
	cess in the group of the specified commu-	11
	nicator cannot expose shared memory)	12
MPI_ERR_RMA_FLAVOR	Passed window has the wrong flavor for the	13
	called function	14
MPI_ERR_FILE	Invalid file handle	15
MPI_ERR_NOT_SAME	Collective argument not identical on all	16
	processes, or collective routines called in	17
	a different order by different processes	18
MPI_ERR_AMODE	Error related to the <b>amode</b> passed to	19
	MPI_FILE_OPEN	20
MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_DATAREP	Unsupported datarep passed to	21
	MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW	22
MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_OPERATION	Unsupported operation, such as seeking on	23
	a file which supports sequential access only	24
MPI_ERR_NO_SUCH_FILE	File does not exist	25
MPI_ERR_FILE_EXISTS	File exists	26
MPI_ERR_BAD_FILE	Invalid file name (e.g., path name too long)	27
 MPI_ERR_ACCESS	Permission denied	28
MPI_ERR_NO_SPACE	Not enough space	29
MPI_ERR_QUOTA	Quota exceeded	30 31
MPI_ERR_READ_ONLY	Read-only file or file system	31
MPI_ERR_FILE_IN_USE	File operation could not be completed, as	33
	the file is currently open by some process	34
MPI_ERR_DUP_DATAREP	Conversion functions could not be regis-	35
	tered because a data representation identi-	36
	fier that was already defined was passed to	37
	MPI_REGISTER_DATAREP	38
MPI_ERR_CONVERSION	An error occurred in a user supplied data	39
	conversion function.	40
MPI_ERR_IO	Other I/O error	41
MPI_ERR_LASTCODE	Last error code	42
		43
Table 8 9. Fr	cor classes (Part 2)	44
	(1 a) (2)	45
		46

```
Note that MPI_SUCCESS = 0 is necessary to be consistent with C practice; the sepa-
\mathbf{2}
           ration of error classes and error codes allows us to define the error classes this way.
           Having a known LASTCODE is often a nice sanity check as well. (End of rationale.)
     MPI_ERROR_CLASS( errorcode, errorclass )
       IN
                 errorcode
                                              Error code returned by an MPI routine
       OUT
                 errorclass
                                              Error class associated with errorcode
10
11
     int MPI_Error_class(int errorcode, int *errorclass)
12
13
     MPI_Error_class(errorcode, errorclass, ierror)
14
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorcode
15
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                       errorclass
16
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
17
     MPI_ERROR_CLASS(ERRORCODE, ERRORCLASS, IERROR)
18
          INTEGER ERRORCODE, ERRORCLASS, IERROR
19
20
          The function MPI_ERROR_CLASS maps each standard error code (error class) onto
21
     itself.
22
23
     8.5
            Error Classes, Error Codes, and Error Handlers
24
25
     Users may want to write a layered library on top of an existing MPI implementation, and
26
     this library may have its own set of error codes and classes. An example of such a library
27
     is an I/O library based on MPI, see Chapter 13. For this purpose, functions are needed to:
28
29
        1. add a new error class to the ones an MPI implementation already knows.
30
        2. associate error codes with this error class, so that MPI_ERROR_CLASS works.
^{31}
32
        3. associate strings with these error codes, so that MPI_ERROR_STRING works.
33
34
        4. invoke the error handler associated with a communicator, window, or object.
35
     Several functions are provided to do this. They are all local. No functions are provided
36
     to free error classes or codes: it is not expected that an application will generate them in
37
     significant numbers.
38
39
40
     MPI_ADD_ERROR_CLASS(errorclass)
41
       OUT
                 errorclass
                                              value for the new error class (integer)
42
43
44
     int MPI_Add_error_class(int *errorclass)
45
     MPI_Add_error_class(errorclass, ierror)
46
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: errorclass
```

```
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
```

3

4 56

> 7 8

9

47

#### MPI\_ADD\_ERROR\_CLASS(ERRORCLASS, IERROR) INTEGER ERRORCLASS, IERROR

Creates a new error class and returns the value for it.

*Rationale.* To avoid conflicts with existing error codes and classes, the value is set by the implementation and not by the user. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will return the value for a new errorclass in the same deterministic way on all processes. (End of advice to implementors.)

Advice to users. Since a call to MPI\_ADD\_ERROR\_CLASS is local, the same errorclass may not be returned on all processes that make this call. Thus, it is not safe to assume that registering a new error on a set of processes at the same time will yield the same errorclass on all of the processes. However, if an implementation returns the new errorclass in a deterministic way, and they are always generated in the same order on the same set of processes (for example, all processes), then the value will be the same. However, even if a deterministic algorithm is used, the value can vary across processes. This can happen, for example, if different but overlapping groups of processes make a series of calls. As a result of these issues, getting the "same" error on multiple processes may not cause the same value of error code to be generated. (*End of advice to users.*)

The value of MPI\_ERR\_LASTCODE is a constant value and is not affected by new userdefined error codes and classes. Instead, a predefined attribute key MPI\_LASTUSEDCODE is associated with MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. The attribute value corresponding to this key is the current maximum error class including the user-defined ones. This is a local value and may be different on different processes. The value returned by this key is always greater than or equal to MPI\_ERR\_LASTCODE.

Advice to users. The value returned by the key MPI\_LASTUSEDCODE will not change unless the user calls a function to explicitly add an error class/code. In a multithreaded environment, the user must take extra care in assuming this value has not changed. Note that error codes and error classes are not necessarily dense. A user may not assume that each error class below MPI\_LASTUSEDCODE is valid. (*End of advice to users.*)

			39
MPI_ADD_ERROR_CODE(errorclass, errorcode)			40
IN	errorclass	error class (integer)	41
OUT	errorcode	new error code to associated with errorclass (integer)	42
			43
			44
<pre>int MPI_Add_error_code(int errorclass, int *errorcode)</pre>			45
MPI_Add_error_code(errorclass, errorcode, ierror)			46
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorclass			47
INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: errorcode			48

1	INT	EGER, OPTIONAL, I	NTENT(OUT) :: ierror	
2 3	MPI_ADD_ERROR_CODE(ERRORCLASS, ERRORCODE, IERROR)			
4	INTEGER ERRORCLASS, ERRORCODE, IERROR			
5 6	Creates new error code associated with errorclass and returns its value in errorcode.			
7			onflicts with existing error codes and classes, the value of the	
8 9	ne	w error code is set by	y the implementation and not by the user. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )	
10		lvice to implementor		
11 12		new errorcode in the <i>uplementors.</i> )	same deterministic way on all processes. (End of advice to	
13		r · · · · · · · · )		
14 15				
16		D_ERROR_STRING(		
17 18	IN	errorcode	error code or class (integer)	
19	IN	string	text corresponding to <b>errorcode</b> (string)	
20 21	int MPI	_Add_error_string	(int errorcode, const char *string)	
22		Ŭ	orcode, string, ierror)	
23	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorcode			
24 25	CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: string INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			
26	MPI_ADD_ERROR_STRING(ERRORCODE, STRING, IERROR)			
27	INTEGER ERRORCODE, IERROR			
28 29	CHA	RACTER*(*) STRING		
30			ng with an error code or class. The string must be no more	
31			NG characters long. The length of the string is as defined in the	
32 33	calling language. The length of the string does not include the null terminator in C. Trailing blanks will be stripped in Fortran. Calling MPI_ADD_ERROR_STRING for an errorcode that			
34	already has a string will replace the old string with the new string. It is erroneous to call			
35	MPI_ADD_ERROR_STRING for an error code or class with a value $\leq$ MPI_ERR_LASTCODE.			
36	If MPI_ERROR_STRING is called when no string has been set, it will return a empty			
37 38	string (all spaces in Fortran, "" in C). Section 8.3 describes the methods for creating and associating error handlers with			
39	communicators, files, and windows.			
40				
41 42	MPI_CO	MM_CALL_ERRHAN	IDLER (comm, errorcode)	
43	IN	comm	communicator with error handler (handle)	
44	IN	errorcode	error code (integer)	
45 46				
47	<pre>int MPI_Comm_call_errhandler(MPI_Comm comm, int errorcode)</pre>			
48	MPI_Com	m_call_errhandler	(comm, errorcode, ierror)	

TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorcode INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	1 2 3	
MPI_COMM_CALL_ERRHANDLER(COMM, ERRORCODE, IERROR)	4 5	
INTEGER COMM, ERRORCODE, IERROR	6	
This function invokes the error handler assigned to the communicator with the error	7	
code supplied. This function returns $MPI\_SUCCESS$ in C and the same value in $IERROR$ if	8	
the error handler was successfully called (assuming the process is not aborted and the error	9 10	
handler returns).	10	
Advice to users. Users should note that the default error handler is	12	
$MPI\_ERRORS\_ARE\_FATAL. \text{ Thus, calling } MPI\_COMM\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER \text{ will abort}$	13	
the comm processes if the default error handler has not been changed for this com-	14	
municator or on the parent before the communicator was created. (End of advice to	15	
users.)	16 17	
	18	
MDL WIN CALL EDDUANDLED (wire among da)	19	
MPI_WIN_CALL_ERRHANDLER (win, errorcode)	20	
IN win window with error handler (handle)	21	
IN error code (integer)	22 23	
	24	
<pre>int MPI_Win_call_errhandler(MPI_Win win, int errorcode)</pre>	25	
MPI_Win_call_errhandler(win, errorcode, ierror)	26	
TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win	27	
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorcode	28 29	
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	30	
MPI_WIN_CALL_ERRHANDLER(WIN, ERRORCODE, IERROR)		
INTEGER WIN, ERRORCODE, IERROR	32	
This function invokes the error handler assigned to the window with the error code	33	
supplied. This function returns MPI_SUCCESS in C and the same value in IERROR if the	34	
error handler was successfully called (assuming the process is not aborted and the error	35 36	
handler returns).	37	
Advice to users. As with communicators, the default error handler for windows is	38	
MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL. (End of advice to users.)	39	
	40	
	41 42	
MPI_FILE_CALL_ERRHANDLER (fh, errorcode)	42	
IN fh file with error handler (handle)	44	
IN errorcode error code (integer)	45	
	46 47	
<pre>int MPI_File_call_errhandler(MPI_File fh, int errorcode)</pre>		

MPI\_File\_call\_errhandler(fh, errorcode, ierror)  $\mathbf{2}$ TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 3 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: errorcode 4 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_FILE\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER(FH, ERRORCODE, IERROR) 6 INTEGER FH. ERRORCODE. IERROR This function invokes the error handler assigned to the file with the error code supplied. 9 This function returns MPI\_SUCCESS in C and the same value in IERROR if the error handler 10 was successfully called (assuming the process is not aborted and the error handler returns). 1112Advice to users. Unlike errors on communicators and windows, the default behavior 13

for files is to have MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN. (End of advice to users.)

Users are warned that handlers should not be called recursively Advice to users. with MPI\_COMM\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER, MPI\_FILE\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER, or MPI\_WIN\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER. Doing this can create a situation where an infinite

recursion is created. This can occur if MPI\_COMM\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER,

MPI\_FILE\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER, or MPI\_WIN\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER is called inside an error handler.

Error codes and classes are associated with a process. As a result, they may be used in any error handler. Error handlers should be prepared to deal with any error code they are given. Furthermore, it is good practice to only call an error handler with the appropriate error codes. For example, file errors would normally be sent to the file error handler. (End of advice to users.)

#### Timers and Synchronization 8.6

MPI defines a timer. A timer is specified even though it is not "message-passing," because timing parallel programs is important in "performance debugging" and because existing timers (both in POSIX 1003.1-1988 and 1003.4D 14.1 and in Fortran 90) are either inconvenient or do not provide adequate access to high resolution timers. See also Section 2.6.4.

- 35 MPI\_WTIME()
- 37 double MPI\_Wtime(void)
- 38 DOUBLE PRECISION MPI\_Wtime() 39
- 40DOUBLE PRECISION MPI\_WTIME() 41

MPI\_WTIME returns a floating-point number of seconds, representing elapsed wallclock time since some time in the past.

The "time in the past" is guaranteed not to change during the life of the process. 44The user is responsible for converting large numbers of seconds to other units if they are 45preferred. 46

This function is portable (it returns seconds, not "ticks"), it allows high-resolution, 47and carries no unnecessary baggage. One would use it like this: 48

1

5

7 8

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

2829

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33 34

36

42

```
{
    double starttime, endtime;
    starttime = MPI_Wtime();
    .... stuff to be timed ...
    endtime = MPI_Wtime();
    printf("That took %f seconds\n",endtime-starttime);
}
```

The times returned are local to the node that called them. There is no requirement that different nodes return "the same time." (But see also the discussion of MPI\_WTIME\_IS\_GLOBAL in Section 8.1.2).

MPI\_WTICK()
double MPI\_Wtick(void)

DOUBLE PRECISION MPI\_Wtick()

```
DOUBLE PRECISION MPI_WTICK()
```

MPI\_WTICK returns the resolution of MPI\_WTIME in seconds. That is, it returns, as a double precision value, the number of seconds between successive clock ticks. For example, if the clock is implemented by the hardware as a counter that is incremented every millisecond, the value returned by MPI\_WTICK should be  $10^{-3}$ .

### 8.7 Startup

One goal of MPI is to achieve *source code portability*. By this we mean that a program written using MPI and complying with the relevant language standards is portable as written, and must not require any source code changes when moved from one system to another. This explicitly does *not* say anything about how an MPI program is started or launched from the command line, nor what the user must do to set up the environment in which an MPI program will run. However, an implementation may require some setup to be performed before other MPI routines may be called. To provide for this, MPI includes an initialization routine MPI\_INIT.

```
MPI_INIT()
int MPI_Init(int *argc, char ***argv)
MPI_Init(ierror)
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_INIT(IERROR)
    INTEGER IERROR
```

All MPI programs must contain exactly one call to an MPI initialization routine: MPI\_INIT\_or MPI\_INIT\_THREAD. Subsequent calls to any initialization routines are erroneous. The only MPI functions that may be invoked before the MPI initialization routines

 $^{31}$ 

1 are called are MPI\_GET\_VERSION, MPI\_GET\_LIBRARY\_VERSION, MPI\_INITIALIZED,  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_FINALIZED, and any function with the prefix MPI\_T\_ (within the constraints for func-3 tions with this prefix listed in Section 14.3.4). The version for ISO C accepts the argc and 4 argv that are provided by the arguments to main or NULL: 5int main(int argc, char \*argv[]) 6 { 7 MPI\_Init(&argc, &argv); 8 9 /\* parse arguments \*/ 10 /\* main program \*/ 11 12/\* see below \*/ MPI\_Finalize(); 13 return 0; 14} 1516The Fortran version takes only IERROR. 17Conforming implementations of MPI are required to allow applications to pass NULL 18 for both the argc and argv arguments of main in C. 19After MPI is initialized, the application can access information about the execution 20environment by querying the predefined info object MPI\_INFO\_ENV. The following keys are 21predefined for this object, corresponding to the arguments of MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN or of 22mpiexec: 23 $^{24}$ command Name of program executed. 25argy Space separated arguments to command. 2627maxprocs Maximum number of MPI processes to start. 2829soft Allowed values for number of processors. 30 host Hostname.  $^{31}$ 32 arch Architecture name. 33 34wdir Working directory of the MPI process. 35 file Value is the name of a file in which additional information is specified. 36 37 thread\_level Requested level of thread support, if requested before the program started exe-38 cution. 39 40Note that all values are strings. Thus, the maximum number of processes is represented  $^{41}$ by a string such as "1024" and the requested level is represented by a string such as 42"MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE". The info object MPI\_INFO\_ENV need not contain a (key,value) pair for each of these 43predefined keys; the set of (key, value) pairs provided is implementation-dependent. Imple-44mentations may provide additional, implementation specific, (key, value) pairs. 4546In case where the MPI processes were started with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE 47or, equivalently, with a startup mechanism that supports multiple process specifications, 48

then the values stored in the info object MPI\_INFO\_ENV at a process are those values that affect the local MPI process.

Example 8.4 If MPI is started with a call to

mpiexec -n 5 -arch sun ocean : -n 10 -arch rs6000 atmos

Then the first 5 processes will have have in their MPI\_INFO\_ENV object the pairs (command, ocean), (maxprocs, 5), and (arch, sun). The next 10 processes will have in MPI\_INFO\_ENV (command, atmos), (maxprocs, 10), and (arch, rs6000)

Advice to users. The values passed in MPI\_INFO\_ENV are the values of the arguments passed to the mechanism that started the MPI execution — not the actual value provided. Thus, the value associated with maxprocs is the number of MPI processes requested; it can be larger than the actual number of processes obtained, if the soft option was used. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. High-quality implementations will provide a (key, value) pair for each parameter that can be passed to the command that starts an MPI program. (End of advice to implementors.)

#### MPI\_FINALIZE()

<pre>int MPI_Finalize(void)</pre>					
MPI_Finalize(ierror)					
INTEGER, OPTIONAL	L, INTENT(OUT) ::	ierror			

MPI\_FINALIZE(IERROR) INTEGER IERROR

This routine cleans up all MPI state. If an MPI program terminates normally (i.e., not due to a call to MPI\_ABORT or an unrecoverable error) then each process must call MPI\_FINALIZE before it exits.

Before an MPI process invokes MPI\_FINALIZE, the process must perform all MPI calls 34needed to complete its involvement in MPI communications: It must locally complete all 35MPI operations that it initiated and must execute matching calls needed to complete MPI 36 communications initiated by other processes. For example, if the process executed a non-37 blocking send, it must eventually call MPI\_WAIT, MPI\_TEST, MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE, or any derived function; if the process is the target of a send, then it must post the matching 39 receive; if it is part of a group executing a collective operation, then it must have completed its participation in the operation.

The call to MPI\_FINALIZE does not free objects created by MPI calls; these objects are freed using MPI\_XXX\_FREE calls.

MPI\_FINALIZE is collective over all connected processes. If no processes were spawned, 44accepted or connected then this means over MPI\_COMM\_WORLD; otherwise it is collective 45over the union of all processes that have been and continue to be connected, as explained 46in Section 10.5.4. 47

The following examples illustrates these rules

1 2

3

4 5

6  $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10 11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

2728

29

30

31

32

33

38

40

41

42

43

```
1
     Example 8.5 The following code is correct
\mathbf{2}
3
              Process 0
                                           Process 1
               _____
                                           _____
4
              MPI_Init();
                                           MPI_Init();
5
6
              MPI_Send(dest=1);
                                           MPI_Recv(src=0);
                                           MPI_Finalize();
              MPI_Finalize();
\overline{7}
8
9
     Example 8.6 Without a matching receive, the program is erroneous
10
11
              Process 0
                                           Process 1
12
               _____
                                           _____
13
              MPI_Init();
                                           MPI_Init();
14
              MPI_Send (dest=1);
15
              MPI_Finalize();
                                           MPI_Finalize();
16
17
     Example 8.7 This program is correct: Process 0 calls MPI_Finalize after it has executed
18
     the MPI calls that complete the send operation. Likewise, process 1 executes the MPI call
19
     that completes the matching receive operation before it calls MPI_Finalize.
20
21
       Process 0
                                          Proces 1
22
        _____
                                          _____
23
       MPI_Init();
                                          MPI_Init();
^{24}
       MPI_Isend(dest=1);
                                          MPI_Recv(src=0);
25
       MPI_Request_free();
                                          MPI_Finalize();
26
       MPI_Finalize();
                                          exit();
27
     exit();
28
29
     Example 8.8 This program is correct. The attached buffer is a resource allocated by the
30
     user, not by MPI; it is available to the user after MPI is finalized.
^{31}
32
         Process 0
                                           Process 1
33
         _____
                                           _____
34
         MPI_Init();
                                          MPI_Init();
35
         buffer = malloc(1000000);
                                          MPI_Recv(src=0);
36
         MPI_Buffer_attach();
                                          MPI_Finalize();
37
         MPI_Send(dest=1));
                                          exit();
38
         MPI_Finalize();
39
         free(buffer);
40
         exit();
41
42
43
     Example 8.9
                       This program is correct. The cancel operation must succeed, since the
^{44}
     send cannot complete normally. The wait operation, after the call to MPI_Cancel, is local
45
     — no matching MPI call is required on process 1.
46
47
```

			1
Pr	rocess O	Process 1	2
 ME	·····		3 4
	<pre>YI_Issend(dest=1); YI_Cancel();</pre>	<pre>MPI_Finalize();</pre>	5
	<pre>PI_Wait();</pre>		6
	<pre>PI_Finalize();</pre>		7
	Advise to implementary Exp	though a process has executed all MPI calls needed to	8
	-	is involved with, such communication may not yet be	9
	-	f the underlying MPI system. For example, a blocking	10
		hough the data is still buffered at the sender in an MPI	11 12
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eive a cancel request for a message it has completed	12
		ation must ensure that a process has completed any	14
		tion before MPI_FINALIZE returns. Thus, if a process	15
		ALIZE, this will not cause an ongoing communication	16
	-	n should also complete freeing all objects marked for	17
	- -	them. (End of advice to implementors.)	18
	,	o MPI routine (not even MPI_INIT) may be called,	19
-	,	_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION, MPI_INITIALIZED,	20
	ons with this prefix listed in Sec	with the prefix $MPI_T$ (within the constraints for	21 22
	-	Il processes return from MPI_FINALIZE, it is required	23
		_WORLD return, so that users can know that the MPI	24
portion of the computation is over. In addition, in a POSIX environment, users may desire			25
to supply an exit code for each process that returns from MPI_FINALIZE.			26
Б			27
		crates the use of requiring that at least one process cess 0 is one of the processes that return. One wants	28
		tter how many processes return.	29 30
couci	ince the following to work no ma	now many processes return.	31
• N			32
	PI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORL	D, &myrank);	33
	<pre> PI_Finalize();</pre>		34
	$f (myrank == 0) {$		35
	resultfile = fopen("out:	file","w");	36
	dump_results(resultfile		37 38
	<pre>fclose(resultfile);</pre>		38 39
}			40
e	<pre>xit(0);</pre>		41
			42
MPI I	NITIALIZED(flag)		43
	< - <i>i</i>		44
001	Г flag	Flag is true if MPI_INIT has been called and false otherwise.	45
		001101 W15C.	46 47
int M	PI_Initialized(int *flag)		48

1				
1 2	MPI_Initialized(flag, ierror)			
3	LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag			
4	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			
5	MPI_INI	TIALIZED(FLAG, IERRO	)R)	
6	LOG	ICAL FLAG		
7	INT	EGER IERROR		
8	This	s routine may be used	to determine whether MPI_INIT has been called.	
9		ē	if the calling process has called MPI_INIT. Whether	
10			does not affect the behavior of MPI_INITIALIZED. It is one	
11	of the fe	w routines that may be	called before MPI_INIT is called.	
12		Ŭ		
13		OPT(comm_orrorcodo)		
14		ORT(comm, errorcode)		
15 16	IN	comm	communicator of tasks to abort	
17	IN	errorcode	error code to return to invoking environment	
18				
19	int MPI	_Abort(MPI_Comm comm	n, int errorcode)	
20	MPT Abo	rt(comm, errorcode,	ierror)	
21		E(MPI_Comm), INTENT(		
22		EGER, INTENT(IN) ::		
23	INT	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTE	ENT(OUT) :: ierror	
24	MPI_ABORT(COMM, ERRORCODE, IERROR)			
25 26	INTEGER COMM, ERRORCODE, IERROR			
27		-		
28			attempt" to abort all tasks in the group of comm. This	
29	function does not require that the invoking environment take any action with the error code. However, a Unix or POSIX environment should handle this as a <b>return errorcode</b>			
30		main program.	A chynolinicht should handle this as a return erforcode	
31		1 0	an MPI implementation to abort only the processes repre-	
32			set of the processes. In this case, the MPI implementation	
33	should attempt to abort all the connected processes but should not abort any unconnected			
34	processes. If no processes were spawned, accepted, or connected then this has the effect of			
35	aborting	all the processes associ	ated with MPI_COMM_WORLD.	
36 37	Ra	tionale. The communic	cator argument is provided to allow for future extensions of	
38			, for example, dynamic process management. In particular,	
39	it allows but does not require an MPI implementation to abort a subset of			
40		PI_COMM_WORLD. (End	-	
41	4 1	1 1 1		
42			r the errorcode is returned from the executable or from the	
43			anism (e.g., mpiexec), is an aspect of quality of the MPI c. (End of advice to users.)	
44	110.	ary out not manuatory	. (Linu of unover to users.)	
45	1 -	nico to implementar-	Where possible a high quality implementation will the	
46		vice to implementors.	Where possible, a high-quality implementation will try om the MPI process startup mechanism (e.g. mpiexec or	
47 48		gleton init). (End of ad		
~	5111	<u> </u>		

### 8.7.1 Allowing User Functions at Process Termination

There are times in which it would be convenient to have actions happen when an MPI process finishes. For example, a routine may do initializations that are useful until the MPI job (or that part of the job that being terminated in the case of dynamically created processes) is finished. This can be accomplished in MPI by attaching an attribute to MPI\_COMM\_SELF with a callback function. When MPI\_FINALIZE is called, it will first execute the equivalent of an MPI\_COMM\_FREE on MPI\_COMM\_SELF. This will cause the delete callback function to be executed on all keys associated with MPI\_COMM\_SELF, in the reverse order that they were set on MPI\_COMM\_SELF. If no key has been attached to MPI\_COMM\_SELF, then no callback is invoked. The "freeing" of MPI\_COMM\_SELF occurs before any other parts of MPI are affected. Thus, for example, calling MPI\_FINALIZED will return false in any of these callback functions. Once done with MPI\_COMM\_SELF, the order and rest of the actions taken by MPI\_FINALIZE is not specified.

Advice to implementors. Since attributes can be added from any supported language, the MPI implementation needs to remember the creating language so the correct callback is made. Implementations that use the attribute delete callback on MPI\_COMM\_SELF internally should register their internal callbacks before returning from MPI\_INIT / MPI\_INIT\_THREAD, so that libraries or applications will not have portions of the MPI implementation shut down before the application-level callbacks are made. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

### 8.7.2 Determining Whether MPI Has Finished

One of the goals of MPI was to allow for layered libraries. In order for a library to do this cleanly, it needs to know if MPI is active. In MPI the function MPI\_INITIALIZED was provided to tell if MPI had been initialized. The problem arises in knowing if MPI has been finalized. Once MPI has been finalized it is no longer active and cannot be restarted. A library needs to be able to determine this to act accordingly. To achieve this the following function is needed:

32 MPI\_FINALIZED(flag) 33 OUT flag true if MPI was finalized (logical) 34 35 int MPI\_Finalized(int \*flag) 36 37 MPI\_Finalized(flag, ierror) 38 LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag 39 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 40 41 MPI\_FINALIZED(FLAG, IERROR) 42LOGICAL FLAG INTEGER IERROR 43 44This routine returns true if MPI\_FINALIZE has completed. It is valid to call 45

MPI\_FINALIZED before MPI\_INIT and after MPI\_FINALIZE.

Advice to users. MPI is "active" and it is thus safe to call MPI functions if MPI\_INIT has completed and MPI\_FINALIZE has not completed. If a library has no other

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

46 47

way of knowing whether MPI is active or not, then it can use MPI\_INITIALIZED and MPI\_FINALIZED to determine this. For example, MPI is "active" in callback functions that are invoked during MPI\_FINALIZE. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 8.8 Portable MPI Process Startup

A number of implementations of  $\mathsf{MPI}$  provide a startup command for  $\mathsf{MPI}$  programs that is of the form

9 10 11

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6 7

8

### mpirun <mpirun arguments> <program> <program arguments>

Separating the command to start the program from the program itself provides flexibility, particularly for network and heterogeneous implementations. For example, the startup script need not run on one of the machines that will be executing the MPI program itself.

Having a standard startup mechanism also extends the portability of MPI programs one step further, to the command lines and scripts that manage them. For example, a validation suite script that runs hundreds of programs can be a portable script if it is written using such a standard starup mechanism. In order that the "standard" command not be confused with existing practice, which is not standard and not portable among implementations, instead of mpirun MPI specifies mpiexec.

While a standardized startup mechanism improves the usability of MPI, the range of environments is so diverse (e.g., there may not even be a command line interface) that MPI cannot mandate such a mechanism. Instead, MPI specifies an mpiexec startup command and recommends but does not require it, as advice to implementors. However, if an implementation does provide a command called mpiexec, it must be of the form described below.

It is suggested that

28

27

29

33

34

35

36

37

mpiexec -n <numprocs> <program>

be at least one way to start <program> with an initial MPI\_COMM\_WORLD whose group contains <numprocs> processes. Other arguments to mpiexec may be implementationdependent.

Advice to implementors. Implementors, if they do provide a special startup command for MPI programs, are advised to give it the following form. The syntax is chosen in order that mpiexec be able to be viewed as a command-line version of MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN (See Section 10.3.4).

Analogous to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, we have

mpiexec -n	<maxproc< th=""><th>s&gt;</th></maxproc<>	s>
-soft	<	>
-host	<	>
-arch	<	>
-wdir	<	>
-path	<	>
-file	<	>
 <comma< td=""><td>nd line&gt;</td><td></td></comma<>	nd line>	

for the case where a single command line for the application program and its arguments will suffice. See Section 10.3.4 for the meanings of these arguments. For the case corresponding to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE there are two possible formats: Form A:

```
mpiexec { <above arguments> } : { ... } : { ... } : ... : { ... }
```

As with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, all the arguments are optional. (Even the  $-n \ge argument$  is optional; the default is implementation dependent. It might be 1, it might be taken from an environment variable, or it might be specified at compile time.) The names and meanings of the arguments are taken from the keys in the info argument to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN. There may be other, implementation-dependent arguments as well.

Note that Form A, though convenient to type, prevents colons from being program arguments. Therefore an alternate, file-based form is allowed:

Form B:

```
mpiexec -configfile <filename>
```

where the lines of <filename> are of the form separated by the colons in Form A. Lines beginning with '#' are comments, and lines may be continued by terminating the partial line with '\'.

**Example 8.11** Start 16 instances of myprog on the current or default machine:

mpiexec -n 16 myprog

**Example 8.12** Start 10 processes on the machine called ferrari:

mpiexec -n 10 -host ferrari myprog

**Example 8.13** Start three copies of the same program with different command-line arguments:

```
mpiexec myprog infile1 : myprog infile2 : myprog infile3
```

**Example 8.14** Start the ocean program on five Suns and the atmos program on 10 RS/6000's:

mpiexec -n 5 -arch sun ocean : -n 10 -arch rs6000 atmos

It is assumed that the implementation in this case has a method for choosing hosts of the appropriate type. Their ranks are in the order specified.

**Example 8.15** Start the ocean program on five Suns and the atmos program on 10 RS/6000's (Form B):

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
1
                   mpiexec -configfile myfile
\mathbf{2}
3
             where myfile contains
4
\mathbf{5}
                   -n 5 -arch sun
                                               ocean
6
                   -n 10 -arch rs6000 atmos
\overline{7}
8
             (End of advice to implementors.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
^{23}
^{24}
25
26
27
28
^{29}
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
^{41}
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

## Chapter 9

# The Info Object

Many of the routines in MPI take an argument info. info is an opaque object with a handle of type MPI\_Info in C and Fortran with the mpi\_f08 module, and INTEGER in Fortran with the mpi module or the include file mpif.h. It stores an unordered set of (key,value) pairs (both key and value are strings). A key can have only one value. MPI reserves several keys and requires that if an implementation uses a reserved key, it must provide the specified functionality. An implementation is not required to support these keys and may support any others not reserved by MPI.

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

An implementation must support info objects as caches for arbitrary (key,value) pairs, regardless of whether it recognizes the key. Each function that takes hints in the form of an MPI\_Info must be prepared to ignore any key it does not recognize. This description of info objects does not attempt to define how a particular function should react if it recognizes a key but not the associated value. MPI\_INFO\_GET\_NKEYS, MPI\_INFO\_GET\_NTHKEY, MPI\_INFO\_GET\_VALUELEN, and MPI\_INFO\_GET must retain all (key,value) pairs so that layered functionality can also use the Info object.

Keys have an implementation-defined maximum length of MPI\_MAX\_INFO\_KEY, which is at least 32 and at most 255. Values have an implementation-defined maximum length of MPI\_MAX\_INFO\_VAL. In Fortran, leading and trailing spaces are stripped from both. Returned values will never be larger than these maximum lengths. Both key and value are case sensitive.

*Rationale.* Keys have a maximum length because the set of known keys will always be finite and known to the implementation and because there is no reason for keys to be complex. The small maximum size allows applications to declare keys of size MPI\_MAX\_INFO\_KEY. The limitation on value sizes is so that an implementation is not forced to deal with arbitrarily long strings. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. MPI\_MAX\_INFO\_VAL might be very large, so it might not be wise to declare a string of that size. (*End of advice to users.*)

When info is used as an argument to a nonblocking routine, it is parsed before that routine returns, so that it may be modified or freed immediately after return.

When the descriptions refer to a key or value as being a boolean, an integer, or a list, they mean the string representation of these types. An implementation may define its own rules for how info value strings are converted to other types, but to ensure portability, every implementation must support the following representations. Valid values for a boolean must 

1 include the strings "true" and "false" (all lowercase). For integers, valid values must include  $\mathbf{2}$ string representations of decimal values of integers that are within the range of a standard 3 integer type in the program. (However it is possible that not every integer is a valid value 4 for a given key.) On positive numbers, + signs are optional. No space may appear between  $\mathbf{5}$ a + or - sign and the leading digit of a number. For comma separated lists, the string 6 must contain valid elements separated by commas. Leading and trailing spaces are stripped  $\overline{7}$ automatically from the types of info values described above and for each element of a comma 8 separated list. These rules apply to all info values of these types. Implementations are free 9 to specify a different interpretation for values of other info keys. 10 11MPI\_INFO\_CREATE(info) 1213OUT info info object created (handle) 1415int MPI\_Info\_create(MPI\_Info \*info) 16MPI\_Info\_create(info, ierror) 17TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(OUT) :: info 18 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 1920MPI\_INFO\_CREATE(INFO, IERROR) 21INTEGER INFO, IERROR 22 MPI\_INFO\_CREATE creates a new info object. The newly created object contains no 23key/value pairs.  $^{24}$ 2526MPI\_INFO\_SET(info, key, value) 27INOUT info object (handle) info 2829IN key (string) key 30 IN value value (string)  $^{31}$ 32 int MPI\_Info\_set(MPI\_Info info, const char \*key, const char \*value) 33 34MPI\_Info\_set(info, key, value, ierror) 35 TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info 36 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: key, value 37 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 38 MPI\_INFO\_SET(INFO, KEY, VALUE, IERROR) 39 INTEGER INFO, IERROR 40CHARACTER\*(\*) KEY, VALUE 41 42MPI\_INFO\_SET adds the (key,value) pair to info, and overrides the value if a value for 43 the same key was previously set. key and value are null-terminated strings in C. In Fortran, 44leading and trailing spaces in key and value are stripped. If either key or value are larger 45

than the allowed maximums, the errors MPI\_ERR\_INFO\_KEY or MPI\_ERR\_INFO\_VALUE are

47 48 raised, respectively.

MPI_INFC	DELETE(info, key)		1
INOUT	info	info object (handle)	2
			3
IN	key	key (string)	4
int MPT	Info_delete(MPI_Info info	const char *key)	5 6
		·	7
	_delete(info, key, ierror)		8
	(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: ACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN)		9
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	·	10
			11
	_DELETE(INFO, KEY, IERROR)	)	12
	GER INFO, IERROR ACTER*(*) KEY		13 14
			15
		value) pair from info. If key is not defined in info,	16
the call ra	ises an error of class MPI_ERR	_INFO_NOKEY.	17
			18
MPI_INFC	D_GET(info, key, valuelen, value	e, flag)	19
IN	info	info object (handle)	20 21
IN	key	key (string)	21
IN	valuelen	length of value arg (integer)	23
			24
OUT	value	value (string)	25
OUT	flag	true if key defined, false if not (boolean)	26
			27
int MPI_	-	onst char *key, int valuelen, char *value,	28 29
	int *flag)		30
	_get(info, key, valuelen,	-	31
	(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::		32
	ACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN)		33
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: value ACTER(LEN=valuelen), INTE		34
	CAL, INTENT(OUT) :: flag		35
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	$\frac{36}{37}$
			38
	_GET(INFO, KEY, VALUELEN, GER INFO, VALUELEN, IERROI		39
	ACTER*(*) KEY, VALUE		40
	CAL FLAG		41
		against with leaving a province call to	42
		ssociated with key in a previous call to t sets flag to true and returns the value in value,	43

MPI\_INFO\_SET. If such a key exists, it sets flag to true and returns the value in value, otherwise it sets flag to false and leaves value unchanged. valuelen is the number of characters available in value. If it is less than the actual size of the value, the value is truncated. In C, valuelen should be one less than the amount of allocated space to allow for the null terminator.

```
1
          If key is larger than MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY, the call is erroneous.
\mathbf{2}
3
     MPI_INFO_GET_VALUELEN(info, key, valuelen, flag)
4
5
                                              info object (handle)
       IN
                 info
6
       IN
                 key
                                              key (string)
7
       OUT
                 valuelen
                                              length of value arg (integer)
8
9
       OUT
                 flag
                                              true if key defined, false if not (boolean)
10
11
     int MPI_Info_get_valuelen(MPI_Info info, const char *key, int *valuelen,
12
                     int *flag)
13
     MPI_Info_get_valuelen(info, key, valuelen, flag, ierror)
14
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
15
          CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: key
16
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: valuelen
17
          LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                       flag
18
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
19
20
     MPI_INFO_GET_VALUELEN(INFO, KEY, VALUELEN, FLAG, IERROR)
21
          INTEGER INFO, VALUELEN, IERROR
22
          LOGICAL FLAG
23
          CHARACTER*(*) KEY
24
          Retrieves the length of the value associated with key. If key is defined, valuelen is set to
25
     the length of its associated value and flag is set to true. If key is not defined, valuelen is not
26
     touched and flag is set to false. The length returned in C does not include the end-of-string
27
     character.
28
          If key is larger than MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY, the call is erroneous.
29
30
^{31}
     MPI_INFO_GET_NKEYS(info, nkeys)
32
       IN
                 info
33
                                              info object (handle)
34
       OUT
                 nkeys
                                              number of defined keys (integer)
35
36
     int MPI_Info_get_nkeys(MPI_Info info, int *nkeys)
37
38
     MPI_Info_get_nkeys(info, nkeys, ierror)
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
39
                                              info
40
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: nkeys
41
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
42
     MPI_INFO_GET_NKEYS(INFO, NKEYS, IERROR)
43
          INTEGER INFO, NKEYS, IERROR
44
45
          MPI_INFO_GET_NKEYS returns the number of currently defined keys in info.
46
47
48
```

MPI_INFO_GET_NTHKEY(info, n, key)			
IN	info	info object (handle)	2 3
IN	n	key number (integer)	4
OUT	key	key (string)	5
			6
int MPI_	Info_get_nthkey(MPI_Info :	info, int n, char *key)	7 8
MPI_Info	_get_nthkey(info, n, key,	ierror)	9
	C(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::	info	10
	CGER, INTENT(IN) :: n ACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(OUT)	·· kov	11
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	•	12 13
	_GET_NTHKEY(INFO, N, KEY,		14
	GER INFO, N, IERROR		15
	ACTER*(*) KEY		16 17
This	function returns the nth define	d key in info. Keys are numbered $0 \dots N - 1$ where	17
		GET_NKEYS. All keys between 0 and $N-1$ are	19
-		of a given key does not change as long as info is not	20
modified	with MPI_INFO_SET or MPI_I	NFO_DELETE.	21
			22 23
MPI_INF	D_DUP(info, newinfo)		24
IN	info	info object (handle)	25
OUT	newinfo	info object (handle)	26
			27 28
int MPI_	Info_dup(MPI_Info info, M	PI_Info *newinfo)	29
MPI_Info	_dup(info, newinfo, ierro:	r)	30
	C(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::		31
	C(MPI_Info), INTENT(OUT) : GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		32 33
			34
	)_DUP(INFO, NEWINFO, IERRO) GER INFO, NEWINFO, IERROR	R)	35
			36
	-	ting info object, creating a new object, with the	37 38
same (key	v,value) pairs and the same ord	ering of keys.	39
			40
MPI_INF	D_FREE(info)		41
INOUT	info	info object (handle)	42 43
	Info from (MDT Info the C)		43 44
	<pre>Info_free(MPI_Info *info)</pre>		45
	_free(info, ierror)		46
	C(MPI_Info), INTENT(INOUT) GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		47 48
11/11	CEN, OF ITOWAL, INTENT (UUI,	, 101101	40

1 2	MPI_INFO_FREE(INFO, IERROR) INTEGER INFO, IERROR				
3 4 5 6 7	This function frees info and sets it to MPI_INFO_NULL. The value of an info argument is interpreted each time the info is passed to a routine. Changes to an info after return from a routine do not affect that interpretation.				
7					
8 9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23 24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37 38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					
48					

# Chapter 10

# **Process Creation and Management**

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

#### 10.1 Introduction

MPI is primarily concerned with communication rather than process or resource management. However, it is necessary to address these issues to some degree in order to define a useful framework for communication. This chapter presents a set of MPI interfaces that allows for a variety of approaches to process management while placing minimal restrictions on the execution environment.

The MPI model for process creation allows both the creation of an initial set of processes related by their membership in a common MPI\_COMM\_WORLD and the creation and management of processes after an MPI application has been started. A major impetus for the latter form of process creation comes from the PVM [24] research effort. This work has provided a wealth of experience with process management and resource control that illustrates their benefits and potential pitfalls.

The MPI Forum decided not to address resource control because it was not able to design a portable interface that would be appropriate for the broad spectrum of existing and potential resource and process controllers. Resource control can encompass a wide range of abilities, including adding and deleting nodes from a virtual parallel machine, reserving and scheduling resources, managing compute partitions of an MPP, and returning information about available resources. MPI assumes that resource control is provided externally — probably by computer vendors, in the case of tightly coupled systems, or by a third party software package when the environment is a cluster of workstations.

The reasons for including process management in MPI are both technical and practical. Important classes of message-passing applications require process control. These include task farms, serial applications with parallel modules, and problems that require a run-time assessment of the number and type of processes that should be started. On the practical side, users of workstation clusters who are migrating from PVM to MPI may be accustomed to using PVM's capabilities for process and resource management. The lack of these features would be a practical stumbling block to migration.

The following goals are central to the design of MPI process management:

- The MPI process model must apply to the vast majority of current parallel environments. These include everything from tightly integrated MPPs to heterogeneous networks of workstations.
- MPI must not take over operating system responsibilities. It should instead provide a

clean interface between an application and system software.

- MPI must guarantee communication determinism in the presense of dynamic processes, i.e., dynamic process management must not introduce unavoidable race conditions.
- MPI must not contain features that compromise performance.

The process management model addresses these issues in two ways. First, MPI remains primarily a communication library. It does not manage the parallel environment in which a parallel program executes, though it provides a minimal interface between an application and external resource and process managers.

Second, MPI maintains a consistent concept of a communicator, regardless of how its members came into existence. A communicator is never changed once created, and it is always created using deterministic collective operations.

10.2 The Dynamic Process Model

17The dynamic process model allows for the creation and cooperative termination of processes after an MPI application has started. It provides a mechanism to establish communication 19 between the newly created processes and the existing MPI application. It also provides a 20mechanism to establish communication between two existing MPI applications, even when one did not "start" the other. 22

23 $^{24}$ 

21

### 10.2.1 Starting Processes

25MPI applications may start new processes through an interface to an external process man-26ager.

27MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN starts MPI processes and establishes communication with them, 28returning an intercommunicator. MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE starts several different 29binaries (or the same binary with different arguments), placing them in the same 30 MPI\_COMM\_WORLD and returning an intercommunicator.  $^{31}$ 

MPI uses the group abstraction to represent processes. A process is identified by a (group, rank) pair.

3410.2.2 The Runtime Environment 35

The MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN and MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE routines provide an inter-36 face between MPI and the *runtime environment* of an MPI application. The difficulty is 37 that there is an enormous range of runtime environments and application requirements, and 38 39 MPI must not be tailored to any particular one. Examples of such environments are:

40 41

42

43

44

45

32

33

- MPP managed by a batch queueing system. Batch queueing systems generally allocate resources before an application begins, enforce limits on resource use (CPU time, memory use, etc.), and do not allow a change in resource allocation after a job begins. Moreover, many MPPs have special limitations or extensions, such as a limit on the number of processes that may run on one processor, or the ability to gang-schedule processes of a parallel application.
- 4647
- 48

1

2

3

4 5

> 6 7

> 8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

- Network of workstations with PVM. PVM (Parallel Virtual Machine) allows a user to create a "virtual machine" out of a network of workstations. An application may extend the virtual machine or manage processes (create, kill, redirect output, etc.) through the PVM library. Requests to manage the machine or processes may be intercepted and handled by an external resource manager.
- Network of workstations managed by a load balancing system. A load balancing system may choose the location of spawned processes based on dynamic quantities, such as load average. It may transparently migrate processes from one machine to another when a resource becomes unavailable.
- Large SMP with Unix. Applications are run directly by the user. They are scheduled at a low level by the operating system. Processes may have special scheduling characteristics (gang-scheduling, processor affinity, deadline scheduling, processor locking, etc.) and be subject to OS resource limits (number of processes, amount of memory, etc.).

MPI assumes, implicitly, the existence of an environment in which an application runs. It does not provide "operating system" services, such as a general ability to query what processes are running, to kill arbitrary processes, to find out properties of the runtime environment (how many processors, how much memory, etc.).

Complex interaction of an MPI application with its runtime environment should be done through an environment-specific API. An example of such an API would be the PVM task and machine management routines — pvm\_addhosts, pvm\_config, pvm\_tasks, etc., possibly modified to return an MPI (group, rank) when possible. A Condor or PBS API would be another possibility.

At some low level, obviously, MPI must be able to interact with the runtime system, but the interaction is not visible at the application level and the details of the interaction are not specified by the MPI standard.

In many cases, it is impossible to keep environment-specific information out of the MPI interface without seriously compromising MPI functionality. To permit applications to take advantage of environment-specific functionality, many MPI routines take an info argument that allows an application to specify environment-specific information. There is a tradeoff between functionality and portability: applications that make use of info are not portable.

MPI does not require the existence of an underlying "virtual machine" model, in which there is a consistent global view of an MPI application and an implicit "operating system" managing resources and processes. For instance, processes spawned by one task may not be visible to another; additional hosts added to the runtime environment by one process may not be visible in another process; tasks spawned by different processes may not be automatically distributed over available resources.

Interaction between MPI and the runtime environment is limited to the following areas:

- A process may start new processes with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN and MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE.
- When a process spawns a child process, it may optionally use an info argument to tell the runtime environment where or how to start the process. This extra information may be opaque to MPI.

1 2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

• An attribute MPI_UNIVERSE_SIZE (See Section $10.5.1$ ) on MPI_COMM_WORLD tells a
program how "large" the initial runtime environment is, namely how many processes
can usefully be started in all. One can subtract the size of MPI_COMM_WORLD from
this value to find out how many processes might usefully be started in addition to
those already running.

## 10.3 Process Manager Interface

10.3.1 Processes in MPI

A process is represented in MPI by a (group, rank) pair. A (group, rank) pair specifies a
 unique process but a process does not determine a unique (group, rank) pair, since a process
 may belong to several groups.

 $14 \\ 15$ 

### 10.3.2 Starting Processes and Establishing Communication

The following routine starts a number of MPI processes and establishes communication with them, returning an intercommunicator.

Advice to users. It is possible in MPI to start a static SPMD or MPMD application by first starting one process and having that process start its siblings with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN. This practice is discouraged primarily for reasons of performance. If possible, it is preferable to start all processes at once, as a single MPI application. (*End of advice to users.*)

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN(command, argv, maxprocs, info, root, comm, intercomm, array\_of\_errcodes)

29 30 31	IN	command	name of program to be spawned (string, significant only at root)
32 33	IN	argv	arguments to $command$ (array of strings, significant only at root)
34 35	IN	maxprocs	maximum number of processes to start (integer, significant only at root)
36 37 38 39	IN	info	a set of key-value pairs telling the runtime system where and how to start the processes (handle, signifi- cant only at root)
40 41	IN	root	rank of process in which previous arguments are examined (integer)
42 43	IN	comm	intracommunicator containing group of spawning processes (handle)
44 45 46	OUT	intercomm	intercommunicator between original group and the newly spawned group (handle)
47 48	OUT	array_of_errcodes	one code per process (array of integer)

```
1
int MPI_Comm_spawn(const char *command, char *argv[], int maxprocs,
                                                                                    \mathbf{2}
              MPI_Info info, int root, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Comm *intercomm,
                                                                                    3
              int array_of_errcodes[])
                                                                                    4
MPI_Comm_spawn(command, argv, maxprocs, info, root, comm, intercomm,
                                                                                    5
              array_of_errcodes, ierror)
                                                                                    6
    CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: command, argv(*)
                                                                                    7
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: maxprocs, root
                                                                                    8
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                     info
                                                                                    9
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::
                                     comm
                                                                                   10
    TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                      intercomm
                                                                                   11
    INTEGER :: array_of_errcodes(*)
                                                                                   12
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
                                                                                   13
                                                                                   14
MPI_COMM_SPAWN (COMMAND, ARGV, MAXPROCS, INFO, ROOT, COMM, INTERCOMM,
                                                                                   15
              ARRAY_OF_ERRCODES, IERROR)
                                                                                   16
    CHARACTER*(*) COMMAND, ARGV(*)
                                                                                   17
    INTEGER INFO, MAXPROCS, ROOT, COMM, INTERCOMM, ARRAY_OF_ERRCODES(*),
                                                                                   18
    IERROR
```

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN tries to start maxprocs identical copies of the MPI program specified by command, establishing communication with them and returning an intercommunicator. The spawned processes are referred to as children. The children have their own MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, which is separate from that of the parents. MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN is collective over comm, and also may not return until MPI\_INIT has been called in the children. Similarly, MPI\_INIT in the children may not return until all parents have called MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN. In this sense, MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN in the parents and MPI\_INIT in the children form a collective operation over the union of parent and child processes. The intercommunicator returned by MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN contains the parent processes in the local group and the child processes in the remote group. The ordering of processes in the local and remote groups is the same as the ordering of the group of the comm in the parents and of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD of the children, respectively. This intercommunicator can be obtained in the children through the function MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT.

Advice to users. An implementation may automatically establish communication before MPI\_INIT is called by the children. Thus, completion of MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN in the parent does not necessarily mean that MPI\_INIT has been called in the children (although the returned intercommunicator can be used immediately). (*End of advice to users.*)

The command argument The command argument is a string containing the name of a program to be spawned. The string is null-terminated in C. In Fortran, leading and trailing spaces are stripped. MPI does not specify how to find the executable or how the working directory is determined. These rules are implementation-dependent and should be appropriate for the runtime environment.

Advice to implementors. The implementation should use a natural rule for finding executables and determining working directories. For instance, a homogeneous system with a global file system might look first in the working directory of the spawning

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

 $44 \\ 45$ 

46

47

process, or might search the directories in a PATH environment variable as do Unix shells. An implementation on top of PVM would use PVM's rules for finding executables (usually in \$HOME/pvm3/bin/\$PVM\_ARCH). An MPI implementation running under POE on an IBM SP would use POE's method of finding executables. An implementation should document its rules for finding executables and determining working directories, and a high-quality implementation should give the user some control over these rules. (End of advice to implementors.)

- If the program named in command does not call MPI\_INIT, but instead forks a process 9 10 that calls MPI\_INIT, the results are undefined. Implementations may allow this case to work but are not required to. 11
  - Advice to users. MPI does not say what happens if the program you start is a shell script and that shell script starts a program that calls MPI\_INIT. Though some implementations may allow you to do this, they may also have restrictions, such as requiring that arguments supplied to the shell script be supplied to the program, or requiring that certain parts of the environment not be changed. (End of advice to users.)
- 18 19

The argv argument argv is an array of strings containing arguments that are passed to 2021the program. The first element of argv is the first argument passed to command, not, as is conventional in some contexts, the command itself. The argument list is terminated by 22 NULL in C and an empty string in Fortran. In Fortran, leading and trailing spaces are 23 $^{24}$ always stripped, so that a string consisting of all spaces is considered an empty string. The constant MPI\_ARGV\_NULL may be used in C and Fortran to indicate an empty argument 2526list. In C this constant is the same as NULL.

```
27
     Example 10.1 Examples of argv in C and Fortran
28
     To run the program "ocean" with arguments "-gridfile" and "ocean1.grd" in C:
29
30
             char command[] = "ocean";
^{31}
             char *argv[] = {"-gridfile", "ocean1.grd", NULL};
32
             MPI_Comm_spawn(command, argv, ...);
33
```

```
or, if not everything is known at compile time:
```

```
35
             char *command;
36
             char **argv;
37
             command = "ocean";
38
             argv=(char **)malloc(3 * sizeof(char *));
39
             argv[0] = "-gridfile";
40
             argv[1] = "ocean1.grd";
41
             argv[2] = NULL;
42
             MPI_Comm_spawn(command, argv, ...);
43
44
     In Fortran:
45
46
47
```

48

34

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

```
CHARACTER*25 command, argv(3)
command = ' ocean '
argv(1) = ' -gridfile '
argv(2) = ' ocean1.grd'
argv(3) = ' '
call MPI_COMM_SPAWN(command, argv, ...)
```

Arguments are supplied to the program if this is allowed by the operating system. In C, the MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN argument argv differs from the argv argument of main in two respects. First, it is shifted by one element. Specifically, argv[0] of main is provided by the implementation and conventionally contains the name of the program (given by command). argv[1] of main corresponds to argv[0] in MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, argv[2] of main to argv[1] of MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, etc. Passing an argv of MPI\_ARGV\_NULL to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN results in main receiving argc of 1 and an argv whose element 0 is (conventionally) the name of the program. Second, argv of MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN must be null-terminated, so that its length can be determined.

If a Fortran implementation supplies routines that allow a program to obtain its arguments, the arguments may be available through that mechanism. In C, if the operating system does not support arguments appearing in argv of main(), the MPI implementation may add the arguments to the argv that is passed to MPI\_INIT.

The maxprocs argument MPI tries to spawn maxprocs processes. If it is unable to spawn maxprocs processes, it raises an error of class MPI\_ERR\_SPAWN.

An implementation may allow the info argument to change the default behavior, such that if the implementation is unable to spawn all maxprocs processes, it may spawn a smaller number of processes instead of raising an error. In principle, the info argument may specify an arbitrary set  $\{m_i : 0 \le m_i \le \text{maxprocs}\}$  of allowed values for the number of processes spawned. The set  $\{m_i\}$  does not necessarily include the value maxprocs. If an implementation is able to spawn one of these allowed numbers of processes,

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN returns successfully and the number of spawned processes, *m*, is given by the size of the remote group of intercomm. If *m* is less than maxproc, reasons why the other processes were not spawned are given in array\_of\_errcodes as described below. If it is not possible to spawn one of the allowed numbers of processes, MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN raises an error of class MPI\_ERR\_SPAWN.

A spawn call with the default behavior is called *hard*. A spawn call for which fewer than maxprocs processes may be returned is called soft. See Section 10.3.4 for more information on the soft key for info.

Advice to users. By default, requests are hard and MPI errors are fatal. This means that by default there will be a fatal error if MPI cannot spawn all the requested processes. If you want the behavior "spawn as many processes as possible, up to N," you should do a soft spawn, where the set of allowed values  $\{m_i\}$  is  $\{0...N\}$ . However, this is not completely portable, as implementations are not required to support soft spawning. (End of advice to users.)

The info argument The info argument to all of the routines in this chapter is an opaque handle of type MPI\_Info in C and Fortran with the mpi\_f08 module and INTEGER in Fortran with the mpi module or the include file mpif.h. It is a container for a

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

number of user-specified (key,value) pairs. key and value are strings (null-terminated char\*
 in C, character\*(\*) in Fortran). Routines to create and manipulate the info argument are described in Chapter 9.

For the SPAWN calls, info provides additional (and possibly implementation-dependent)
 instructions to MPI and the runtime system on how to start processes. An application may
 pass MPI\_INFO\_NULL in C or Fortran. Portable programs not requiring detailed control over
 process locations should use MPI\_INFO\_NULL.

<sup>8</sup> MPI does not specify the content of the info argument, except to reserve a number of <sup>9</sup> special key values (see Section 10.3.4). The info argument is quite flexible and could even <sup>10</sup> be used, for example, to specify the executable and its command-line arguments. In this <sup>11</sup> case the command argument to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN could be empty. The ability to do this <sup>12</sup> follows from the fact that MPI does not specify how an executable is found, and the info <sup>13</sup> argument can tell the runtime system where to "find" the executable "" (empty string). Of <sup>14</sup> course a program that does this will not be portable across MPI implementations.

15

The root argument All arguments before the root argument are examined only on the
 process whose rank in comm is equal to root. The value of these arguments on other
 processes is ignored.

19

 $^{31}$ 

32

33 34 35

43

20The array\_of\_errcodes argument The array\_of\_errcodes is an array of length maxprocs in 21which MPI reports the status of each process that MPI was requested to start. If all maxprocs 22processes were spawned,  $\operatorname{array_of}$  errcodes is filled in with the value MPI\_SUCCESS. If only m 23 $(0 \le m \le maxprocs)$  processes are spawned, m of the entries will contain MPI\_SUCCESS and  $^{24}$ the rest will contain an implementation-specific error code indicating the reason MPI could 25not start the process. MPI does not specify which entries correspond to failed processes. 26An implementation may, for instance, fill in error codes in one-to-one correspondence with 27a detailed specification in the info argument. These error codes all belong to the error class 28 MPI\_ERR\_SPAWN if there was no error in the argument list. In C or Fortran, an application 29may pass MPI\_ERRCODES\_IGNORE if it is not interested in the error codes. 30

- Advice to implementors. MPI\_ERRCODES\_IGNORE in Fortran is a special type of constant, like MPI\_BOTTOM. See the discussion in Section 2.5.4. (End of advice to implementors.)
- <sup>36</sup> MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT(parent)

parent

```
<sup>37</sup>
<sub>38</sub> OUT
```

the parent communicator (handle)

- 39 40 int MPI\_Comm\_get\_parent(MPI\_Comm \*parent)
- MPI\_Comm\_get\_parent(parent, ierror)
   TYPE(MPI\_Comm)\_INTENT(OUT) ·· parent
  - TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: parent INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
- 44 MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT(PARENT, IERROR)
- 45 INTEGER PARENT, IERROR
- <sup>47</sup> If a process was started with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN or MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, <sup>48</sup> MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT returns the "parent" intercommunicator of the current process.

This parent intercommunicator is created implicitly inside of MPI\_INIT and is the same intercommunicator returned by SPAWN in the parents.

If the process was not spawned, MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT returns MPI\_COMM\_NULL.

After the parent communicator is freed or disconnected, MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT returns MPI\_COMM\_NULL.

Advice to users. MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT returns a handle to a single intercommunicator. Calling MPI\_COMM\_GET\_PARENT a second time returns a handle to the same intercommunicator. Freeing the handle with MPI\_COMM\_DISCONNECT or MPI\_COMM\_FREE will cause other references to the intercommunicator to become invalid (dangling). Note that calling MPI\_COMM\_FREE on the parent communicator is not useful. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Rationale.* The desire of the Forum was to create a constant MPI\_COMM\_PARENT similar to MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. Unfortunately such a constant cannot be used (syntactically) as an argument to MPI\_COMM\_DISCONNECT, which is explicitly allowed. (*End of rationale.*)

### 10.3.3 Starting Multiple Executables and Establishing Communication

While MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN is sufficient for most cases, it does not allow the spawning of multiple binaries, or of the same binary with multiple sets of arguments. The following routine spawns multiple binaries or the same binary with multiple sets of arguments, establishing communication with them and placing them in the same MPI\_COMM\_WORLD.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

#### 1MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE(count, array\_of\_commands, array\_of\_argv, $\mathbf{2}$ array\_of\_maxprocs, array\_of\_info, root, comm, intercomm, array\_of\_errcodes) 3 4 IN number of commands (positive integer, significant to count 5MPI only at root — see advice to users) 6 IN array\_of\_commands programs to be executed (array of strings, significant 7 only at root) 8 9 IN array\_of\_argv arguments for commands (array of array of strings, 10 significant only at root) 11IN array\_of\_maxprocs maximum number of processes to start for each com-12mand (array of integer, significant only at root) 13 IN array\_of\_info info objects telling the runtime system where and how 14to start processes (array of handles, significant only at 15root) 1617 IN rank of process in which previous arguments are exroot 18 amined (integer) 19 IN intracommunicator containing group of spawning procomm 20cesses (handle) 21OUT intercomm intercommunicator between original group and newly 22spawned group (handle) 23 $^{24}$ OUT array\_of\_errcodes one error code per process (array of integer) 2526int MPI\_Comm\_spawn\_multiple(int count, char \*array\_of\_commands[], 27char \*\*array\_of\_argv[], const int array\_of\_maxprocs[], const 28MPI\_Info array\_of\_info[], int root, MPI\_Comm comm, 29MPI\_Comm \*intercomm, int array\_of\_errcodes[]) 30 MPI\_Comm\_spawn\_multiple(count, array\_of\_commands, array\_of\_argv, $^{31}$ array\_of\_maxprocs, array\_of\_info, root, comm, intercomm, 32 array\_of\_errcodes, ierror) 33 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count, array\_of\_maxprocs(\*), root 34 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: array\_of\_commands(\*) 35 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: array\_of\_argv(count, \*) 36 TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: array\_of\_info(\*) 37 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm 38 TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: intercomm 39 INTEGER :: array\_of\_errcodes(\*) 40 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 41 42MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE(COUNT, ARRAY\_OF\_COMMANDS, ARRAY\_OF\_ARGV, 43 ARRAY\_OF\_MAXPROCS, ARRAY\_OF\_INFO, ROOT, COMM, INTERCOMM, 44ARRAY\_OF\_ERRCODES, IERROR) 45INTEGER COUNT, ARRAY\_OF\_INFO(\*), ARRAY\_OF\_MAXPROCS(\*), ROOT, COMM, 46INTERCOMM, ARRAY\_OF\_ERRCODES(\*), IERROR 47 CHARACTER\*(\*) ARRAY\_OF\_COMMANDS(\*), ARRAY\_OF\_ARGV(COUNT, \*) 48

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE is identical to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN except that there are multiple executable specifications. The first argument, count, gives the number of specifications. Each of the next four arguments are simply arrays of the corresponding arguments in MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN. For the Fortran version of array\_of\_argv, the element array\_of\_argv(i,j) is the j-th argument to command number i.

*Rationale.* This may seem backwards to Fortran programmers who are familiar with Fortran's column-major ordering. However, it is necessary to do it this way to allow MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN to sort out arguments. Note that the leading dimension of array\_of\_argv must be the same as count. Also note that Fortran rules for sequence association allow a different value in the first dimension; in this case, the sequence of array elements is interpreted by MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE as if the sequence is stored in an array defined with the first dimension set to count. This Fortran feature allows an implementor to define MPI\_ARGVS\_NULL (see below) with fixed dimensions, e.g., (1,1), or only with one dimension, e.g., (1). (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. The argument count is interpreted by MPI only at the root, as is array\_of\_argv. Since the leading dimension of array\_of\_argv is count, a non-positive value of count at a non-root node could theoretically cause a runtime bounds check error, even though array\_of\_argv should be ignored by the subroutine. If this happens, you should explicitly supply a reasonable value of count on the non-root nodes. (End of advice to users.)

In any language, an application may use the constant MPI\_ARGVS\_NULL (which is likely to be (char \*\*\*)0 in C) to specify that no arguments should be passed to any commands. The effect of setting individual elements of array\_of\_argv to MPI\_ARGV\_NULL is not defined. To specify arguments for some commands but not others, the commands without arguments should have a corresponding argv whose first element is null ((char \*)0 in C and empty string in Fortran). In Fortran at non-root processes, the count argument must be set to a value that is consistent with the provided array\_of\_argv although the content of these arguments has no meaning for this operation.

All of the spawned processes have the same MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. Their ranks in MPI\_COMM\_WORLD correspond directly to the order in which the commands are specified in MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE. Assume that  $m_1$  processes are generated by the first command,  $m_2$  by the second, etc. The processes corresponding to the first command have ranks  $0, 1, \ldots, m_1-1$ . The processes in the second command have ranks  $m_1, m_1+1, \ldots, m_1+m_2-1$ . The processes in the third have ranks  $m_1 + m_2, m_1 + m_2 + 1, \ldots, m_1 + m_2 + m_3 - 1$ , etc.

Advice to users. Calling MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN multiple times would create many sets of children with different MPI\_COMM\_WORLDs whereas

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE creates children with a single MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, so the two methods are not completely equivalent. There are also two performancerelated reasons why, if you need to spawn multiple executables, you may want to use MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE instead of calling MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN several times. First, spawning several things at once may be faster than spawning them sequentially. Second, in some implementations, communication between processes spawned at the same time may be faster than communication between processes spawned separately. (End of advice to users.)

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

```
The array_of_errcodes argument is a 1-dimensional array of size \sum_{i=1}^{count} n_i, where n_i is
1
\mathbf{2}
     the i-th element of array_of_maxprocs. Command number i corresponds to the n_i contiguous
     slots in this array from element \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j to \left[\sum_{j=1}^{i} n_j\right] - 1. Error codes are treated as for
3
4
     MPI_COMM_SPAWN.
5
6
     Example 10.2 Examples of array_of_argv in C and Fortran
7
     To run the program "ocean" with arguments "-gridfile" and "ocean1.grd" and the program
     "atmos" with argument "atmos.grd" in C:
8
9
              char *array_of_commands[2] = {"ocean", "atmos"};
10
              char **array_of_argv[2];
11
              char *argv0[] = {"-gridfile", "ocean1.grd", (char *)0};
12
              char *argv1[] = {"atmos.grd", (char *)0};
13
              array_of_argv[0] = argv0;
14
              array_of_argv[1] = argv1;
15
              MPI_Comm_spawn_multiple(2, array_of_commands, array_of_argv, ...);
16
17
     Here is how you do it in Fortran:
18
19
              CHARACTER*25 commands(2), array_of_argv(2, 3)
              commands(1) = ' ocean '
20
21
              array_of_argv(1, 1) = ' -gridfile '
22
              array_of_argv(1, 2) = ' ocean1.grd'
23
              array_of_argv(1, 3) = ', '
^{24}
25
              commands(2) = ' atmos '
26
              array_of_argv(2, 1) = ' atmos.grd '
27
              array_of_argv(2, 2) = ', '
28
29
              call MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE(2, commands, array_of_argv, ...)
30
31
     10.3.4 Reserved Keys
32
     The following keys are reserved. An implementation is not required to interpret these keys,
33
     but if it does interpret the key, it must provide the functionality described.
34
35
     host Value is a hostname. The format of the hostname is determined by the implementation.
36
37
     arch Value is an architecture name. Valid architecture names and what they mean are
38
           determined by the implementation.
39
     wdir Value is the name of a directory on a machine on which the spawned process(es)
40
           execute(s). This directory is made the working directory of the executing process(es).
41
           The format of the directory name is determined by the implementation.
42
43
     path Value is a directory or set of directories where the implementation should look for the
44
           executable. The format of path is determined by the implementation.
45
46
     file Value is the name of a file in which additional information is specified. The format of
47
           the filename and internal format of the file are determined by the implementation.
48
```

soft Value specifies a set of numbers which are allowed values for the number of processes that MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN (et al.) may create. The format of the value is a comma-separated list of Fortran-90 triplets each of which specifies a set of integers and which together specify the set formed by the union of these sets. Negative values in this set and values greater than maxprocs are ignored. MPI will spawn the largest number of processes it can, consistent with some number in the set. The order in which triplets are given is not significant.

By Fortran-90 triplets, we mean:

by formal-50 empress, we mean	9
1. a means $a$	10
2. <b>a:b</b> means $a, a + 1, a + 2,, b$	11
3. <b>a:b:c</b> means $a, a + c, a + 2c, \ldots, a + ck$ , where for $c > 0$ , k is the largest intege	12 r
for which $a + ck \le b$ and for $c < 0$ , k is the largest integer for which $a + ck \ge b$	) 13
If $b > a$ then c must be positive. If $b < a$ then c must be negative.	14 15
Examples:	16
1. <b>a:b</b> gives a range between $a$ and $b$	17
	18 19
2. 0:N gives full "soft" functionality	20
3. 1,2,4,8,16,32,64,128,256,512,1024,2048,4096 allows a power-of-two num ber of processes.	- 21
4. 2:10000:2 allows an even number of processes.	22
5. 2:10:2,7 allows 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, or 10 processes.	23
5. 2.10.2,7 anows 2, 4, 0, 7, 8, 61 10 processes.	24 25
10.3.5 Spawn Example	26
10.5.5 Spawn Example	27
Manager-worker Example Using MPI_COMM_SPAWN	28
/* manager */	29
<pre>#include "mpi.h"</pre>	30
int main(int argc, char *argv[])	31
{	32
<pre>int world_size, universe_size, *universe_sizep, flag;</pre>	33
<pre>MPI_Comm everyone;</pre>	34
char worker_program[100];	35
	36
<pre>MPI_Init(&amp;argc, &amp;argv);</pre>	37
<pre>MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &amp;world_size);</pre>	38
	39
<pre>if (world_size != 1) error("Top heavy with management");</pre>	40
	41
MPI_Comm_get_attr(MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_UNIVERSE_SIZE,	42
<pre>&amp;universe_sizep, &amp;flag);</pre>	43
if (!flag) {	44
printf("This MPI does not support UNIVERSE_SIZE. How many\n\	45 46
processes total?");	40 47
<pre>scanf("%d", &amp;universe_size);</pre>	- 11

} else universe\_size = \*universe\_sizep;

 $1 \\ 2$ 

```
1
        if (universe_size == 1) error("No room to start workers");
2
3
        /*
4
         * Now spawn the workers. Note that there is a run-time determination
5
         * of what type of worker to spawn, and presumably this calculation must
6
         * be done at run time and cannot be calculated before starting
7
         * the program. If everything is known when the application is
8
         * first started, it is generally better to start them all at once
9
         * in a single MPI_COMM_WORLD.
10
         */
11
12
        choose_worker_program(worker_program);
13
        MPI_Comm_spawn(worker_program, MPI_ARGV_NULL, universe_size-1,
14
                  MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_SELF, & everyone,
15
                  MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE);
16
        /*
17
         * Parallel code here. The communicator "everyone" can be used
18
         * to communicate with the spawned processes, which have ranks 0,...
19
         * MPI_UNIVERSE_SIZE-1 in the remote group of the intercommunicator
20
         * "everyone".
21
         */
22
23
        MPI_Finalize();
^{24}
        return 0;
25
     }
26
     /* worker */
27
28
     #include "mpi.h"
29
     int main(int argc, char *argv[])
30
^{31}
     ſ
32
        int size;
33
        MPI_Comm parent;
34
        MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
        MPI_Comm_get_parent(&parent);
35
        if (parent == MPI_COMM_NULL) error("No parent!");
36
        MPI_Comm_remote_size(parent, &size);
37
        if (size != 1) error("Something's wrong with the parent");
38
39
        /*
40
41
         * Parallel code here.
         \ast The manager is represented as the process with rank 0 in (the remote
42
         * group of) the parent communicator. If the workers need to communicate
43
         * among themselves, they can use MPI_COMM_WORLD.
44
         */
45
46
47
        MPI_Finalize();
48
        return 0;
```

#### }

### 10.4 Establishing Communication

This section provides functions that establish communication between two sets of MPI processes that do not share a communicator.

Some situations in which these functions are useful are:

- 1. Two parts of an application that are started independently need to communicate.
- 2. A visualization tool wants to attach to a running process.
- 3. A server wants to accept connections from multiple clients. Both clients and server may be parallel programs.

In each of these situations, MPI must establish communication channels where none existed before, and there is no parent/child relationship. The routines described in this section establish communication between the two sets of processes by creating an MPI intercommunicator, where the two groups of the intercommunicator are the original sets of processes.

Establishing contact between two groups of processes that do not share an existing communicator is a collective but asymmetric process. One group of processes indicates its willingness to accept connections from other groups of processes. We will call this group the (parallel) *server*, even if this is not a client/server type of application. The other group connects to the server; we will call it the *client*.

Advice to users. While the names *client* and *server* are used throughout this section, MPI does not guarantee the traditional robustness of client/server systems. The functionality described in this section is intended to allow two cooperating parts of the same application to communicate with one another. For instance, a client that gets a segmentation fault and dies, or one that does not participate in a collective operation may cause a server to crash or hang. (*End of advice to users.*)

#### 10.4.1 Names, Addresses, Ports, and All That

Almost all of the complexity in MPI client/server routines addresses the question "how does the client find out how to contact the server?" The difficulty, of course, is that there is no existing communication channel between them, yet they must somehow agree on a rendezvous point where they will establish communication.

Agreeing on a rendezvous point always involves a third party. The third party may itself provide the rendezvous point or may communicate rendezvous information from server to client. Complicating matters might be the fact that a client does not really care what server it contacts, only that it be able to get in touch with one that can handle its request.

Ideally, MPI can accommodate a wide variety of run-time systems while retaining the ability to write simple, portable code. The following should be compatible with MPI:

- The server resides at a well-known internet address host:port.
- The server prints out an address to the terminal; the user gives this address to the client program.

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

386

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

30

31

32

33

36 37

- The server places the address information on a name server, where it can be retrieved with an agreed-upon name.
- The server to which the client connects is actually a broker, acting as a middleman between the client and the real server.

MPI does not require a nameserver, so not all implementations will be able to support all of the above scenarios. However, MPI provides an optional nameserver interface, and is compatible with external name servers.

A port\_name is a *system-supplied* string that encodes a low-level network address at which a server can be contacted. Typically this is an IP address and a port number, but an implementation is free to use any protocol. The server establishes a port\_name with the MPI\_OPEN\_PORT routine. It accepts a connection to a given port with MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT. A client uses port\_name to connect to the server.

By itself, the port\_name mechanism is completely portable, but it may be clumsy to use because of the necessity to communicate port\_name to the client. It would be more convenient if a server could specify that it be known by an *application-supplied* service\_name so that the client could connect to that service\_name without knowing the port\_name.

An MPI implementation may allow the server to publish a (port\_name, service\_name) pair with MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME and the client to retrieve the port name from the service name with MPI\_LOOKUP\_NAME. This allows three levels of portability, with increasing levels of functionality.

- Applications that do not rely on the ability to publish names are the most portable.
   Typically the port\_name must be transferred "by hand" from server to client.
- 2. Applications that use the MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME mechanism are completely portable 27 among implementations that provide this service. To be portable among all imple-28 mentations, these applications should have a fall-back mechanism that can be used 29 when names are not published.
  - 3. Applications may ignore MPI's name publishing functionality and use their own mechanism (possibly system-supplied) to publish names. This allows arbitrary flexibility but is not portable.
- <sup>34</sup><sub>35</sub> 10.4.2 Server Routines

A server makes itself available with two routines. First it must call MPI\_OPEN\_PORT to establish a port at which it may be contacted. Secondly it must call MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT to accept connections from clients.

39 40 41

38

MPI\_OPEN\_PORT(info, port\_name)

42	IN	info	implementation-specific information on how to estab-	
43			lish an address (handle)	
44	OUT	port_name	newly established port (string)	
45	001	port_name	newly couldness port (string)	
46	int MDT C	mon nont (MDT Info info	abon that have	
47	<pre>int MPI_Open_port(MPI_Info info, char *port_name)</pre>			
48	MPI_Open_	port(info, port_name, iem	rror)	

```
TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
CHARACTER(LEN=MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: port_name
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_OPEN_PORT(INFO, PORT_NAME, IERROR)
CHARACTER*(*) PORT_NAME
INTEGER INFO, IERROR
```

This function establishes a network address, encoded in the port\_name string, at which the server will be able to accept connections from clients. port\_name is supplied by the system, possibly using information in the info argument.

MPI copies a system-supplied port name into port\_name. port\_name identifies the newly opened port and can be used by a client to contact the server. The maximum size string that may be supplied by the system is MPI\_MAX\_PORT\_NAME.

Advice to users. The system copies the port name into port\_name. The application must pass a buffer of sufficient size to hold this value. (End of advice to users.)

port\_name is essentially a network address. It is unique within the communication universe to which it belongs (determined by the implementation), and may be used by any client within that communication universe. For instance, if it is an internet (host:port) address, it will be unique on the internet. If it is a low level switch address on an IBM SP, it will be unique to that SP.

Advice to implementors. These examples are not meant to constrain implementations. A port\_name could, for instance, contain a user name or the name of a batch job, as long as it is unique within some well-defined communication domain. The larger the communication domain, the more useful MPI's client/server functionality will be. (End of advice to implementors.)

The precise form of the address is implementation-defined. For instance, an internet address may be a host name or IP address, or anything that the implementation can decode into an IP address. A port name may be reused after it is freed with MPI\_CLOSE\_PORT and released by the system.

Advice to implementors. Since the user may type in port\_name by hand, it is useful to choose a form that is easily readable and does not have embedded spaces. (End of advice to implementors.)

info may be used to tell the implementation how to establish the address. It may, and usually will, be MPI\_INFO\_NULL in order to get the implementation defaults.

MPI\_CLOSE\_PORT(port\_name)

IN port\_name a port (string)
int MPI\_Close\_port(const char \*port\_name)
MPI\_Close\_port(port\_name, ierror)
 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: port\_name
 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

 $^{24}$ 

```
1
     MPI_CLOSE_PORT(PORT_NAME, IERROR)
\mathbf{2}
          CHARACTER*(*) PORT_NAME
3
          INTEGER IERROR
4
     This function releases the network address represented by port_name.
5
6
7
     MPI_COMM_ACCEPT(port_name, info, root, comm, newcomm)
8
       IN
                 port_name
                                              port name (string, used only on root)
9
10
       IN
                 info
                                              implementation-dependent information (handle, used
11
                                              only on root)
12
       IN
                 root
                                             rank in comm of root node (integer)
13
       IN
                 comm
                                              intracommunicator over which call is collective (han-
14
                                              dle)
15
16
       OUT
                 newcomm
                                              intercommunicator with client as remote group (han-
17
                                              dle)
18
19
     int MPI_Comm_accept(const char *port_name, MPI_Info info, int root,
20
                     MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Comm *newcomm)
21
     MPI_Comm_accept(port_name, info, root, comm, newcomm, ierror)
22
          CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: port_name
23
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
24
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: root
25
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::
                                              comm
26
          TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: newcomm
27
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
28
29
     MPI_COMM_ACCEPT(PORT_NAME, INFO, ROOT, COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR)
30
          CHARACTER*(*) PORT_NAME
^{31}
          INTEGER INFO, ROOT, COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR
32
          MPI_COMM_ACCEPT establishes communication with a client. It is collective over the
33
     calling communicator. It returns an intercommunicator that allows communication with the
34
     client.
35
          The port_name must have been established through a call to MPI_OPEN_PORT.
36
          info can be used to provide directives that may influence the behavior of the ACCEPT
37
     call.
38
39
     10.4.3 Client Routines
40
41
     There is only one routine on the client side.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

1VII 1_1				
IN	port_name	network address (string, used only on root)	2	
IN	info	implementation-dependent information (handle, used only on root)	3 4 5	
IN	root	rank in <b>comm</b> of root node (integer)	6	
IN	comm	intracommunicator over which call is collective (han- dle)	7 8	
OU	Г newcomm	intercommunicator with server as remote group (han- dle)	9 10 11	
			12	
int M	IPI_Comm_connect(const char =	<pre>*port_name, MPI_Info info, int root,</pre>	13	
	MPI_Comm comm, MPI_	Comm *newcomm)	14	
MPI_Comm_connect(port_name, info, root, comm, newcomm, ierror)				
	CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: port_name TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info			
	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: root		18	
	YPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :	: comm	19	
	YPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT)		20	
	NTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU		21	
			22	
		, ROOT, COMM, NEWCOMM, IERROR)	23	
	CHARACTER*(*) PORT_NAME	KONK TERROR	24	
1	INTEGER INFO, ROOT, COMM, NE	WCUMM, IEKKUK	25	
Γ	This routine establishes communi	cation with a server specified by port_name. It is	26 27	
11			27	

This routine establishes communication with a server specified by port\_name. It is collective over the calling communicator and returns an intercommunicator in which the remote group participated in an MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT.

If the named port does not exist (or has been closed), MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT raises an error of class MPI\_ERR\_PORT.

If the port exists, but does not have a pending MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT, the connection attempt will eventually time out after an implementation-defined time, or succeed when the server calls MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT. In the case of a time out, MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT raises an error of class MPI\_ERR\_PORT.

Advice to implementors. The time out period may be arbitrarily short or long. However, a high-quality implementation will try to queue connection attempts so that a server can handle simultaneous requests from several clients. A high-quality implementation may also provide a mechanism, through the info arguments to MPI\_OPEN\_PORT, MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT, and/or MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT, for the user to control timeout and queuing behavior. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

MPI provides no guarantee of fairness in servicing connection attempts. That is, connection attempts are not necessarily satisfied in the order they were initiated and competition from other connection attempts may prevent a particular connection attempt from being satisfied.

port\_name is the address of the server. It must be the same as the name returned by MPI\_OPEN\_PORT on the server. Some freedom is allowed here. If there are equivalent

1

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

forms of port\_name, an implementation may accept them as well. For instance, if port\_name is (hostname:port), an implementation may accept (ip\_address:port) as well.

2 3 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

1

10.4.4 Name Publishing

The routines in this section provide a mechanism for publishing names. A (service\_name, 6 port\_name) pair is published by the server, and may be retrieved by a client using the 7 service\_name only. An MPI implementation defines the scope of the service\_name, that 8 is, the domain over which the service\_name can be retrieved. If the domain is the empty 9 set, that is, if no client can retrieve the information, then we say that name publishing 10 is not supported. Implementations should document how the scope is determined. High-11 quality implementations will give some control to users through the info arguments to name 12publishing functions. Examples are given in the descriptions of individual functions. 13

14

```
15
16
```

31 32

33

MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME(service\_name, info, port\_name)

17	IN	service_name	a service name to associate with the port (string)
18	IN	info	implementation-specific information (handle)
19 20	IN	port_name	a port name (string)
21 22 23	int MPI	_Publish_name(const char *; char *port_name)	service_name, MPI_Info info, const
24 25 26 27 28	TYP CHA	lish_name(service_name, in E(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: RACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	info :: service_name, port_name
29 30	_	LISH_NAME(SERVICE_NAME, IN EGER INFO, IERROR	FO, PORT_NAME, IERROR)

CHARACTER\*(\*) SERVICE\_NAME, PORT\_NAME

This routine publishes the pair (port\_name, service\_name) so that an application may retrieve a system-supplied port\_name using a well-known service\_name.

The implementation must define the *scope* of a published service name, that is, the domain over which the service name is unique, and conversely, the domain over which the (port name, service name) pair may be retrieved. For instance, a service name may be unique to a job (where job is defined by a distributed operating system or batch scheduler), unique to a machine, or unique to a Kerberos realm. The scope may depend on the info argument to MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME.

<sup>40</sup> MPI permits publishing more than one service\_name for a single port\_name. On the <sup>41</sup> other hand, if service\_name has already been published within the scope determined by info, <sup>42</sup> the behavior of MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME is undefined. An MPI implementation may, through <sup>43</sup> a mechanism in the info argument to MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME, provide a way to allow multiple <sup>44</sup> servers with the same service in the same scope. In this case, an implementation-defined <sup>45</sup> policy will determine which of several port names is returned by MPI\_LOOKUP\_NAME.

<sup>46</sup> Note that while service\_name has a limited scope, determined by the implementation,
 <sup>47</sup> port\_name always has global scope within the communication universe used by the imple-

MPI UNPUBLISH NAME(service name, info, port name)

mentation (i.e., it is globally unique).

port\_name should be the name of a port established by MPI\_OPEN\_PORT and not yet released by MPI\_CLOSE\_PORT. If it is not, the result is undefined.

Advice to implementors. In some cases, an MPI implementation may use a name service that a user can also access directly. In this case, a name published by MPI could easily conflict with a name published by a user. In order to avoid such conflicts, MPI implementations should mangle service names so that they are unlikely to conflict with user code that makes use of the same service. Such name mangling will of course be completely transparent to the user.

The following situation is problematic but unavoidable, if we want to allow implementations to use nameservers. Suppose there are multiple instances of "ocean" running on a machine. If the scope of a service name is confined to a job, then multiple oceans can coexist. If an implementation provides site-wide scope, however, multiple instances are not possible as all calls to MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME after the first may fail. There is no universal solution to this.

To handle these situations, a high-quality implementation should make it possible to limit the domain over which names are published. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

White one operation of the second sec				
IN	service_name	a service name (string)	:	
IN	info	implementation-specific information (handle)	:	
IN	port_name	a port name (string)	1	
<pre>int MPI_Unpublish_name(const char *service_name, MPI_Info info, const</pre>				
	char *port_name)		3	
MPI_Unpublish_name(service_name, info, port_name, ierror)				
CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: service_name, port_name				
TYPE	TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info			
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	÷	
MPI_UNPUBLISH_NAME(SERVICE_NAME, INFO, PORT_NAME, IERROR)				
_	GER INFO, IERROR		:	
	ACTER*(*) SERVICE_NAME, P(	IRT NAME.		
OIIAIL.			:	

This routine unpublishes a service name that has been previously published. Attempting to unpublish a name that has not been published or has already been unpublished is erroneous and is indicated by the error class MPI\_ERR\_SERVICE.

All published names must be unpublished before the corresponding port is closed and before the publishing process exits. The behavior of MPI\_UNPUBLISH\_NAME is implementation dependent when a process tries to unpublish a name that it did not publish.

If the info argument was used with MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME to tell the implementation 45 how to publish names, the implementation may require that info passed to 46 MPI\_UNPUBLISH\_NAME contain information to tell the implementation how to unpublish 47 a name. 48

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

1 MPI\_LOOKUP\_NAME(service\_name, info, port\_name) 2 IN service\_name a service name (string) 3 IN info implementation-specific information (handle) 4 5OUT port\_name a port name (string) 6  $\overline{7}$ int MPI\_Lookup\_name(const char \*service\_name, MPI\_Info info, 8 char \*port\_name) 9 MPI\_Lookup\_name(service\_name, info, port\_name, ierror) 10 CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: service\_name 11 TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info 12CHARACTER(LEN=MPI\_MAX\_PORT\_NAME), INTENT(OUT) :: port\_name 13 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 1415MPI\_LOOKUP\_NAME(SERVICE\_NAME, INFO, PORT\_NAME, IERROR) 16CHARACTER\*(\*) SERVICE\_NAME, PORT\_NAME 17 INTEGER INFO, IERROR 18 This function retrieves a port\_name published by MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME with 19service\_name. If service\_name has not been published, it raises an error in the error class 20MPI\_ERR\_NAME. The application must supply a port\_name buffer large enough to hold the 21largest possible port name (see discussion above under MPI\_OPEN\_PORT). 22If an implementation allows multiple entries with the same service\_name within the 23same scope, a particular **port\_name** is chosen in a way determined by the implementation.  $^{24}$ If the info argument was used with MPI\_PUBLISH\_NAME to tell the implementation 2526how to publish names, a similar info argument may be required for MPI\_LOOKUP\_NAME. 2710.4.5 Reserved Key Values 2829The following key values are reserved. An implementation is not required to interpret these 30 key values, but if it does interpret the key value, it must provide the functionality described.  $^{31}$ 32 ip\_port Value contains IP port number at which to establish a port. (Reserved for 33 MPI\_OPEN\_PORT only). 3435 ip\_address Value contains IP address at which to establish a port. If the address is not a 36 valid IP address of the host on which the MPI\_OPEN\_PORT call is made, the results 37 are undefined. (Reserved for MPI\_OPEN\_PORT only). 38 39 10.4.6 Client/Server Examples 40Simplest Example — Completely Portable. 41 42The following example shows the simplest way to use the client/server interface. It does 43not use service names at all. 44On the server side: 454647char myport[MPI\_MAX\_PORT\_NAME]; 48 MPI\_Comm intercomm;

/* */	1
<pre>MPI_Open_port(MPI_INFO_NULL, myport);</pre>	2
<pre>printf("port name is: %s\n", myport);</pre>	3
	4
<pre>MPI_Comm_accept(myport, MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_SELF, &amp;intercomm);</pre>	5
/* do something with intercomm */	6 7
The server prints out the port name to the terminal and the user must type it in when	8
starting up the client (assuming the MPI implementation supports stdin such that this	9
works). On the client side:	10
	11
MPI_Comm intercomm;	12
<pre>char name[MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME]; printf("enter port name: ");</pre>	13
gets(name);	14
MPI_Comm_connect(name, MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_SELF, &intercomm);	15
······································	16
Ocean/Atmosphere — Relies on Name Publishing	17
	18
In this example, the "ocean" application is the "server" side of a coupled ocean-atmosphere	19
climate model. It assumes that the MPI implementation publishes names.	20 21
	21
<pre>MPI_Open_port(MPI_INFO_NULL, port_name);</pre>	23
MPI_Publish_name("ocean", MPI_INFO_NULL, port_name);	24
	25
MPI_Comm_accept(port_name, MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_SELF, &intercomm);	26
<pre>/* do something with intercomm */</pre>	27
<pre>MPI_Unpublish_name("ocean", MPI_INFO_NULL, port_name);</pre>	28
	29
On the client side:	30
On the client side:	31
<pre>MPI_Lookup_name("ocean", MPI_INFO_NULL, port_name);</pre>	32
MPI_Comm_connect(port_name, MPI_INF0_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_SELF,	33 34
<pre>&amp;intercomm);</pre>	35
	36
Simple Client-Server Example	37
This is a simple example; the server accepts only a single connection at a time and serves	38
that connection until the client requests to be disconnected. The server is a single process.	39
Here is the server. It accepts a single connection and then processes data until it	40
receives a message with tag 1. A message with tag 0 tells the server to exit.	41
<i>и</i> ·	42
<pre>#include "mpi.h" int main(int area show to new[])</pre>	43
<pre>int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {</pre>	44
MPI_Comm client;	45
MPI_Status status;	46 47
char port_name[MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME];	48
-	

```
1
         double buf[MAX_DATA];
\mathbf{2}
                 size, again;
         int
3
4
         MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
5
         MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size);
6
         if (size != 1) error(FATAL, "Server too big");
7
         MPI_Open_port(MPI_INFO_NULL, port_name);
8
         printf("server available at %s\n", port_name);
9
         while (1) {
10
              MPI_Comm_accept(port_name, MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD,
11
                                 &client);
12
              again = 1;
13
              while (again) {
14
                  MPI_Recv(buf, MAX_DATA, MPI_DOUBLE,
15
                             MPI_ANY_SOURCE, MPI_ANY_TAG, client, &status);
                  switch (status.MPI_TAG) {
16
17
                       case 0: MPI_Comm_free(&client);
18
                                MPI_Close_port(port_name);
19
                                MPI_Finalize();
20
                                return 0;
21
                       case 1: MPI_Comm_disconnect(&client);
22
                                again = 0;
23
                                break;
^{24}
                       case 2: /* do something */
25
                       . . .
26
                       default:
27
                                /* Unexpected message type */
28
                                MPI_Abort(MPI_COMM_WORLD, 1);
29
                       }
30
                  }
^{31}
              }
32
     }
33
         Here is the client.
34
35
     #include "mpi.h"
36
     int main( int argc, char **argv )
37
     {
38
         MPI_Comm server;
39
         double buf[MAX_DATA];
40
         char port_name[MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME];
41
42
         MPI_Init( &argc, &argv );
43
         strcpy( port_name, argv[1] );/* assume server's name is cmd-line arg */
44
45
         MPI_Comm_connect( port_name, MPI_INFO_NULL, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD,
46
                             &server );
47
48
```

```
while (!done) {
    tag = 2; /* Action to perform */
    MPI_Send( buf, n, MPI_DOUBLE, 0, tag, server );
    /* etc */
    }
MPI_Send( buf, 0, MPI_DOUBLE, 0, 1, server );
MPI_Comm_disconnect( &server );
MPI_Finalize();
return 0;
```

#### 10.5 Other Functionality

#### Universe Size 10.5.1

}

Many "dynamic" MPI applications are expected to exist in a static runtime environment, in which resources have been allocated before the application is run. When a user (or possibly a batch system) runs one of these quasi-static applications, she will usually specify a number of processes to start and a total number of processes that are expected. An application simply needs to know how many slots there are, i.e., how many processes it should spawn.

MPI provides an attribute on MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE, that allows 22 the application to obtain this information in a portable manner. This attribute indicates 23the total number of processes that are expected. In Fortran, the attribute is the integer  $^{24}$ value. In C, the attribute is a pointer to the integer value. An application typically subtracts 25the size of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD from MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE to find out how many processes it 26should spawn. MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is initialized in MPI\_INIT and is not changed by MPI. If 27defined, it has the same value on all processes of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE 28is determined by the application startup mechanism in a way not specified by MPI. (The 29size of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD is another example of such a parameter.)

Possibilities for how MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE might be set include

- A -universe\_size argument to a program that starts MPI processes.
- Automatic interaction with a batch scheduler to figure out how many processors have been allocated to an application.
- An environment variable set by the user.
- Extra information passed to MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN through the info argument.

An implementation must document how MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is set. An implementation may not support the ability to set MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE, in which case the attribute MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is not set.

MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is a recommendation, not necessarily a hard limit. For instance, some implementations may allow an application to spawn 50 processes per processor, if they are requested. However, it is likely that the user only wants to spawn one process per processor.

47MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is assumed to have been specified when an application was started, 48 and is in essence a portable mechanism to allow the user to pass to the application (through

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

30

3132

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43 44

the MPI process startup mechanism, such as mpiexec) a piece of critical runtime information. Note that no interaction with the runtime environment is required. If the runtime environment changes size while an application is running, MPI\_UNIVERSE\_SIZE is not updated, and the application must find out about the change through direct communication with the runtime system.

6 7

8

30 31

32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39

## 10.5.2 Singleton MPI\_INIT

A high-quality implementation will allow any process (including those not started with a "parallel application" mechanism) to become an MPI process by calling MPI\_INIT. Such a process can then connect to other MPI processes using the MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT and MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT routines, or spawn other MPI processes. MPI does not mandate this behavior, but strongly encourages it where technically feasible.

- Advice to implementors. To start MPI processes belonging to the same
   MPI\_COMM\_WORLD requires some special coordination. The processes must be started
   at the "same" time, they must have a mechanism to establish communication, etc.
   Either the user or the operating system must take special steps beyond simply starting
   processes.
- When an application enters MPI\_INIT, clearly it must be able to determine if these special steps were taken. If a process enters MPI\_INIT and determines that no special steps were taken (i.e., it has not been given the information to form an MPI\_COMM\_WORLD with other processes) it succeeds and forms a singleton MPI program, that is, one in which MPI\_COMM\_WORLD has size 1.
- In some implementations, MPI may not be able to function without an "MPI environment." For example, MPI may require that daemons be running or MPI may not be able to work at all on the front-end of an MPP. In this case, an MPI implementation may either
  - 1. Create the environment (e.g., start a daemon) or
  - 2. Raise an error if it cannot create the environment and the environment has not been started independently.
  - A high-quality implementation will try to create a singleton MPI process and not raise an error.
    - (End of advice to implementors.)
  - 10.5.3 MPI\_APPNUM

There is a predefined attribute MPI\_APPNUM of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. In Fortran, the attribute is an integer value. In C, the attribute is a pointer to an integer value. If a process was spawned with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, MPI\_APPNUM is the command number that generated the current process. Numbering starts from zero. If a process was spawned with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, it will have MPI\_APPNUM equal to zero.

Additionally, if the process was not started by a spawn call, but by an implementationspecific startup mechanism that can handle multiple process specifications, MPI\_APPNUM should be set to the number of the corresponding process specification. In particular, if it is started with mpiexec spec0 [: spec1 : spec2 : ...]

MPI\_APPNUM should be set to the number of the corresponding specification.

If an application was not spawned with MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN or

MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, and MPI\_APPNUM does not make sense in the context of the implementation-specific startup mechanism, MPI\_APPNUM is not set.

MPI implementations may optionally provide a mechanism to override the value of MPI\_APPNUM through the info argument. MPI reserves the following key for all SPAWN calls.

appnum Value contains an integer that overrides the default value for MPI\_APPNUM in the child.

*Rationale.* When a single application is started, it is able to figure out how many processes there are by looking at the size of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. An application consisting of multiple SPMD sub-applications has no way to find out how many sub-applications there are and to which sub-application the process belongs. While there are ways to figure it out in special cases, there is no general mechanism. MPI\_APPNUM provides such a general mechanism. (*End of rationale.*)

#### 10.5.4 Releasing Connections

Before a client and server connect, they are independent MPI applications. An error in one does not affect the other. After establishing a connection with MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT and MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT, an error in one may affect the other. It is desirable for a client and server to be able to disconnect, so that an error in one will not affect the other. Similarly, it might be desirable for a parent and child to disconnect, so that errors in the child do not affect the parent, or vice-versa.

- Two processes are *connected* if there is a communication path (direct or indirect) between them. More precisely:
  - 1. Two processes are connected if
    - (a) they both belong to the same communicator (inter- or intra-, including MPI\_COMM\_WORLD) or
    - (b) they have previously belonged to a communicator that was freed with MPI\_COMM\_FREE instead of MPI\_COMM\_DISCONNECT or
    - (c) they both belong to the group of the same window or filehandle.
  - 2. If A is connected to B and B to C, then A is connected to C.
- Two processes are *disconnected* (also *independent*) if they are not connected.
- By the above definitions, connectivity is a transitive property, and divides the universe of MPI processes into disconnected (independent) sets (equivalence classes) of processes.
- Processes which are connected, but do not share the same MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, may become disconnected (independent) if the communication path between them is broken by using MPI\_COMM\_DISCONNECT.

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $44 \\ 45$ 

1	The following additional rules apply to MPI routines in other chapters:		
2 3	• MPI_FINALIZE is collective over a set of connected processes.		
4 5 6 7 8	• MPI_ABORT does not abort independent processes. It may abort all processes in the caller's MPI_COMM_WORLD (ignoring its comm argument). Additionally, it may abort connected processes as well, though it makes a "best attempt" to abort only the processes in comm.		
9 10 11 12	• If a process terminates without calling MPI_FINALIZE, independent processes are not affected but the effect on connected processes is not defined.		
13	MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT(comm)		
14 15 16	INOUT comm communicator (handle)		
17	<pre>int MPI_Comm_disconnect(MPI_Comm *comm)</pre>		
18 19 20 21	MPI_Comm_disconnect(comm, ierror) TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(INOUT) :: comm INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror		
22 23	MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT(COMM, IERROR) INTEGER COMM, IERROR		
24 25 26	This function waits for all pending communication on <b>comm</b> to complete internally, deallocates the communicator object, and sets the handle to MPI_COMM_NULL. It is a collective operation.		
27 28 29 30	It may not be called with the communicator MPI_COMM_WORLD or MPI_COMM_SELF. MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT may be called only if all communication is complete and matched, so that buffered data can be delivered to its destination. This requirement is the		
31 32 33 34	same as for MPI_FINALIZE. MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT has the same action as MPI_COMM_FREE, except that it waits for pending communication to finish internally and enables the guarantee about the behavior of disconnected processes.		
35 36 37 38 39	Advice to users. To disconnect two processes you may need to call MPI_COMM_DISCONNECT, MPI_WIN_FREE, and MPI_FILE_CLOSE to remove all communication paths between the two processes. Note that it may be necessary to disconnect several communicators (or to free several windows or files) before two processes are completely independent. ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )		
40 41 42 43	<i>Rationale.</i> It would be nice to be able to use MPI_COMM_FREE instead, but that function explicitly does not wait for pending communication to complete. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )		
44 45 46			
47 48			

#### 10.5.5 Another Way to Establish MPI Communication

MPI\_COMM\_JOIN(fd, intercomm)

IN	fd	socket file descriptor	
OUT	intercomm	new intercommunicator (handle)	
<pre>int MPI_Comm_join(int fd, MPI_Comm *intercomm) MPI_Comm_join(fd, intercomm, ierror)     INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: fd     TYPE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(OUT) :: intercomm     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>			
MPI_COMM_JOIN(FD, INTERCOMM, IERROR) INTEGER FD, INTERCOMM, IERROR			

MPI\_COMM\_JOIN is intended for MPI implementations that exist in an environment supporting the Berkeley Socket interface [45, 49]. Implementations that exist in an environment not supporting Berkeley Sockets should provide the entry point for MPI\_COMM\_JOIN and should return MPI\_COMM\_NULL.

This call creates an intercommunicator from the union of two MPI processes which are connected by a socket. MPI\_COMM\_JOIN should normally succeed if the local and remote processes have access to the same implementation-defined MPI communication universe.

Advice to users. An MPI implementation may require a specific communication medium for MPI communication, such as a shared memory segment or a special switch. In this case, it may not be possible for two processes to successfully join even if there is a socket connecting them and they are using the same MPI implementation. (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will attempt to establish communication over a slow medium if its preferred one is not available. If implementations do not do this, they must document why they cannot do MPI communication over the medium used by the socket (especially if the socket is a TCP connection). (End of advice to implementors.)

fd is a file descriptor representing a socket of type SOCK\_STREAM (a two-way reliable byte-stream connection). Nonblocking I/O and asynchronous notification via SIGIO must not be enabled for the socket. The socket must be in a connected state. The socket must be quiescent when MPI\_COMM\_JOIN is called (see below). It is the responsibility of the application to create the socket using standard socket API calls.

MPI\_COMM\_JOIN must be called by the process at each end of the socket. It does not return until both processes have called MPI\_COMM\_JOIN. The two processes are referred to as the local and remote processes.

MPI uses the socket to bootstrap creation of the intercommunicator, and for nothing else. Upon return from MPI\_COMM\_JOIN, the file descriptor will be open and quiescent (see below).

If MPI is unable to create an intercommunicator, but is able to leave the socket in its  $^{2}$ original state, with no pending communication, it succeeds and sets intercomm to MPI\_COMM\_NULL. The socket must be quiescent before MPI\_COMM\_JOIN is called and after  $\mathbf{5}$ MPI\_COMM\_JOIN returns. More specifically, on entry to MPI\_COMM\_JOIN, a read on the socket will not read any data that was written to the socket before the remote process called  $\overline{7}$ MPI\_COMM\_JOIN. On exit from MPI\_COMM\_JOIN, a read will not read any data that was written to the socket before the remote process returned from MPI\_COMM\_JOIN. It is the responsibility of the application to ensure the first condition, and the responsibility of the MPI implementation to ensure the second. In a multithreaded application, the application must ensure that one thread does not access the socket while another is calling MPI\_COMM\_JOIN, or call MPI\_COMM\_JOIN concurrently. Advice to implementors. MPI is free to use any available communication path(s) for MPI messages in the new communicator; the socket is only used for the initial handshaking. (End of advice to implementors.) MPI\_COMM\_JOIN uses non-MPI communication to do its work. The interaction of non-MPI communication with pending MPI communication is not defined. Therefore, the result of calling MPI\_COMM\_JOIN on two connected processes (see Section 10.5.4 for the definition of connected) is undefined. The returned communicator may be used to establish MPI communication with addi-tional processes, through the usual MPI communicator creation mechanisms.  $^{24}$ 

# Chapter 11

# **One-Sided** Communications

#### 11.1 Introduction

Remote Memory Access (RMA) extends the communication mechanisms of MPI by allowing one process to specify all communication parameters, both for the sending side and for the receiving side. This mode of communication facilitates the coding of some applications with dynamically changing data access patterns where the data distribution is fixed or slowly changing. In such a case, each process can compute what data it needs to access or to update at other processes. However, the programmer may not be able to easily determine which data in a process may need to be accessed or to be updated by operations executed by a different process, and may not even know which processes may perform such updates. Thus, the transfer parameters are all available only on one side. Regular send/receive communication requires matching operations by sender and receiver. In order to issue the matching operations, an application needs to distribute the transfer parameters. This distribution may require all processes to participate in a time-consuming global computation, or to poll for potential communication requests to receive and upon which to act periodically. The use of RMA communication mechanisms avoids the need for global computations or explicit polling. A generic example of this nature is the execution of an assignment of the form A =B(map), where map is a permutation vector, and A, B, and map are distributed in the same manner.

7 8 9

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41

42

43 44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

48

Message-passing communication achieves two effects: *communication* of data from sender to receiver and *synchronization* of sender with receiver. The RMA design separates these two functions. The following communication calls are provided:

- Remote write: MPI\_PUT, MPI\_RPUT
- Remote read: MPI\_GET, MPI\_RGET
- Remote update: MPI\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RACCUMULATE
- Remote read and update: MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, and MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP
- Remote atomic swap operations: MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP

This chapter refers to an operations set that includes all remote update, remote read and update, and remote atomic swap operations as "accumulate" operations.

1 MPI supports two fundamentally different memory models: separate and unified. The  $\mathbf{2}$ separate model makes no assumption about memory consistency and is highly portable. 3 This model is similar to that of weakly coherent memory systems: the user must impose 4 correct ordering of memory accesses through synchronization calls. The unified model can  $\mathbf{5}$ exploit cache-coherent hardware and hardware-accelerated, one-sided operations that are 6 commonly available in high-performance systems. The two different models are discussed  $\overline{7}$ in detail in Section 11.4. Both models support several synchronization calls to support 8 different synchronization styles.

<sup>9</sup> The design of the RMA functions allows implementors to take advantage of fast or <sup>10</sup> asynchronous communication mechanisms provided by various platforms, such as coherent <sup>11</sup> or noncoherent shared memory, DMA engines, hardware-supported put/get operations, and <sup>12</sup> communication coprocessors. The most frequently used RMA communication mechanisms <sup>13</sup> can be layered on top of message-passing. However, certain RMA functions might need <sup>14</sup> support for asynchronous communication agents in software (handlers, threads, etc.) in a <sup>15</sup> distributed memory environment.

<sup>16</sup> We shall denote by *origin* the process that performs the call, and by *target* the process <sup>17</sup> in which the memory is accessed. Thus, in a put operation, source=origin and destina-<sup>18</sup> tion=target; in a get operation, source=target and destination=origin.

19 20

21

## 11.2 Initialization

 $^{22}_{23}$  MPI provides the following window initialization functions: MPI\_WIN\_CREATE,

MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE, MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED, and

<sup>24</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC, which are collective on an intracommunicator.

<sup>25</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE allows each process to specify a "window" in its memory that is made <sup>26</sup> accessible to accesses by remote processes. The call returns an opaque object that represents <sup>27</sup> the group of processes that own and access the set of windows, and the attributes of each <sup>28</sup> window, as specified by the initialization call. MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE differs from

<sup>29</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE in that the user does not pass allocated memory;

<sup>31</sup> MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE returns a pointer to memory allocated by the MPI implementation. <sup>32</sup> MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED differs from MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE in that the allocated <sup>33</sup> memory can be accessed from all processes in the window's group with direct load/store <sup>34</sup> instructions. Some restrictions may apply to the specified communicator.

<sup>34</sup> MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC creates a window that allows the user to dynamically control <sup>35</sup> which memory is exposed by the window.

- 36
- 37 38
- 39
- 40
- $41 \\ 42$
- 43

- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48

## Window Creation

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

4647

48

11.2.1	Window Creation		1
			2
			3
MPI_W	/IN_CREATE(base, size, disp_	unit, info, comm, win)	4
IN	base	initial address of window (choice)	5 6
IN	size	size of window in bytes (non-negative integer)	7
IN	disp_unit	local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in- teger)	8 9
IN	info	info argument (handle)	10 11
IN	comm	intra-communicator (handle)	11
OUT	win	window object returned by the call (handle)	13
			14
int MF	PI Win create(void *base.	MPI_Aint size, int disp_unit, MPI_Info info,	15
	MPI_Comm comm, MF	•	16
MDT 1.1.	n crosto (bago gizo dia	p_unit, info, comm, win, ierror)	17
	<pre>PE(*), DIMENSION(), AS</pre>	-	18
	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K		19 20
	TEGER, INTENT(IN) :: di	-	20 21
	PE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN)	-	21
	PE(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN)		23
ΤY	<pre>PE(MPI_Win), INTENT(OUT)</pre>	:: win	24
IN	TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(	OUT) :: ierror	25
мрт шт	N CREATE (BASE SIZE DIS	P_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR)	26
	<pre>wpe&gt; BASE(*)</pre>	_ONII, INIO, OOIII, WIN, ILMON,	27
	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K	IND) SIZE	28
	TEGER DISP_UNIT, INFO, C		29
			30
Tł	is is a collective call execute	ed by all processes in the group of comm. It returns	31

This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. It returns a window object that can be used by these processes to perform RMA operations. Each process specifies a window of existing memory that it exposes to RMA accesses by the processes in the group of comm. The window consists of size bytes, starting at address base. In C, base is the starting address of a memory region. In Fortran, one can pass the first element of a memory region or a whole array, which must be 'simply contiguous' (for 'simply contiguous', see also Section 17.1.12. A process may elect to expose no memory by specifying size = 0.

The displacement unit argument is provided to facilitate address arithmetic in RMA operations: the target displacement argument of an RMA operation is scaled by the factor disp\_unit specified by the target process, at window creation.

Rationale. The window size is specified using an address-sized integer, to allow windows that span more than 4 GB of address space. (Even if the physical memory size is less than 4 GB, the address range may be larger than 4 GB, if addresses are not contiguous.) (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. Common choices for disp\_unit are 1 (no scaling), and (in C syntax) sizeof (type), for a window that consists of an array of elements of type type. The

	101	
1 2 3 4		choice will allow one to use array indices in RMA calls, and have those scaled actly to byte displacements, even in a heterogeneous environment. ( <i>End of advice aers.</i> )
5 6		fo argument provides optimization hints to the runtime about the expected usage the window. The following info keys are predefined:
7 8 9 10 11	nizat wind	- if set to true, then the implementation may assume that passive target synchro- ion (i.e., MPI_WIN_LOCK, MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL) will not be used on the given ow. This implies that this window is not used for 3-party communication, and a can be implemented with no (less) asynchronous agent activity at this process.
12 13		e_ordering — controls the ordering of accumulate operations at the target. See on $11.7.2$ for details.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	accur same_ calls elimi	e_ops — if set to same_op, the implementation will assume that all concurrent mulate calls to the same target address will use the same operation. If set to _op_no_op, then the implementation will assume that all concurrent accumulate to the same target address will use the same operation or MPI_NO_OP. This can nate the need to protect access for certain operation types where the hardware guarantee atomicity. The default is same_op_no_op.
21 22 23		— if set to true, then the implementation may assume that the argument size is ical on all processes.
24 25 26 27	to qu is rec	ce to users. The info query mechanism described in Section 11.2.7 can be used hery the specified info arguments windows that have been passed to a library. It commended that libraries check attached info keys for each passed window. ( <i>End</i> <i>livice to users.</i> )
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	windows, is put and ac should pos associated	arious processes in the group of comm may specify completely different target n location, size, displacement units, and info arguments. As long as all the get, ccumulate accesses to a particular process fit their specific target window this e no problem. The same area in memory may appear in multiple windows, each with a different window object. However, concurrent communications to distinct, g windows may lead to undefined results.
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	proce can b ificat proce imple the c	<i>bnale.</i> The reason for specifying the memory that may be accessed from another ress in an RMA operation is to permit the programmer to specify what memory be a target of RMA operations and for the implementation to enforce that spec- ion. For example, with this definition, a server process can safely allow a client ress to use RMA operations, knowing that (under the assumption that the MPI rementation does enforce the specified limits on the exposed memory) an error in lient cannot affect any memory other than what was explicitly exposed. ( <i>End of</i> <i>nale.</i> )
44 45 46 47 48	Howe MPI_ is im	<i>ce to users.</i> A window can be created in any part of the process memory. ever, on some systems, the performance of windows in memory allocated by ALLOC_MEM (Section 8.2) will be better. Also, on some systems, performance aproved when window boundaries are aligned at "natural" boundaries (word, de-word, cache line, page frame, etc.). ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )

Advice to implementors. In cases where RMA operations use different mechanisms in different memory areas (e.g., load/store in a shared memory segment, and an asynchronous handler in private memory), the MPI\_WIN\_CREATE call needs to figure out which type of memory is used for the window. To do so, MPI maintains, internally, the list of memory segments allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM, or by other, implementation-specific, mechanisms, together with information on the type of memory segment allocated. When a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE occurs, then MPI checks which segment contains each window, and decides, accordingly, which mechanism to use for RMA operations.

Vendors may provide additional, implementation-specific mechanisms to allocate or to specify memory regions that are preferable for use in one-sided communication. In particular, such mechanisms can be used to place static variables into such preferred regions.

Implementors should document any performance impact of window alignment. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 11.2.2 Window That Allocates Memory

		io, comm, busepti, wing	
IN	size	size of window in bytes (non-negative integer)	22
IN	disp_unit	local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in- teger)	23 24 25
IN	info	info argument (handle)	26
IN	comm	intra-communicator (handle)	27
OUT	baseptr	initial address of window (choice)	28
	•		29
OUT	win	window object returned by the call (handle)	30
			31 32
int MPI_		e, int disp_unit, MPI_Info info,	32 33
	MPI_Comm comm, void	*baseptr, MPI_Win *win)	34
MPI Win	allocate(size, disp unit,	info, comm, baseptr, win, ierror)	35
	INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BIND	· · · · ·	36
INTE	GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	), INTENT(IN) :: size	37
INTE	GER, INTENT(IN) :: disp_	unit	38
TYPE	(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::	info	39
	(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::		40
	(C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) ::	-	41
	(MPI_Win), INTENT(OUT) ::		42
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	43
MPI_WIN_	ALLOCATE(SIZE, DISP_UNIT,	INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR)	44
	GER DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM		45
	GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND	· ·	46
			47
			48

## MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE(size, disp\_unit, info, comm, baseptr, win)

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

1 This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. On each  $\mathbf{2}$ process, it allocates memory of at least size bytes, returns a pointer to it, and returns a 3 window object that can be used by all processes in comm to perform RMA operations. The 4 returned memory consists of size bytes local to each process, starting at address baseptr  $\mathbf{5}$ and is associated with the window as if the user called MPI\_WIN\_CREATE on existing 6 memory. The size argument may be different at each process and size = 0 is valid; however, a 7library might allocate and expose more memory in order to create a fast, globally symmetric 8 allocation. The discussion of and rationales for MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM and MPI\_FREE\_MEM in 9 Section 8.2 also apply to MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE; in particular, see the rationale in Section 8.2 10for an explanation of the type used for baseptr. 11If the Fortran compiler provides TYPE(C\_PTR), then the following generic interface must 12be provided in the mpi module and should be provided in mpif.h through overloading, 13i.e., with the same routine name as the routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) 14BASEPTR, but with a different specific procedure name: 1516INTERFACE MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE SUBROUTINE MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE(SIZE, DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, & 17 18 WIN, IERROR) 19 IMPORT :: MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND INTEGER DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR 20INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR 21END SUBROUTINE 2223SUBROUTINE MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_CPTR(SIZE, DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, BASEPTR, & 24WIN, IERROR) USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO\_C\_BINDING, ONLY : C\_PTR 25IMPORT :: MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND 26INTEGER :: DISP\_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR 27INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: SIZE 28TYPE(C\_PTR) :: BASEPTR 29END SUBROUTINE 30 END INTERFACE 3132 The base procedure name of this overloaded function is MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_CPTR. 33 The specific procedure names are described in Section 17.1.5. 3435 Rationale. By allocating (potentially aligned) memory instead of allowing the user 36 to pass in an arbitrary buffer, this call can improve the performance for systems with 37 remote direct memory access. This also permits the collective allocation of memory 38 and supports what is sometimes called the "symmetric allocation" model that can be 39 more scalable (for example, the implementation can arrange to return an address for 40 the allocated memory that is the same on all processes). (End of rationale.) 41 42The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for 43 MPI\_WIN\_CREATE and MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM. 44454647 48

#### 11.2.3 Window That Allocates Shared Memory

IN	size	<pre>isp_unit, info, comm, baseptr, win) size of local window in bytes (non-negative integer)</pre>	5 6
IN	disp_unit	local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in- teger)	6 7 8
IN	info	info argument (handle)	9
IN	comm	intra-communicator (handle)	10 11
OUT	baseptr	address of local allocated window segment (choice)	11
OUT	win	window object returned by the call (handle)	13 14
int MPI_V		int size, int disp_unit, MPI_Info info, *baseptr, MPI_Win *win)	15 16 17
USE,	INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BIND GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND	), INTENT(IN) :: size	18 19
INTEC TYPE TYPE TYPE TYPE	GER, INTENT(IN) :: disp_ (MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: (MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: (C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: (MPI_Win), INTENT(OUT) :: GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	info comm baseptr win	20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

 $^{31}$ This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. On each process i, it allocates memory of at least size bytes that is shared among all processes in comm, and returns a pointer to the locally allocated segment in **baseptr** that can be used for load/store accesses on the calling process. The locally allocated memory can be the target of load/store accesses by remote processes; the base pointers for other processes can be queried using the function MPI\_WIN\_SHARED\_QUERY. The call also returns a window object that can be used by all processes in comm to perform RMA operations. The size argument may be different at each process and size = 0 is valid. It is the user's responsibility to ensure that the communicator comm represents a group of processes that can create a shared memory segment that can be accessed by all processes in the group.  $^{41}$ The discussions of rationales for MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM and MPI\_FREE\_MEM in Section 8.2 also apply to MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED; in particular, see the rationale in Section 8.2for an explanation of the type used for **baseptr**. The allocated memory is contiguous across process ranks unless the info key alloc\_shared\_noncontig is specified. Contiguous across process ranks means that the first address in the memory segment of process i is consecutive with the last address in the memory segment of process i-1. This may enable the user to calculate remote address offsets with local information only.

<sup>1</sup> If the Fortran compiler provides TYPE(C\_PTR), then the following generic interface must <sup>2</sup> be provided in the mpi module and should be provided in mpif.h through overloading, <sup>3</sup> i.e., with the same routine name as the routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) <sup>4</sup> BASEPTR, but with a different specific procedure name:

```
6
     INTERFACE MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED
\overline{7}
          SUBROUTINE MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED(SIZE, DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, &
          BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR)
8
               IMPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KIND
9
              INTEGER DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR
10
11
              INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR
          END SUBROUTINE
12
          SUBROUTINE MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED_CPTR(SIZE, DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, &
13
          BASEPTR, WIN, IERROR)
14
              USE, INTRINSIC ::
                                    ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
15
              IMPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KIND
16
              INTEGER :: DISP_UNIT, INFO, COMM, WIN, IERROR
17
              INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ::
18
                                                       SIZE
19
              TYPE(C_PTR) :: BASEPTR
          END SUBROUTINE
20
     END INTERFACE
21
22
          The base procedure name of this overloaded function is
23
     MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED_CPTR. The implied specific procedure names are described
^{24}
     in Section 17.1.5.
25
          The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for
26
     MPI_WIN_CREATE, MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE, and MPI_ALLOC_MEM. The additional info
27
     key alloc_shared_noncontig allows the library to optimize the layout of the shared memory
28
     segments in memory.
29
30
           Advice to users. If the info key alloc_shared_noncontig is not set to true, the allocation
31
           strategy is to allocate contiguous memory across process ranks. This may limit the
32
           performance on some architectures because it does not allow the implementation to
33
           modify the data layout (e.g., padding to reduce access latency). (End of advice to
34
           users.)
35
36
           Advice to implementors. If the user sets the info key alloc_shared_noncontig to true,
37
           the implementation can allocate the memory requested by each process in a location
38
           that is close to this process. This can be achieved by padding or allocating memory
39
           in special memory segments. Both techniques may make the address space across
40
           consecutive ranks noncontiguous. (End of advice to implementors.)
41
42
          The consistency of load/store accesses from/to the shared memory as observed by the
43
     user program depends on the architecture. A consistent view can be created in the unified
44
     memory model (see Section 11.4) by utilizing the window synchronization functions (see
45
     Section 11.5) or explicitly completing outstanding store accesses (e.g., by calling
46
     MPI_WIN_FLUSH). MPI does not define semantics for accessing shared memory windows
47
     in the separate memory model.
48
```

48

MPI_WIN_	SHARED_QUERY(win, rank, s	size, disp_unit, baseptr)	1
IN	win	shared memory window object (handle)	2
IN	rank	rank in the group of window win (non-negative inte- ger) or MPI_PROC_NULL	3 4 5
OUT	size	size of the window segment (non-negative integer)	6
OUT	disp_unit	local unit size for displacements, in bytes (positive in-	7
	1 -	teger)	8 9
OUT	baseptr	address for load/store access to window segment	10
		(choice)	11
			12
int MPI_W		vin, int rank, MPI_Aint *size,	13
	int *disp_unit, void	*baseptr)	14 15
	1 0	lze, disp_unit, baseptr, ierror)	16
-	INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BIND	-	17
	<pre>MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: ER, INTENT(IN) :: rank</pre>	Win	18
	ER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	, INTENT(OUT) :: size	19
	ER, INTENT(OUT) :: disp_		20
	C_PTR), INTENT(OUT) :: h		21 22
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			23
MPI_WIN_S	HARED_QUERY(WIN, RANK, SI	IZE, DISP_UNIT, BASEPTR, IERROR)	24
	ER WIN, RANK, DISP_UNIT,		25
INTEG	ER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KINI	)) SIZE, BASEPTR	26
This f	unction queries the process-lo	ocal address for remote memory segments created	27
		This function can return different process-local ad-	28 29
		a different processes. The returned memory can be	30
	, _	he constraints defined in Section 11.7. This func-	31
		type MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_SHARED. If the passed	32
		OR_SHARED, the error MPI_ERR_RMA_FLAVOR is the pointer, disp_unit, and size returned are the	33
	,	y segment belonging the lowest rank that specified	34
<b>•</b> '	• /	stached to the window specified size $= 0$ , then the	35 36
call return	s size = 0 and a baseptr as if $\mathbf{N}$	$MPI_ALLOC_MEM \text{ was called with size} = 0.$	30
		E(C_PTR), then the following generic interface must	38
-	-	ould be provided in mpif.h through overloading,	39
,		routine with INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	40
BASEPIR, L	out with a different specific pr	ocedure name:	41
INTERFACE	MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY		42
SUBRO	UTINE MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUEF	RY(WIN, RANK, SIZE, DISP_UNIT, &	43 44
	TR, IERROR)		45
	MPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KINI		46
	NTEGER WIN, RANK, DISP_UN NTEGER (KIND=MPI ADDRESS		47

INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) SIZE, BASEPTR

1	END S	SUBROUTINE	
2			Y_CPTR(WIN, RANK, SIZE, DISP_UNIT, &
3		PTR, IERROR)	
4		JSE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BI	
5 6		IMPORT :: MPI_ADDRESS_KIND	
7		INTEGER :: WIN, RANK, DISF INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K	
8		TYPE(C_PTR) :: BASEPTR	(IND) SIZE
9		SUBROUTINE	
10	END INTER		
11			
12		-	cloaded function is MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY_CPTR.
13 14	The implie	ed linker names are described i	in Section 17.1.5.
14 15	11.2.4 W	/indow of Dynamically Attach	ed Memory
16		<b>PRMA</b> model requires the w	ser to identify the local memory that may be a
17		-	indow is created. This has advantages for both
18	0		be updated by one-sided operations and provides
19 20	* 0	( °	ation (special steps may be taken to make one-
20	sided acces	ss to such memory more efficie	ent). However, consider implementing a modifiable
22	linked list using RMA operations; as new items are added to the list, memory must be		
23	allocated. In a C or C++ program, this memory is typically allocated using malloc or		
24	<b>new</b> respectively. In MPI-2 RMA, the programmer must create a window with a predefined amount of memory and then implement routines for allocating memory from within the		
25		· ·	s no easy way to handle the situation where the
26			t to be inadequate. To support this model, the
27			creates a window that makes it possible to expose
28 29			n. It must be used in combination with the local
30	routines M	IPI_WIN_ATTACH and MPI_W	/IN_DETACH.
31			
32	MPI_WIN_	_CREATE_DYNAMIC(info, com	nm, win)
33 34	IN	info	info argument (handle)
35	IN	comm	intra-communicator (handle)
36	OUT	win	window object returned by the call (handle)
37			
38 39	int MPI_W	Vin_create_dynamic(MPI_Inf	to info, MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Win *win)
40	MPI_Win_c	create_dynamic(info, comm,	win, ierror)
41		(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::	info
42		(MPI_Comm), INTENT(IN) ::	
43		(MPI_Win), INTENT(OUT) ::	
44 45	INTEG	SER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror
45 46	MPI_WIN_C	CREATE_DYNAMIC(INFO, COMM,	WIN, IERROR)
47	INTEG	GER INFO, COMM, WIN, IERRO	)R
48			

This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group of comm. It returns a window win without memory attached. Existing process memory can be attached as described below. This routine returns a window object that can be used by these processes to perform RMA operations on attached memory. Because this window has special properties, it will sometimes be referred to as a *dynamic* window.

The info argument can be used to specify hints similar to the info argument for MPI\_WIN\_CREATE.

In the case of a window created with MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC, the target\_disp for all RMA functions is the address at the target; i.e., the effective window\_base is MPI\_BOTTOM and the disp\_unit is one. For dynamic windows, the target\_disp argument to RMA communication operations is not restricted to non-negative values. Users should use MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS at the target process to determine the address of a target memory location and communicate this address to the origin process.

Advice to users. Users are cautioned that displacement arithmetic can overflow in variables of type MPI\_Aint and result in unexpected values on some platforms. This issue may be addressed in a future version of MPI. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. In environments with heterogeneous data representations, care must be exercised in communicating addresses between processes. For example, it is possible that an address valid at the target process (for example, a 64-bit pointer) cannot be expressed as an address at the origin (for example, the origin uses 32-bit pointers). For this reason, a portable MPI implementation should ensure that the type MPI\_AINT (see Table 3.3) is able to store addresses from any process. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Memory in this window may not be used as the target of one-sided accesses in this window until it is attached using the function MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH. That is, in addition to using MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC to create an MPI window, the user must use MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH before any local memory may be the target of an MPI RMA operation. Only memory that is currently accessible may be attached.

MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH(win, base, size)

		ase, size)	34
IN	win	window object (handle)	35
IN	base	initial address of memory to be attached	36
IN	size	size of memory to be attached in bytes	37
IIN	SIZC	size of memory to be attached in bytes	38
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			39
int MP.	I_WIN_attach(MPI	_Win win, void *base, MPI_Aint size)	40
MPI_Wi	n_attach(win, ba	se, size, ierror)	41
TYI	PE(MPI_Win), INT	ENT(IN) :: win	42
TYI	PE(*), DIMENSION	(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: base	43
IN	TEGER(KIND=MPI_A	DDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size	44
IN	FEGER, OPTIONAL,	INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	45
			46
_		SE, SIZE, IERROR)	47
IN	FEGER WIN, IERRO	R	48

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

1	• -	e> BASE(*)		
3	TNLE(	GER (KIND=MPI_ADDF	(ESS_KIND) SIZE	
4		-	region beginning at <b>base</b> for remote access within the given	
5		window. The memory region specified must not contain any part that is already attached		
6		to the window win, that is, attaching overlapping memory concurrently within the same window is erroneous. The argument win must be a window that was created with		
7			. Multiple (but non-overlapping) memory regions may be	
8 9		to the same window.	. Musiple (but non overlapping) memory regions may be	
9 10				
11		* 0	hat memory be explicitly attached before it is exposed to	
12		-	r processes can significantly simplify implementations and	
13	-	*	The ability to make memory available for RMA operations ctive MPI_WIN_CREATE call is needed for some one-sided	
14		gramming models. $(E$		
15	P-06			
16 17			ching memory to a window may require the use of scarce	
18		, , ,	g large regions of memory is not recommended in portable	
19			emory to a window may fail if sufficient resources are not o the behavior of MPI_ALLOC_MEM.	
20				
21		-	ble for ensuring that MPI_WIN_ATTACH at the target has attempts to target that memory with an MPI RMA call.	
22		-		
23 24		° .	cation to memory that has not been attached to a window CREATE_DYNAMIC is erroneous. ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )	
24 25	crea		The of additional and the second se	
26		ice to implementors.		
27		e	for attaching as possible. Any limitations should be docu-	
28	men	ted by the implement	tor. (End of advice to implementors.)	
29	Attac	thing memory is a lo	cal operation as defined by MPI, which means that the call	
30 31	is not collective and completes without requiring any MPI routine to be called in any other			
32	-		hed with the routine MPI_WIN_DETACH. After memory has	
33		, 0	he target of an MPI RMA operation on that window (unless	
34	the memo	ry is re-attached with	n MPI_WIN_ATTACH).	
35				
36	MPI_WIN	_DETACH(win, base)		
37 38	IN	win	window object (handle)	
39	IN	base	initial address of memory to be detached	
40				
41	int MPI_	Win_detach(MPI_Win	win, const void *base)	
42	MPI_Win (	detach(win, base,	ierror)	
43 44		(MPI_Win), INTENT(		
44			, ASYNCHRONOUS :: base	
46	INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INT	ENT(OUT) :: ierror	
47	MPI_WIN_	DETACH(WIN, BASE,	IERROR)	
48	INTE	GER WIN, IERROR		

<type> B</type>	ASE(*)
-----------------	--------

Detaches a previously attached memory region beginning at base. The arguments base and win must match the arguments passed to a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH.

Advice to users. Detaching memory may permit the implementation to make more efficient use of special memory or provide memory that may be needed by a subsequent MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH. Users are encouraged to detach memory that is no longer needed. Memory should be detached before it is freed by the user. (*End of advice to users.*)

Memory becomes detached when the associated dynamic memory window is freed, see Section 11.2.5.

```
11.2.5 Window Destruction
```

MPI\_WIN\_FREE(win)

INOUT win	window object (handle)
-----------	------------------------

int MPI\_Win\_free(MPI\_Win \*win)

MPI\_Win\_free(win, ierror)
 TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(INOUT) :: win
 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

MPI\_WIN\_FREE(WIN, IERROR) INTEGER WIN, IERROR

Frees the window object win and returns a null handle (equal to MPI\_WIN\_NULL). This is a collective call executed by all processes in the group associated with win. MPI\_WIN\_FREE(win) can be invoked by a process only after it has completed its involvement in RMA communications on window win: e.g., the process has called MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, or called MPI\_WIN\_WAIT to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_POST or called MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_START or called MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK to match a previous call to MPI\_WIN\_START or called with windows created by a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE may be freed after the call returns. If the window was created with MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE, MPI\_WIN\_FREE will free the window memory that was allocated in MPI\_WIN\_FREE will free the window memory that was allocated in MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED, MPI\_WIN\_FREE will free the window memory that was allocated in MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED.

Freeing a window that was created with a call to MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_DYNAMIC detaches all associated memory; i.e., it has the same effect as if all attached memory was detached by calls to MPI\_WIN\_DETACH.

Advice to implementors.MPI\_WIN\_FREE requires a barrier synchronization: no44process can return from free until all processes in the group of45win call free.This ensures that no process will attempt to access a remote window46(e.g., with lock/unlock) after it was freed.The only exception to this rule is when the47user sets the no\_locks info key to true when creating the window.In that case, an MPI48

 $\overline{7}$ 

implementation may free the local window without barrier synchronization. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

2 3 4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

1

11.2.6 Window Attributes

The following attributes are cached with a window when the window is created.

8	MPI_WIN_BASE	window base address.
9	MPI_WIN_SIZE	window size, in bytes.
10	MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT	displacement unit associated with the window.
10	MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR	how the window was created.
	MPI_WIN_MODEL	memory model for window.
12		v
13		ttr(win, MPI_WIN_BASE, &base, &flag),
14	MPI_Win_get_attr(win, MPI_WIN_SIZE, &size, &flag),	

15 MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_DISP\_UNIT, &disp\_unit, &flag),

<sup>16</sup> MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_FLAVOR, &create\_kind, &flag), and

MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_MODEL, &memory\_model, &flag) will return in base a pointer to the start of the window win, and will return in size, disp\_unit, create\_kind, and memory\_model pointers to the size, displacement unit of the window, the kind of routine used to create the window, and the memory model, respectively. A detailed listing of the type of the pointer in the attribute value argument to MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR and MPI\_WIN\_SET\_ATTR is shown in Table 11.1.

<sup>22</sup> MFT\_WIN\_SET\_ATTIC IS SHOWIN IN TAble 11.1.

Attribute	C Type
MPI_WIN_BASE	void *
MPI_WIN_SIZE	MPI_Aint *
MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT	int *
MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR	int *
MPI_WIN_MODEL	int *

29 30 31

32

33

43

Table 11.1: C types of attribute value argument to MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR and MPI\_WIN\_SET\_ATTR.

<sup>34</sup> In Fortran, calls to MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_BASE, base, flag, ierror),

<sup>35</sup> MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_SIZE, size, flag, ierror),

<sup>30</sup> MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_DISP\_UNIT, disp\_unit, flag, ierror),

<sup>37</sup> MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_CREATE\_FLAVOR, create\_kind, flag, ierror), and
 <sup>38</sup> MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_MODEL, memory\_model, flag, ierror) will return in
 <sup>39</sup> base, size, disp\_unit, create\_kind, and memory\_model the (integer representation of) the

base address, the size, the displacement unit of the window win, the kind of routine used to
 create the window, and the memory model, respectively.

The values of create\_kind are

44	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_CREATE	Window was created with MPI_WIN_CREATE.
45	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_ALLOCATE	Window was created with
46		MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE.
47	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_DYNAMIC	Window was created with
48		MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC.

MPI_WI	N_FLAVOR_SHARED	Window was created with	1
		MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED.	2
The	values of memory model are MP	I_WIN_SEPARATE and MPI_WIN_UNIFIED. The mean-	3
	se is described in Section $11.4$ .		4 5
0		reated with MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC, the base address s 0. In C, pointers are returned, and in Fortran, the values are	
returned,	for the respective attributes. (	The window attribute access functions are defined	7 8
in Section	6.7.3.) The value returned f	for an attribute on a window is constant over the	9
lifetime of	f the window.		10
The	other "window attribute," nam	hely the group of processes attached to the window,	11
can be re	trieved using the call below.		12
			13
MPI WIN	_GET_GROUP(win, group)		14
	( 2 . ,		15
IN	win	window object (handle)	16
OUT	group	group of processes which share access to the window	17
		(handle)	18
			19
int MPI_	Win_get_group(MPI_Win win	, MPI_Group *group)	20
MDT Win	get_group(win, group, ier:	ror	21
	(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::		22
	(MPI_Group), INTENT(OUT)		23
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	<b>o i</b>	24
			25 26
	GET_GROUP(WIN, GROUP, IER	ROR)	20 27
INTE	GER WIN, GROUP, IERROR		21
MPI_	WIN_GET_GROUP returns a c	luplicate of the group of the communicator used to	20
		The group is returned in group.	30
			31

## 11.2.7 Window Info

Hints specified via info (see Section 9) allow a user to provide information to direct optimization. Providing hints may enable an implementation to deliver increased performance or use system resources more efficiently. However, hints do not change the semantics of any MPI interfaces. In other words, an implementation is free to ignore all hints. Hints are specified on a per window basis, in window creation functions and MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO, via the opaque info object. When an info object that specifies a subset of valid hints is passed to MPI\_WIN\_SET\_INFO there will be no effect on previously set or default hints that the info does not specify.

Advice to implementors. It may happen that a program is coded with hints for one system, and later executes on another system that does not support these hints. In general, unsupported hints should simply be ignored. Needless to say, no hint can be mandatory. However, for each hint used by a specific implementation, a default value must be provided when the user does not specify a value for the hint. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

```
1
     MPI_WIN_SET_INFO(win, info)
2
       INOUT
                                              window object (handle)
                 win
3
       IN
                 info
                                              info object (handle)
4
5
6
     int MPI_Win_set_info(MPI_Win win, MPI_Info info)
\overline{7}
     MPI_Win_set_info(win, info, ierror)
8
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::
                                             win
9
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                              info
10
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
11
12
     MPI_WIN_SET_INFO(WIN, INFO, IERROR)
          INTEGER WIN, INFO, IERROR
13
14
          MPI_WIN_SET_INFO sets new values for the hints of the window associated with win.
15
     The call is collective on the group of win. The info object may be different on each process,
16
     but any info entries that an implementation requires to be the same on all processes must
17
     appear with the same value in each process's info object.
18
19
                             Some info items that an implementation can use when it creates
           Advice to users.
20
           a window cannot easily be changed once the window has been created. Thus, an
21
           implementation may ignore hints issued in this call that it would have accepted in a
22
           creation call. (End of advice to users.)
23
^{24}
25
26
     MPI_WIN_GET_INFO(win, info_used)
27
       IN
                                              window object (handle)
                 win
28
       OUT
                 info_used
                                              new info object (handle)
29
30
     int MPI_Win_get_info(MPI_Win win, MPI_Info *info_used)
^{31}
32
     MPI_Win_get_info(win, info_used, ierror)
33
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
34
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                               info_used
35
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
36
37
     MPI_WIN_GET_INFO(WIN, INFO_USED, IERROR)
38
          INTEGER WIN, INFO_USED, IERROR
39
          MPI_WIN_GET_INFO returns a new info object containing the hints of the window
40
     associated with win. The current setting of all hints actually used by the system related to
41
     this window is returned in info_used. If no such hints exist, a handle to a newly created
42
     info object is returned that contains no key/value pair. The user is responsible for freeing
43
     info_used via MPI_INFO_FREE.
44
45
           Advice to users. The info object returned in info_used will contain all hints currently
46
           active for this window. This set of hints may be greater or smaller than the set of
47
           hints specified when the window was created, as the system may not recognize some
```

hints set by the user, and may recognize other hints that the user has not set. (*End of advice to users.*)

## 11.3 Communication Calls

MPI supports the following RMA communication calls: MPI\_PUT and MPI\_RPUT transfer data from the caller memory (origin) to the target memory; MPI\_GET and MPI\_RGET transfer data from the target memory to the caller memory; MPI\_ACCUMULATE and MPI\_RACCUMULATE update locations in the target memory, e.g., by adding to these locations values sent from the caller memory; MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE,

MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, and MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP perform atomic read-modify-write and return the data before the accumulate operation; and MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP performs a remote atomic compare and swap operation. These operations are *nonblocking*: the call initiates the transfer, but the transfer may continue after the call returns. The transfer is completed, at the origin or both the origin and the target, when a subsequent *synchronization* call is issued by the caller on the involved window object. These synchronization calls are described in Section 11.5. Transfers can also be completed with calls to flush routines; see Section 11.5.4 for details. For the MPI\_RPUT, MPI\_RGET, MPI\_RACCUMULATE, and MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE calls, the transfer can be locally completed by using the MPI test or wait operations described in Section 3.7.3.

The local communication buffer of an RMA call should not be updated, and the local communication buffer of a get call should not be accessed after the RMA call until the operation completes at the origin.

The outcome of concurrent conflicting accesses to the same memory locations is undefined; if a location is updated by a put or accumulate operation, then the outcome of loads or other RMA operations is undefined until the updating operation has completed at the target. There is one exception to this rule; namely, the same location can be updated by several concurrent accumulate calls, the outcome being as if these updates occurred in some order. In addition, the outcome of concurrent load/store and RMA updates to the same memory location is undefined. These restrictions are described in more detail in Section 11.7.

The calls use general datatype arguments to specify communication buffers at the origin and at the target. Thus, a transfer operation may also gather data at the source and scatter it at the destination. However, all arguments specifying both communication buffers are provided by the caller.

For all RMA calls, the target process may be identical with the origin process; i.e., a process may use an RMA operation to move data in its memory.

*Rationale.* The choice of supporting "self-communication" is the same as for messagepassing. It simplifies some coding, and is very useful with accumulate operations, to allow atomic updates of local variables. (*End of rationale.*)

MPI\_PROC\_NULL is a valid target rank in all MPI RMA communication calls. The effect is the same as for MPI\_PROC\_NULL in MPI point-to-point communication. After any RMA operation with rank MPI\_PROC\_NULL, it is still necessary to finish the RMA epoch with the synchronization method that started the epoch. 1

2

3 4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

	418		CHAPTER 11.	ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS	
1	11.3.1	Put			
The execution of a put operation is similar to the execution of a send by the origin and a matching receive by the target process. The obvious difference is that all ar are provided by one call — the call executed by the origin process.				vious difference is that all arguments	
7 8	MPI_PI	MPI_PUT(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_coun target_datatype, win)			
9 10	IN	origin_addr	initial addres	s of origin buffer (choice)	
11 12	IN	origin_count	number of en ger)	tries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-	
13	IN	origin_datatype	datatype of e	ach entry in origin buffer (handle)	
14 15	IN	target_rank	rank of targe	t (non-negative integer)	
16 17	IN	target_disp	displacement (non-negative	from start of window to target buffer e integer)	
18 19	IN	target_count	number of en ger)	tries in target buffer (non-negative inte-	
20 21	IN	target_datatype	datatype of e	ach entry in target buffer (handle)	
22	IN	win	window objec	t used for communication (handle)	
24 25 26 27 28 29	MPI_Pu	target_count, MPI t(origin_addr, origin_co	int target_rank _Datatype targe unt, origin_dat get_count, targe	x, MPI_Aint target_disp, int et_datatype, MPI_Win win) atype, target_rank, et_datatype, win, ierror)	
30 31 32 33 34	IN TY IN TY	TEGER, INTENT(IN) :: or PE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K PE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN)	igin_count, tar (IN) :: origin IND), INTENT(IN :: win	get_rank, target_count _datatype, target_datatype ) :: target_disp	
35	IN	TEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(	OUT) :: ierror		
36 37 38		T(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_CO TARGET_DISP, TARG vpe> ORIGIN_ADDR(*)		ATYPE, TARGET_RANK, ET_DATATYPE, WIN, IERROR)	
39 40	IN	TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_K TEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIG			
41		RGET_DATATYPE, WIN, IERR			
42 43 44 45 46 47	starting target_r window and win	g at address origin_addr on th rank pair. The data are writ _base+target_disp×disp_unit ndow displacement unit speci	ten in the target , where window_ba fied at window ini	ype specified by the origin_datatype, the target node specified by the win, buffer at address target_addr = ase and disp_unit are the base address itialization, by the target process.	
48	$\mathrm{Th}$	e target buffer is specified by	<sup>r</sup> the arguments <b>t</b> a	$\operatorname{rget\_count}$ and $\operatorname{target\_datatype}$ .	

The data transfer is the same as that which would occur if the origin process executed a send operation with arguments origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, target\_rank, tag, comm, and the target process executed a receive operation with arguments target\_addr, target\_count, target\_datatype, source, tag, comm, where target\_addr is the target buffer address computed as explained above, the values of tag are arbitrary valid matching tag values, and comm is a communicator for the group of win.

The communication must satisfy the same constraints as for a similar message-passing communication. The target\_datatype may not specify overlapping entries in the target buffer. The message sent must fit, without truncation, in the target buffer. Furthermore, the target buffer must fit in the target window or in attached memory in a dynamic window.

The target\_datatype argument is a handle to a datatype object defined at the origin process. However, this object is interpreted at the target process: the outcome is as if the target datatype object was defined at the target process by the same sequence of calls used to define it at the origin process. The target datatype must contain only relative displacements, not absolute addresses. The same holds for get and accumulate.

Advice to users. The target\_datatype argument is a handle to a datatype object that is defined at the origin process, even though it defines a data layout in the target process memory. This causes no problems in a homogeneous environment, or in a heterogeneous environment if only portable datatypes are used (portable datatypes are defined in Section 2.4).

The performance of a put transfer can be significantly affected, on some systems, by the choice of window location and the shape and location of the origin and target buffer: transfers to a target window in memory allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM or MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE may be much faster on shared memory systems; transfers from contiguous buffers will be faster on most, if not all, systems; the alignment of the communication buffers may also impact performance. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. A high-quality implementation will attempt to prevent remote accesses to memory outside the window that was exposed by the process. This, both for debugging purposes, and for protection with client-server codes that use RMA. I.e., a high-quality implementation will check, if possible, window bounds on each RMA call, and raise an MPI exception at the origin call if an out-of-bound situation occurs. Note that the condition can be checked at the origin. Of course, the added safety achieved by such checks has to be weighed against the added cost of such checks. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

> 8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

 $^{48}$ 

CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS

1	11.3.2	Get		
2 3				
4 5	MPI_GE	T(origin_addr, origin_coun target_datatype, w	t, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count, in)	
6 7	OUT	origin_addr	initial address of origin buffer (choice)	
8 9	IN	origin_count	number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative integer)	
10	IN	origin_datatype	datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)	
11 12	IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)	
13 14	IN	target_disp	displacement from window start to the beginning of the target buffer (non-negative integer)	
15 16	IN	target_count	number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)	
17 18	IN	target_datatype	datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)	
19	IN	win	window object used for communication (handle)	
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<pre>MPI_Datatype origin_datatype, int target_rank, MPI_Aint target_disp, int target_count, MPI_Datatype target_datatype, MPI_Win win) MPI_Get(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count, target_datatype, win, ierror) TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin_count, target_rank, target_count TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>			
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	<ty INT INT TAR Sin are cop overlapp target w</ty 	TARGET_DISP, TA ype> ORIGIN_ADDR(*) TEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS TEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, OR RGET_DATATYPE, WIN, IEI nilar to MPI_PUT, except ied from the target memory ping entries in the origin b	IGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT, AROR that the direction of data transfer is reversed. Data bry to the origin. The origin_datatype may not specify buffer. The target buffer must be contained within the memory in a dynamic window, and the copied data must	

## 11.3.3 Examples for Communication Calls

These examples show the use of the MPI\_GET function. As all MPI RMA communication functions are nonblocking, they must be completed. In the following, this is accomplished with the routine MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, introduced in Section 11.5.

**Example 11.1** We show how to implement the generic indirect assignment A = B(map), where A, B, and map have the same distribution, and map is a permutation. To simplify, we assume a block distribution with equal size blocks.

```
SUBROUTINE MAPVALS(A, B, map, m, comm, p)
USE MPI
INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p
REAL A(m), B(m)
INTEGER otype(p), oindex(m),
                               & ! used to construct origin datatypes
     ttype(p), tindex(m),
                             & ! used to construct target datatypes
     count(p), total(p),
                               &
     disp_int, win, ierr
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp_aint
! This part does the work that depends on the locations of B.
! Can be reused while this does not change
CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, ierr)
disp_int = realextent
size = m * realextent
CALL MPI_WIN_CREATE(B, size, disp_int, MPI_INFO_NULL,
                                                         &
                     comm, win, ierr)
! This part does the work that depends on the value of map and
! the locations of the arrays.
! Can be reused while these do not change
! Compute number of entries to be received from each process
DO i=1,p
  count(i) = 0
END DO
DO i=1,m
  j = map(i)/m+1
  count(j) = count(j)+1
END DO
total(1) = 0
DO i=2,p
  total(i) = total(i-1) + count(i-1)
END DO
```

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

```
1
     DO i=1,p
\mathbf{2}
       count(i) = 0
3
     END DO
4
\mathbf{5}
     ! compute origin and target indices of entries.
6
     ! entry i at current process is received from location
\overline{7}
     ! k at process (j-1), where map(i) = (j-1)*m + (k-1),
8
     ! j = 1...p and k = 1...m
9
10
     DO i=1,m
11
       j = map(i)/m+1
12
       k = MOD(map(i), m) + 1
13
       count(j) = count(j)+1
14
       oindex(total(j) + count(j)) = i
15
       tindex(total(j) + count(j)) = k
16
     END DO
17
18
     ! create origin and target datatypes for each get operation
19
     DO i=1,p
20
       CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK(count(i), 1, &
21
                                              oindex(total(i)+1:total(i)+count(i)), &
22
                                              MPI_REAL, otype(i), ierr)
23
       CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(otype(i), ierr)
^{24}
       CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK(count(i), 1, &
25
                                              tindex(total(i)+1:total(i)+count(i)), &
26
                                              MPI_REAL, ttype(i), ierr)
27
       CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(ttype(i), ierr)
28
     END DO
29
30
     ! this part does the assignment itself
^{31}
     CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
32
     disp_aint = 0
33
     DO i=1,p
34
       CALL MPI_GET(A, 1, otype(i), i-1, disp_aint, 1, ttype(i), win, ierr)
35
     END DO
36
     CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
37
38
     CALL MPI_WIN_FREE(win, ierr)
39
     DO i=1,p
40
       CALL MPI_TYPE_FREE(otype(i), ierr)
41
       CALL MPI_TYPE_FREE(ttype(i), ierr)
42
     END DO
43
     RETURN
44
     END
45
46
     Example 11.2
47
48
```

A simpler version can be written that does not require that a datatype be built for the target buffer. But, one then needs a separate get call for each entry, as illustrated below. This code is much simpler, but usually much less efficient, for large arrays.

```
SUBROUTINE MAPVALS(A, B, map, m, comm, p)
USE MPI
INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p
REAL A(m), B(m)
INTEGER disp_int, win, ierr
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp_aint
CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, ierr)
disp_int = realextent
size = m * realextent
CALL MPI_WIN_CREATE(B, size, disp_int, MPI_INFO_NULL,
                                                        &
                    comm, win, ierr)
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
DO i=1,m
  j = map(i)/m
  disp_aint = MOD(map(i),m)
  CALL MPI_GET(A(i), 1, MPI_REAL, j, disp_aint, 1, MPI_REAL, win, ierr)
END DO
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
CALL MPI_WIN_FREE(win, ierr)
RETURN
END
```

## 11.3.4 Accumulate Functions

It is often useful in a put operation to combine the data moved to the target process with the data that resides at that process, rather then replacing the data there. This will allow, for example, the accumulation of a sum by having all involved processes add their contributions to the sum variable in the memory of one process. The accumulate functions have slightly different semantics with respect to overlapping data accesses than the put and get functions; see Section 11.7 for details.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

1	Accumula	te Function	
2	Accumula		
3			
4 5	MPI_ACCUMULATE(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count, target_datatype, op, win)		
6 7	IN	origin_addr	initial address of buffer (choice)
8	IN	origin_count	number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)
9	IN	origin_datatype	datatype of each entry (handle)
10 11	IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)
12 13	IN	target_disp	displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer)
14 15	IN	target_count	number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)
16 17	IN	target_datatype	datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)
18	IN	ор	reduce operation (handle)
19	IN	win	window object (handle)
20 21			
21	int MPI_	Accumulate(const void *o:	rigin_addr, int origin_count,
23			_datatype, int target_rank,
24		-	p, int target_count,
25		MPI_Datatype target	_datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Win win)
26	MPI_Accu		in_count, origin_datatype, target_rank,
27 28	түрг	target_disp, target (*), DIMENSION(), INTE	_count, target_datatype, op, win, ierror) NT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr
29			in_count, target_rank, target_count
30			N) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype
31			D), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp
32		(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) ::	1
33 34		(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	
35			
36	MPI_ACCU	-	IN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,
37	<+170	e> ORIGIN_ADDR(*)	COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, IERROR)
38	• 1	GER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN	D) TARGET DISP
39 40			_DATATYPE,TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,
40	TARG	ET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, IE	RROR
42	Асси	mulate the contents of the orig	gin buffer (as defined by origin_addr, origin_count, and
43			by arguments target_count and target_datatype, at
44	-		specified by target_rank and win, using the operation
45	•	-	t data is combined into the target area instead of
46 47	overwritir	0	
47 48	•		or MPI_REDUCE can be used. User-defined functions MPI_SUM, each element of the origin buffer is added

to the corresponding element in the target, replacing the former value in the target.

Each datatype argument must be a predefined datatype or a derived datatype, where all basic components are of the same predefined datatype. Both datatype arguments must be constructed from the same predefined datatype. The operation **op** applies to elements of that predefined type. The parameter **target\_datatype** must not specify overlapping entries, and the target buffer must fit in the target window.

A new predefined operation, MPI\_REPLACE, is defined. It corresponds to the associative function f(a, b) = b; i.e., the current value in the target memory is replaced by the value supplied by the origin.

MPI\_REPLACE can be used only in MPI\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RACCUMULATE, MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP, and MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, but not in collective reduction operations such as MPI\_REDUCE.

Advice to users. MPI\_PUT is a special case of MPI\_ACCUMULATE, with the operation MPI\_REPLACE. Note, however, that MPI\_PUT and MPI\_ACCUMULATE have different constraints on concurrent updates. (*End of advice to users.*)

**Example 11.3** We want to compute  $B(j) = \sum_{map(i)=j} A(i)$ . The arrays A, B, and map are distributed in the same manner. We write the simple version.

```
SUBROUTINE SUM(A, B, map, m, comm, p)
USE MPI
INTEGER m, map(m), comm, p, win, ierr, disp_int
REAL A(m), B(m)
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) lowerbound, size, realextent, disp_aint
CALL MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT(MPI_REAL, lowerbound, realextent, ierr)
size = m * realextent
disp_int = realextent
CALL MPI_WIN_CREATE(B, size, disp_int, MPI_INFO_NULL, &
                    comm, win, ierr)
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
DO i=1,m
  j = map(i)/m
  disp_aint = MOD(map(i),m)
  CALL MPI_ACCUMULATE(A(i), 1, MPI_REAL, j, disp_aint, 1, MPI_REAL,
                                                                       &
                      MPI_SUM, win, ierr)
END DO
CALL MPI_WIN_FENCE(0, win, ierr)
CALL MPI_WIN_FREE(win, ierr)
RETURN
END
```

This code is identical to the code in Example 11.2, except that a call to get has been <sup>46</sup> replaced by a call to accumulate. (Note that, if map is one-to-one, the code computes  $B = A(map^{-1})$ , which is the reverse assignment to the one computed in that previous <sup>48</sup>

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

19

20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

example.) In a similar manner, we can replace in Example 11.1, the call to get by a call to
 accumulate, thus performing the computation with only one communication between any
 two processes.

4 5

Get Accumulate Function

<sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> It is often useful to have fetch-and-accumulate semantics such that the remote data is <sup>8</sup> returned to the caller before the sent data is accumulated into the remote data. The get <sup>9</sup> and accumulate steps are executed atomically for each basic element in the datatype (see <sup>10</sup> Section 11.7 for details). The predefined operation MPI\_REPLACE provides fetch-and-set <sup>11</sup> behavior.

```
    <sup>13</sup> MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, result_addr,
result_count, result_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count,
target_datatype, op, win)
```

16		0 = 51, 1, 1,, 1	
17	IN	origin_addr	initial address of buffer (choice)
18 19	IN	origin_count	number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative integer)
20 21	IN	origin_datatype	datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)
22	OUT	result_addr	initial address of result buffer (choice)
23 24	IN	result_count	number of entries in result buffer (non-negative integer)
25 26	IN	result_datatype	datatype of each entry in result buffer (handle)
27	IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)
28 29	IN	target_disp	displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer)
30 31	IN	target_count	number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)
32 33	IN	target_datatype	datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)
34	IN	ор	reduce operation (handle)
35 36	IN	win	window object (handle)
37 38 39 40 41 42	int MPI_(	MPI_Datatype origin_ int result_count, MP int target_rank, MPI	<pre>*origin_addr, int origin_count, datatype, void *result_addr, T_Datatype result_datatype, C_Aint target_disp, int target_count, datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Win win)</pre>
43 44 45 46		result_count, result target_count, target	rigin_count, origin_datatype, result_addr, datatype, target_rank, target_disp, datatype, op, win, ierror) T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr
47 48		(*), DIMENSION(), INTEN (*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC	

INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin\_count, result\_count, target\_rank, target\_count TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin\_datatype, target\_datatype, result\_datatype INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target\_disp TYPE(MPI\_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE(ORIGIN\_ADDR, ORIGIN\_COUNT, ORIGIN\_DATATYPE, RESULT\_ADDR, RESULT\_COUNT, RESULT\_DATATYPE, TARGET\_RANK, TARGET\_DISP, TARGET\_COUNT, TARGET\_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, IERROR) <type> ORIGIN\_ADDR(\*), RESULT\_ADDR(\*) INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) TARGET\_DISP INTEGER ORIGIN\_COUNT, ORIGIN\_DATATYPE, RESULT\_COUNT, RESULT\_DATATYPE, TARGET\_RANK, TARGET\_COUNT, TARGET\_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, IERROR

Accumulate origin\_count elements of type origin\_datatype from the origin buffer ( origin\_addr) to the buffer at offset target\_disp, in the target window specified by target\_rank and win, using the operation op and return in the result buffer result\_addr the content of the target buffer before the accumulation, specified by target\_disp, target\_count, and target\_datatype. The data transferred from origin to target must fit, without truncation, in the target buffer. Likewise, the data copied from target to origin must fit, without truncation, in the result buffer.

24The origin and result buffers (origin\_addr and result\_addr) must be disjoint. Each datatype argument must be a predefined datatype or a derived datatype where all basic components are of the same predefined datatype. All datatype arguments must be constructed from the same predefined datatype. The operation op applies to elements of that 27predefined type. target\_datatype must not specify overlapping entries, and the target buffer 29must fit in the target window or in attached memory in a dynamic window. The operation 30 is executed atomically for each basic datatype; see Section 11.7 for details.

Any of the predefined operations for MPI\_REDUCE, as well as MPI\_NO\_OP or MPI\_REPLACE can be specified as op. User-defined functions cannot be used. A new predefined operation, MPI\_NO\_OP, is defined. It corresponds to the associative function f(a,b) = a; i.e., the current value in the target memory is returned in the result buffer at the origin and no operation is performed on the target buffer. When MPI\_NO\_OP is specified as the operation, the origin\_addr, origin\_count, and origin\_datatype arguments are ignored. MPI\_NO\_OP can be used only in MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE, and MPI\_FETCH\_AND\_OP. MPI\_NO\_OP cannot be used in MPI\_ACCUMULATE, MPI\_RACCUMULATE, or collective reduction operations, such as MPI\_REDUCE and others.

Advice to users. MPI\_GET is similar to MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE, with the operation MPI\_NO\_OP. Note, however, that MPI\_GET and MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE have different constraints on concurrent updates. (End of advice to users.)

## Fetch and Op Function

The generic functionality of MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE might limit the performance of fetchand-increment or fetch-and-add calls that might be supported by special hardware oper1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

28

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44 45

46

47

	428	CH	IAPTER 11.	ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS
1 2 3	ations. MPI_FETCH_AND_OP thus allows for a fast implementation of a commonly used subset of the functionality of MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE.			
4 5 6	MPI_FETC	H_AND_OP(origin_addr, resul	t_addr, dataty	pe, target_rank, target_disp, op, win)
7	IN	origin_addr	initial address	s of buffer (choice)
8 9	OUT	result_addr	initial address	s of result buffer (choice)
10 11	IN	datatype	datatype of the fers (handle)	he entry in origin, result, and target buf-
12	IN	target_rank	rank of target	(non-negative integer)
13 14 15	IN	target_disp	-	from start of window to beginning of tar- n-negative integer)
16	IN	ор	reduce operat	ion (handle)
17 18	IN	win	window objec	t (handle)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	MPI_Fetch, TYPE( TYPE( INTEG INTEG TYPE( TYPE( INTEG INTEG	<pre>MPI_Op op, MPI_Win w: _and_op(origin_addr, resu target_disp, op, win *), DIMENSION(), INTENT *), DIMENSION(), ASYNCH MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ER, INTENT(IN) :: target ER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: c MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)</pre>	e, int targe in) alt_addr, da , ierror) C(IN), ASYNC IRONOUS :: : :: dataty c_rank , INTENT(IN) p win :: ierror	t_rank, MPI_Aint target_disp, tatype, target_rank, HRONOUS :: origin_addr result_addr pe ) :: target_disp
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<type: INTEGI INTEGI Accum buffer at or the operative before the accum The or predefined specified as</type: 	ffset target_disp, in the targe on op and return in the result accumulation. igin and result buffers (origin_ operations for MPI_REDUCE	, IERROR) ADDR(*) TARGET_DISD OP, WIN, ID tatype from t t window spectrum buffer result_ addr and result, as well as M annot be used	P ERROR he origin buffer (origin_addr) to the cified by target_rank and win, using addr the content of the target buffer lt_addr) must be disjoint. Any of the IPI_NO_OP or MPI_REPLACE, can be . The datatype argument must be a
48				

Compare	and Swap Function		1
compare	_	comic compare and swap where the value at the origin is get, which is atomically replaced by a third value only if e equal.	2 3 4 5 6
MPI_CO	MPARE_AND_SWAP(orig target_disp, win)	gin_addr, compare_addr, result_addr, datatype, target_rank,	7 8
IN	origin_addr	initial address of buffer (choice)	9 10
IN	compare_addr	initial address of compare buffer (choice)	11
OUT	result_addr	initial address of result buffer (choice)	12
IN	datatype	datatype of the element in all buffers (handle)	13 14
IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)	15
IN	target_disp	displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer)	16 17
IN	win	window object (handle)	18 19
TYP TYP TYP TYP INT	<pre>target_rank, ta E(*), DIMENSION(), E(*), DIMENSION(), E(*), DIMENSION(), E(MPI_Datatype), INTE EGER, INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	target_rank S_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTEN		33 34
<ty] INT</ty] 	TARGET_RANK, TA		35 36 37 38 39
compare_ target_ra origin_ad	addr with the buffer at on and replaces dr if the compare buffer	element of type datatype in the compare buffer offset target_disp in the target window specified by the value at the target with the value in the origin buffer and the target buffer are identical. The original value at fer result_addr. The parameter datatype must belong to	40 41 42 43 44 45

the target is returned in the buffer result\_addr. The parameter datatype must belong to one of the following categories of predefined datatypes: C integer, Fortran integer, Logical, Multi-language types, or Byte as specified in Section 5.9.2. The origin and result buffers (origin\_addr and result\_addr) must be disjoint.

46

47

_					
1 2	11.3.5 l	Request-based RMA Com	munication Operations		
3	-		n operations allow the user to associate a request handle		
4		with the RMA operations and test or wait for the completion of these requests using the			
5			B. Request-based RMA operations are only valid within		
6	-	target epoch (see Section	11.5). letion call in which an RMA operation completes, the		
7	-		status object is set appropriately (see Section 3.2.5). All		
8			Its of status query functions (e.g., MPI_GET_COUNT)		
9 10	are unde	fined. It is valid to mix	different request types (e.g., any combination of RMA equests, generalized requests, or point-to-point requests)		
11			ompletions (e.g., MPI_WAITALL). It is erroneous to call		
12 13			NCEL for a request associated with an RMA operation.		
14	RMA req	uests are not persistent.			
15			plicit bulk synchronization using		
16		,	SH_ALL, MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL, or		
17		,	so indicates completion of the RMA operations. How- n the request handle to allow the MPI implementation to		
18	,		with these requests; in such cases the wait operation will		
19 20	complete	0			
20 21		v			
22		IT (origin oddr origin cour	at origin datatung target rank target dien target count		
23		target_datatype, wi	nt, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, target_count,		
24					
25	IN	origin_addr	initial address of origin buffer (choice)		
26 27	IN	origin_count	number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte- ger)		
28	IN	origin_datatype	datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)		
29 30	IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)		
31 32	IN	target_disp	displacement from start of window to target buffer (non-negative integer)		
33 34 35	IN	target_count	number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)		
36	IN	target_datatype	datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)		
37	IN	win	window object used for communication (handle)		
38	OUT	request	RMA request (handle)		
39 40					
40	int MPI_	_Rput(const void *orig	in_addr, int origin_count,		
42			gin_datatype, int target_rank,		
43		-	disp, int target_count,		
44		MPI_Datatype tar MPI_Request *req	get_datatype, MPI_Win win, west)		
45			•		
46	MPI_Rput		count, origin_datatype, target_rank,		
47 48		target_disp, tar ierror)	get_count, target_datatype, win, request,		
-10		Tellol)			

TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin\_addr 1  $\mathbf{2}$ INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin\_count, target\_rank, target\_count 3 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin\_datatype, target\_datatype 4 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target\_disp TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 56 TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 7 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_RPUT(ORIGIN\_ADDR, ORIGIN\_COUNT, ORIGIN\_DATATYPE, TARGET\_RANK, 9 TARGET\_DISP, TARGET\_COUNT, TARGET\_DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST, 10 IERROR) 11 <type> ORIGIN\_ADDR(\*) 12INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) TARGET\_DISP 13 INTEGER ORIGIN\_COUNT, ORIGIN\_DATATYPE, TARGET\_RANK, TARGET\_COUNT, 14TARGET\_DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST, IERROR 1516MPI\_RPUT is similar to MPI\_PUT (Section 11.3.1), except that it allocates a commu-

nication request object and associates it with the request handle (the argument request). The completion of an MPI\_RPUT operation (i.e., after the corresponding test or wait) indicates that the sender is now free to update the locations in the origin buffer. It does not indicate that the data is available at the target window. If remote completion is required, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL can be used.

MPI\_RGET(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, target\_rank, target\_disp, target\_count, target\_datatype, win, request)

OUT	origin_addr	initial address of origin buffer (choice)	27
IN	origin_count	number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-	28
	-	ger)	29 30
IN	origin_datatype	datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)	31
IN	target_rank	rank of target (non-negative integer)	32
IN	target_disp	displacement from window start to the beginning of	33
	target_usp	the target buffer (non-negative integer)	34
		ő ( ő ő )	35
IN	target_count	number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-	36
		ger)	37
IN	target_datatype	datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)	38
IN	win	window object used for communication (handle)	39
	•••••	•	40
OUT	request	RMA request (handle)	41
			42

int MPI\_Rget(void \*origin\_addr, int origin\_count,

```
MPI_Datatype origin_datatype, int target_rank,
                                                                     44
MPI_Aint target_disp, int target_count,
                                                                     45
MPI_Datatype target_datatype, MPI_Win win,
                                                                     46
MPI_Request *request)
                                                                     47
```

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 $^{24}$ 

25

26

43

1	MPI_Rget		count, origin_datatype, target_rank,
$\frac{2}{3}$			<pre>get_count, target_datatype, win, request,</pre>
4	TVDE	ierror)	YNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr
5			rigin_count, target_rank, target_count
6			C(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype
7		• -	(IND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp
8		C(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN)	<b>o i</b>
9		C(MPI_Request), INTENT(	-
10	INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT	OUT) :: ierror
11 12	MPI_RGET	CORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_C	COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,
13		TARGET_DISP, TARG	GET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, WIN, REQUEST,
14		IERROR)	
15	• -	<pre>De&gt; ORIGIN_ADDR(*)</pre>	
16		CGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_M	·
17		ET_DATATYPE, WIN, REQU	IN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,
18			
19 20			<b>SET</b> (Section 11.3.2), except that it allocates a commu-
21		2 V	tes it with the request handle (the argument request) completion. The completion of an MPI_RGET operation
22			in the origin buffer. If origin_addr points to memory
23			a becomes available in the private copy of this window.
24		,	* **
25			
		CUMULATE(origin oddr o	rigin count origin datatype target rank target disp
25 26 27	MPI_RAC	•	origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank, target_disp, _datatype, op, win, request)
26 27 28	MPI_RAC	•	
26 27		target_count, target	_datatype, op, win, request)
26 27 28 29	IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	IN IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	IN IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	IN IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer) number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	IN IN IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer) number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte- ger)
26 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	IN IN IN IN IN	target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype	_datatype, op, win, request)initial address of buffer (choice)number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)rank of target (non-negative integer)displacement from start of window to beginning of target buffer (non-negative integer)number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)reduce operation (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	IN IN IN IN IN IN IN	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op win</pre>	_datatype, op, win, request)initial address of buffer (choice)number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)rank of target (non-negative integer)displacement from start of window to beginning of target buffer (non-negative integer)number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)reduce operation (handle)window object (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	IN IN IN IN IN IN	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op</pre>	_datatype, op, win, request)initial address of buffer (choice)number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)rank of target (non-negative integer)displacement from start of window to beginning of target buffer (non-negative integer)number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)reduce operation (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	IN IN IN IN IN IN IN OUT	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op win request</pre>	_datatype, op, win, request)initial address of buffer (choice)number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle)rank of target (non-negative integer)displacement from start of window to beginning of target buffer (non-negative integer)number of entries in target buffer (non-negative integer)datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle)reduce operation (handle)window object (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	IN IN IN IN IN IN IN OUT	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op win request Raccumulate(const void</pre>	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer) number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte- ger) datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle) reduce operation (handle) window object (handle) RMA request (handle)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	IN IN IN IN IN IN IN OUT	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op win request Raccumulate(const void MPI_Datatype orig MPI_Aint target_datatype</pre>	_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer) number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte- ger) datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle) reduce operation (handle) window object (handle) RMA request (handle) I *origin_addr, int origin_count, gin_datatype, int target_rank, disp, int target_count,
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	IN IN IN IN IN IN IN OUT	<pre>target_count, target_ origin_addr origin_count origin_datatype target_rank target_disp target_count target_datatype op win request Raccumulate(const void MPI_Datatype orig MPI_Aint target_datatype</pre>	<pre>_datatype, op, win, request) initial address of buffer (choice) number of entries in buffer (non-negative integer) datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) rank of target (non-negative integer) displacement from start of window to beginning of tar- get buffer (non-negative integer) number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte- ger) datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle) reduce operation (handle) window object (handle) RMA request (handle) I *origin_addr, int origin_count, gin_datatype, int target_rank, disp, int target_count, get_datatype, MPI_Op op, MPI_Win win,</pre>

MPI_Raccumulate(origin_addr, origin_count, origin_datatype, target_rank,	1
target_disp, target_count, target_datatype, op, win, request,	2
ierror)	3
TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin_addr	4
INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin_count, target_rank, target_count	5
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin_datatype, target_datatype	6
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target_disp	7
TYPE(MPI_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op	8
TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win	9
TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request	10
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	11
	12
MPI_RACCUMULATE(ORIGIN_ADDR, ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK,	13
TARGET_DISP, TARGET_COUNT, TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, REQUEST,	14
IERROR)	15
<pre><type> ORIGIN_ADDR(*) INTEGER(KIND_MDI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISD</type></pre>	16
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) TARGET_DISP	17
INTEGER ORIGIN_COUNT, ORIGIN_DATATYPE, TARGET_RANK, TARGET_COUNT,	18
TARGET_DATATYPE, OP, WIN, REQUEST, IERROR	19
MPI_RACCUMULATE is similar to MPI_ACCUMULATE (Section $11.3.4$ ), except that	20

it allocates a communication request object and associates it with the request handle (the argument request) that can be used to wait or test for completion. The completion of an MPI\_RACCUMULATE operation indicates that the origin buffer is free to be updated. It does not indicate that the operation has completed at the target window.

1MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, result\_addr,  $\mathbf{2}$ result\_count, result\_datatype, target\_rank, target\_disp, target\_count, 3 target\_datatype, op, win, request) 4 IN origin\_addr initial address of buffer (choice) 5IN origin\_count number of entries in origin buffer (non-negative inte-6 ger) 7 8 IN origin\_datatype datatype of each entry in origin buffer (handle) 9 OUT result\_addr initial address of result buffer (choice) 10 IN result\_count number of entries in result buffer (non-negative inte-11 ger) 1213IN result\_datatype datatype of each entry in result buffer (handle) 14IN target\_rank rank of target (non-negative integer) 15IN target\_disp displacement from start of window to beginning of tar-16 get buffer (non-negative integer) 1718 IN target\_count number of entries in target buffer (non-negative inte-19ger) 20IN target\_datatype datatype of each entry in target buffer (handle) 21IN ор reduce operation (handle) 22 23IN win window object (handle)  $^{24}$ OUT request RMA request (handle) 2526int MPI\_Rget\_accumulate(const void \*origin\_addr, int origin\_count, 27MPI\_Datatype origin\_datatype, void \*result\_addr, 28int result\_count, MPI\_Datatype result\_datatype, 29 int target\_rank, MPI\_Aint target\_disp, int target\_count, 30 MPI\_Datatype target\_datatype, MPI\_Op op, MPI\_Win win,  $^{31}$ MPI\_Request \*request) 32 33MPI\_Rget\_accumulate(origin\_addr, origin\_count, origin\_datatype, 34 result\_addr, result\_count, result\_datatype, target\_rank, 35 target\_disp, target\_count, target\_datatype, op, win, request, 36 ierror) 37 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: origin\_addr 38 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), ASYNCHRONOUS :: result\_addr 39 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: origin\_count, result\_count, target\_rank, 40 target\_count 41 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: origin\_datatype, target\_datatype, 42result\_datatype 43 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: target\_disp 44 TYPE(MPI\_Op), INTENT(IN) :: op 45TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 46TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 47 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 48

MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE is similar to MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE (Section 11.3.4), except that it allocates a communication request object and associates it with the request handle (the argument request) that can be used to wait or test for completion. The completion of an MPI\_RGET\_ACCUMULATE operation indicates that the data is available in the result buffer and the origin buffer is free to be updated. It does not indicate that the operation has been completed at the target window.

#### 11.4Memory Model

The memory semantics of RMA are best understood by using the concept of public and private window copies. We assume that systems have a public memory region that is 20addressable by all processes (e.g., the shared memory in shared memory machines or the exposed main memory in distributed memory machines). In addition, most machines have fast private buffers (e.g., transparent caches or explicit communication buffers) local to each 23process where copies of data elements from the main memory can be stored for faster access. Such buffers are either coherent, i.e., all updates to main memory are reflected in all private copies consistently, or non-coherent, i.e., conflicting accesses to main memory need to be synchronized and updated in all private copies explicitly. Coherent systems allow direct 27updates to remote memory without any participation of the remote side. Non-coherent systems, however, need to call RMA functions in order to reflect updates to the public 29 window in their private memory. Thus, in coherent memory, the public and the private window are identical while they remain logically separate in the non-coherent case. MPI thus differentiates between two memory models called *RMA unified*, if public and private window are logically identical, and *RMA separate*, otherwise.

In the RMA separate model, there is only one instance of each variable in process 34memory, but a distinct *public* copy of the variable for each window that contains it. A load 35 accesses the instance in process memory (this includes MPI sends). A local store accesses 36 and updates the instance in process memory (this includes MPI receives), but the update 37 may affect other public copies of the same locations. A get on a window accesses the public 38copy of that window. A put or accumulate on a window accesses and updates the public 39 copy of that window, but the update may affect the private copy of the same locations 40 in process memory, and public copies of other overlapping windows. This is illustrated in 41 Figure 11.1. 42

In the RMA unified model, public and private copies are identical and updates via put 43or accumulate calls are eventually observed by load operations without additional RMA calls. A store access to a window is eventually visible to remote get or accumulate calls without additional RMA calls. These stronger semantics of the RMA unified model allow the user to omit some synchronization calls and potentially improve performance.

10

11

12

13

14

151617

18

19

21

22

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

28

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

44

45

46




Figure 11.1: Schematic description of the public/private window operations in the MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE memory model for two overlapping windows.

Advice to users. If accesses in the RMA unified model are not synchronized (with locks or flushes, see Section 11.5.3), load and store operations might observe changes to the memory while they are in progress. The order in which data is written is not specified unless further synchronization is used. This might lead to inconsistent views on memory and programs that assume that a transfer is complete by only checking parts of the message are erroneous. (*End of advice to users.*)

The memory model for a particular RMA window can be determined by accessing the attribute MPI\_WIN\_MODEL. If the memory model is the unified model, the value of this attribute is MPI\_WIN\_UNIFIED; otherwise, the value is MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE.

# 11.5 Synchronization Calls

RMA communications fall in two categories:

• *active target* communication, where data is moved from the memory of one process to the memory of another, and both are explicitly involved in the communication. This communication pattern is similar to message passing, except that all the data transfer arguments are provided by one process, and the second process only participates in the synchronization.

• *passive target* communication, where data is moved from the memory of one process to the memory of another, and only the origin process is explicitly involved in the transfer. Thus, two origin processes may communicate by accessing the same location in a target window. The process that owns the target window may be distinct from the two communicating processes, in which case it does not participate explicitly in the communication. This communication paradigm is closest to a shared memory model, where shared data can be accessed by all processes, irrespective of location.

RMA communication calls with argument win must occur at a process only within an access epoch for win. Such an epoch starts with an RMA synchronization call on win; it proceeds with zero or more RMA communication calls (e.g., MPI\_PUT, MPI\_GET or MPI\_ACCUMULATE) on win; it completes with another synchronization call on win. This allows users to amortize one synchronization with multiple data transfers and provide implementors more flexibility in the implementation of RMA operations.

Distinct access epochs for win at the same process must be disjoint. On the other hand, epochs pertaining to different win arguments may overlap. Local operations or other MPI calls may also occur during an epoch.

In active target communication, a target window can be accessed by RMA operations only within an *exposure epoch*. Such an epoch is started and completed by RMA synchronization calls executed by the target process. Distinct exposure epochs at a process on the same window must be disjoint, but such an exposure epoch may overlap with exposure epochs on other windows or with access epochs for the same or other win arguments. There is a one-to-one matching between access epochs at origin processes and exposure epochs on target processes: RMA operations issued by an origin process for a target window will access that target window during the same exposure epoch if and only if they were issued during the same access epoch.

In passive target communication the target process does not execute RMA synchronization calls, and there is no concept of an exposure epoch.

MPI provides three synchronization mechanisms:

1. The MPI\_WIN\_FENCE collective synchronization call supports a simple synchronization pattern that is often used in parallel computations: namely a loosely-synchronous model, where global computation phases alternate with global communication phases. This mechanism is most useful for loosely synchronous algorithms where the graph of communicating processes changes very frequently, or where each process communicates with many others.

This call is used for active target communication. An access epoch at an origin process or an exposure epoch at a target process are started and completed by calls to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE. A process can access windows at all processes in the group of win during such an access epoch, and the local window can be accessed by all processes in the group of win during such an exposure epoch.

2. The four functions MPI\_WIN\_START, MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE, MPI\_WIN\_POST, and MPI\_WIN\_WAIT can be used to restrict synchronization to the minimum: only pairs of communicating processes synchronize, and they do so only when a synchronization is needed to order correctly RMA accesses to a window with respect to local accesses to that same window. This mechanism may be more efficient when each process communicates with few (logical) neighbors, and the communication graph is fixed or changes infrequently.

42These calls are used for active target communication. An access epoch is started at the origin process by a call to MPI\_WIN\_START and is terminated by a call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE. The start call has a group argument that specifies the group of target processes for that epoch. An exposure epoch is started at the target process by a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST and is completed by a call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT. The post call has a group argument that specifies the set of origin processes for that epoch.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6  $\overline{7}$ 

8 9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2930

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

4546

47




Figure 11.2: Active target communication. Dashed arrows represent synchronizations (ordering of events).

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

28

3. Finally, shared lock access is provided by the functions MPI\_WIN\_LOCK,

MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL.

MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK also provide exclusive lock capability. Lock synchronization is useful for MPI applications that emulate a shared memory model via MPI calls; e.g., in a "billboard" model, where processes can, at random times, access or update different parts of the billboard.

These four calls provide passive target communication. An access epoch is started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK or MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL and terminated by a call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, respectively.

Figure 11.2 illustrates the general synchronization pattern for active target communi-41 cation. The synchronization between **post** and **start** ensures that the put call of the origin 42process does not start until the target process exposes the window (with the **post** call); 43 the target process will expose the window only after preceding local accesses to the window 44have completed. The synchronization between complete and wait ensures that the put call 45of the origin process completes before the window is unexposed (with the wait call). The 4647target process will execute following local accesses to the target window only after the wait returned. 48





Figure 11.3: Active target communication, with weak synchronization. Dashed arrows represent synchronizations (ordering of events)

Figure 11.2 shows operations occurring in the natural temporal order implied by the synchronizations: the post occurs before the matching start, and complete occurs before the matching wait. However, such strong synchronization is more than needed for correct ordering of window accesses. The semantics of MPI calls allow weak synchronization, as illustrated in Figure 11.3. The access to the target window is delayed until the window is exposed, after the post. However the start may complete earlier; the put and complete may also terminate earlier, if put data is buffered by the implementation. The synchronization calls order correctly window accesses, but do not necessarily synchronize other operations. This weaker synchronization semantic allows for more efficient implementations.

Figure 11.4 illustrates the general synchronization pattern for passive target communication. The first origin process communicates data to the second origin process, through the memory of the target process; the target process is not explicitly involved in the communication. The lock and unlock calls ensure that the two RMA accesses do not occur concurrently. However, they do *not* ensure that the put by origin 1 will precede the get by origin 2.

*Rationale.* RMA does not define fine-grained mutexes in memory (only logical coarsegrained process locks). MPI provides the primitives (compare and swap, accumulate, send/receive, etc.) needed to implement high-level synchronization operations. (*End* of rationale.)



### INTEGER ASSERT, WIN, IERROR

The MPI call MPI\_WIN\_FENCE(assert, win) synchronizes RMA calls on win. The call is collective on the group of win. All RMA operations on win originating at a given process and started before the fence call will complete at that process before the fence call returns. They will be completed at their target before the fence call returns at the target. RMA operations on win started by a process after the fence call returns will access their target window only after MPI\_WIN\_FENCE has been called by the target process.

The call completes an RMA access epoch if it was preceded by another fence call and the local process issued RMA communication calls on win between these two calls. The call completes an RMA exposure epoch if it was preceded by another fence call and the local window was the target of RMA accesses between these two calls. The call starts an RMA access epoch if it is followed by another fence call and by RMA communication calls issued between these two fence calls. The call starts an exposure epoch if it is followed by another fence call and the local window is the target of RMA accesses between these two fence calls. Thus, the fence call is equivalent to calls to a subset of post, start, complete, wait.

A fence call usually entails a barrier synchronization: a process completes a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE only after all other processes in the group entered their matching call. However, a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE that is known not to end any epoch (in particular, a call with assert equal to MPI\_MODE\_NOPRECEDE) does not necessarily act as a barrier.

The assert argument is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may be used for various optimizations. This is described in Section 11.5.5. A value of assert = 0 is always valid.

Advice to users. Calls to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE should both precede and follow calls to RMA communication functions that are synchronized with fence calls. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 11.5.2 General Active Target Synchronization

MPI\_WIN\_START(group, assert, win)

IN	group	group of target processes (handle)	33
IN	assert	program assertion (integer)	34
IN	win	window object (handle)	35
			36
int №	IPT Win start (MPT C	roup group, int assert, MPI_Win win)	37
IIIC I		houp group, int assert, in i_win win/	38
MPI_Win_start(group, assert, win, ierror)			39
I	YPE(MPI_Group), IN	NTENT(IN) :: group	40
I	NTEGER, INTENT(IN)	:: assert	41
I	YPE(MPI_Win), INTE	ENT(IN) :: win	42
I	NTEGER, OPTIONAL,	INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	43
WDT I			44
_	,	SERT, WIN, IERROR)	45
T	NTEGER GROUP, ASSE	KI, WIN, IEKKUK	46

Starts an RMA access epoch for win. RMA calls issued on win during this epoch must access only windows at processes in group. Each process in group must issue a matching

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 


1 call to MPI\_WIN\_POST. RMA accesses to each target window will be delayed, if necessary,  $\mathbf{2}$ until the target process executed the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_POST. MPI\_WIN\_START 3 is allowed to block until the corresponding MPI\_WIN\_POST calls are executed, but is not 4 required to.  $\mathbf{5}$ The assert argument is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may 6 be used for various optimizations. This is described in Section 11.5.5. A value of assert =70 is always valid. 8 9 MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE(win) 10 11IN window object (handle) win 1213int MPI\_Win\_complete(MPI\_Win win) 14MPI\_Win\_complete(win, ierror) 15TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 16INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: 17ierror 18 MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE(WIN, IERROR) 19INTEGER WIN, IERROR 2021Completes an RMA access epoch on win started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_START. All RMA communication calls issued on win during this epoch will have completed at the origin 22 when the call returns. 23MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE enforces completion of preceding RMA calls at the origin, but  $^{24}$ not at the target. A put or accumulate call may not have completed at the target when it 2526has completed at the origin. Consider the sequence of calls in the example below. 2728Example 11.4 29 30 MPI\_Win\_start(group, flag, win);  $^{31}$ MPI\_Put(..., win); 32 MPI\_Win\_complete(win); 33 34The call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE does not return until the put call has completed 35 at the origin; and the target window will be accessed by the put operation only after the 36 call to MPI\_WIN\_START has matched a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST by the target process. 37 This still leaves much choice to implementors. The call to MPI\_WIN\_START can block 38

until the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurs at all target processes. One can also 39 have implementations where the call to MPI\_WIN\_START is nonblocking, but the call to 40MPI\_PUT blocks until the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurs; or implementations 41where the first two calls are nonblocking, but the call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE blocks 42until the call to MPI\_WIN\_POST occurred; or even implementations where all three calls 43can complete before any target process has called MPI\_WIN\_POST — the data put must 44be buffered, in this last case, so as to allow the put to complete at the origin ahead of its 45completion at the target. However, once the call to MPI\_WIN\_POST is issued, the sequence 46above must complete, without further dependencies.

MPI_WIN_POST(group, assert, win)			1	
IN	group	group of origin processes (handle)	2	
IN	assert	program assertion (integer)	3 4	
IN	win	window object (handle)	5	
		window object (nandic)	6	
int MPT W	<pre>/in_post(MPI_Group group,</pre>	int assert. MPT Win win)	7	
			8	
-	post(group, assert, win, :		9	
	(MPI_Group), INTENT(IN) :	<b>o i</b>	10	
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: assert		11	
	(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::		12	
INTEC	SER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	13 14	
MPI_WIN_F	MPI_WIN_POST(GROUP, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)			
INTEC	GER GROUP, ASSERT, WIN, II	ERROR	15	
Storte	$\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathbf{P}$	ne local window associated with win. Only processes	16 17	
		RMA calls on win during this epoch. Each process	18	
0 1		IPI_WIN_START. MPI_WIN_POST does not block.	19	
III Broup III			20	
			21	
MPI_WIN_	_WAIT(win)		22	
IN	win	window object (handle)	23	
			24	
int MPI W	/in_wait(MPI_Win win)		25	
			26	
	vait(win, ierror)		27	
	(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::		28	
INTEG	SER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	29	
MPI_WIN_W	VAIT(WIN, IERROR)		30	
INTEG	ER WIN, IERROR		31	
Com	lates on DMA composition of the	started by a call to MDI WIN DOST or wire This	32	
Comp	netes an RiviA exposure epoch	started by a call to MPI_WIN_POST on win. This	33	

Completes an RMA exposure epoch started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST on win. This call matches calls to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE(win) issued by each of the origin processes that were granted access to the window during this epoch. The call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT will block until all matching calls to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE have occurred. This guarantees that all these origin processes have completed their RMA accesses to the local window. When the call returns, all these RMA accesses will have completed at the target window.

Figure 11.5 illustrates the use of these four functions. Process 0 puts data in the windows of processes 1 and 2 and process 3 puts data in the window of process 2. Each start call lists the ranks of the processes whose windows will be accessed; each post call lists the ranks of the processes that access the local window. The figure illustrates a possible timing for the events, assuming strong synchronization; in a weak synchronization, the start, put or complete calls may occur ahead of the matching post calls.



- MPI\_WIN\_START(group,0,win) initiates a nonblocking receive with tag tag0 from each process in group, using wincomm. An RMA access to a window in target process i is delayed until the receive from i is completed.
- **MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE(win)** initiate a nonblocking send with tag **tag1** to each process in the group of the preceding start call. No need to wait for the completion of these sends.
- **MPI\_WIN\_WAIT(win)** initiate a nonblocking receive with tag **tag1** from each process in the group of the preceding post call. Wait for the completion of all receives.

No races can occur in a correct program: each of the sends matches a unique receive, and vice versa.

*Rationale.* The design for general active target synchronization requires the user to provide complete information on the communication pattern, at each end of a communication link: each origin specifies a list of targets, and each target specifies a list of origins. This provides maximum flexibility (hence, efficiency) for the implementor: each synchronization can be initiated by either side, since each "knows" the identity of the other. This also provides maximum protection from possible races. On the other hand, the design requires more information than RMA needs: in general, it is sufficient for the origin to know the rank of the target, but not vice versa. Users that want more "anonymous" communication will be required to use the fence or lock mechanisms. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. Assume a communication pattern that is represented by a directed graph  $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ , where  $V = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $ij \in E$  if origin process *i* accesses the window at target process *j*. Then each process *i* issues a call to MPI\_WIN\_POST(*ingroup*<sub>i</sub>, ...), followed by a call to MPI\_WIN\_START(*outgroup*<sub>i</sub>,...), where *outgroup*<sub>i</sub> =  $\{j : ij \in E\}$  and *ingroup*<sub>i</sub> =

 $\{j : ji \in E\}$ . A call is a noop, and can be skipped, if the group argument is empty. After the communications calls, each process that issued a start will issue a complete. Finally, each process that issued a post will issue a wait.

Note that each process may call with a group argument that has different members. (*End of advice to users.*)

## 11.5.3 Lock

MPI\_WIN\_LOCK(lock\_type, rank, assert, win)

IN	lock_type	either $MPI\_LOCK\_EXCLUSIVE$ or	41
		MPI_LOCK_SHARED (state)	42
IN	rank	rank of locked window (non-negative integer)	43
IN	assert	program assertion (integer)	44
	assert	program assertion (integer)	45
IN	win	window object (handle)	46
			47

int MPI\_Win\_lock(int lock\_type, int rank, int assert, MPI\_Win win)

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     MPI_Win_lock(lock_type, rank, assert, win, ierror)
\mathbf{2}
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: lock_type, rank, assert
3
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
4
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
5
     MPI_WIN_LOCK(LOCK_TYPE, RANK, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)
6
          INTEGER LOCK_TYPE, RANK, ASSERT, WIN, IERROR
7
8
          Starts an RMA access epoch. Only the window at the process with rank rank can be
9
     accessed by RMA operations on win during that epoch.
10
11
     MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL(assert, win)
12
13
       IN
                 assert
                                            program assertion (integer)
14
       IN
                                            window object (handle)
                win
15
16
     int MPI_Win_lock_all(int assert, MPI_Win win)
17
18
     MPI_Win_lock_all(assert, win, ierror)
19
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: assert
20
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
21
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
22
     MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL(ASSERT, WIN, IERROR)
23
          INTEGER ASSERT, WIN, IERROR
^{24}
25
          Starts an RMA access epoch to all processes in win, with a lock type of
26
     MPI_LOCK_SHARED. During the epoch, the calling process can access the window memory on
27
     all processes in win by using RMA operations. A window locked with MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL
28
     must be unlocked with MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL. This routine is not collective — the ALL
29
     refers to a lock on all members of the group of the window.
30
31
           Advice to users.
                            There may be additional overheads associated with using
32
           MPI_WIN_LOCK and MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL concurrently on the same window. These
33
          overheads could be avoided by specifying the assertion MPI_MODE_NOCHECK when
34
           possible (see Section 11.5.5). (End of advice to users.)
35
36
37
     MPI_WIN_UNLOCK(rank, win)
38
39
       IN
                                            rank of window (non-negative integer)
                 rank
40
       IN
                win
                                            window object (handle)
41
42
     int MPI_Win_unlock(int rank, MPI_Win win)
43
44
     MPI_Win_unlock(rank, win, ierror)
45
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank
46
          TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) ::
                                           win
47
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
48
```

## MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK(RANK, WIN, IERROR) INTEGER RANK, WIN, IERROR

Completes an RMA access epoch started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK(...,win). RMA operations issued during this period will have completed both at the origin and at the target when the call returns.

```
MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL(win)
```

```
IN win window object (handle)
int MPI_Win_unlock_all(MPI_Win win)
MPI_Win_unlock_all(win, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL(WIN, IERROR)
    INTEGER WIN, IERROR
```

Completes a shared RMA access epoch started by a call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL(assert, win). RMA operations issued during this epoch will have completed both at the origin and at the target when the call returns.

Locks are used to protect accesses to the locked target window effected by RMA calls issued between the lock and unlock calls, and to protect load/store accesses to a locked local or shared memory window executed between the lock and unlock calls. Accesses that are protected by an exclusive lock will not be concurrent at the window site with other accesses to the same window that are lock protected. Accesses that are protected by a shared lock will not be concurrent at the window site with accesses protected by an exclusive lock to the same window.

It is erroneous to have a window locked and exposed (in an exposure epoch) concurrently. For example, a process may not call MPI\_WIN\_LOCK to lock a target window if the target process has called MPI\_WIN\_POST and has not yet called MPI\_WIN\_WAIT; it is erroneous to call MPI\_WIN\_POST while the local window is locked.

*Rationale.* An alternative is to require MPI to enforce mutual exclusion between exposure epochs and locking periods. But this would entail additional overheads when locks or active target synchronization do not interact in support of those rare interactions between the two mechanisms. The programming style that we encourage here is that a set of windows is used with only one synchronization mechanism at a time, with shifts from one mechanism to another being rare and involving global synchronization. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. Users need to use explicit synchronization code in order to enforce mutual exclusion between locking periods and exposure epochs on a window. (End of advice to users.)

Implementors may restrict the use of RMA communication that is synchronized by <sup>45</sup> lock calls to windows in memory allocated by MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM (Section 8.2), <sup>46</sup> MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE (Section 11.2.2), or attached with MPI\_WIN\_ATTACH (Section 11.2.4). <sup>47</sup> Locks can be used portably only in such memory. <sup>48</sup>

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

1 The implementation of passive target communication when memory Rationale. 2 is not shared may require an asynchronous software agent. Such an agent can be 3 implemented more easily, and can achieve better performance, if restricted to specially 4 allocated memory. It can be avoided altogether if shared memory is used. It seems 5natural to impose restrictions that allows one to use shared memory for third party 6 communication in shared memory machines. 7 The downside of this decision is that passive target communication cannot be used 8 without taking advantage of nonstandard Fortran features: namely, the availability of 9 C-like pointers; these are not supported by some Fortran compilers. (End of rationale.) 10 11Consider the sequence of calls in the example below. 1213Example 11.5 14MPI\_Win\_lock(MPI\_LOCK\_EXCLUSIVE, rank, assert, win); 15MPI\_Put(..., rank, ..., win); 16MPI\_Win\_unlock(rank, win); 1718 The call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK will not return until the put transfer has completed at 19the origin and at the target. This still leaves much freedom to implementors. The call to 20MPI\_WIN\_LOCK may block until an exclusive lock on the window is acquired; or, the first 21two calls may not block, while MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK blocks until a lock is acquired — the 22update of the target window is then postponed until the call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK occurs. 23However, if the call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK is used to lock a local window, then the call must  $^{24}$ block until the lock is acquired, since the lock may protect local load/store accesses to the 25window issued after the lock call returns. 262711.5.4 Flush and Sync 28 29 All flush and sync functions can be called only within passive target epochs. 30  $^{31}$ MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(rank, win) 32 33 IN rank rank of target window (non-negative integer) 34IN win window object (handle) 35 36 int MPI\_Win\_flush(int rank, MPI\_Win win) 37 38MPI\_Win\_flush(rank, win, ierror) 39 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: rank 40 TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win 41 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 42MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(RANK, WIN, IERROR) 43 INTEGER RANK, WIN, IERROR 4445MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH completes all outstanding RMA operations initiated by the calling 46process to the target rank on the specified window. The operations are completed both at 47

the origin and at the target.

MPI_W	IN_FLUSH_ALL(win)	)	1
IN	win	window object (handle)	2
	vviii	window object (narrate)	3
int MP	I_Win_flush_all(M	PT Win win)	4
			5 6
	n_flush_all(win, :		7
	PE(MPI_Win), INTE FEGER, OPTIONAL, 1		8
			9
	N_FLUSH_ALL(WIN,		10
IN	TEGER WIN, IERROR		11
All	RMA operations issu	ued by the calling process to any target on the specified window	12
prior to	this call and in the	specified window will have completed both at the origin and at	13
the targ	get when this call ret	Jurns.	14 15
			16
MPI_W	IN_FLUSH_LOCAL(r	rank, win)	17
IN	rank	rank of target window (non-negative integer)	18
			19
IN	win	window object (handle)	20
int MD	Win fluch local	(int nonly MDT Vin vin)	21
int MP.	L_win_flusn_focal	(int rank, MPI_Win win)	22
	n_flush_local(ran		23 24
	TEGER, INTENT(IN)		25
	PE(MPI_Win), INTE		26
ΤN	TEGER, OPTIONAL,	INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	27
	N_FLUSH_LOCAL(RAN		28
IN	FEGER RANK, WIN, I	IERROR	29
Lo	cally completes at the	e origin all outstanding RMA operations initiated by the calling	30
		s specified by rank on the specified window. For example, after	31 32
	- /	user may reuse any buffers provided to put, get, or accumulate	33
operatio	ons.		34
			35
MPI_W	IN_FLUSH_LOCAL_/	ALL(win)	36
IN	win	window object (handle)	37
		(marat)	38
int MP	I Win flush local	_all(MPI_Win win)	39
			40 41
	n_flush_local_all	-	42
	PE(MPI_Win), INTE FEGER, OPTIONAL,		43
			44
	N_FLUSH_LOCAL_ALL		45
TN,	TEGER WIN, IERROR		46
			47

All RMA operations issued to any target prior to this call in this window will have

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\frac{3}{4}$ 

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

completed at the origin when MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL\_ALL returns. MPI\_WIN\_SYNC(win) IN window object (handle) win int MPI\_Win\_sync(MPI\_Win win) MPI\_Win\_sync(win, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_Win), INTENT(IN) :: win INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_WIN\_SYNC(WIN, IERROR) INTEGER WIN, IERROR The call MPI\_WIN\_SYNC synchronizes the private and public window copies of win. For the purposes of synchronizing the private and public window, MPI\_WIN\_SYNC has the effect of ending and reopening an access and exposure epoch on the window (note that it does not actually end an epoch or complete any pending MPI RMA operations). 11.5.5 Assertions The assert argument in the calls MPI\_WIN\_POST, MPI\_WIN\_START, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, and MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL is used to provide assertions on the context of the call that may be used to optimize performance. The assert argument does not change program semantics if it provides correct information on the program — it is erroneous to provide incorrect information. Users may always provide assert = 0 to indicate a general case where no guarantees are made. Advice to users. Many implementations may not take advantage of the information in assert; some of the information is relevant only for noncoherent shared memory machines. Users should consult their implementation's manual to find which information is useful on each system. On the other hand, applications that provide correct assertions whenever applicable are portable and will take advantage of assertion specific optimizations whenever available. (End of advice to users.) Advice to implementors. Implementations can always ignore the assert argument. Implementors should document which assert values are significant on their implementation. (End of advice to implementors.) assert is the bit-vector OR of zero or more of the following integer constants: MPI\_MODE\_NOCHECK, MPI\_MODE\_NOSTORE, MPI\_MODE\_NOPUT, MPI\_MODE\_NOPRECEDE, and MPI\_MODE\_NOSUCCEED. The significant options are listed below for each call. Advice to users. C/C++ users can use bit vector or () to combine these constants; Fortran 90 users can use the bit-vector IOR intrinsic. Fortran 77 users can use (nonportably) bit vector IOR on systems that support it. Alternatively, Fortran users can portably use integer addition to OR the constants (each constant should appear at most once in the addition!). (End of advice to users.)

communication.

<b>MPI_WIN_START:</b> MPI_MODE_NOCHECK — the matching calls to	1
MPI_WIN_POST have already completed on all target processes when the call	2
to MPI_WIN_START is made. The nocheck option can be specified in a start	3
call if and only if it is specified in each matching post call. This is similar to the	4
optimization of "ready-send" that may save a handshake when the handshake	5
is implicit in the code. (However, ready-send is matched by a regular receive,	6
whereas both start and post must specify the nocheck option.)	7
	8
<b>MPI_WIN_POST:</b> MPI_MODE_NOCHECK — the matching calls to	9
MPI_WIN_START have not yet occurred on any origin processes when the call	10
to MPI_WIN_POST is made. The nocheck option can be specified by a post call	11
if and only if it is specified by each matching start call.	12
$MPI_MODE_NOSTORE$ — the local window was not updated by stores (or local get	13
or receive calls) since last synchronization. This may avoid the need for cache	14
synchronization at the post call.	15
MPI_MODE_NOPUT — the local window will not be updated by put or accumulate	16
calls after the post call, until the ensuing (wait) synchronization. This may avoid	17
the need for cache synchronization at the wait call.	18
	19
<b>MPI_WIN_FENCE:</b> MPI_MODE_NOSTORE — the local window was not updated by stores	20
(or local get or receive calls) since last synchronization.	21
MPI_MODE_NOPUT — the local window will not be updated by put or accumulate	22 23
calls after the fence call, until the ensuing (fence) synchronization.	24
MPI_MODE_NOPRECEDE — the fence does not complete any sequence of locally issued RMA calls. If this assertion is given by any process in the window group, then it must be given by all processes in the group.	25 26
must be given by all processes in the group.	27
MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED — the fence does not start any sequence of locally issued RMA calls. If the assertion is given by any process in the window group, then it must be given by all processes in the group.	28 29 30
MPI_WIN_LOCK, MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL: MPI_MODE_NOCHECK — no other process	31
holds, or will attempt to acquire, a conflicting lock, while the caller holds the	32
window lock. This is useful when mutual exclusion is achieved by other means,	33
but the coherence operations that may be attached to the lock and unlock calls	34
are still required.	35
	36
Advice to users. Note that the nostore and noprecede flags provide information on	37
what happened <i>before</i> the call; the noput and nosucceed flags provide information on	38
what will happen after the call. (End of advice to users.)	39
	40
11.5.6 Miscellaneous Clarifications	41
	42 43
Once an RMA routine completes, it is safe to free any opaque objects passed as arguments	43 44
to that routine. For example, the datatype argument of a MPI_PUT call can be freed as	44 45
soon as the call returns, even though the communication may not be complete.	45 46
As in message-passing, datatypes must be committed before they can be used in RMA	-40

1	11.6	Error Handling			
3	11.6.1	Error Handlers			
4 5 7 8 9 10	(,cor other F error h Tł	brs occurring during calls to routines that create MPI windows (e.g., MPI_WIN_CREATE comm,)) cause the error handler currently associated with comm to be invoked. All er RMA calls have an input win argument. When an error occurs during such a call, the r handler currently associated with win is invoked. The default error handler associated with win is MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL. Users may age this default by explicitly associating a new error handler with win (see Section 8.3).			
11 12	11.6.2	Error Classes			
13 14 15	may (a		communication are defined in Table 11.2. RMA routines use other MPI error classes, such as MPI_ERR_OP or		
16 17		PI_ERR_WIN PI_ERR_BASE	invalid win argument invalid base argument		
18 19		PI_ERR_SIZE	invalid size argument		
20		PI_ERR_DISP	invalid disp argument		
21	Μ	PI_ERR_LOCKTYPE	invalid locktype argument		
22	M	PI_ERR_ASSERT	invalid assert argument		
23	M	PI_ERR_RMA_CONFLICT	conflicting accesses to window		
24	Μ	PI_ERR_RMA_SYNC	invalid synchronization of RMA calls		
25	M	PI_ERR_RMA_RANGE	target memory is not part of the window (in the case		
26			of a window created with		
27			MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC, target memory is not		
28			attached)		
29	M	PI_ERR_RMA_ATTACH	memory cannot be attached (e.g., because of resource		
30			exhaustion)		
31	IVI	PI_ERR_RMA_SHARED	memory cannot be shared (e.g., some process in the		
32			group of the specified communicator cannot expose shared memory)		
33	N/L	PI_ERR_RMA_FLAVOR	passed window has the wrong flavor for the called		
34	IVI	FI_ERR_RIVIA_FLAVOR	function		
35			Tulletion		
36 37					
37		Table 11.2: Error	classes in one-sided communication routines		

- 38 39
- 40 41

# 11.7 Semantics and Correctness

The following rules specify the latest time at which an operation must complete at the origin or the target. The update performed by a get call in the origin process memory is visible when the get operation is complete at the origin (or earlier); the update performed by a put or accumulate call in the public copy of the target window is visible when the put or accumulate has completed at the target (or earlier). The rules also specify the latest time at which an update of one window copy becomes visible in another overlapping copy.

- An RMA operation is completed at the origin by the ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_LOCAL\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL that synchronizes this access at the origin.
- 2. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE then the operation is completed at the target by the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE by the target process.
- 3. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE then the operation is completed at the target by the matching call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT by the target process.
- 4. If an RMA operation is completed at the origin by a call to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH(rank=target), or MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL, then the operation is completed at the target by that same call.
- 5. An update of a location in a private window copy in process memory becomes visible in the public window copy at latest when an ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_POST, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK, MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL, or MPI\_WIN\_SYNC is executed on that window by the window owner. In the RMA unified memory model, an update of a location in a private window in process memory becomes visible without additional RMA calls.
- 6. An update by a put or accumulate call to a public window copy becomes visible in the private copy in process memory at latest when an ensuing call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT, MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL, or MPI\_WIN\_SYNC is executed on that window by the window owner. In the RMA unified memory model, an update by a put or accumulate call to a public window copy eventually becomes visible in the private copy in process memory without additional RMA calls.

The MPI\_WIN\_FENCE or MPI\_WIN\_WAIT call that completes the transfer from public 32 33 copy to private copy (6) is the same call that completes the put or accumulate operation in 34the window copy (2, 3). If a put or accumulate access was synchronized with a lock, then the update of the public window copy is complete as soon as the updating process executed 35 MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL. In the RMA separate memory model, the 36 37 update of a private copy in the process memory may be delayed until the target process executes a synchronization call on that window (6). Thus, updates to process memory can 38 39 always be delayed in the RMA separate memory model until the process executes a suitable synchronization call, while they must complete in the RMA unified model without additional 40 41 synchronization calls. If fence or post-start-complete-wait synchronization is used, updates 42to a public window copy can be delayed in both memory models until the window owner 43executes a synchronization call. When passive target synchronization (lock/unlock or even 44flush) is used, it is necessary to update the public window copy in the RMA separate model, or the private window copy in the RMA unified model, even if the window owner does not 4546execute any related synchronization call.

The rules above also define, by implication, when an update to a public window copy <sup>47</sup> becomes visible in another overlapping public window copy. Consider, for example, two <sup>48</sup>

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

overlapping windows, win1 and win2. A call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE(0, win1) by the window
 owner makes visible in the process memory previous updates to window win1 by remote
 processes. A subsequent call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE(0, win2) makes these updates visible in
 the public copy of win2.

5The behavior of some MPI RMA operations may be *undefined* in certain situations. For 6 example, the result of several origin processes performing concurrent MPI\_PUT operations  $\overline{7}$ to the same target location is undefined. In addition, the result of a single origin process 8 performing multiple MPI\_PUT operations to the same target location within the same 9 access epoch is also undefined. The result at the target may have all of the data from one 10 of the MPI\_PUT operations (the "last" one, in some sense), bytes from some of each of the 11operations, or something else. In MPI-2, such operations were *erroneous*. That meant that 12an MPI implementation was permitted to signal an MPI exception. Thus, user programs or 13tools that used MPI RMA could not portably permit such operations, even if the application 14code could function correctly with such an undefined result. In MPI-3, these operations are 15not erroneous, but do not have a defined behavior.

16Rationale. As discussed in [6], requiring operations such as overlapping puts to 17 be erroneous makes it difficult to use MPI RMA to implement programming models— 18 such as Unified Parallel C (UPC) or SHMEM—that permit these operations. Further, 19while MPI-2 defined these operations as erroneous, the MPI Forum is unaware of any 20implementation that enforces this rule, as it would require significant overhead. Thus, 21relaxing this condition does not impact existing implementations or applications. (End 22 of rationale.) 23

Advice to implementors. Overlapping accesses are undefined. However, to assist users in debugging code, implementations may wish to provide a mode in which such operations are detected and reported to the user. Note, however, that in MPI-3, such operations must not generate an MPI exception. (End of advice to implementors.)

A program with a well-defined outcome in the MPI\_WIN\_SEPARATE memory model must obey the following rules.

- 1. A location in a window must not be accessed with load/store operations once an update to that location has started, until the update becomes visible in the private window copy in process memory.
- 2. A location in a window must not be accessed as a target of an RMA operation once an update to that location has started, until the update becomes visible in the public window copy. There is one exception to this rule, in the case where the same variable is updated by two concurrent accumulates with the same predefined datatype, on the same window. Additional restrictions on the operation apply, see the info key accumulate\_ops in Section 11.2.1.
- A put or accumulate must not access a target window once a store or a put or accumulate update to another (overlapping) target window has started on a location in the target window, until the update becomes visible in the public copy of the window. Conversely, a store to process memory to a location in a window must not start once a put or accumulate update to that target window has started, until the put or accumulate update becomes visible in process memory. In both cases, the restriction applies to operations even if they access disjoint locations in the window.

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

*Rationale.* The last constraint on correct RMA accesses may seem unduly restrictive, as it forbids concurrent accesses to nonoverlapping locations in a window. The reason for this constraint is that, on some architectures, explicit coherence restoring operations may be needed at synchronization points. A different operation may be needed for locations that were updated by stores and for locations that were remotely updated by put or accumulate operations. Without this constraint, the MPI library would have to track precisely which locations in a window were updated by a put or accumulate call. The additional overhead of maintaining such information is considered prohibitive. (*End of rationale.*)

Note that MPI\_WIN\_SYNC may be used within a passive target epoch to synchronize the private and public window copies (that is, updates to one are made visible to the other).

In the MPI\_WIN\_UNIFIED memory model, the rules are much simpler because the public and private windows are the same. However, there are restrictions to avoid concurrent access to the same memory locations by different processes. The rules that a program with a well-defined outcome must obey in this case are:

- 1. A location in a window must not be accessed with load/store operations once an update to that location has started, until the update is complete, subject to the following special case.
- 2. Accessing a location in the window that is also the target of a remote update is valid (not erroneous) but the precise result will depend on the behavior of the implementation. Updates from a remote process will appear in the memory of the target, but there are no atomicity or ordering guarantees if more than one byte is updated. Updates are stable in the sense that once data appears in memory of the target, the data remains until replaced by another update. This permits polling on a location for a change from zero to non-zero or for a particular value, but not polling and comparing the relative magnitude of values. Users are cautioned that polling on one memory location and then accessing a different memory location has defined behavior only if the other rules given here and in this chapter are followed.

Advice to users. Some compiler optimizations can result in code that maintains the sequential semantics of the program, but violates this rule by introducing temporary values into locations in memory. Most compilers only apply such transformations under very high levels of optimization and users should be aware that such aggressive optimization may produce unexpected results. (End of advice to users.)

3. Updating a location in the window with a store operation that is also the target of a remote read (but not update) is valid (not erroneous) but the precise result will depend on the behavior of the implementation. Store updates will appear in memory, but there are no atomicity or ordering guarantees if more than one byte is updated. Updates are stable in the sense that once data appears in memory, the data remains until replaced by another update. This permits updates to memory with store operations without requiring an RMA epoch. Users are cautioned that remote accesses to a window that is updated by the local process has defined behavior only if the other rules given here and elsewhere in this chapter are followed.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- 1 4. A location in a window must not be accessed as a target of an RMA operation once 2 an update to that location has started and until the update completes at the target. 3 There is one exception to this rule: in the case where the same location is updated by 4 two concurrent accumulates with the same predefined datatype on the same window. 5Additional restrictions on the operation apply; see the info key accumulate\_ops in 6 Section 11.2.1.
  - 5. A put or accumulate must not access a target window once a store, put, or accumulate update to another (overlapping) target window has started on the same location in the target window and until the update completes at the target window. Conversely, a store operation to a location in a window must not start once a put or accumulate update to the same location in that target window has started and until the put or accumulate update completes at the target.
- 14Note that MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH and MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH\_ALL may be used within a passive 15target epoch to complete RMA operations at the target process. 16

## A program that violates these rules has undefined behavior.

- Advice to users. A user can write correct programs by following the following rules:
  - fence: During each period between fence calls, each window is either updated by put or accumulate calls, or updated by stores, but not both. Locations updated by put or accumulate calls should not be accessed during the same period (with the exception of concurrent updates to the same location by accumulate calls). Locations accessed by get calls should not be updated during the same period.
- 25**post-start-complete-wait:** A window should not be updated with store operations 26while posted if it is being updated by put or accumulate calls. Locations updated 27by put or accumulate calls should not be accessed while the window is posted 28(with the exception of concurrent updates to the same location by accumulate 29 calls). Locations accessed by get calls should not be updated while the window 30 is posted. 31
  - With the post-start synchronization, the target process can tell the origin process that its window is now ready for RMA access; with the complete-wait synchronization, the origin process can tell the target process that it has finished its RMA accesses to the window.
    - lock: Updates to the window are protected by exclusive locks if they may conflict. Nonconflicting accesses (such as read-only accesses or accumulate accesses) are protected by shared locks, both for load/store accesses and for RMA accesses.
- changing window or synchronization mode: One can change synchronization mode, 40 or change the window used to access a location that belongs to two overlapping windows, when the process memory and the window copy are guaranteed to 42have the same values. This is true after a local call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE, if 43 RMA accesses to the window are synchronized with fences; after a local call 44 to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT, if the accesses are synchronized with post-start-complete-45wait; after the call at the origin (local or remote) to MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK or 46 MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL if the accesses are synchronized with locks.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1718

1920

21

22

23

24

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

41

In addition, a process should not access the local buffer of a get operation until the operation is complete, and should not update the local buffer of a put or accumulate operation until that operation is complete.

The RMA synchronization operations define when updates are guaranteed to become visible in public and private windows. Updates may become visible earlier, but such behavior is implementation dependent. (*End of advice to users.*)

The semantics are illustrated by the following examples:

**Example 11.6** The following example demonstrates updating a memory location inside a window for the separate memory model, according to Rule 5. The MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK calls around the store to X in process B are necessary to ensure consistency between the public and private copies of the window.

Process A:	Process B:	14
	window location X	15
		16
	MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)	17
	<pre>store X /* local update to private copy of B */</pre>	18
	MPI_Win_unlock(B)	19
	<pre>/* now visible in public window copy */</pre>	20
		21
MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier	22
		23
MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B)		24
MPI_Get(X) /* ok, read fro	m public window */	25
MPI_Win_unlock(B)		26
		27
Example 11.7 In the RMA u	nified model although the public and private copies of the	28

**Example 11.7** In the RMA unified model, although the public and private copies of the windows are synchronized, caution must be used when combining load/stores and multi-process synchronization. Although the following example appears correct, the compiler or hardware may delay the store to X after the barrier, possibly resulting in the MPI\_GET returning an incorrect value of X.

	33
Process B:	34
window location X	35
	36
store X /* update to private&public copy of B */	37
MPI_Barrier	38
	39
m window */	40
	40
	41
	42
	43
	<pre>window location X store X /* update to private&amp;public copy of B */</pre>

MPI\_BARRIER provides process synchronization, but not memory synchronization. The example could potentially be made safe through the use of compiler- and hardware-specific notations to ensure the store to X occurs before process B enters the MPI\_BARRIER. The use of one-sided synchronization calls, as shown in Example 11.6, also ensures the correct result.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

29

30

31

Example 11.8 The following example demonstrates the reading of a memory location updated by a remote process (Rule 6) in the RMA separate memory model. Although the MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK on process A and the MPI\_BARRIER ensure that the public copy on process B reflects the updated value of X, the call to MPI\_WIN\_LOCK by process B is necessary to synchronize the private copy with the public copy.

Process B: Process A: 7 window location X 8 9 MPI\_Win\_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) 10 MPI\_Put(X) /\* update to public window \*/ 11 MPI\_Win\_unlock(B) 1213 MPI\_Barrier MPI\_Barrier 1415MPI\_Win\_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) 16/\* now visible in private copy of B \*/ 17load X 18 MPI\_Win\_unlock(B) 1920Note that in this example, the barrier is not critical to the semantic correctness. The 21use of exclusive locks guarantees a remote process will not modify the public copy after 22MPI\_WIN\_LOCK synchronizes the private and public copies. A polling implementation 23looking for changes in X on process B would be semantically correct. The barrier is required 24to ensure that process A performs the put operation before process B performs the load of 25Х. 26**Example 11.9** Similar to Example 11.7, the following example is unsafe even in the unified 27model, because the load of X can not be guaranteed to occur after the MPI\_BARRIER. While 28Process B does not need to explicitly synchronize the public and private copies through 29 MPI\_WIN\_LOCK as the MPI\_PUT will update both the public and private copies of the 30 window, the scheduling of the load could result in old values of X being returned. Compiler  $^{31}$ and hardware specific notations could ensure the load occurs after the data is updated, or 32 explicit one-sided synchronization calls can be used to ensure the proper result. 33 34Process B: Process A: 35 window location X 36 MPI\_Win\_lock\_all 37 MPI\_Put(X) /\* update to window \*/ 38 MPI\_Win\_flush(B) 39 40 MPI\_Barrier MPI\_Barrier 41 load X 42MPI\_Win\_unlock\_all 43 4445**Example 11.10** The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and

<sup>45</sup> Example 11.10 The following example further clarifies Rule 5. MPI\_WIN\_LOCK and
 <sup>46</sup> MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL do *not* update the public copy of a window with changes to the
 <sup>47</sup> private copy. Therefore, there is no guarantee that process A in the following sequence will
 <sup>48</sup> see the value of X as updated by the local store by process B before the lock.

Process A:	Process B:	1
	window location X	2
		3
	store X /* update to private copy of B */	4
	MPI_Win_lock(SHARED,B)	5
MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier	6
		7
MPI_Win_lock(SHARED,B)		8
MPI_Get(X) /* X may be the	X before the store */	9
MPI_Win_unlock(B)		10
	MPI_Win_unlock(B)	11
	<pre>/* update on X now visible in public window */</pre>	12
		13
The addition of an MPI_WIN_S	SYNC before the call to MPI_BARRIER by process B would	14

The addition of an MPI\_WIN\_SYNC before the call to MPI\_BARRIER by process B would guarantee process A would see the updated value of X, as the public copy of the window would be explicitly synchronized with the private copy.

**Example 11.11** Similar to the previous example, Rule 5 can have unexpected implications for general active target synchronization with the RMA separate memory model. It is *not* guaranteed that process B reads the value of X as per the local update by process A, because neither MPI\_WIN\_WAIT nor MPI\_WIN\_COMPLETE calls by process A ensure visibility in the public window copy.

Process A:	Process B:	23 24
window location X		24 25
window location Y		25 26
		20 27
store Y		
MPI_Win_post(A,B) /* Y vis	ible in public window */	28
MPI_Win_start(A)	MPI_Win_start(A)	29
		30
store X /* update to priva	te window */	31
		32
MPI_Win_complete	MPI_Win_complete	33
MPI_Win_wait	-	34
/* update on X may not yet	visible in public window */	35
1 0 0	•	36
MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier	37
-		
	MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,A)	39
	MPI_Get(X) /* may return an obsolete value */	40
	MPI_Get(Y)	41
	MPI_Win_unlock(A)	42
		43

To allow process B to read the value of X stored by A the local store must be replaced by <sup>44</sup> a local MPI\_PUT that updates the public window copy. Note that by this replacement X <sup>45</sup> may become visible in the private copy of process A only after the MPI\_WIN\_WAIT call in <sup>46</sup> process A. The update to Y made before the MPI\_WIN\_POST call is visible in the public <sup>47</sup> window after the MPI\_WIN\_POST call and therefore process B will read the proper value <sup>48</sup>

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

	460	CHAPTER 11. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS
1 2 3		ald be moved to the epoch started by the MPI_WIN_START I still get the value stored by process A.
4 5 6 7 8	target synchronization with loc	ng example demonstrates the interaction of general active cal read operations with the RMA separate memory model. It is that the private copy of X at process B has been updated
9	Process A:	Process B:
10		window location X
11 12 13 14	MPI_Win_lock(EXCLUSIVE,B) MPI_Put(X) /* update to pu MPI_Win_unlock(B)	blic window */
15 16	MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier
17		
18		MPI_Win_post(B)
19		MPI_Win_start(B)
20		
21		<pre>load X /* access to private window */</pre>
22		<pre>/* may return an obsolete value */</pre>
23		
24		MPI_Win_complete
25		MPI_Win_wait

To ensure that the value put by process A is read, the local load must be replaced with a local MPI\_GET operation, or must be placed after the call to MPI\_WIN\_WAIT.

28 29 30

26

27

# 11.7.1 Atomicity

 $^{31}$ The outcome of concurrent accumulate operations to the same location with the same 32 predefined datatype is as if the accumulates were done at that location in some serial 33 order. Additional restrictions on the operation apply; see the info key accumulate\_ops in 34Section 11.2.1. Concurrent accumulate operations with different origin and target pairs are 35 not ordered. Thus, there is no guarantee that the entire call to an accumulate operation is 36 executed atomically. The effect of this lack of atomicity is limited: The previous correctness 37 conditions imply that a location updated by a call to an accumulate operation cannot be 38 accessed by a load or an RMA call other than accumulate until the accumulate operation has 39 completed (at the target). Different interleavings can lead to different results only to the 40 extent that computer arithmetics are not truly associative or commutative. The outcome 41 of accumulate operations with overlapping types of different sizes or target displacements 42is undefined.

43 44

45

#### 11.7.2 Ordering

46Accumulate calls enable element-wise atomic read and write to remote memory locations. 47MPI specifies ordering between accumulate operations from one process to the same (or 48

overlapping) memory locations at another process on a per-datatype granularity. The default ordering is strict ordering, which guarantees that overlapping updates from the same 3 source to a remote location are committed in program order and that reads (e.g., with 4 MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE) and writes (e.g., with MPI\_ACCUMULATE) are executed and committed in program order. Ordering only applies to operations originating at the same origin that access overlapping target memory regions. MPI does not provide any guarantees for accesses or updates from different origins to overlapping target memory regions.

The default strict ordering may incur a significant performance penalty. MPI specifies 8 9 the info key accumulate\_ordering to allow relaxation of the ordering semantics when specified to any window creation function. The values for this key are as follows. If set to none, then 10 11no ordering will be guaranteed for accumulate calls. This was the behavior for RMA in MPI-122 but is not the default in MPI-3. The key can be set to a comma-separated list of required 13 access orderings at the target. Allowed values in the comma-separated list are rar, war, raw, and waw for read-after-read, write-after-read, read-after-write, and write-after-write 1415ordering, respectively. These indicate whether operations of the specified type complete 16in the order they were issued. For example, raw means that any writes must complete at 17 the target before any reads. These ordering requirements apply only to operations issued 18 by the same origin process and targeting the same target process. The default value for accumulate\_ordering is rar, raw, war, waw, which implies that writes complete at the target in the 1920order in which they were issued, reads complete at the target before any writes that are 21issued after the reads, and writes complete at the target before any reads that are issued after the writes. Any subset of these four orderings can be specified. For example, if only read-22after-read and write-after-write ordering is required, then the value of the accumulate\_ordering 23 $^{24}$ key could be set to rar, waw. The order of values is not significant.

Note that the above ordering semantics apply only to accumulate operations, not put and get. Put and get within an epoch are unordered.

#### 11.7.3 Progress

One-sided communication has the same progress requirements as point-to-point communication: once a communication is enabled it is guaranteed to complete. RMA calls must have local semantics, except when required for synchronization with other RMA calls.

There is some fuzziness in the definition of the time when a RMA communication becomes enabled. This fuzziness provides to the implementor more flexibility than with point-to-point communication. Access to a target window becomes enabled once the corresponding synchronization (such as MPI\_WIN\_FENCE or MPI\_WIN\_POST) has executed. On the origin process, an RMA communication may become enabled as soon as the corresponding put, get or accumulate call has executed, or as late as when the ensuing synchronization call is issued. Once the communication is enabled both at the origin and at the target, the communication must complete.

Consider the code fragment in Example 11.4. Some of the calls may block if the target window is not posted. However, if the target window is posted, then the code fragment must complete. The data transfer may start as soon as the put call occurs, but may be delayed until the ensuing complete call occurs.

Consider the code fragment in Example 11.5. Some of the calls may block if another process holds a conflicting lock. However, if no conflicting lock is held, then the code fragment must complete.

Consider the code illustrated in Figure 11.6. Each process updates the window of

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6  $\overline{7}$ 

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42


43

44

45

46

47



the other process using a put operation, then accesses its own window. The post calls are nonblocking, and should complete. Once the post calls occur, RMA access to the windows is enabled, so that each process should complete the sequence of calls start-put-complete. Once these are done, the wait calls should complete at both processes. Thus, this communication should not deadlock, irrespective of the amount of data transferred.

Assume, in the last example, that the order of the post and start calls is reversed at each process. Then, the code may deadlock, as each process may block on the start call, waiting for the matching post to occur. Similarly, the program will deadlock if the order of the complete and wait calls is reversed at each process.

The following two examples illustrate the fact that the synchronization between com-36 plete and wait is not symmetric: the wait call blocks until the complete executes, but not 37 vice versa. Consider the code illustrated in Figure 11.7. This code will deadlock: the wait 38 of process 1 blocks until process 0 calls complete, and the receive of process 0 blocks until 39 process 1 calls send. Consider, on the other hand, the code illustrated in Figure 11.8. This 40 code will not deadlock. Once process 1 calls post, then the sequence start, put, complete 41 on process 0 can proceed to completion. Process 0 will reach the send call, allowing the 42receive call of process 1 to complete. 43

*Rationale.* MPI implementations must guarantee that a process makes progress on all enabled communications it participates in, while blocked on an MPI call. This is true for send-receive communication and applies to RMA communication as well. Thus, in the example in Figure 11.8, the put and complete calls of process 0 should complete

44

45

46

47




Figure 11.8: No deadlock

while process 1 is blocked on the receive call. This may require the involvement of process 1, e.g., to transfer the data put, while it is blocked on the receive call.

A similar issue is whether such progress must occur while a process is busy computing, or blocked in a non-MPI call. Suppose that in the last example the send-receive pair is replaced by a write-to-socket/read-from-socket pair. Then MPI does not specify whether deadlock is avoided. Suppose that the blocking receive of process 1 is replaced by a very long compute loop. Then, according to one interpretation of the MPI standard, process 0 must return from the complete call after a bounded delay, even if process 1 does not reach any MPI call in this period of time. According to another interpretation, the complete call may block until process 1 reaches the wait call, or reaches another MPI call. The qualitative behavior is the same, under both interpretations, unless a process is caught in an infinite compute loop, in which case the difference may not matter. However, the quantitative expectations are different. Different MPI implementations reflect these different interpretations. While this ambiguity is unfortunate, the MPI Forum decided not to define which interpretation of the standard is the correct one, since the issue is contentious. (*End of rationale.*)

## 11.7.4 Registers and Compiler Optimizations

Advice to users. All the material in this section is an advice to users. (End of advice to users.)

A coherence problem exists between variables kept in registers and the memory values of these variables. An RMA call may access a variable in memory (or cache), while the up-to-date value of this variable is in register. A get will not return the latest variable value, and a put may be overwritten when the register is stored back in memory. Note that these issues are unrelated to the RMA memory model; that is, these issues apply even if the memory model is MPI\_WIN\_UNIFIED.

The problem is illustrated by the following code:

Source of Process 1	Source of Process 2	Executed in Process 2	42
bbbb = 777	buff = 999	reg_A:=999	43
call MPI_WIN_FENCE	call MPI_WIN_FENCE		44
call MPI_PUT(bbbb		stop appl.thread	45
into buff of process 2)		buff:=777 in PUT handler	46
		continue appl.thread	47
call MPI_WIN_FENCE	call MPI_WIN_FENCE		48

1 2

3

5 6

9

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

	404	UIAI IEA	TI. ONE-SIDED COMMUNICATIONS
1		ccc = buff	ccc:=reg_A
2			0001 10 <u>5</u> -A
3	In this example, va	riable <b>buff</b> is allocated in	the register reg_A and therefore ccc will
4	have the old value of by	uff and not the new value	e 777.
5	This problem, whic	ch also afflicts in some cases	s send/receive communication, is discussed
6	more at length in Section		
7	0	- /	ecause of the semantics of C. Many Fortran
8	-	- /	oling compiler optimizations. However, in
9	0	*	completely portable manner, users should
10		MA windows to variables	
11 12	-		gument copying and register optimization ats in Sections 17.1.10–17.1.20. Sections
12	· · · ·		VOLATILE Attribute on pages 635–640
14		s for the problem in this ex	
15		o for the problem in this of	Addipie.
16			
17	11.8 Examples		
18			
19	Example 11.13 The	following example shows	s a generic loosely synchronous, iterative
20	code, using fence synch	ronization. The window a	at each process consists of array A, which
21	contains the origin and	target buffers of the put c	calls.
22			
23	•••		
24	while(!converged(A))	) {	
25 26	update(A);	MODE NODDECEDE	
20	for(i=0; i < tone:	_MODE_NOPRECEDE, win);	,
28		f[i], 1, fromtype[i], 1	toneighbor[i]
29		todisp[i], 1, tot	-
30	MPI_Win_fence((MPI	I_MODE_NOSTORE   MPI_M	
31	}		, .,
32			
33		0	than put. Note that, during the commu-
34	<b>-</b> ,	e e	l (as origin buffer of puts) and written (as
35	- ,		at there is no overlap between the target
36	buffer of a put and ano	ther communication buffer	r.
37	Example 11.14 Same	e generic example with mo	nore computation/communication overlap.
38 39	-	S 1	wo subphases: the first, where the "bound-
39 40			dated, and the second, where the "core,"
41		provides communicated da	
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			

The get communication can be concurrent with the core update, since they do not access the same locations, and the local update of the origin buffer by the get call can be concurrent with the local update of the core by the update\_core call. In order to get similar overlap with put communication we would need to use separate windows for the core and for the boundary. This is required because we do not allow local stores to be concurrent with puts on the same, or on overlapping, windows.

**Example 11.15** Same code as in Example 11.13, rewritten using post-start-complete-wait.

Example 11.16 Same example, with split phases, as in Example 11.14.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
1
     Example 11.17 A checkerboard, or double buffer communication pattern, that allows
\mathbf{2}
     more computation/communication overlap. Array A0 is updated using values of array A1,
3
     and vice versa. We assume that communication is symmetric: if process A gets data from
4
     process B, then process B gets data from process A. Window wini consists of array Ai.
5
     . . .
6
     if (!converged(A0,A1))
7
       MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win0);
8
     MPI_Barrier(comm0);
9
     /* the barrier is needed because the start call inside the
10
     loop uses the nocheck option */
11
     while(!converged(A0, A1)){
12
       /* communication on AO and computation on A1 */
13
       update2(A1, A0); /* local update of A1 that depends on A0 (and A1) */
14
       MPI_Win_start(neighbors, MPI_MODE_NOCHECK, win0);
15
       for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
16
         MPI_Get(&tobuf0[i], 1, totype0[i], neighbor[i],
17
                     fromdisp0[i], 1, fromtype0[i], win0);
18
       update1(A1); /* local update of A1 that is
19
                        concurrent with communication that updates A0 */
20
       MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win1);
21
       MPI_Win_complete(win0);
22
       MPI_Win_wait(win0);
23
24
       /* communication on A1 and computation on A0 */
25
       update2(A0, A1); /* local update of A0 that depends on A1 (and A0) */
26
       MPI_Win_start(neighbors, MPI_MODE_NOCHECK, win1);
27
       for(i=0; i < fromneighbors; i++)</pre>
28
         MPI_Get(&tobuf1[i], 1, totype1[i], neighbor[i],
29
                      fromdisp1[i], 1, fromtype1[i], win1);
30
       update1(A0); /* local update of A0 that depends on A0 only,
31
                       concurrent with communication that updates A1 */
32
       if (!converged(A0,A1))
33
         MPI_Win_post(neighbors, (MPI_MODE_NOCHECK | MPI_MODE_NOPUT), win0);
34
       MPI_Win_complete(win1);
35
       MPI_Win_wait(win1);
36
       }
37
```

38 A process posts the local window associated with win0 before it completes RMA accesses 39 to the remote windows associated with win1. When the wait(win1) call returns, then all 40neighbors of the calling process have posted the windows associated with win0. Conversely, 41 when the wait (win0) call returns, then all neighbors of the calling process have posted the 42windows associated with win1. Therefore, the nocheck option can be used with the calls to 43MPI\_WIN\_START.

44Put calls can be used, instead of get calls, if the area of array A0 (resp. A1) used by 45the update(A1, A0) (resp. update(A0, A1)) call is disjoint from the area modified by the 46RMA communication. On some systems, a put call may be more efficient than a get call, 47as it requires information exchange only in one direction. 48

In the next several examples, for conciseness, the expression

z = MPI\_Get\_accumulate(...)

means to perform an MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE with the result buffer (given by result\_addr in the description of MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE) on the left side of the assignment, in this case, z. This format is also used with MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP.

Example 11.18 The following example implements a naive, non-scalable counting semaphore. The example demonstrates the use of MPI\_WIN\_SYNC to manipulate the public copy of X, as well as MPI\_WIN\_FLUSH to complete operations without ending the access epoch opened with MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL. To avoid the rules regarding synchronization of the public and private copies of windows, MPI\_ACCUMULATE and MPI\_GET\_ACCUMULATE are used to write to or read from the local public copy.

Process A:	Process B:	13
MPI_Win_lock_all	MPI_Win_lock_all	14
window location X		15
X=2		16
MPI_Win_sync		17
MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier	18
		19
MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_SUM, -1)	MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_SUM, -1)	20
		21
stack variable z	stack variable z	22
do	do	23
<pre>z = MPI_Get_accumulate(X,</pre>	<pre>z = MPI_Get_accumulate(X,</pre>	24
MPI_NO_OP, 0)	MPI_NO_OP, 0)	25
MPI_Win_flush(A)	MPI_Win_flush(A)	26
while(z!=0)	while(z!=0)	27
		28
MPI_Win_unlock_all	MPI_Win_unlock_all	29
		30

**Example 11.19** Implementing a critical region between two processes (Peterson's algorithm). Despite their appearance in the following example, MPI\_WIN\_LOCK\_ALL and MPI\_WIN\_UNLOCK\_ALL are not collective calls, but it is frequently useful to start shared access epochs to all processes from all other processes in a window. Once the access epochs are established, accumulate communication operations and flush and sync synchronization operations can be used to read from or write to the public copy of the window.

Process A:	Process B:	38
window location X	window location Y	39
window location T		40
		41
MPI_Win_lock_all	MPI_Win_lock_all	42
X=1	Y=1	43
MPI_Win_sync	MPI_Win_sync	44
MPI_Barrier	MPI_Barrier	45
MPI_Accumulate(T, MPI_REPLACE, 1)	MPI_Accumulate(T, MPI_REPLACE, 0)	46
stack variables t,y	stack variable t,x	47
t=1	t=0	48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

56

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

```
1
     y=MPI_Get_accumulate(Y,
                                                x=MPI_Get_accumulate(X,
\mathbf{2}
        MPI_NO_OP, 0)
                                                   MPI_NO_OP, 0)
3
     while(y==1 && t==1) do
                                                while(x==1 && t==0) do
4
       y=MPI_Get_accumulate(Y,
                                                  x=MPI_Get_accumulate(X,
5
           MPI_NO_OP, 0)
                                                     MPI_NO_OP, 0)
6
       t=MPI_Get_accumulate(T,
                                                  t=MPI_Get_accumulate(T,
7
           MPI_NO_OP, 0)
                                                     MPI_NO_OP, 0)
8
       MPI_Win_flush_all
                                                  MPI_Win_flush(A)
9
     done
                                                done
10
     // critical region
                                                // critical region
^{11}
     MPI_Accumulate(X, MPI_REPLACE, 0)
                                                MPI_Accumulate(Y, MPI_REPLACE, 0)
12
     MPI_Win_unlock_all
                                                MPI_Win_unlock_all
13
14
     Example 11.20 Implementing a critical region between multiple processes with compare
15
     and swap. The call to MPI_WIN_SYNC is necessary on Process A after local initialization
16
     of A to guarantee the public copy has been updated with the initialization value found in
17
     the private copy. It would also be valid to call MPI_ACCUMULATE with MPI_REPLACE to
18
     directly initialize the public copy. A call to MPI_WIN_FLUSH would be necessary to assure
19
     A in the public copy of Process A had been updated before the barrier.
20
21
     Process A:
                                                 Process B...:
     MPI_Win_lock_all
                                                 MPI_Win_lock_all
22
23
     atomic location A
^{24}
     A=0
25
     MPI_Win_sync
26
     MPI_Barrier
                                                 MPI_Barrier
27
     stack variable r=1
                                                 stack variable r=1
     while(r != 0) do
                                                 while(r != 0) do
28
       r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 0, 1)
                                                   r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 0, 1)
29
       MPI_Win_flush(A)
                                                   MPI_Win_flush(A)
30
31
     done
                                                 done
     // critical region
                                                 // critical region
32
     r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 1, 0)
33
                                                 r = MPI_Compare_and_swap(A, 1, 0)
34
     MPI_Win_unlock_all
                                                 MPI_Win_unlock_all
35
36
     Example 11.21 The following example shows how request-based operations can be used
37
     to overlap communication with computation. Each process fetches, processes, and writes
38
     the result for NSTEPS chunks of data. Instead of a single buffer, M local buffers are used to
39
     allow up to M communication operations to overlap with computation.
40
41
     int
                   i, j;
42
     MPI_Win
                  win:
43
     MPI_Request put_req[M] = { MPI_REQUEST_NULL };
```

```
    double data[M][N];
    MPI_Win_allocate(NSTEPS*N*sizeof(double), sizeof(double), MPI_INFO_NULL,
```

44

45

double

MPI\_Request get\_req;

\*baseptr;

```
1
  MPI_COMM_WORLD, &baseptr, &win);
                                                                                        \mathbf{2}
                                                                                        3
MPI_Win_lock_all(0, win);
                                                                                        4
for (i = 0; i < NSTEPS; i++) {</pre>
                                                                                        5
                                                                                        6
 if (i<M)
                                                                                        7
   j=i;
                                                                                        8
 else
                                                                                        9
   MPI_Waitany(M, put_req, &j, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
                                                                                        10
                                                                                        11
 MPI_Rget(data[j], N, MPI_DOUBLE, target, i*N, N, MPI_DOUBLE, win,
           &get_req);
                                                                                        12
 MPI_Wait(&get_req,MPI_STATUS_IGNORE);
                                                                                        13
                                                                                        14
 compute(i, data[j], ...);
                                                                                        15
 MPI_Rput(data[j], N, MPI_DOUBLE, target, i*N, N, MPI_DOUBLE, win,
                                                                                        16
           &put_req[j]);
                                                                                        17
}
                                                                                        18
                                                                                        19
MPI_Waitall(M, put_req, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
MPI_Win_unlock_all(win);
                                                                                        20
```

**Example 11.22** The following example constructs a distributed shared linked list using dynamic windows. Initially process 0 creates the head of the list, attaches it to the window, and broadcasts the pointer to all processes. All processes then concurrently append N new elements to the list. When a process attempts to attach its element to the tail of the list it may discover that its tail pointer is stale and it must chase ahead to the new tail before the element can be attached. This example requires some modification to work in an environment where the length of a pointer is different on different processes.

```
. . .
                                                                                         31
#define NUM_ELEMS 10
                                                                                         32
                                                                                         33
/* Linked list pointer */
                                                                                         34
typedef struct {
                                                                                         35
  MPI_Aint disp;
                                                                                         36
  int
            rank;
                                                                                         37
} llist_ptr_t;
                                                                                         38
                                                                                         39
/* Linked list element */
                                                                                         40
typedef struct {
                                                                                         41
  llist_ptr_t next;
                                                                                         42
  int value;
                                                                                         43
} llist_elem_t;
                                                                                         44
                                                                                         45
const llist_ptr_t nil = { (MPI_Aint) MPI_BOTTOM, -1 };
                                                                                         46
                                                                                         47
/* List of locally allocated list elements. */
                                                                                         48
```

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

```
1
     static llist_elem_t **my_elems = NULL;
\mathbf{2}
     static int my_elems_size = 0;
3
     static int my_elems_count = 0;
4
\mathbf{5}
     /* Allocate a new shared linked list element */
6
     MPI_Aint alloc_elem(int value, MPI_Win win) {
7
       MPI_Aint disp;
8
       llist_elem_t *elem_ptr;
9
10
       /* Allocate the new element and register it with the window */
11
       MPI_Alloc_mem(sizeof(llist_elem_t), MPI_INFO_NULL, &elem_ptr);
12
       elem_ptr->value = value;
13
       elem_ptr->next = nil;
14
       MPI_Win_attach(win, elem_ptr, sizeof(llist_elem_t));
15
16
       /* Add the element to the list of local elements so we can free
17
          it later. */
18
       if (my_elems_size == my_elems_count) {
19
         my_elems_size += 100;
20
         my_elems = realloc(my_elems, my_elems_size*sizeof(void*));
21
       }
22
       my_elems[my_elems_count] = elem_ptr;
23
       my_elems_count++;
^{24}
25
       MPI_Get_address(elem_ptr, &disp);
26
       return disp;
27
     }
28
29
     int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
30
       int
                      procid, nproc, i;
^{31}
       MPI_Win
                      llist_win;
32
                      head_ptr, tail_ptr;
       llist_ptr_t
33
34
       MPI_Init(&argc, &argv);
35
36
       MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &procid);
37
       MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nproc);
38
39
       MPI_Win_create_dynamic(MPI_INFO_NULL, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &llist_win);
40
41
       /* Process 0 creates the head node */
42
       if (procid == 0)
43
         head_ptr.disp = alloc_elem(-1, llist_win);
44
45
       /* Broadcast the head pointer to everyone */
46
       head_ptr.rank = 0;
47
       MPI_Bcast(&head_ptr.disp, 1, MPI_AINT, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
48
       tail_ptr = head_ptr;
```

```
\mathbf{2}
/* Lock the window for shared access to all targets */
                                                                                  3
MPI_Win_lock_all(0, llist_win);
                                                                                  4
/* All processes concurrently append NUM_ELEMS elements to the list */
                                                                                  5
                                                                                  6
for (i = 0; i < NUM_ELEMS; i++) {</pre>
                                                                                  7
  llist_ptr_t new_elem_ptr;
  int success;
                                                                                  8
                                                                                  9
                                                                                  10
  /* Create a new list element and attach it to the window */
                                                                                  11
  new_elem_ptr.rank = procid;
  new_elem_ptr.disp = alloc_elem(procid, llist_win);
                                                                                  12
                                                                                  13
  /* Append the new node to the list. This might take multiple
                                                                                  14
                                                                                  15
     attempts if others have already appended and our tail pointer
                                                                                  16
     is stale. */
                                                                                  17
  do {
                                                                                  18
    llist_ptr_t next_tail_ptr = nil;
                                                                                  19
    MPI_Compare_and_swap((void*) &new_elem_ptr.rank, (void*) &nil.rank,
                                                                                  20
                                                                                  21
         (void*)&next_tail_ptr.rank, MPI_INT, tail_ptr.rank,
         (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.rank),
                                                                                  22
                                                                                  23
        llist_win);
                                                                                  24
                                                                                  25
    MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
                                                                                  26
    success = (next_tail_ptr.rank == nil.rank);
                                                                                  27
    if (success) {
                                                                                  28
                                                                                  29
      MPI_Accumulate(&new_elem_ptr.disp, 1, MPI_AINT, tail_ptr.rank,
                                                                                  30
           (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.disp), 1,
                                                                                  31
          MPI_AINT, MPI_REPLACE, llist_win);
                                                                                  32
                                                                                  33
      MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
                                                                                  34
      tail_ptr = new_elem_ptr;
                                                                                  35
                                                                                  36
    } else {
                                                                                  37
      /* Tail pointer is stale, fetch the displacement. May take
                                                                                  38
         multiple tries if it is being updated. */
                                                                                  39
      do {
        MPI_Get_accumulate( NULL, 0, MPI_AINT, &next_tail_ptr.disp,
                                                                                  40
                                                                                  41
             1, MPI_AINT, tail_ptr.rank,
                                                                                  42
             (MPI_Aint) &(((llist_elem_t*)tail_ptr.disp)->next.disp),
            1, MPI_AINT, MPI_NO_OP, llist_win);
                                                                                  43
                                                                                  44
                                                                                  45
        MPI_Win_flush(tail_ptr.rank, llist_win);
                                                                                  46
      } while (next_tail_ptr.disp == nil.disp);
                                                                                  47
      tail_ptr = next_tail_ptr;
                                                                                  48
    }
```

```
1
          } while (!success);
\mathbf{2}
        }
3
4
        MPI_Win_unlock_all(llist_win);
\mathbf{5}
        MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD );
6
\overline{7}
        /* Free all the elements in the list */
8
        for ( ; my_elems_count > 0; my_elems_count--) {
9
          MPI_Win_detach(llist_win,my_elems[my_elems_count-1]);
10
          MPI_Free_mem(my_elems[my_elems_count-1]);
11
        }
12
        MPI_Win_free(&llist_win);
13
      . . .
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
^{22}
23
^{24}
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

# Chapter 12

# **External Interfaces**

## 12.1 Introduction

This chapter begins with calls used to create *generalized requests*, which allow users to create new nonblocking operations with an interface similar to what is present in MPI. These calls can be used to layer new functionality on top of MPI. Next, Section 12.3 deals with setting the information found in status. This functionality is needed for generalized requests.

The chapter continues, in Section 12.4, with a discussion of how threads are to be handled in MPI. Although thread compliance is not required, the standard specifies how threads are to work if they are provided.

## 12.2 Generalized Requests

The goal of generalized requests is to allow users to define new nonblocking operations. Such an outstanding nonblocking operation is represented by a (generalized) request. A fundamental property of nonblocking operations is that progress toward the completion of this operation occurs asynchronously, i.e., concurrently with normal program execution. Typically, this requires execution of code concurrently with the execution of the user code, e.g., in a separate thread or in a signal handler. Operating systems provide a variety of mechanisms in support of concurrent execution. MPI does not attempt to standardize or to replace these mechanisms: it is assumed programmers who wish to define new asynchronous operations will use the mechanisms provided by the underlying operating system. Thus, the calls in this section only provide a means for defining the effect of MPI calls such as MPI\_WAIT or MPI\_CANCEL when they apply to generalized requests, and for signaling to MPI the completion of a generalized operation.

*Rationale.* It is tempting to also define an MPI standard mechanism for achieving concurrent execution of user-defined nonblocking operations. However, it is difficult to define such a mechanism without consideration of the specific mechanisms used in the operating system. The Forum feels that concurrency mechanisms are a proper part of the underlying operating system and should not be standardized by MPI; the MPI standard should only deal with the interaction of such mechanisms with MPI. (*End of rationale.*)

For a regular request, the operation associated with the request is performed by the MPI implementation, and the operation completes without intervention by the ap-

```
1
     plication. For a generalized request, the operation associated with the request is per-
\mathbf{2}
     formed by the application; therefore, the application must notify MPI through a call to
3
     MPI_GREQUEST_COMPLETE when the operation completes. MPI maintains the "comple-
4
     tion" status of generalized requests. Any other request state has to be maintained by the
5
     user.
6
          A new generalized request is started with
7
8
     MPI_GREQUEST_START(query_fn, free_fn, cancel_fn, extra_state, request)
9
10
       IN
                 query_fn
                                              callback function invoked when request status is queried
11
                                              (function)
12
       IN
                 free_fn
                                              callback function invoked when request is freed (func-
13
                                              tion)
14
                 cancel_fn
       IN
                                             callback function invoked when request is cancelled
15
                                              (function)
16
17
       IN
                 extra_state
                                              extra state
18
       OUT
                 request
                                              generalized request (handle)
19
20
     int MPI_Grequest_start(MPI_Grequest_query_function *query_fn,
21
                     MPI_Grequest_free_function *free_fn,
22
                     MPI_Grequest_cancel_function *cancel_fn, void *extra_state,
23
                     MPI_Request *request)
^{24}
25
     MPI_Grequest_start(query_fn, free_fn, cancel_fn, extra_state, request,
26
                     ierror)
27
          PROCEDURE(MPI_Grequest_query_function) :: query_fn
28
          PROCEDURE(MPI_Grequest_free_function) :: free_fn
29
          PROCEDURE(MPI_Grequest_cancel_function) :: cancel_fn
30
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: extra_state
31
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request
32
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
33
     MPI_GREQUEST_START(QUERY_FN, FREE_FN, CANCEL_FN, EXTRA_STATE, REQUEST,
34
                     IERROR)
35
          INTEGER REQUEST, IERROR
36
          EXTERNAL QUERY_FN, FREE_FN, CANCEL_FN
37
          INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
38
39
40
           Advice to users.
                              Note that a generalized request is of the same type as regular
41
           requests, in C and Fortran. (End of advice to users.)
42
          The call starts a generalized request and returns a handle to it in request.
43
          The syntax and meaning of the callback functions are listed below. All callback func-
44
     tions are passed the extra_state argument that was associated with the request by the
45
46
     starting call MPI_GREQUEST_START; extra_state can be used to maintain user-defined
47
     state for the request.
          In C, the query function is
48
```

CHAPTER 12. EXTERNAL INTERFACES

```
1
typedef int MPI_Grequest_query_function(void *extra_state,
                                                                                          \mathbf{2}
               MPI_Status *status);
                                                                                          3
in Fortran with the mpi_f08 module
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                          5
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Grequest_query_function(extra_state, status, ierror)
                                                                                          6
      TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state
      INTEGER :: ierror
                                                                                          9
                                                                                          10
in Fortran with the mpi module and mpif.h
                                                                                          11
SUBROUTINE GREQUEST_QUERY_FUNCTION(EXTRA_STATE, STATUS, IERROR)
    INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
                                                                                          12
                                                                                          13
    INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
                                                                                          14
    The query_fn function computes the status that should be returned for the generalized
                                                                                          15
request. The status also includes information about successful/unsuccessful cancellation of
                                                                                          16
the request (result to be returned by MPI_TEST_CANCELLED).
                                                                                          17
    The query_fn callback is invoked by the MPI_{WAIT|TEST}{ANY|SOME|ALL} call that
                                                                                          18
completed the generalized request associated with this callback. The callback function is
                                                                                          19
also invoked by calls to MPI_REQUEST_GET_STATUS, if the request is complete when
                                                                                          20
the call occurs. In both cases, the callback is passed a reference to the corresponding
                                                                                          21
status variable passed by the user to the MPI call; the status set by the callback function
                                                                                          22
is returned by the MPI call. If the user provided MPI_STATUS_IGNORE or
                                                                                          23
MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE to the MPI function that causes query_fn to be called, then MPI
                                                                                          24
will pass a valid status object to query_fn, and this status will be ignored upon return of the
                                                                                          25
callback function. Note that guery_fn is invoked only after MPI_GREQUEST_COMPLETE
                                                                                          26
is called on the request; it may be invoked several times for the same generalized request,
                                                                                          27
e.g., if the user calls MPI_REQUEST_GET_STATUS several times for this request. Note also
                                                                                          28
that a call to MPI_{WAIT|TEST}{SOME|ALL} may cause multiple invocations of query_fn
                                                                                          29
callback functions, one for each generalized request that is completed by the MPI call. The
                                                                                          30
order of these invocations is not specified by MPI.
                                                                                          31
    In C, the free function is
                                                                                          32
typedef int MPI_Grequest_free_function(void *extra_state);
                                                                                          33
                                                                                          34
in Fortran with the mpi_f08 module
                                                                                          35
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                          36
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Grequest_free_function(extra_state, ierror)
                                                                                          37
       INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state
                                                                                          38
       INTEGER :: ierror
                                                                                          39
in Fortran with the mpi module and mpif.h
                                                                                          40
```

```
SUBROUTINE GREQUEST_FREE_FUNCTION(EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
INTEGER IERROR
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
```

The free\_fn function is invoked to clean up user-allocated resources when the generalized request is freed.

The free\_fn callback is invoked by the MPI\_{WAIT|TEST}{ANY|SOME|ALL} call that completed the generalized request associated with this callback. free\_fn is invoked after

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

1 the call to query\_fn for the same request. However, if the MPI call completed multiple  $\mathbf{2}$ generalized requests, the order in which free\_fn callback functions are invoked is not specified 3 by MPI.

4 The free\_fn callback is also invoked for generalized requests that are freed by a call  $\mathbf{5}$ to MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE (no call to MPI\_{WAIT|TEST}{ANY|SOME|ALL} will occur for 6 such a request). In this case, the callback function will be called either in the MPI call 7MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE(request), or in the MPI call MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE(request), 8 whichever happens last, i.e., in this case the actual freeing code is executed as soon as both 9 calls MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE and MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE have occurred. The request 10 is not deallocated until after free\_fn completes. Note that free\_fn will be invoked only once 11per request by a correct program.

Advice to users. Calling MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE(request) will cause the request handle 13 to be set to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL. This handle to the generalized request is no longer 14valid. However, user copies of this handle are valid until after free\_fn completes since 15MPI does not deallocate the object until then. Since free\_fn is not called until after 16MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE, the user copy of the handle can be used to make this call. Users should note that MPI will deallocate the object after free\_fn executes. At this point, user copies of the request handle no longer point to a valid request. MPI will 19 not set user copies to MPI\_REQUEST\_NULL in this case, so it is up to the user to avoid 20accessing this stale handle. This is a special case in which MPI defers deallocating the object until a later time that is known by the user. (End of advice to users.) 22

In C, the cancel function is

24typedef int MPI\_Grequest\_cancel\_function(void \*extra\_state, int complete); 25

```
26
     in Fortran with the mpi_f08 module
```

27ABSTRACT INTERFACE

28SUBROUTINE MPI\_Grequest\_cancel\_function(extra\_state, complete, ierror) 29INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: extra\_state

- 30 complete LOGICAL :: 31INTEGER :: ierror
- 32 in Fortran with the mpi module and mpif.h 33

SUBROUTINE GREQUEST\_CANCEL\_FUNCTION(EXTRA\_STATE, COMPLETE, IERROR) 34

INTEGER IERROR 35

INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) EXTRA\_STATE

LOGICAL COMPLETE 37

38 The cancel\_fn function is invoked to start the cancelation of a generalized request. 39 It is called by MPI\_CANCEL(request). MPI passes complete=true to the callback function 40if MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE was already called on the request, and  $^{41}$ 

complete=false otherwise.

42All callback functions return an error code. The code is passed back and dealt with as 43appropriate for the error code by the MPI function that invoked the callback function. For 44example, if error codes are returned then the error code returned by the callback function 45will be returned by the MPI function that invoked the callback function. In the case of 46an MPI\_{WAIT|TEST}{ANY} call that invokes both query\_fn and free\_fn, the MPI call will 47return the error code returned by the last callback, namely free\_fn. If one or more of the 48requests in a call to MPI\_{WAIT|TEST}{SOME|ALL} failed, then the MPI call will return

12

17

18

21

23

MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS. In such a case, if the MPI call was passed an array of statuses, then MPI will return in each of the statuses that correspond to a completed generalized request the error code returned by the corresponding invocation of its free\_fn callback function. However, if the MPI function was passed MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, then the individual error codes returned by each callback functions will be lost.

Advice to users. query\_fn must not set the error field of status since query\_fn may be called by MPI\_WAIT or MPI\_TEST, in which case the error field of status should not change. The MPI library knows the "context" in which query\_fn is invoked and can decide correctly when to put the returned error code in the error field of status. (End of advice to users.)

#### MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE(request)

INOUT	request	generalized request (handle)
int MPI_0	Grequest_complete(MPI_Requ	lest request)
TYPE	lest_complete(request, ier (MPI_Request), INTENT(IN) EER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: request
-	JEST_COMPLETE(REQUEST, IEF GER REQUEST, IERROR	ROR)

The call informs MPI that the operations represented by the generalized request request are complete (see definitions in Section 2.4). A call to MPI\_WAIT(request, status) will return and a call to MPI\_TEST(request, flag, status) will return flag=true only after a call to MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE has declared that these operations are complete.

MPI imposes no restrictions on the code executed by the callback functions. However, new nonblocking operations should be defined so that the general semantic rules about MPI calls such as MPI\_TEST, MPI\_REQUEST\_FREE, or MPI\_CANCEL still hold. For example, these calls are supposed to be local and nonblocking. Therefore, the callback functions query\_fn, free\_fn, or cancel\_fn should invoke blocking MPI communication calls only if the context is such that these calls are guaranteed to return in finite time. Once MPI\_CANCEL is invoked, the cancelled operation should complete in finite time, irrespective of the state of other processes (the operation has acquired "local" semantics). It should either succeed, or fail without side-effects. The user should guarantee these same properties for newly defined operations.

Advice to implementors. A call to MPI\_GREQUEST\_COMPLETE may unblock a blocked user process/thread. The MPI library should ensure that the blocked user computation will resume. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 12.2.1 Examples

**Example 12.1** This example shows the code for a user-defined reduce operation on an <sup>46</sup> int using a binary tree: each non-root node receives two messages, sums them, and sends <sup>47</sup> them up. We assume that no status is returned and that the operation cannot be cancelled. <sup>48</sup>

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

```
1
     typedef struct {
\mathbf{2}
        MPI_Comm comm;
3
        int tag;
4
        int root;
5
        int valin;
6
        int *valout;
7
        MPI_Request request;
8
        } ARGS;
9
10
11
     int myreduce(MPI_Comm comm, int tag, int root,
12
                    int valin, int *valout, MPI_Request *request)
13
     {
14
        ARGS *args;
15
        pthread_t thread;
16
17
        /* start request */
18
        MPI_Grequest_start(query_fn, free_fn, cancel_fn, NULL, request);
19
20
        args = (ARGS*)malloc(sizeof(ARGS));
21
        args->comm = comm;
22
        args->tag = tag;
23
        args->root = root;
^{24}
        args->valin = valin;
25
        args->valout = valout;
26
        args->request = *request;
27
28
        /* spawn thread to handle request */
^{29}
        /* The availability of the pthread_create call is system dependent */
30
        pthread_create(&thread, NULL, reduce_thread, args);
^{31}
32
        return MPI_SUCCESS;
33
     }
34
35
     /* thread code */
36
     void* reduce_thread(void *ptr)
37
     {
38
        int lchild, rchild, parent, lval, rval, val;
39
        MPI_Request req[2];
40
        ARGS *args;
41
42
        args = (ARGS*)ptr;
43
44
        /* compute left and right child and parent in tree; set
45
            to MPI_PROC_NULL if does not exist */
46
        /* code not shown */
47
         . . .
48
```

```
1
   MPI_Irecv(&lval, 1, MPI_INT, lchild, args->tag, args->comm, &req[0]);
                                                                                    2
   MPI_Irecv(&rval, 1, MPI_INT, rchild, args->tag, args->comm, &req[1]);
                                                                                    3
   MPI_Waitall(2, req, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE);
   val = lval + args->valin + rval;
                                                                                    4
   MPI_Send( &val, 1, MPI_INT, parent, args->tag, args->comm );
                                                                                    5
   if (parent == MPI_PROC_NULL) *(args->valout) = val;
                                                                                    6
                                                                                    7
   MPI_Grequest_complete((args->request));
                                                                                    8
   free(ptr);
   return(NULL);
                                                                                    9
                                                                                    10
}
                                                                                    11
int query_fn(void *extra_state, MPI_Status *status)
                                                                                    12
                                                                                    13
{
                                                                                    14
   /* always send just one int */
                                                                                    15
   MPI_Status_set_elements(status, MPI_INT, 1);
   /* can never cancel so always true */
                                                                                    16
                                                                                    17
   MPI_Status_set_cancelled(status, 0);
                                                                                    18
   /* choose not to return a value for this */
                                                                                    19
   status->MPI_SOURCE = MPI_UNDEFINED;
   /* tag has no meaning for this generalized request */
                                                                                    20
                                                                                    21
   status->MPI_TAG = MPI_UNDEFINED;
   /* this generalized request never fails */
                                                                                    22
                                                                                    23
   return MPI_SUCCESS;
                                                                                    24
}
                                                                                    25
                                                                                    26
int free_fn(void *extra_state)
                                                                                    27
                                                                                    28
{
                                                                                    29
   /* this generalized request does not need to do any freeing */
                                                                                    30
   /* as a result it never fails here */
                                                                                    31
   return MPI_SUCCESS;
}
                                                                                    32
                                                                                    33
                                                                                    34
int cancel_fn(void *extra_state, int complete)
                                                                                    35
                                                                                    36
ſ
                                                                                    37
   /* This generalized request does not support cancelling.
                                                                                    38
      Abort if not already done. If done then treat as if cancel failed.*/
                                                                                    39
   if (!complete) {
                                                                                    40
     fprintf(stderr,
                                                                                    41
              "Cannot cancel generalized request - aborting program\n");
                                                                                    42
     MPI_Abort(MPI_COMM_WORLD, 99);
     }
                                                                                    43
                                                                                    44
   return MPI_SUCCESS;
}
                                                                                    45
                                                                                    46
                                                                                    47
```

```
12.3
             Associating Information with Status
1
\mathbf{2}
      MPI supports several different types of requests besides those for point-to-point operations.
3
      These range from MPI calls for I/O to generalized requests. It is desirable to allow these
4
      calls to use the same request mechanism, which allows one to wait or test on different
5
      types of requests. However, MPI_{TEST|WAIT}{ANY|SOME|ALL} returns a status with
6
      information about the request. With the generalization of requests, one needs to define
7
      what information will be returned in the status object.
8
          Each MPI call fills in the appropriate fields in the status object. Any unused fields will
9
      have undefined values. A call to MPI_{TEST|WAIT}{ANY|SOME|ALL} can modify any of
10
      the fields in the status object. Specifically, it can modify fields that are undefined. The
11
      fields with meaningful values for a given request are defined in the sections with the new
12
      request.
13
          Generalized requests raise additional considerations. Here, the user provides the func-
14
      tions to deal with the request. Unlike other MPI calls, the user needs to provide the
15
      information to be returned in the status. The status argument is provided directly to the
16
      callback function where the status needs to be set. Users can directly set the values in 3 of
17
      the 5 status values. The count and cancel fields are opaque. To overcome this, these calls
18
      are provided:
19
20
21
      MPI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS(status, datatype, count)
22
       INOUT
                 status
                                              status with which to associate count (Status)
23
24
       IN
                 datatype
                                              datatype associated with count (handle)
25
       IN
                 count
                                              number of elements to associate with status (integer)
26
27
      int MPI_Status_set_elements(MPI_Status *status, MPI_Datatype datatype,
28
                     int count)
29
30
     MPI_Status_set_elements(status, datatype, count, ierror)
^{31}
          TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(INOUT) ::
                                                    status
32
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                   datatype
33
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
34
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
35
     MPI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS(STATUS, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)
36
          INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR
37
38
39
40
      MPI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS_X(status, datatype, count)
41
       INOUT
                 status
                                              status with which to associate count (Status)
42
       IN
                 datatype
                                              datatype associated with count (handle)
43
44
       IN
                 count
                                              number of elements to associate with status (integer)
45
46
      int MPI_Status_set_elements_x(MPI_Status *status, MPI_Datatype datatype,
47
                     MPI_Count count)
48
```

MPI Stat	us set elements x(status	s, datatype, count, ierror)	1
	E(MPI_Status), INTENT(IN	• -	2
	E(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(		3
	EGER(KIND = MPI_COUNT_KI		4
INTE	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(O	UT) :: ierror	5
MPI_STAT	TUS_SET_ELEMENTS_X(STATU	S, DATATYPE, COUNT, IERROR)	6 7
	EGER STATUS (MPI_STATUS_S		8
INTE	EGER (KIND=MPI_COUNT_KIN	D) COUNT	9
Thes	se functions modify the opa	que part of <b>status</b> so that a call to	10
	° *	ELEMENTS_X will return count. MPI_GET_COUNT	11
	n a compatible value.		12
			13
		ments is set instead of the count because the former	14
can	deal with a nonintegral num	ber of datatypes. (End of rationale.)	15
A su	ubsequent call to MPI_GET_	_COUNT(status, datatype, count),	16 17
	ELEMENTS(status, datatyp		18
MPI_GET	「_ELEMENTS_X(status, data	type, count) must use a datatype argument that has	19
		ype argument that was used in the call to	20
MPI_STA	TUS_SET_ELEMENTS or M	PI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS_X.	21
to t the mus	the restriction that holds where $COUNT$ , $NOUNT$	of matching type signatures for these calls is similar then count is set by a receive operation: in that case, MPI_GET_ELEMENTS, and MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X me signature as the datatype used in the receive call.	23 24 25 26 27 28
MPI_STA	TUS_SET_CANCELLED(stat	us, flag)	29 30
INOUT	status	status with which to associate cancel flag (Status)	31
			32
IN	flag	if true indicates request was cancelled (logical)	33
int MPI_	Status_set_cancelled(MP	I_Status *status, int flag)	34 35
MPI_Stat	cus_set_cancelled(status	, flag, ierror)	36
TYPE	E(MPI_Status), INTENT(ING	DUT) :: status	37
	ICAL, INTENT(OUT) :: fla	•	38 39
INTE	EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(O	UT) :: ierror	40
MPI_STAT	TUS_SET_CANCELLED(STATUS	, FLAG, IERROR)	41
INTE	EGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_S	IZE), IERROR	42
LOGI	ICAL FLAG		43
If fla	g is set to true then a subsequ	uent call to MPI_TEST_CANCELLED(status, flag) will	44
	rn flag = true, otherwise it w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45
			46
Adi	vice to users. Users are ad	lvised not to reuse the status fields for values other	47

than those for which they were intended. Doing so may lead to unexpected results

when using the status object. For example, calling MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS may cause an error if the value is out of range or it may be impossible to detect such an error. The extra\_state argument provided with a generalized request can be used to return information that does not logically belong in status. Furthermore, modifying the values in a status set internally by MPI, e.g., MPI\_RECV, may lead to unpredictable results and is strongly discouraged. (*End of advice to users.*)

12.4 MPI and Threads

This section specifies the interaction between MPI calls and threads. The section lists minimal requirements for *thread compliant* MPI implementations and defines functions that can be used for initializing the thread environment. MPI may be implemented in environments where threads are not supported or perform poorly. Therefore, MPI implementations are not required to be thread compliant as defined in this section.

This section generally assumes a thread package similar to POSIX threads [39], but the syntax and semantics of thread calls are not specified here — these are beyond the scope of this document.

12.4.1 General

In a thread-compliant implementation, an MPI process is a process that may be multi threaded. Each thread can issue MPI calls; however, threads are not separately addressable:
 a rank in a send or receive call identifies a process, not a thread. A message sent to a process
 can be received by any thread in this process.

- *Rationale.* This model corresponds to the POSIX model of interprocess communication: the fact that a process is multi-threaded, rather than single-threaded, does not affect the external interface of this process. MPI implementations in which MPI 'processes' are POSIX threads inside a single POSIX process are not thread-compliant by this definition (indeed, their "processes" are single-threaded). (*End of rationale.*)
  - Advice to users. It is the user's responsibility to prevent races when threads within the same application post conflicting communication calls. The user can make sure that two threads in the same process will not issue conflicting communication calls by using distinct communicators at each thread. (*End of advice to users.*)

The two main requirements for a thread-compliant implementation are listed below.

- 1. All MPI calls are *thread-safe*, i.e., two concurrently running threads may make MPI calls and the outcome will be as if the calls executed in some order, even if their execution is interleaved.
- 2. Blocking MPI calls will block the calling thread only, allowing another thread to execute, if available. The calling thread will be blocked until the event on which it is waiting occurs. Once the blocked communication is enabled and can proceed, then the call will complete and the thread will be marked runnable, within a finite time. A blocked thread will not prevent progress of other runnable threads on the same process, and will not prevent them from executing MPI calls.

**Example 12.2** Process 0 consists of two threads. The first thread executes a blocking send call MPI\_Send(buff1, count, type, 0, 0, comm), whereas the second thread executes a blocking receive call MPI\_Recv(buff2, count, type, 0, 0, comm, &status), i.e., the first thread sends a message that is received by the second thread. This communication should always succeed. According to the first requirement, the execution will correspond to some interleaving of the two calls. According to the second requirement, a call can only block the calling thread and cannot prevent progress of the other thread. If the send call went ahead of the receive call, then the sending thread may block, but this will not prevent the receiving thread from executing. Thus, the receive call will occur. Once both calls occur, the communication is enabled and both calls will complete. On the other hand, a single-threaded process that posts a send, followed by a matching receive, may deadlock. The progress requirement for multithreaded implementations is stronger, as a blocked call cannot prevent progress in other threads.

Advice to implementors. MPI calls can be made thread-safe by executing only one at a time, e.g., by protecting MPI code with one process-global lock. However, blocked operations cannot hold the lock, as this would prevent progress of other threads in the process. The lock is held only for the duration of an atomic, locally-completing suboperation such as posting a send or completing a send, and is released in between. Finer locks can provide more concurrency, at the expense of higher locking overheads. Concurrency can also be achieved by having some of the MPI protocol executed by separate server threads. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 12.4.2 Clarifications

Initialization and Completion The call to MPI\_FINALIZE should occur on the same thread that initialized MPI. We call this thread the *main thread*. The call should occur only after all process threads have completed their MPI calls, and have no pending communications or I/O operations.

Rationale. This constraint simplifies implementation. (End of rationale.)

Multiple threads completing the same request. A program in which two threads block, waiting on the same request, is erroneous. Similarly, the same request cannot appear in the array of requests of two concurrent MPI\_{WAIT|TEST}{ANY|SOME|ALL} calls. In MPI, a request can only be completed once. Any combination of wait or test that violates this rule is erroneous.

Rationale. This restriction is consistent with the view that a multithreaded execution corresponds to an interleaving of the MPI calls. In a single threaded implementation, once a wait is posted on a request the request handle will be nullified before it is possible to post a second wait on the same handle. With threads, an MPI\_WAIT{ANY|SOME|ALL} may be blocked without having nullified its request(s) so it becomes the user's responsibility to avoid using the same request in an MPI\_WAIT on another thread. This constraint also simplifies implementation, as only one thread will be blocked on any communication or I/O event. (End of rationale.)

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

Probe A receive call that uses source and tag values returned by a preceding call to MPI\_PROBE or MPI\_IPROBE will receive the message matched by the probe call only if there was no other matching receive after the probe and before that receive. In a multithreaded environment, it is up to the user to enforce this condition using suitable mutual exclusion logic. This can be enforced by making sure that each communicator is used by only one thread on each process. Alternatively, MPI\_MPROBE or MPI\_IMPROBE can be used.

8

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

<sup>9</sup> Collective calls Matching of collective calls on a communicator, window, or file handle is <sup>10</sup> done according to the order in which the calls are issued at each process. If concurrent <sup>11</sup> threads issue such calls on the same communicator, window or file handle, it is up to the <sup>12</sup> user to make sure the calls are correctly ordered, using interthread synchronization.

Advice to users. With three concurrent threads in each MPI process of a communicator comm, it is allowed that thread A in each MPI process calls a collective operation on comm, thread B calls a file operation on an existing filehandle that was formerly opened on comm, and thread C invokes one-sided operations on an existing window handle that was also formerly created on comm. (*End of advice to users.*)

*Rationale.* As specified in MPI\_FILE\_OPEN and MPI\_WIN\_CREATE, a file handle and a window handle inherit only the group of processes of the underlying communicator, but not the communicator itself. Accesses to communicators, window handles and file handles cannot affect one another. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. If the implementation of file or window operations internally uses MPI communication then a duplicated communicator may be cached on the file or window object. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

27 28

32

33

34

35

36 37

Exception handlers An exception handler does not necessarily execute in the context of the thread that made the exception-raising MPI call; the exception handler may be executed by a thread that is distinct from the thread that will return the error code.

*Rationale.* The MPI implementation may be multithreaded, so that part of the communication protocol may execute on a thread that is distinct from the thread that made the MPI call. The design allows the exception handler to be executed on the thread where the exception occurred. (*End of rationale.*)

Interaction with signals and cancellations The outcome is undefined if a thread that executes
 an MPI call is cancelled (by another thread), or if a thread catches a signal while executing
 an MPI call. However, a thread of an MPI process may terminate, and may catch signals or
 be cancelled by another thread when not executing MPI calls.

Rationale. Few C library functions are signal safe, and many have cancellation points — points at which the thread executing them may be cancelled. The above restriction simplifies implementation (no need for the MPI library to be "async-cancel-safe" or "async-signal-safe"). (End of rationale.)

46 47

42

43

44

45

Advice to users. Users can catch signals in separate, non-MPI threads (e.g., by masking signals on MPI calling threads, and unmasking them in one or more non-MPI threads). A good programming practice is to have a distinct thread blocked in a call to sigwait for each user expected signal that may occur. Users must not catch signals used by the MPI implementation; as each MPI implementation is required to document the signals used internally, users can avoid these signals. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. The MPI library should not invoke library calls that are not thread safe, if multiple threads execute. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 12.4.3 Initialization

The following function may be used to initialize MPI, and to initialize the MPI thread environment, instead of MPI\_INIT.

#### MPI\_INIT\_THREAD(required, provided)

IN	required	desired level of thread support (integer)	1
OUT	provided	provided level of thread support (integer)	2
int MPI_I	nit_thread(int *argc, ch	ar ***argv, int required, int *provided)	
	thread(required, provided		2
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: requin ER, INTENT(OUT) :: provi		4
	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		-
MPI_INIT_	THREAD(REQUIRED, PROVIDE	), IERROR)	1
INTEG	ER REQUIRED, PROVIDED, II	ERROR	

Advice to users. In C, the passing of argc and argv is optional, as with MPI\_INIT as discussed in Section 8.7. In C, null pointers may be passed in their place. (*End of advice to users.*)

This call initializes MPI in the same way that a call to MPI\_INIT would. In addition, it initializes the thread environment. The argument required is used to specify the desired level of thread support. The possible values are listed in increasing order of thread support.

MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE Only one thread will execute.

- MPI\_THREAD\_FUNNELED The process may be multi-threaded, but the application must ensure that only the main thread makes MPI calls (for the definition of main thread, see MPI\_IS\_THREAD\_MAIN on page 487).
- MPI\_THREAD\_SERIALIZED The process may be multi-threaded, and multiple threads may make MPI calls, but only one at a time: MPI calls are not made concurrently from two distinct threads (all MPI calls are "serialized").
- **MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE** Multiple threads may call MPI, with no restrictions.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

1 These values are monotonic; i.e., MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE < MPI\_THREAD\_FUNNELED <  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_THREAD\_SERIALIZED < MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE. 3 Different processes in MPI\_COMM\_WORLD may require different levels of thread sup-4 port. 5The call returns in **provided** information about the actual level of thread support that 6 will be provided by MPI. It can be one of the four values listed above.  $\overline{7}$ The level(s) of thread support that can be provided by MPI\_INIT\_THREAD will depend 8 on the implementation, and may depend on information provided by the user before the 9 program started to execute (e.g., with arguments to mpiexec). If possible, the call will 10 return provided = required. Failing this, the call will return the least supported level such 11that provided > required (thus providing a stronger level of support than required by the 12user). Finally, if the user requirement cannot be satisfied, then the call will return in 13provided the highest supported level. 14A thread compliant MPI implementation will be able to return provided 15= MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE. Such an implementation may always return provided 16= MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE, irrespective of the value of required. 17An MPI library that is not thread compliant must always return 18 provided=MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE, even if MPI\_INIT\_THREAD is called on a multithreaded 19 process. The library should also return correct values for the MPI calls that can be executed 20before initialization, even if multiple threads have been spawned. 21Rationale. Such code is erroneous, but if the MPI initialization is performed by a 22library, the error cannot be detected until MPI\_INIT\_THREAD is called. The require-2324ments in the previous paragraph ensure that the error can be properly detected. (End of rationale.) 2526A call to MPI\_INIT has the same effect as a call to MPI\_INIT\_THREAD with a required 27= MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE. 28Vendors may provide (implementation dependent) means to specify the level(s) of 29 thread support available when the MPI program is started, e.g., with arguments to mpiexec. 30 This will affect the outcome of calls to MPI\_INIT and MPI\_INIT\_THREAD. Suppose, for  $^{31}$ example, that an MPI program has been started so that only MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE is 32 available. Then MPI\_INIT\_THREAD will return provided = MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE, irre-33 spective of the value of required; a call to MPI\_INIT will also initialize the MPI thread support 34level to MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE. Suppose, instead, that an MPI program has been started 35 so that all four levels of thread support are available. Then, a call to MPI\_INIT\_THREAD 36 will return provided = required; alternatively, a call to MPI\_INIT will initialize the MPI 37 thread support level to MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE. 38 39 Various optimizations are possible when MPI code is executed single-Rationale. 40 threaded, or is executed on multiple threads, but not concurrently: mutual exclusion 41 code may be omitted. Furthermore, if only one thread executes, then the MPI library 42can use library functions that are not thread safe, without risking conflicts with user 43 threads. Also, the model of one communication thread, multiple computation threads 44fits many applications well, e.g., if the process code is a sequential Fortran/C program 45

with MPI calls that has been parallelized by a compiler for execution on an SMP node,

in a cluster of SMPs, then the process computation is multi-threaded, but MPI calls will likely execute on a single thread.

The design accommodates a static specification of the thread support level, for environments that require static binding of libraries, and for compatibility for current multi-threaded MPI codes. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. If provided is not MPI\_THREAD\_SINGLE then the MPI library should not invoke C or Fortran library calls that are not thread safe, e.g., in an environment where malloc is not thread safe, then malloc should not be used by the MPI library.

Some implementors may want to use different MPI libraries for different levels of thread support. They can do so using dynamic linking and selecting which library will be linked when MPI\_INIT\_THREAD is invoked. If this is not possible, then optimizations for lower levels of thread support will occur only when the level of thread support required is specified at link time.

Note that required need not be the same value on all processes of MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The following function can be used to query the current level of thread support.

```
MPI_QUERY_THREAD(provided)
```

OUT	provided	provided level of thread support (integer)
int MPI_0	uery_thread(int *provided	1)
INTEC	y_thread(provided, ierror) ER, INTENT(OUT) :: provi ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	ided
-	THREAD(PROVIDED, IERROR) ER PROVIDED, IERROR	)

The call returns in provided the current level of thread support, which will be the value returned in provided by MPI\_INIT\_THREAD, if MPI was initialized by a call to MPI\_INIT\_THREAD().

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $41 \\ 42$ 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

- This function can be called by a thread to determine if it is the main thread (the thread that called MPI\_INIT or MPI\_INIT\_THREAD).
  - All routines listed in this section must be supported by all MPI implementations.

MPI libraries are required to provide these calls even if they do not Rationale. support threads, so that portable code that contains invocations to these functions can link correctly. MPI\_INIT continues to be supported so as to provide compatibility with current MPI codes. (End of rationale.)

- Advice to users. It is possible to spawn threads before MPI is initialized, but no MPI call other than MPI\_GET\_VERSION, MPI\_INITIALIZED, or MPI\_FINALIZED should be executed by these threads, until MPI\_INIT\_THREAD is invoked by one thread (which, thereby, becomes the main thread). In particular, it is possible to enter the MPI execution with a multi-threaded process.
- The level of thread support provided is a global property of the MPI process that can be specified only once, when MPI is initialized on that process (or before). Portable third party libraries have to be written so as to accommodate any provided level of thread support. Otherwise, their usage will be restricted to specific level(s) of thread support. If such a library can run only with specific level(s) of thread support, e.g., only with MPI\_THREAD\_MULTIPLE, then MPI\_QUERY\_THREAD can be used to check whether the user initialized MPI to the correct level of thread support and, if not, raise an exception. (End of advice to users.)

## Chapter 13

# I/O

## 13.1 Introduction

POSIX provides a model of a widely portable file system, but the portability and optimization needed for parallel I/O cannot be achieved with the POSIX interface.

The significant optimizations required for efficiency (e.g., grouping [47], collective buffering [7, 15, 48, 52, 58], and disk-directed I/O [43]) can only be implemented if the parallel I/O system provides a high-level interface supporting partitioning of file data among processes and a collective interface supporting complete transfers of global data structures between process memories and files. In addition, further efficiencies can be gained via support for asynchronous I/O, strided accesses, and control over physical file layout on storage devices (disks). The I/O environment described in this chapter provides these facilities.

Instead of defining I/O access modes to express the common patterns for accessing a shared file (broadcast, reduction, scatter, gather), we chose another approach in which data partitioning is expressed using derived datatypes. Compared to a limited set of predefined access patterns, this approach has the advantage of added flexibility and expressiveness.

### 13.1.1 Definitions

- file An MPI file is an ordered collection of typed data items. MPI supports random or sequential access to any integral set of these items. A file is opened collectively by a group of processes. All collective I/O calls on a file are collective over this group.
- **displacement** A file *displacement* is an absolute byte position relative to the beginning of a file. The displacement defines the location where a *view* begins. Note that a "file displacement" is distinct from a "typemap displacement."
- etype An *etype* (*elementary* datatype) is the unit of data access and positioning. It can be any MPI predefined or derived datatype. Derived etypes can be constructed using any of the MPI datatype constructor routines, provided all resulting typemap displacements are non-negative and monotonically nondecreasing. Data access is performed in etype units, reading or writing whole data items of type etype. Offsets are expressed as a count of etypes; file pointers point to the beginning of etypes. Depending on context, the term "etype" is used to describe one of three aspects of an elementary datatype: a particular MPI type, a data item of that type, or the extent of that type.

 $^{24}$ 

filetype A *filetype* is the basis for partitioning a file among processes and defines a template
 for accessing the file. A filetype is either a single etype or a derived MPI datatype
 constructed from multiple instances of the same etype. In addition, the extent of any
 hole in the filetype must be a multiple of the etype's extent. The displacements in the
 typemap of the filetype are not required to be distinct, but they must be non-negative
 and monotonically nondecreasing.

view A view defines the current set of data visible and accessible from an open file as an ordered set of etypes. Each process has its own view of the file, defined by three quantities: a displacement, an etype, and a filetype. The pattern described by a filetype is repeated, beginning at the displacement, to define the view. The pattern of repetition is defined to be the same pattern that MPI\_TYPE\_CONTIGUOUS would produce if it were passed the filetype and an arbitrarily large count. Figure 13.1 shows how the tiling works; note that the filetype in this example must have explicit lower and upper bounds set in order for the initial and final holes to be repeated in the view. Views can be changed by the user during program execution. The default view is a linear byte stream (displacement is zero, etype and filetype equal to MPI\_BYTE).

etype
filetype holes
tiling a file with the filetype: displacement accessible data
Figure 13.1: Etypes and filetypes
A group of processes can use complementary views to achieve a global data distribution such as a scatter/gather pattern (see Figure $13.2$ ).
etype
process 0 filetype
process 1 filetype
process 2 filetype
tiling a file with the filetypes:
displacement
Figure 13.2: Partitioning a file among parallel processes

offset An offset is a position in the file relative to the current view, expressed as a count of
 etypes. Holes in the view's filetype are skipped when calculating this position. Offset 0
 is the location of the first etype visible in the view (after skipping the displacement and
 any initial holes in the view). For example, an offset of 2 for process 1 in Figure 13.2 is
 the position of the eighth etype in the file after the displacement. An "explicit offset"
 is an offset that is used as an argument in explicit data access routines.

- file size and end of file The *size* of an MPI file is measured in bytes from the beginning of the file. A newly created file has a size of zero bytes. Using the size as an absolute displacement gives the position of the byte immediately following the last byte in the file. For any given view, the *end of file* is the offset of the first etype accessible in the current view starting after the last byte in the file.
- file pointer A *file pointer* is an implicit offset maintained by MPI. "Individual file pointers" are file pointers that are local to each process that opened the file. A "shared file pointer" is a file pointer that is shared by the group of processes that opened the file.
- file handle A *file handle* is an opaque object created by MPI\_FILE\_OPEN and freed by MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE. All operations on an open file reference the file through the file handle.

## 13.2 File Manipulation

13.2.1 Opening a File

MPI\_FILE\_OPEN(comm, filename, amode, info, fh)

IN	comm	communicator (handle)	21
	file a second		22
IN	filename	name of file to open (string)	23
IN	amode	file access mode (integer)	24
IN	info	info object (handle)	25
	£		26
OUT	fh	new file handle (handle)	27

- MPI\_File\_open(comm, filename, amode, info, fh, ierror)
   TYPE(MPI\_Comm), INTENT(IN) :: comm
   CHARACTER(LEN=\*), INTENT(IN) :: filename
   INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: amode
   TYPE(MPI\_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
   TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(OUT) :: fh
   INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
  MPI\_FILE\_OPEN(COMM, FILENAME, AMODE, INFO, FH, IERROR)
   CHARACTER\*(\*) FILENAME

INTEGER COMM, AMODE, INFO, FH, IERROR

MPI\_FILE\_OPEN opens the file identified by the file name filename on all processes in the comm communicator group. MPI\_FILE\_OPEN is a collective routine: all processes must provide the same value for amode, and all processes must provide filenames that reference the same file. (Values for info may vary.) comm must be an intracommunicator; it is erroneous to pass an intercommunicator to MPI\_FILE\_OPEN. Errors in MPI\_FILE\_OPEN are raised using the default file error handler (see Section 13.9). A process can open a file independently of

other processes by using the MPI\_COMM\_SELF communicator. The file handle returned, fh,
 can be subsequently used to access the file until the file is closed using MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE.
 Before calling MPI\_FINALIZE, the user is required to close (via MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE) all files
 that were opened with MPI\_FILE\_OPEN. Note that the communicator comm is unaffected
 by MPI\_FILE\_OPEN and continues to be usable in all MPI routines (e.g., MPI\_SEND).
 Furthermore, the use of comm will not interfere with I/O behavior.

The format for specifying the file name in the filename argument is implementation
 dependent and must be documented by the implementation.

Advice to implementors. An implementation may require that filename include a string or strings specifying additional information about the file. Examples include the type of filesystem (e.g., a prefix of ufs:), a remote hostname (e.g., a prefix of machine.univ.edu:), or a file password (e.g., a suffix of /PASSWORD=SECRET). (End of advice to implementors.)

Advice to users. On some implementations of MPI, the file namespace may not be identical from all processes of all applications. For example, "/tmp/foo" may denote different files on different processes, or a single file may have many names, dependent on process location. The user is responsible for ensuring that a single file is referenced by the filename argument, as it may be impossible for an implementation to detect this type of namespace error. (*End of advice to users.*)

Initially, all processes view the file as a linear byte stream, and each process views data in its own native representation (no data representation conversion is performed). (POSIX files are linear byte streams in the native representation.) The file view can be changed via the MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW routine.

The following access modes are supported (specified in **amode**, a bit vector OR of the following integer constants):

- MPI\_MODE\_RDONLY read only,
- MPI\_MODE\_RDWR reading and writing,
- MPI\_MODE\_WRONLY write only,
- MPI\_MODE\_CREATE create the file if it does not exist,
- MPI\_MODE\_EXCL error if creating file that already exists,
- MPI\_MODE\_DELETE\_ON\_CLOSE delete file on close,
- MPI\_MODE\_UNIQUE\_OPEN file will not be concurrently opened elsewhere,
  - MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL file will only be accessed sequentially,
  - MPI\_MODE\_APPEND set initial position of all file pointers to end of file.

Advice to users. C users can use bit vector OR (|) to combine these constants; Fortran 90 users can use the bit vector IOR intrinsic. Fortran 77 users can use (nonportably) bit vector IOR on systems that support it. Alternatively, Fortran users can portably use integer addition to OR the constants (each constant should appear at most once in the addition.). (End of advice to users.)

Advice to implementors. The values of these constants must be defined such that the bitwise OR and the sum of any distinct set of these constants is equivalent. (End of advice to implementors.)

The modes MPI\_MODE\_RDONLY, MPI\_MODE\_RDWR, MPI\_MODE\_WRONLY, MPI\_MODE\_CREATE, and MPI\_MODE\_EXCL have identical semantics to their POSIX counterparts [39]. Exactly one of MPI\_MODE\_RDONLY, MPI\_MODE\_RDWR, or MPI\_MODE\_WRONLY, must be specified. It is erroneous to specify MPI\_MODE\_CREATE or MPI\_MODE\_EXCL in conjunction with MPI\_MODE\_RDONLY; it is erroneous to specify MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL together with MPI\_MODE\_RDWR.

The MPI\_MODE\_DELETE\_ON\_CLOSE mode causes the file to be deleted (equivalent to performing an MPI\_FILE\_DELETE) when the file is closed.

The MPI\_MODE\_UNIQUE\_OPEN mode allows an implementation to optimize access by eliminating the overhead of file locking. It is erroneous to open a file in this mode unless the file will not be concurrently opened elsewhere.

Advice to users. For MPI\_MODE\_UNIQUE\_OPEN, not opened elsewhere includes both inside and outside the MPI environment. In particular, one needs to be aware of potential external events which may open files (e.g., automated backup facilities). When MPI\_MODE\_UNIQUE\_OPEN is specified, the user is responsible for ensuring that no such external events take place. (End of advice to users.)

The MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL mode allows an implementation to optimize access to some sequential devices (tapes and network streams). It is erroneous to attempt nonsequential access to a file that has been opened in this mode.

Specifying MPI\_MODE\_APPEND only guarantees that all shared and individual file pointers are positioned at the initial end of file when MPI\_FILE\_OPEN returns. Subsequent positioning of file pointers is application dependent. In particular, the implementation does not ensure that all writes are appended.

Errors related to the access mode are raised in the class MPI\_ERR\_AMODE.

The info argument is used to provide information regarding file access patterns and file system specifics (see Section 13.2.8). The constant MPI\_INFO\_NULL can be used when no info needs to be specified.

Advice to users. Some file attributes are inherently implementation dependent (e.g., file permissions). These attributes must be set using either the info argument or facilities outside the scope of MPI. (End of advice to users.)

Files are opened by default using nonatomic mode file consistency semantics (see Section 13.8.1). The more stringent atomic mode consistency semantics, required for atomicity of conflicting accesses, can be set using MPI\_FILE\_SET\_ATOMICITY.

13.2.2 Closing a File

MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE(fh) INOUT fh

file handle (handle)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     int MPI_File_close(MPI_File *fh)
\mathbf{2}
     MPI_File_close(fh, ierror)
3
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(INOUT) :: fh
4
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
5
6
     MPI_FILE_CLOSE(FH, IERROR)
\overline{7}
          INTEGER FH, IERROR
8
          MPI_FILE_CLOSE first synchronizes file state (equivalent to performing an
9
      MPI_FILE_SYNC), then closes the file associated with fh. The file is deleted if it was
10
      opened with access mode MPI_MODE_DELETE_ON_CLOSE (equivalent to performing an
11
      MPI_FILE_DELETE). MPI_FILE_CLOSE is a collective routine.
12
13
           Advice to users. If the file is deleted on close, and there are other processes currently
14
           accessing the file, the status of the file and the behavior of future accesses by these
15
           processes are implementation dependent. (End of advice to users.)
16
17
          The user is responsible for ensuring that all outstanding nonblocking requests and
18
      split collective operations associated with fh made by a process have completed before that
19
      process calls MPI_FILE_CLOSE.
20
          The MPI_FILE_CLOSE routine deallocates the file handle object and sets fh to
21
     MPI_FILE_NULL.
22
23
      13.2.3 Deleting a File
^{24}
25
26
      MPI_FILE_DELETE(filename, info)
27
       IN
                                              name of file to delete (string)
                  filename
28
29
       IN
                 info
                                              info object (handle)
30
^{31}
      int MPI_File_delete(const char *filename, MPI_Info info)
32
33
     MPI_File_delete(filename, info, ierror)
34
          CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: filename
35
          TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
36
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                   ierror
37
     MPI_FILE_DELETE(FILENAME, INFO, IERROR)
38
          CHARACTER*(*) FILENAME
39
          INTEGER INFO, IERROR
40
41
          MPI_FILE_DELETE deletes the file identified by the file name filename. If the file does
42
      not exist, MPI_FILE_DELETE raises an error in the class MPI_ERR_NO_SUCH_FILE.
43
          The info argument can be used to provide information regarding file system specifics
44
      (see Section 13.2.8). The constant MPI_INFO_NULL refers to the null info, and can be used
45
     when no info needs to be specified.
46
          If a process currently has the file open, the behavior of any access to the file (as well
47
      as the behavior of any outstanding accesses) is implementation dependent. In addition,
48
      whether an open file is deleted or not is also implementation dependent. If the file is not
```

deleted, an error in the class MPI\_ERR\_FILE\_IN\_USE or MPI\_ERR\_ACCESS will be raised. Errors are raised using the default error handler (see Section 13.9).

13.2.4 Resizing a File MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE(fh, size) INOUT fh file handle (handle) IN size size to truncate or expand file (integer) int MPI\_File\_set\_size(MPI\_File fh, MPI\_Offset size) MPI\_File\_set\_size(fh, size, ierror) TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: size INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE(FH, SIZE, IERROR) INTEGER FH, IERROR INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) SIZE

MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE resizes the file associated with the file handle fh. size is measured in bytes from the beginning of the file. MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE is collective; all processes in the group must pass identical values for size.

If size is smaller than the current file size, the file is truncated at the position defined by size. The implementation is free to deallocate file blocks located beyond this position.

If size is larger than the current file size, the file size becomes size. Regions of the file that have been previously written are unaffected. The values of data in the new regions in the file (those locations with displacements between old file size and size) are undefined. It is implementation dependent whether the MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE routine allocates file space — use MPI\_FILE\_PREALLOCATE to force file space to be reserved.

MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE does not affect the individual file pointers or the shared file pointer. If MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL mode was specified when the file was opened, it is erroneous to call this routine.

Advice to users. It is possible for the file pointers to point beyond the end of file after a MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE operation truncates a file. This is valid, and equivalent to seeking beyond the current end of file. (*End of advice to users.*)

All nonblocking requests and split collective operations on fh must be completed before calling MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE. Otherwise, calling MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE is erroneous. As far as consistency semantics are concerned, MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE is a write operation that conflicts with operations that access bytes at displacements between the old and new file sizes (see Section 13.8.1).

 $^{24}$ 

```
1
     13.2.5
              Preallocating Space for a File
\mathbf{2}
3
4
      MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE(fh, size)
5
       INOUT
                 fh
                                               file handle (handle)
6
\overline{7}
       IN
                 size
                                               size to preallocate file (integer)
8
9
      int MPI_File_preallocate(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset size)
10
     MPI_File_preallocate(fh, size, ierror)
11
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::
                                               fh
12
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                                 size
13
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                    ierror
14
15
     MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE(FH, SIZE, IERROR)
16
          INTEGER FH, IERROR
17
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) SIZE
18
          MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE ensures that storage space is allocated for the first size bytes
19
      of the file associated with fh. MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE is collective; all processes in the
20
      group must pass identical values for size. Regions of the file that have previously been
21
      written are unaffected. For newly allocated regions of the file, MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE
22
      has the same effect as writing undefined data. If size is larger than the current file size, the
23
      file size increases to size. If size is less than or equal to the current file size, the file size is
24
      unchanged.
25
          The treatment of file pointers, pending nonblocking accesses, and file consistency is the
26
      same as with MPI_FILE_SET_SIZE. If MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL mode was specified when
27
      the file was opened, it is erroneous to call this routine.
28
29
           Advice to users. In some implementations, file preallocation may be expensive. (End
30
           of advice to users.)
^{31}
32
     13.2.6 Querying the Size of a File
33
34
35
      MPI_FILE_GET_SIZE(fh, size)
36
37
       IN
                  fh
                                               file handle (handle)
38
       OUT
                 size
                                               size of the file in bytes (integer)
39
40
      int MPI_File_get_size(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset *size)
^{41}
42
     MPI_File_get_size(fh, size, ierror)
43
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
44
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                                  size
45
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
46
47
     MPI_FILE_GET_SIZE(FH, SIZE, IERROR)
          INTEGER FH, IERROR
48
```

1 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) SIZE  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_FILE\_GET\_SIZE returns, in size, the current size in bytes of the file associated with 3 the file handle fh. As far as consistency semantics are concerned, MPI\_FILE\_GET\_SIZE is a 4 data access operation (see Section 13.8.1). 56 13.2.7 Querying File Parameters 7 8 9 MPI\_FILE\_GET\_GROUP(fh, group) 10 11 IN fh file handle (handle) 12OUT group group which opened the file (handle) 13 14int MPI\_File\_get\_group(MPI\_File fh, MPI\_Group \*group) 1516MPI\_File\_get\_group(fh, group, ierror) 17 TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 18 TYPE(MPI\_Group), INTENT(OUT) :: group 19 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 20MPI\_FILE\_GET\_GROUP(FH, GROUP, IERROR) 21INTEGER FH, GROUP, IERROR 22 23MPI\_FILE\_GET\_GROUP returns a duplicate of the group of the communicator used to  $^{24}$ open the file associated with fh. The group is returned in group. The user is responsible for 25freeing group. 2627MPI\_FILE\_GET\_AMODE(fh, amode) 2829 IN fh file handle (handle) 30 OUT amode file access mode used to open the file (integer)  $^{31}$ 32 33 int MPI\_File\_get\_amode(MPI\_File fh, int \*amode) 34 MPI\_File\_get\_amode(fh, amode, ierror) 35 TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 36 INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: amode 37 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 38 39 MPI\_FILE\_GET\_AMODE(FH, AMODE, IERROR) INTEGER FH, AMODE, IERROR 40 41 MPI\_FILE\_GET\_AMODE returns, in amode, the access mode of the file associated with 42fh. 43 44**Example 13.1** In Fortran 77, decoding an **amode** bit vector will require a routine such as 45the following: 46

```
1
            SUBROUTINE BIT_QUERY(TEST_BIT, MAX_BIT, AMODE, BIT_FOUND)
\mathbf{2}
     !
3
     !
          TEST IF THE INPUT TEST_BIT IS SET IN THE INPUT AMODE
4
     !
          IF SET, RETURN 1 IN BIT_FOUND, O OTHERWISE
5
     Ţ
6
            INTEGER TEST_BIT, AMODE, BIT_FOUND, CP_AMODE, HIFOUND
7
            BIT_FOUND = 0
8
            CP_AMODE = AMODE
9
      100 CONTINUE
10
            LBIT = 0
11
            HIFOUND = 0
12
            DO 20 L = MAX_BIT, 0, -1
13
               MATCHER = 2**L
14
               IF (CP_AMODE .GE. MATCHER .AND. HIFOUND .EQ. 0) THEN
15
                   HIFOUND = 1
16
                   LBIT = MATCHER
17
                   CP_AMODE = CP_AMODE - MATCHER
18
               END IF
19
       20
           CONTINUE
20
            IF (HIFOUND .EQ. 1 .AND. LBIT .EQ. TEST_BIT) BIT_FOUND = 1
21
            IF (BIT_FOUND .EQ. O .AND. HIFOUND .EQ. 1 .AND. &
22
                CP_AMODE .GT. 0) GO TO 100
23
            END
24
         This routine could be called successively to decode amode, one bit at a time. For
25
     example, the following code fragment would check for MPI_MODE_RDONLY.
26
27
            CALL BIT_QUERY(MPI_MODE_RDONLY, 30, AMODE, BIT_FOUND)
28
            IF (BIT_FOUND .EQ. 1) THEN
29
               PRINT *, ' FOUND READ-ONLY BIT IN AMODE=', AMODE
30
            ELSE
31
               PRINT *, ' READ-ONLY BIT NOT FOUND IN AMODE=', AMODE
32
            END IF
33
34
     13.2.8 File Info
35
36
     Hints specified via info (see Chapter 9) allow a user to provide information such as file
37
     access patterns and file system specifics to direct optimization. Providing hints may enable
38
     an implementation to deliver increased I/O performance or minimize the use of system
39
     resources. However, hints do not change the semantics of any of the I/O interfaces. In other
40
     words, an implementation is free to ignore all hints. Hints are specified on a per file basis, in
41
     MPI_FILE_OPEN, MPI_FILE_DELETE, MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW, and MPI_FILE_SET_INFO,
42
```

to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW or MPI\_FILE\_SET\_INFO, there will be no effect on previously set or defaulted hints that the info does not specify.

43

45

Advice to implementors. It may happen that a program is coded with hints for one
 system, and later executes on another system that does not support these hints. In
 general, unsupported hints should simply be ignored. Needless to say, no hint can be

via the opaque info object. When an info object that specifies a subset of valid hints is passed

mandatory. However, for each hint used by a specific implementation, a default value must be provided when the user does not specify a value for this hint. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

```
MPI_FILE_SET_INFO(fh, info)
           fh
 INOUT
                                     file handle (handle)
 IN
           info
                                     info object (handle)
int MPI_File_set_info(MPI_File fh, MPI_Info info)
MPI_File_set_info(fh, info, ierror)
    TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::
                                     fh
    TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) ::
                                     info
    INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                         ierror
MPI_FILE_SET_INFO(FH, INFO, IERROR)
    INTEGER FH, INFO, IERROR
```

MPI\_FILE\_SET\_INFO sets new values for the hints of the file associated with fh. MPI\_FILE\_SET\_INFO is a collective routine. The info object may be different on each process, but any info entries that an implementation requires to be the same on all processes must appear with the same value in each process's info object.

Advice to users. Many info items that an implementation can use when it creates or opens a file cannot easily be changed once the file has been created or opened. Thus, an implementation may ignore hints issued in this call that it would have accepted in an open call. (*End of advice to users.*)

MPI\_FILE\_GET\_INFO(fh, info\_used)

IN	fh	file handle (handle)	32
OUT	info_used	new info object (handle)	33
			34
int MDT I	File_get_info(MPI_File fh,	MDI Info kinfo used)	35
Inc m I_I	TIE_get_Into(In I_FITE In	, MI_IIIO *IIIO_USed)	36
MPI_File	_get_info(fh, info_used, i	lerror)	37
TYPE	(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	fh	38
TYPE	(MPI_Info), INTENT(OUT) ::	info_used	39
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	40
MDT ETTE	_GET_INFO(FH, INFO_USED, ]	נבטטטט)	41
	GER FH, INFO_USED, IERROR	LERROR)	42
	ER FR, INFU_USED, IERROR		43
MPI	FILE GET INFO returns a new	v info object containing the hints of the file associ-	44

MPI\_FILE\_GET\_INFO returns a new info object containing the hints of the file associated with fh. The current setting of all hints actually used by the system related to this open file is returned in info\_used. If no such hints exist, a handle to a newly created info object is returned that contains no key/value pairs. The user is responsible for freeing info\_used via MPI\_INFO\_FREE. 48

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5 6

7

8

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29 30

Advice to users. The info object returned in info\_used will contain all hints currently active for this file. This set of hints may be greater or smaller than the set of hints passed in to MPI\_FILE\_OPEN, MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW, or MPI\_FILE\_SET\_INFO, as the system may not recognize some hints set by the user, and may recognize other hints that the user has not set. (*End of advice to users.*)

## Reserved File Hints

Some potentially useful hints (info key values) are outlined below. The following key values are reserved. An implementation is not required to interpret these key values, but if it does interpret the key value, it must provide the functionality described. (For more details on "info," see Chapter 9.)

These hints mainly affect access patterns and the layout of data on parallel I/O devices. For each hint name introduced, we describe the purpose of the hint, and the type of the hint value. The "[**SAME**]" annotation specifies that the hint values provided by all participating processes must be identical; otherwise the program is erroneous. In addition, some hints are context dependent, and are only used by an implementation at specific times (e.g., file\_perm is only useful during file creation).

access\_style (comma separated list of strings): This hint specifies the manner in which
 the file will be accessed until the file is closed or until the access\_style key value is
 altered. The hint value is a comma separated list of the following: read\_once, write\_once,
 read\_mostly, write\_mostly, sequential, reverse\_sequential, and random.

<sup>24</sup> collective\_buffering (boolean) [SAME]: This hint specifies whether the application may
 <sup>25</sup> benefit from collective buffering. Collective buffering is an optimization performed
 <sup>26</sup> on collective accesses. Accesses to the file are performed on behalf of all processes in
 <sup>27</sup> the group by a number of target nodes. These target nodes coalesce small requests
 <sup>28</sup> into large disk accesses. Valid values for this key are true and false. Collective buffering
 <sup>29</sup> parameters are further directed via additional hints: cb\_block\_size, cb\_buffer\_size, and
 <sup>30</sup> cb\_nodes.

- cb\_block\_size (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the block size to be used for collective
   buffering file access. *Target nodes* access data in chunks of this size. The chunks are
   distributed among target nodes in a round-robin (cyclic) pattern.
- <sup>35</sup> cb\_buffer\_size (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the total buffer space that can be used
   <sup>36</sup> for collective buffering on each target node, usually a multiple of cb\_block\_size.
  - cb\_nodes (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the number of target nodes to be used for collective buffering.
- chunked (comma separated list of integers) [SAME]: This hint specifies that the file
   consists of a multidimentional array that is often accessed by subarrays. The value
   for this hint is a comma separated list of array dimensions, starting from the most
   significant one (for an array stored in row-major order, as in C, the most significant
   dimension is the first one; for an array stored in column-major order, as in Fortran, the
   most significant dimension is the last one, and array dimensions should be reversed).
- <sup>47</sup> chunked\_item (comma separated list of integers) [SAME]: This hint specifies the size
   <sup>48</sup> of each array entry, in bytes.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6 7

38

- chunked\_size (comma separated list of integers) [SAME]: This hint specifies the dimensions of the subarrays. This is a comma separated list of array dimensions, starting from the most significant one.
- filename (string): This hint specifies the file name used when the file was opened. If the implementation is capable of returning the file name of an open file, it will be returned using this key by MPI\_FILE\_GET\_INFO. This key is ignored when passed to MPI\_FILE\_OPEN, MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW, MPI\_FILE\_SET\_INFO, and MPI\_FILE\_DELETE.
- file\_perm (string) [SAME]: This hint specifies the file permissions to use for file creation. Setting this hint is only useful when passed to MPI\_FILE\_OPEN with an amode that includes MPI\_MODE\_CREATE. The set of valid values for this key is implementation dependent.
- io\_node\_list (comma separated list of strings) [SAME]: This hint specifies the list of I/O devices that should be used to store the file. This hint is most relevant when the file is created.
- nb\_proc (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the number of parallel processes that will typically be assigned to run programs that access this file. This hint is most relevant when the file is created.
- num\_io\_nodes (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the number of I/O devices in the system. This hint is most relevant when the file is created.
- striping\_factor (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the number of I/O devices that the file should be striped across, and is relevant only when the file is created.
- striping\_unit (integer) [SAME]: This hint specifies the suggested striping unit to be used for this file. The striping unit is the amount of consecutive data assigned to one I/O device before progressing to the next device, when striping across a number of devices. It is expressed in bytes. This hint is relevant only when the file is created.

## 13.3 File Views

			36
MPI_FILE_	MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW(fh, disp, etype, filetype, datarep, info)		
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	38
IN	disp	displacement (integer)	39
	•		40
IN	etype	elementary datatype (handle)	41
IN	filetype	filetype (handle)	42
IN	datarep	data representation (string)	43
	·	data representation (string)	44
IN	info	info object (handle)	45
			46

 1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32 33

34 35

47

1 2	<pre>MPI_File_set_view(fh, disp, etype, filetype, datarep, info, ierror)     TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh</pre>
3	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: disp
4	TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: etype, filetype
5 6	CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN) :: datarep
7	TYPE(MPI_Info), INTENT(IN) :: info
8	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
9	MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW(FH, DISP, ETYPE, FILETYPE, DATAREP, INFO, IERROR)
10	INTEGER FH, ETYPE, FILETYPE, INFO, IERROR
11	CHARACTER*(*) DATAREP
12	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) DISP
13	The MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW routine changes the process's view of the data in the file.
14	The start of the view is set to disp; the type of data is set to etype; the distribution of data
15	to processes is set to filetype; and the representation of data in the file is set to datarep.
16	In addition, $MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW$ resets the individual file pointers and the shared file
17	pointer to zero. $MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW$ is collective; the values for datarep and the extents
18	of $etype$ in the file data representation must be identical on all processes in the group; values
19	for disp, filetype, and info may vary. The datatypes passed in etype and filetype must be
20	committed.
21	The etype always specifies the data layout in the file. If etype is a portable datatype (see
22	Section 2.4), the extent of etype is computed by scaling any displacements in the datatype
23	to match the file data representation. If etype is not a portable datatype, no scaling is done
24	when computing the extent of etype. The user must be careful when using nonportable
25	etypes in heterogeneous environments; see Section 13.7.1 for further details.
26	If MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL mode was specified when the file was opened, the special
27	displacement MPI_DISPLACEMENT_CURRENT must be passed in disp. This sets the displace-
28 29	ment to the current position of the shared file pointer. MPI_DISPLACEMENT_CURRENT is
30	invalid unless the amode for the file has MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL set.
31	Rationale. For some sequential files, such as those corresponding to magnetic tapes
32	or streaming network connections, the <i>displacement</i> may not be meaningful.
33	MPI_DISPLACEMENT_CURRENT allows the view to be changed for these types of files.
34	(End of rationale.)
35	
36	Advice to implementors. It is expected that a call to MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW will
37	immediately follow MPI_FILE_OPEN in numerous instances. A high-quality imple-
38	mentation will ensure that this behavior is efficient. (End of advice to implementors.)
39	
40	The disp displacement argument specifies the position (absolute offset in bytes from
41	the beginning of the file) where the view begins.
42	
43	Advice to users. disp can be used to skip headers or when the file includes a sequence
44	of data segments that are to be accessed in different patterns (see Figure 13.3). Sep-
45	arate views, each using a different displacement and filetype, can be used to access
46	each segment.
47	(End of advice to users.)
48	




Figure 13.3: Displacements

An *etype* (*elementary* datatype) is the unit of data access and positioning. It can be any MPI predefined or derived datatype. Derived etypes can be constructed by using any of the MPI datatype constructor routines, provided all resulting typemap displacements are non-negative and monotonically nondecreasing. Data access is performed in etype units, reading or writing whole data items of type etype. Offsets are expressed as a count of etypes; file pointers point to the beginning of etypes.

Advice to users. In order to ensure interoperability in a heterogeneous environment, additional restrictions must be observed when constructing the etype (see Section 13.6). (*End of advice to users.*)

A filetype is either a single etype or a derived MPI datatype constructed from multiple instances of the same etype. In addition, the extent of any hole in the filetype must be a multiple of the etype's extent. These displacements are not required to be distinct, but they cannot be negative, and they must be monotonically nondecreasing.

If the file is opened for writing, neither the etype nor the filetype is permitted to contain overlapping regions. This restriction is equivalent to the "datatype used in a receive cannot specify overlapping regions" restriction for communication. Note that filetypes from different processes may still overlap each other.

If a filetype has holes in it, then the data in the holes is inaccessible to the calling process. However, the disp, etype, and filetype arguments can be changed via future calls to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW to access a different part of the file.

It is erroneous to use absolute addresses in the construction of the etype and filetype.

The info argument is used to provide information regarding file access patterns and file system specifics to direct optimization (see Section 13.2.8). The constant MPI\_INFO\_NULL refers to the null info and can be used when no info needs to be specified.

The datarep argument is a string that specifies the representation of data in the file. See the file interoperability section (Section 13.6) for details and a discussion of valid values.

The user is responsible for ensuring that all nonblocking requests and split collective operations on fh have been completed before calling MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW — otherwise, the call to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW is erroneous.

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     MPI_FILE_GET_VIEW(fh, disp, etype, filetype, datarep)
2
       IN
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
3
       OUT
                disp
                                            displacement (integer)
4
5
       OUT
                                            elementary datatype (handle)
                etype
6
       OUT
                filetype
                                            filetype (handle)
7
       OUT
                datarep
                                            data representation (string)
8
9
     int MPI_File_get_view(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset *disp, MPI_Datatype *etype,
10
                    MPI_Datatype *filetype, char *datarep)
11
12
     MPI_File_get_view(fh, disp, etype, filetype, datarep, ierror)
13
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
14
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                             disp
15
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 etype, filetype
16
         CHARACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(OUT) :: datarep
17
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                ierror
18
19
     MPI_FILE_GET_VIEW(FH, DISP, ETYPE, FILETYPE, DATAREP, IERROR)
          INTEGER FH, ETYPE, FILETYPE, IERROR
20
21
         CHARACTER*(*) DATAREP
22
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) DISP
23
```

<sup>24</sup> MPI\_FILE\_GET\_VIEW returns the process's view of the data in the file. The current <sup>25</sup> value of the displacement is returned in disp. The etype and filetype are new datatypes with <sup>26</sup> typemaps equal to the typemaps of the current etype and filetype, respectively.

The data representation is returned in datarep. The user is responsible for ensuring that datarep is large enough to hold the returned data representation string. The length of a data representation string is limited to the value of MPI\_MAX\_DATAREP\_STRING.

In addition, if a portable datatype was used to set the current view, then the corresponding datatype returned by MPI\_FILE\_GET\_VIEW is also a portable datatype. If etype or filetype are derived datatypes, the user is responsible for freeing them. The etype and filetype returned are both in a committed state.

33 34 35

36

37

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

## 13.4 Data Access

## 13.4.1 Data Access Routines

<sup>38</sup> Data is moved between files and processes by issuing read and write calls. There are <sup>40</sup> three orthogonal aspects to data access: positioning (explicit offset *vs.* implicit file pointer), <sup>41</sup> synchronism (blocking *vs.* nonblocking and split collective), and coordination (noncollective <sup>42</sup> *vs.* collective). The following combinations of these data access routines, including two <sup>43</sup> types of file pointers (individual and shared) are provided in Table 13.1.

POSIX read()/fread() and write()/fwrite() are blocking, noncollective operations and use individual file pointers. The MPI equivalents are MPI\_FILE\_READ and MPI\_FILE\_WRITE.

Implementations of data access routines may buffer data to improve performance. This
 does not affect reads, as the data is always available in the user's buffer after a read operation

positioning	synchronism		coordination
		noncollective	collective
explicit	blocking	MPI_FILE_READ_AT	MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL
offsets		MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT	MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL
	nonblocking &	MPI_FILE_IREAD_AT	MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL_BEGIN
	split collective		MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL_END
		MPI_FILE_IWRITE_AT	MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_BEGIN
			MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_END
individual	blocking	MPI_FILE_READ	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL
file pointers		MPI_FILE_WRITE	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL
	nonblocking &	MPI_FILE_IREAD	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN
	split collective		MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_END
		MPI_FILE_IWRITE	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_BEGIN
			MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_END
shared	blocking	MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED	MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED
file pointer		MPI_FILE_WRITE_SHARED	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED
	nonblocking &	MPI_FILE_IREAD_SHARED	MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_BEGIN
	split collective		MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_END
		MPI_FILE_IWRITE_SHARED	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_BEGIN
			MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_END

Table 13.1: Data access routines

completes. For writes, however, the MPI\_FILE\_SYNC routine provides the only guarantee that data has been transferred to the storage device.

#### Positioning

MPI provides three types of positioning for data access routines: explicit offsets, individual file pointers, and shared file pointers. The different positioning methods may be mixed within the same program and do not affect each other.

The data access routines that accept explicit offsets contain \_AT in their name (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_AT). Explicit offset operations perform data access at the file position given directly as an argument — no file pointer is used nor updated. Note that this is not equivalent to an atomic seek-and-read or seek-and-write operation, as no "seek" is issued. Operations with explicit offsets are described in Section 13.5.1.

The names of the individual file pointer routines contain no positional qualifier (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_WRITE). Operations with individual file pointers are described in Section 13.5.2. The data access routines that use shared file pointers contain \_SHARED or \_ORDERED in their name (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_SHARED). Operations with shared file pointers are described in

## 13.5

#### 13.5.3.

The main semantic issues with MPI-maintained file pointers are how and when they are updated by I/O operations. In general, each I/O operation leaves the file pointer pointing to the next data item after the last one that is accessed by the operation. In a nonblocking or split collective operation, the pointer is updated by the call that initiates the I/O, possibly before the access completes.

More formally,

$$new\_file\_offset = old\_file\_offset + \frac{elements(datatype)}{elements(etype)} \times count$$

where *count* is the number of *datatype* items to be accessed, elements(X) is the number of predefined datatypes in the typemap of X, and  $old\_file\_offset$  is the value of the implicit

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

offset before the call. The file position, new\_file\_offset, is in terms of a count of etypes  $\mathbf{2}$ relative to the current view.

3 4

7

1

Synchronism

 $\mathbf{5}$ MPI supports blocking and nonblocking I/O routines. 6

A blocking I/O call will not return until the I/O request is completed.

A nonblocking I/O call initiates an I/O operation, but does not wait for it to complete. 8 Given suitable hardware, this allows the transfer of data out of and into the user's buffer 9 to proceed concurrently with computation. A separate request complete call (MPI\_WAIT, 10 MPI\_TEST, or any of their variants) is needed to complete the I/O request, i.e., to confirm 11 that the data has been read or written and that it is safe for the user to reuse the buffer. 12The nonblocking versions of the routines are named MPI\_FILE\_IXXX, where the I stands 13 for immediate. 14

It is erroneous to access the local buffer of a nonblocking data access operation, or to 15use that buffer as the source or target of other communications, between the initiation and 16completion of the operation. 17

The split collective routines support a restricted form of "nonblocking" operations for 18 collective data access (see Section 13.5.4). 19

### 20

#### Coordination 21

22Every noncollective data access routine MPI\_FILE\_XXX has a collective counterpart. For 23most routines, this counterpart is MPI\_FILE\_XXX\_ALL or a pair of MPI\_FILE\_XXX\_BEGIN  $^{24}$ and MPI\_FILE\_XXX\_END. The counterparts to the MPI\_FILE\_XXX\_SHARED routines are 25MPI\_FILE\_XXX\_ORDERED. 26

The completion of a noncollective call only depends on the activity of the calling pro-27cess. However, the completion of a collective call (which must be called by all members of 28the process group) may depend on the activity of the other processes participating in the 29collective call. See Section 13.8.4 for rules on semantics of collective calls. 30

Collective operations may perform much better than their noncollective counterparts, as global data accesses have significant potential for automatic optimization.

32 33

34

 $^{31}$ 

## Data Access Conventions

35 Data is moved between files and processes by calling read and write routines. Read routines 36 move data from a file into memory. Write routines move data from memory into a file. The 37 file is designated by a file handle, fh. The location of the file data is specified by an offset 38into the current view. The data in memory is specified by a triple: buf, count, and datatype. 39 Upon completion, the amount of data accessed by the calling process is returned in a status.

40An offset designates the starting position in the file for an access. The offset is always in etype units relative to the current view. Explicit offset routines pass offset as an argument  $^{41}$ 42(negative values are erroneous). The file pointer routines use implicit offsets maintained by MPI. 43

A data access routine attempts to transfer (read or write) count data items of type 4445datatype between the user's buffer buf and the file. The datatype passed to the routine 46must be a committed datatype. The layout of data in memory corresponding to buf, count, 47datatype is interpreted the same way as in MPI communication functions; see Section 3.2.2 48and Section 4.1.11. The data is accessed from those parts of the file specified by the current view (Section 13.3). The type signature of datatype must match the type signature of some number of contiguous copies of the etype of the current view. As in a receive, it is erroneous to specify a datatype for reading that contains overlapping regions (areas of memory which would be stored into more than once).

The nonblocking data access routines indicate that MPI can start a data access and associate a request handle, request, with the I/O operation. Nonblocking operations are completed via MPI\_TEST, MPI\_WAIT, or any of their variants.

Data access operations, when completed, return the amount of data accessed in status.

Advice to users. To prevent problems with the argument copying and register optimization done by Fortran compilers, please note the hints in Sections 17.1.10–17.1.20. (End of advice to users.)

For blocking routines, status is returned directly. For nonblocking routines and split collective routines, status is returned when the operation is completed. The number of datatype entries and predefined elements accessed by the calling process can be extracted from status by using MPI\_GET\_COUNT and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS (or MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X), respectively. The interpretation of the MPI\_ERROR field is the same as for other operations — normally undefined, but meaningful if an MPI routine returns MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS. The user can pass (in C and Fortran) MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE in the status argument if the return value of this argument is not needed. The status can be passed to MPI\_TEST\_CANCELLED to determine if the operation was cancelled. All other fields of status are undefined.

When reading, a program can detect the end of file by noting that the amount of data read is less than the amount requested. Writing past the end of file increases the file size. The amount of data accessed will be the amount requested, unless an error is raised (or a read reaches the end of file).

## 13.5.1 Data Access with Explicit Offsets

If MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL mode was specified when the file was opened, it is erroneous to call the routines in this section.

MPI\_FILE\_READ\_AT(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, status)

			35
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	35 36
IN	offset	file offset (integer)	37
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	38
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	39 40
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	41
OUT	status	status object (Status)	42
			43
int MPT F	ile read at(MPT File fh.	MPI_Offset offset, void *buf, int count,	44
1110 111 1_1	,	e, MPI_Status *status)	45
	In I_Datatype datatype	, MI_Status *Status/	46
MPI_File_read_at(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)			47
TYPE(1	<pre>MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	fh	48

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: offset
\mathbf{2}
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf
3
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
4
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
5
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
6
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
\overline{7}
     MPI_FILE_READ_AT(FH, OFFSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
8
         <type> BUF(*)
9
         INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
10
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
11
12
         MPI_FILE_READ_AT reads a file beginning at the position specified by offset.
13
14
     MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, status)
15
16
       IN
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
17
                offset
                                            file offset (integer)
       IN
18
       OUT
                buf
                                            initial address of buffer (choice)
19
20
       IN
                count
                                            number of elements in buffer (integer)
21
       IN
                datatype
                                            datatype of each buffer element (handle)
22
       OUT
                                            status object (Status)
                status
23
^{24}
     int MPI_File_read_at_all(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, void *buf,
25
26
                    int count, MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Status *status)
27
     MPI_File_read_at_all(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)
28
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
29
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: offset
30
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf
31
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
32
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
33
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
34
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                ierror
35
36
     MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL(FH, OFFSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
37
          <type> BUF(*)
38
         INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
39
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
40
         MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL is a collective version of the blocking MPI_FILE_READ_AT
41
     interface.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

	WDITE AT(the offerst built and	unt datatura status)	1
	WRITE_AT(fh, offset, buf, cou	,	2
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	3
IN	offset	file offset (integer)	4
IN	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	5
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	6 7
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	8
OUT	status	status object (Status)	9
			10
int MPI_F	ile_write_at(MPI_File fh,	MPI_Offset offset, const void *buf,	11
	int count, MPI_Dataty	ype datatype, MPI_Status *status)	12
MPI File	write at(fh. offset. buf.	, count, datatype, status, ierror)	13 14
	MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	fh	15
	ER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND),		16
	*), DIMENSION(), INTENT	S(IN) :: buf	17
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)		18
	MPI_Datatype), INTENI(IN) MPI_Status) :: status	datatype	19
	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	20 21
		, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)	22
	<pre>wnlle_Al(FR, UFFSEL, BUF, &gt; BUF(*)</pre>	, COUNI, DATATIFE, STATUS, TERROR)	23
• -		STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	24
INTEG	ER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)	OFFSET	25
MPI F	ILE WRITE AT writes a file l	beginning at the position specified by offset.	26 27
		organing at the position specified by <b>Choo</b> t	21
			29
	WRITE_AT_ALL(fh, offset, bu	,	30
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	31
IN	offset	file offset (integer)	32
IN	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	33 34
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	35
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	36
OUT	status	status object (Status)	37
			38
int MPI_F	ile_write_at_all(MPI_File	e fh, MPI_Offset offset, const void *buf,	$\frac{39}{40}$
	int count, MPI_Dataty	ype datatype, MPI_Status *status)	41
MPI File	write at all(fh. offset.	buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)	42
	<pre>MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	fh	43
	ER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND),		44
	*), DIMENSION(), INTENT	S(IN) :: buf	45
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)		46 47
	MPI_Datatype), INIENI(IN) MPI_Status) :: status	uatatype	48
	Double		

```
1
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
\mathbf{2}
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL(FH, OFFSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
3
          <type> BUF(*)
4
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
5
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
6
7
          MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL is a collective version of the blocking
8
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT interface.
9
10
     MPI_FILE_IREAD_AT(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, request)
11
12
       IN
                 fh
                                              file handle (handle)
13
       IN
                 offset
                                              file offset (integer)
14
                                             initial address of buffer (choice)
       OUT
                 buf
15
16
       IN
                 count
                                             number of elements in buffer (integer)
17
       IN
                                             datatype of each buffer element (handle)
                 datatype
18
       OUT
                 request
                                             request object (handle)
19
20
     int MPI_File_iread_at(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, void *buf, int count,
21
                     MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Request *request)
22
23
     MPI_File_iread_at(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, request, ierror)
^{24}
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
25
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                               offset
26
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
27
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
28
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
29
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  request
30
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
^{31}
     MPI_FILE_IREAD_AT(FH, OFFSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)
32
          <type> BUF(*)
33
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR
34
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
35
36
          MPI_FILE_IREAD_AT is a nonblocking version of the MPI_FILE_READ_AT interface.
37
38
39
     MPI_FILE_IWRITE_AT(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, request)
40
       INOUT
                 fh
                                              file handle (handle)
41
       IN
                 offset
                                              file offset (integer)
42
       IN
                 buf
                                             initial address of buffer (choice)
43
44
       IN
                 count
                                             number of elements in buffer (integer)
45
       IN
                                             datatype of each buffer element (handle)
                 datatype
46
       OUT
                 request
                                             request object (handle)
47
48
```

int MPI_H		h, MPI_Offset offset, const void *buf,	1	
	int count, MPI_Datat	<pre>ype datatype, MPI_Request *request)</pre>	2 3	
MPI_File_	_iwrite_at(fh, offset, bu	f, count, datatype, request, ierror)	4	
	(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::		5	
	<pre>SER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)</pre>		6	
	(*), DIMENSION(), INTEN	T(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	7	
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: count (MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	) :: datatype	8	
	(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT		9	
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	-	10 11	
MDT ETTE		F, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)	12	
	<pre>Provide a state of the set o</pre>	r, cooni, DAIAIIFE, REQUEST, IERROR/	13	
• 1	GER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE,	REQUEST, IERROR	14	
INTEC	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)	OFFSET	15	
MPL	FILE IWRITE AT is a nonbloc	king version of the MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT interface.	16	
			17 18	
13.5.2 D	ata Access with Individual Fi	le Pointers	19	
MDI main	taing and individual file point	er per process per file handle. The current value	20	
	-	offset in the data access routines described in this	21	
-		late the individual file pointers maintained by MPI.	22	
The shared file pointer is not used nor updated.			23	
The individual file pointer routines have the same semantics as the data access with			24 25	
explicit offset routines described in Section 13.5.1, with the following modification:			26	
• the	offset is defined to be the cu	rrent value of the MPI-maintained individual file	27	
poin	ter.		28	
After on i	adividual file pointer operation	n is initiated, the individual file pointer is updated	29	
		ne that will be accessed. The file pointer is updated	30	
-	the current view of the file.		31 32	
If MPI	_MODE_SEQUENTIAL mode wa	as specified when the file was opened, it is erroneous	33	
to call the	routines in this section, with	the exception of $MPI\_FILE\_GET\_BYTE\_OFFSET$ .	34	
			35	
MPI_FILE	_READ(fh, buf, count, datatyp	e, status)	36	
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	37 38	
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	39	
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	40	
			41	
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	42	
OUT	status	status object (Status)	43 44	
La NDT T				
int MPI_File_read(MPI_File in, void *bui, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,			46	

MPI\_Status \*status)

MPI\_File\_read(fh, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)

46

47

```
1
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
\mathbf{2}
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..) :: buf
3
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
4
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                               datatype
5
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
6
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                               ierror
7
     MPI_FILE_READ(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
8
         <type> BUF(*)
9
         INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
10
11
         MPI_FILE_READ reads a file using the individual file pointer.
12
     Example 13.2 The following Fortran code fragment is an example of reading a file until
13
     the end of file is reached:
14
15
         Read a preexisting input file until all data has been read.
     !
16
     !
         Call routine "process_input" if all requested data is read.
17
         The Fortran 90 "exit" statement exits the loop.
     !
18
19
            integer
                      bufsize, numread, totprocessed, status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
20
           parameter (bufsize=100)
21
                      localbuffer(bufsize)
           real
22
           integer (kind=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) zero
23
24
           zero = 0
25
26
           call MPI_FILE_OPEN( MPI_COMM_WORLD, 'myoldfile', &
27
                                 MPI_MODE_RDONLY, MPI_INFO_NULL, myfh, ierr )
28
           call MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW( myfh, zero, MPI_REAL, MPI_REAL, 'native', &
29
                                 MPI_INFO_NULL, ierr )
30
           totprocessed = 0
31
           do
32
               call MPI_FILE_READ( myfh, localbuffer, bufsize, MPI_REAL, &
33
                                    status, ierr )
34
               call MPI_GET_COUNT( status, MPI_REAL, numread, ierr )
35
               call process_input( localbuffer, numread )
36
              totprocessed = totprocessed + numread
37
               if ( numread < bufsize ) exit
38
           enddo
39
40
           write(6,1001) numread, bufsize, totprocessed
41
     1001 format( "No more data: read", I3, "and expected", I3, &
42
                    "Processed total of", I6, "before terminating job." )
43
44
           call MPI_FILE_CLOSE( myfh, ierr )
45
46
47
48
```

MPI_FILE_READ_ALL(fh, buf, count, datatype, status)			
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	2 3
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	4
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	5
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	6
OUT	status	status object (Status)	7 8
			9
int MPI_F	ile_read_all(MPI_File fh;	, void *buf, int count,	10
	MPI_Datatype datatyp	e, MPI_Status *status)	11
MPI_File_	<pre>read_all(fh, buf, count,</pre>	datatype, status, ierror)	12 13
	<pre>MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>		14
	*), DIMENSION() :: bu	ıf	15
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)	) :: datatype	16
	MPI_Status) :: status	, addabypo	17
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	) :: ierror	18 19
MPI_FILE_	READ_ALL(FH, BUF, COUNT,	DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)	20
	> BUF(*)		21
INTEG	ER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, S	STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	22
MPI_F	ILE_READ_ALL is a collective	e version of the blocking MPI_FILE_READ interface.	23 24
			25
MPI_FILE_	WRITE(fh, buf, count, dataty	pe, status)	26
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	27
IN	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	28 29
IN			30
	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	31
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	32
OUT	status	status object (Status)	33 34
int MDT F	ile unite (MDI Eile fh	and usid thuf int count	34 35
INC MPI_F		onst void *buf, int count, e, MPI_Status *status)	36
NDT D'I			37
	write(fh, buf, count, dat MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	v 1	38
	*), DIMENSION(), INTENT		39 40
INTEG	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count		41
	<pre>MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)</pre>	) :: datatype	42
	MPI_Status) :: status	) <del>.</del>	43
	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)		44
	WRITE(FH, BUF, COUNT, DAT	TATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)	45 46
• -	> BUF(*) FR FH COUNT DATATYPE (	STATUS (MDI STATUS SIZE) IERROR	40
INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR			

```
1
         MPI_FILE_WRITE writes a file using the individual file pointer.
\mathbf{2}
3
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL(fh, buf, count, datatype, status)
4
5
       INOUT
                                             file handle (handle)
                 fh
6
       IN
                 buf
                                             initial address of buffer (choice)
7
       IN
                 count
                                             number of elements in buffer (integer)
8
9
       IN
                 datatype
                                             datatype of each buffer element (handle)
10
       OUT
                 status
                                             status object (Status)
11
12
     int MPI_File_write_all(MPI_File fh, const void *buf, int count,
13
                    MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Status *status)
14
15
     MPI_File_write_all(fh, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)
16
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
17
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: buf
18
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
19
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
20
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
21
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
22
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
23
          <type> BUF(*)
24
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
25
26
          MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL is a collective version of the blocking MPI_FILE_WRITE inter-
27
     face.
28
29
     MPI_FILE_IREAD(fh, buf, count, datatype, request)
30
^{31}
       INOUT
                 fh
                                             file handle (handle)
32
       OUT
                 buf
                                             initial address of buffer (choice)
33
34
       IN
                 count
                                             number of elements in buffer (integer)
35
       IN
                 datatype
                                             datatype of each buffer element (handle)
36
       OUT
                 request
                                             request object (handle)
37
38
     int MPI_File_iread(MPI_File fh, void *buf, int count,
39
                    MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Request *request)
40
41
     MPI_File_iread(fh, buf, count, datatype, request, ierror)
42
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
43
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
44
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
45
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                  datatype
46
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  request
47
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
48
```

1 MPI\_FILE\_IREAD(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)  $\mathbf{2}$ <type> BUF(\*) 3 INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR 4 MPI\_FILE\_IREAD is a nonblocking version of the MPI\_FILE\_READ interface. 5 6 **Example 13.3** The following Fortran code fragment illustrates file pointer update seman-7 tics: 8 9 ! Read the first twenty real words in a file into two local 10 ! buffers. Note that when the first MPI\_FILE\_IREAD returns, 11 ! the file pointer has been updated to point to the 12eleventh real word in the file. Т 13 14integer bufsize, req1, req2 15integer, dimension(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE) :: status1, status2 16parameter (bufsize=10) 17buf1(bufsize), buf2(bufsize) real 18 integer (kind=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) zero 19 20zero = 021call MPI\_FILE\_OPEN( MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 'myoldfile', & 22 MPI\_MODE\_RDONLY, MPI\_INFO\_NULL, myfh, ierr ) 23call MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW( myfh, zero, MPI\_REAL, MPI\_REAL, 'native', & 24MPI\_INFO\_NULL, ierr ) 25call MPI\_FILE\_IREAD( myfh, buf1, bufsize, MPI\_REAL, & 26req1, ierr ) 27call MPI\_FILE\_IREAD( myfh, buf2, bufsize, MPI\_REAL, & 28 req2, ierr ) 29 30 call MPI\_WAIT( req1, status1, ierr ) 31call MPI\_WAIT( req2, status2, ierr ) 32 33 call MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE( myfh, ierr ) 34 35 36 MPI\_FILE\_IWRITE(fh, buf, count, datatype, request) 37 INOUT 38 fh file handle (handle) 39 IN buf initial address of buffer (choice) 40 IN count number of elements in buffer (integer) 41 42IN datatype of each buffer element (handle) datatype 43 OUT request request object (handle) 4445int MPI\_File\_iwrite(MPI\_File fh, const void \*buf, int count, 46MPI\_Datatype datatype, MPI\_Request \*request) 47

MPI\_File\_iwrite(fh, buf, count, datatype, request, ierror)

```
1
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::
                                             fh
\mathbf{2}
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
3
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
4
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                  datatype
5
          TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  request
6
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
7
     MPI_FILE_IWRITE(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)
8
          <type> BUF(*)
9
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR
10
11
          MPI_FILE_IWRITE is a nonblocking version of the MPI_FILE_WRITE interface.
12
13
     MPI_FILE_SEEK(fh, offset, whence)
14
15
       INOUT
                 fh
                                             file handle (handle)
16
                 offset
       IN
                                             file offset (integer)
17
       IN
                 whence
                                             update mode (state)
18
19
     int MPI_File_seek(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, int whence)
20
21
     MPI_File_seek(fh, offset, whence, ierror)
22
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::
                                             fh
23
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: offset
24
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: whence
25
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
26
27
     MPI_FILE_SEEK(FH, OFFSET, WHENCE, IERROR)
28
          INTEGER FH, WHENCE, IERROR
29
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
30
          MPI_FILE_SEEK updates the individual file pointer according to whence, which has the
^{31}
     following possible values:
32
33
        • MPI_SEEK_SET: the pointer is set to offset
34
        • MPI_SEEK_CUR: the pointer is set to the current pointer position plus offset
35
36
        • MPI_SEEK_END: the pointer is set to the end of file plus offset
37
38
          The offset can be negative, which allows seeking backwards. It is erroneous to seek to
     a negative position in the view.
39
40
41
     MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION(fh, offset)
42
       IN
                 fh
43
                                             file handle (handle)
44
       OUT
                 offset
                                             offset of individual pointer (integer)
45
46
     int MPI_File_get_position(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset *offset)
47
48
     MPI_File_get_position(fh, offset, ierror)
```

TYPE	(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	fh	1
INTE	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)	, INTENT(OUT) :: offset	2
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			3
MPT FTLF	_GET_POSITION(FH, OFFSET,	TEBROR)	4
	GER FH, IERROR		5
	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)	OFFSET	6 7
МП		in effect the summent position of the individual fla	8
	etype units relative to the cu	, in offset, the current position of the individual file	9
pointer in	coppe units relative to the eu		10
Adi	<i>ice to users.</i> The offset can	be used in a future call to MPI_FILE_SEEK using	11
whe	$nce = MPI\_SEEK\_SET$ to return	to the current position. To set the displacement to	12
	/	st convert offset into an absolute byte position using	13
		then call MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW with the resulting	14
disp	lacement. (End of advice to u	sers.)	15 16
			10
			18
MPI_FILE	GET_BYTE_OFFSET(fh, offs	set, disp)	19
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	20
IN	offset	offset (integer)	21
OUT	disp	absolute byte position of offset (integer)	22
001	disp	abbolate by te position of onset (integer)	23
int MPT	File get byte offset(MPI	File fh, MPI_Offset offset,	24 25
	MPI_Offset *disp)	,,, ,	26
	-		27
	<pre>_get_byte_offset(fh, offs (MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::</pre>	-	28
	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)		29
	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)		30
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	-	31
MDT ETTE	_GET_BYTE_OFFSET(FH, OFFS		32
	GER FH, IERROR	EI, DISP, IERROR)	33 34
	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)	OFFSET, DISP	35
			36
		onverts a view-relative offset into an absolute byte	37
-	The absolute byte position (free even of fh is returned in disp.	om the beginning of the file) of <b>offset</b> relative to the	38
	iew of in is refutited in uisp.		39
13.5.3 [	Data Access with Shared File	Pointers	40
			41 42
MPI main	MPI maintains exactly one shared file pointer per collective MPI_FILE_OPEN (shared among		

MPI maintains exactly one shared file pointer per collective MPI\_FILE\_OPEN (shared among processes in the communicator group). The current value of this pointer implicitly specifies the offset in the data access routines described in this section. These routines only use and update the shared file pointer maintained by MPI. The individual file pointers are not used nor updated.

The shared file pointer routines have the same semantics as the data access with explicit offset routines described in Section 13.5.1, with the following modifications:

```
1
         • the offset is defined to be the current value of the MPI-maintained shared file pointer,
\mathbf{2}
         • the effect of multiple calls to shared file pointer routines is defined to behave as if the
3
           calls were serialized, and
4
5
         • the use of shared file pointer routines is erroneous unless all processes use the same
6
           file view.
7
8
      For the noncollective shared file pointer routines, the serialization ordering is not determin-
9
      istic. The user needs to use other synchronization means to enforce a specific order.
10
          After a shared file pointer operation is initiated, the shared file pointer is updated to
^{11}
      point to the next etype after the last one that will be accessed. The file pointer is updated
12
      relative to the current view of the file.
13
14
      Noncollective Operations
15
16
17
      MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED(fh, buf, count, datatype, status)
18
        INOUT
                  fh
                                                file handle (handle)
19
20
        OUT
                  buf
                                                initial address of buffer (choice)
21
        IN
                  count
                                                number of elements in buffer (integer)
22
        IN
                  datatype
                                                datatype of each buffer element (handle)
23
^{24}
        OUT
                  status
                                                status object (Status)
25
26
      int MPI_File_read_shared(MPI_File fh, void *buf, int count,
27
                      MPI_Datatype datatype, MPI_Status *status)
28
      MPI_File_read_shared(fh, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror)
29
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                fh
30
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..)
                                       :: buf
^{31}
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) ::
                                       count
32
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                    datatype
33
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
34
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                     ierror
35
36
      MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
37
          <type> BUF(*)
38
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
39
          MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED reads a file using the shared file pointer.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

CHAPTER 13. I/O

MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_SHARED(fh, buf, count, datatype, status) 1  $\mathbf{2}$ INOUT fh file handle (handle) 3 IN buf initial address of buffer (choice) 4 number of elements in buffer (integer) 5IN count 6 IN datatype of each buffer element (handle) datatype 7 OUT status status object (Status) 8 9 int MPI\_File\_write\_shared(MPI\_File fh, const void \*buf, int count, 10 MPI\_Datatype datatype, MPI\_Status \*status) 11 12MPI\_File\_write\_shared(fh, buf, count, datatype, status, ierror) 13 TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 14TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN) :: buf 15INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count 16TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 17TYPE(MPI\_Status) :: status 18 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 19 MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_SHARED(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR) 20<type> BUF(\*) 21INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI\_STATUS\_SIZE), IERROR 22 23MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_SHARED writes a file using the shared file pointer. 242526MPI\_FILE\_IREAD\_SHARED(fh, buf, count, datatype, request) 27INOUT fh file handle (handle) 28 OUT buf initial address of buffer (choice) 2930 IN number of elements in buffer (integer) count 31IN datatype datatype of each buffer element (handle) 32 OUT request request object (handle) 33 34 35 int MPI\_File\_iread\_shared(MPI\_File fh, void \*buf, int count, 36 MPI\_Datatype datatype, MPI\_Request \*request) 37 MPI\_File\_iread\_shared(fh, buf, count, datatype, request, ierror) 38 TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 39 TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf 40 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count 41 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype 42TYPE(MPI\_Request), INTENT(OUT) :: request 43 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror 4445

46

47

WRITE_SHARED(fh, buf, cou	int, datatype, request)
,	file handle (handle)
	initial address of buffer (choice)
	number of elements in buffer (integer)
	datatype of each buffer element (handle)
request	request object (handle)
	e fh, const void *buf, int count, e, MPI_Request *request)
ER, INTENT(IN) :: count IPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) IPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) WRITE_SHARED(FH, BUF, CO BUF(*)	<pre>:: datatype :: request :: ierror UNT, DATATYPE, REQUEST, IERROR)</pre>
LE_IWRITE_SHARED is a r	
perations	
n the order determined by the	g a shared file pointer is that the accesses to the e ranks of the processes within the group. For each
would be after all processes w accessed their data. In addit the same processes from inter- after all the processes within	data is accessed is the position at which the shared whose ranks within the group less than that of this tion, in order to prevent subsequent shared offset erfering with this collective access, the call might the group have initiated their accesses. When the s to the next etype accessible, according to the file etype requested.
	<pre>Ale_iwrite_shared(MPI_Fil MPI_Datatype datatype Awrite_shared(fh, buf, co MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: (), DIMENSION(), INTENT ER, INTENT(IN) :: count MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT) ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, R AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND ER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, R AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND</pre>

Advice to implementors. Accesses to the data requested by all processes do not have to be serialized. Once all processes have issued their requests, locations within the file for all accesses can be computed, and accesses can proceed independently from each other, possibly in parallel. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED(fh, buf, count, datatype, status)			7 8
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	9
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	10
			11
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	12
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	13
OUT	status	status object (Status)	14
			15
int MPI_F	ile_read_ordered(MPI_File	fh, void *buf, int count,	16 17
	MPI_Datatype datatype	e, MPI_Status *status)	17
MPI_File_	read_ordered(fh, buf, cou	nt, datatype, status, ierror)	19
	MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::		20
TYPE(	*), DIMENSION() :: bu	f	21
INTEG	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count		22
	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)	:: datatype	23
	MPI_Status) :: status		24
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	25
MPI_FILE_	READ_ORDERED(FH, BUF, COU	NT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)	26 27
<type> BUF(*)</type>			27
INTEG	ER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, S	TATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	29
MPI_F	ILE_READ_ORDERED is a co	llective version of the MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED	30
interface.	interface.		
			32
	WPITE OPDEPED/fb buf a	unt datatura status)	33
	WRITE_ORDERED(fh, buf, co	, ,	34
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	35
IN	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	$\frac{36}{37}$
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	38
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	39
OUT	status	status object (Status)	40
001	Status	status object (Status)	41
int MPT F	ile write ordered(MPI Fil	e fh, const void *buf, int count,	42
IIIC III I_I		e, MPI_Status *status)	43
			44
		unt, datatype, status, ierror)	$45 \\ 46$
	<pre>MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: *) DIMENSION( ) INTENT</pre>		40 47
	TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), INTENT(IN) :: buf47INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count48		

```
1
          TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                 datatype
\mathbf{2}
          TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
3
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                  ierror
4
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS, IERROR)
5
          <type> BUF(*)
6
          INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
7
8
          MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED is a collective version of the MPI_FILE_WRITE_SHARED
9
     interface.
10
11
     Seek
12
     If MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL mode was specified when the file was opened, it is erroneous
13
     to call the following two routines (MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED and
14
     MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION_SHARED).
15
16
17
     MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED(fh, offset, whence)
18
       INOUT
                 fh
                                             file handle (handle)
19
20
       IN
                 offset
                                             file offset (integer)
21
       IN
                 whence
                                             update mode (state)
22
23
     int MPI_File_seek_shared(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, int whence)
^{24}
25
     MPI_File_seek_shared(fh, offset, whence, ierror)
26
          TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
27
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: offset
28
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: whence
29
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
30
     MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED(FH, OFFSET, WHENCE, IERROR)
^{31}
          INTEGER FH, WHENCE, IERROR
32
          INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
33
34
          MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED updates the shared file pointer according to whence, which
35
     has the following possible values:
36
        • MPI_SEEK_SET: the pointer is set to offset
37
38
        • MPI_SEEK_CUR: the pointer is set to the current pointer position plus offset
39
40
        • MPI_SEEK_END: the pointer is set to the end of file plus offset
41
          MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED is collective; all the processes in the communicator group
42
     associated with the file handle fh must call MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED with the same values
43
     for offset and whence.
44
          The offset can be negative, which allows seeking backwards. It is erroneous to seek to
45
     a negative position in the view.
46
47
48
```

MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION_SHARED(fh, offset)			
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	2
OUT	offset	offset of shared pointer (integer)	3
001	onset	onset of shared pointer (integer)	4
		\	5
int MPI_F	ile_get_position_shared(N	<pre>IPI_File fh, MPI_Offset *offset)</pre>	6
MPT File	get_position_shared(fh, c	offset. jerror)	7
	MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::		8
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(OUT) :: offset			
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror			10
TUIEG	ER, OFFICIAL, INTENT(001)		11
MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION_SHARED(FH, OFFSET, IERROR)			12
INTEGER FH, IERROR			13
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET			14
		D returns, in offset, the current position of the	16
shared file	pointer in etype units relative	e to the current view.	17
1.1	The effect and he	and in a fature call to MDL FUE SEEK SUADED	18
		used in a future call to MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED	19
0		eturn to the current position. To set the displace-	20
ment	to the current file pointer p	osition, first convert offset into an absolute byte	21

position using MPI\_FILE\_GET\_BYTE\_OFFSET, then call MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW with the resulting displacement. (End of advice to users.)

#### 13.5.4 Split Collective Data Access Routines

MPI provides a restricted form of "nonblocking collective" I/O operations for all data accesses using split collective data access routines. These routines are referred to as "split" collective routines because a single collective operation is split in two: a begin routine and an end routine. The begin routine begins the operation, much like a nonblocking data access (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_IREAD). The end routine completes the operation, much like the matching test or wait (e.g., MPI\_WAIT). As with nonblocking data access operations, the user must not use the buffer passed to a begin routine while the routine is outstanding; the operation must be completed with an end routine before it is safe to free buffers, etc.

Split collective data access operations on a file handle fh are subject to the semantic rules given below.

- On any MPI process, each file handle may have at most one active split collective operation at any time.
- Begin calls are collective over the group of processes that participated in the collective open and follow the ordering rules for collective calls.
- End calls are collective over the group of processes that participated in the collective open and follow the ordering rules for collective calls. Each end call matches the preceding begin call for the same collective operation. When an "end" call is made, exactly one unmatched "begin" call for the same operation must precede it.
- An implementation is free to implement any split collective data access routine using the corresponding blocking collective routine when either the begin call (e.g.,

21

22

23 $^{24}$ 

2526

2728

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

	024	OIIAI 1EI( 15. 1/0
1 2 3 4	issued. The begin	_ALL_BEGIN) or the end call (e.g., MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_END) is and end calls are provided to allow the user and MPI implementation pollective operation.
5 6 7 8	tion. For examp on one process d	berations do not match the corresponding regular collective opera- le, in a single collective read operation, an MPI_FILE_READ_ALL loes not match an MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN/ _ALL_END pair on another process.
9 10 11 12 13	By specifying the problems describe	butines must specify a buffer in both the begin and end routines. e buffer that receives data in the end routine, we can avoid the ed in "A Problem with Code Movements and Register Optimization," but not all of the problems described in Section 17.1.16.
14 15 16 17	,	operations are permitted on a file handle concurrently with a split on that file handle (i.e., between the begin and end of the access).
18		<pre>MPI_File_read_all_begin(fh,);</pre>
19		
20		<pre>MPI_File_read_all(fh,);</pre>
21 22		<pre> MPI_File_read_all_end(fh,);</pre>
23		MILFIELIead_all_end(In,),
24	is erroneous.	
25	• In a multithroade	d implementation, any split collective begin and end operation called
26		be called from the same thread. This restriction is made to simplify
27 28		on in the multithreaded case. (Note that we have already disallowed
29	-	ls begin a split collective operation on the same file handle since only
30	one split collectiv	e operation can be active on a file handle at any time.)
31		
32	ē	these routines have the same meaning as for the equivalent collective ment definitions for MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN and
33		END are equivalent to the arguments for MPI_FILE_READ_ALL).
34 35		, MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN) begins a split collective operation
36		with the matching end routine (i.e., MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_END)
37	-	defined for the equivalent collective routine (i.e.,
38	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL)	
39		of consistency semantics (Section 13.8.1), a matched pair of split
40		perations (e.g., MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN and END) compose a single data access.
41		LIND Compose a single data access.
42 43		
43 44		
45		
46		
47		
48		

MPI_FILE	_READ_AT_ALL_BEGIN(fh, o	ffset, buf, count, datatype)	1
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	2 3
IN	offset	file offset (integer)	4
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	5
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	6
			7
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	8
int MPT	File read at all hegin(MP	I_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, void *buf,	9 10
1110 111 1_	int count, MPI_Datat		11
MDT Eile			12
	_read_at_all_begin(in, or (MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	<pre>fset, buf, count, datatype, ierror) fh</pre>	13
	GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)		14
	(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC		15 16
	GER, INTENT(IN) :: count		17
	(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	01	18
TNLE	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	19
		FSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)	20
01	e> BUF(*)	TERROR	21
	GER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, GER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)		22 23
INIC	GER(KIND-MFI_OFFSEI_KIND)	UFF3E1	23
			25
MPI_FILE	_READ_AT_ALL_END(fh, buf	, status)	26
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	27
OUT	buf		28 29
		initial address of buffer (choice)	29 30
OUT	status	status object (Status)	31
int MDT	File read at all and (MDT	File fh, void *buf, MPI_Status *status)	32
_		· · · ·	33
	_read_at_all_end(fh, buf,	-	34
	<pre>(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: (*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC</pre>		35 36
	(*), DIMENSION(), ASING (MPI_Status) :: status	nconoos bui	37
	GER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	38
MDT ETTE	_READ_AT_ALL_END(FH, BUF,		39
	e> BUF(*)	STATUS, TEARUR)	40
• 1	GER FH, STATUS(MPI_STATUS	_SIZE), IERROR	41
			42 43
			44
			45
			46

```
1
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_BEGIN(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype)
\mathbf{2}
       INOUT
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
3
                offset
       IN
                                            file offset (integer)
4
5
                 buf
       IN
                                            initial address of buffer (choice)
6
       IN
                count
                                            number of elements in buffer (integer)
7
       IN
                datatype
                                            datatype of each buffer element (handle)
8
9
     int MPI_File_write_at_all_begin(MPI_File fh, MPI_Offset offset, const
10
                    void *buf, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype)
11
12
     MPI_File_write_at_all_begin(fh, offset, buf, count, datatype, ierror)
13
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
14
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND), INTENT(IN) :: offset
15
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
16
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
17
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) ::
                                                 datatype
18
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                 ierror
19
20
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_BEGIN(FH, OFFSET, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)
21
          <type> BUF(*)
22
         INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR
23
         INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) OFFSET
^{24}
25
26
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_END(fh, buf, status)
27
       INOUT
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
28
       IN
                 buf
                                            initial address of buffer (choice)
29
30
       OUT
                                            status object (Status)
                status
^{31}
32
     int MPI_File_write_at_all_end(MPI_File fh, const void *buf,
33
                    MPI_Status *status)
34
35
     MPI_File_write_at_all_end(fh, buf, status, ierror)
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
36
37
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
38
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
39
40
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_END(FH, BUF, STATUS, IERROR)
41
         <type> BUF(*)
42
         INTEGER FH, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

MPI_FILE	_READ_ALL_BEGIN	(fh, buf, count, datatype)	1
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	2
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	3 4
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	5
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	6
	Gatatype	datatype of each builer cicilient (handle)	7
int MPI_	File_read_all_beg	in(MPI_File fh, void *buf, int count,	8 9
_	MPI_Datatype		9 10
MPT File	read all begin(f	h, buf, count, datatype, ierror)	11
	(MPI_File), INTEN	• -	12
		), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	13
	GER, INTENT(IN) :		14
	<b>V</b> 1	NTENT(IN) :: datatype	15 16
INTE	GER, OPTIONAL, IN	TENT(OUT) :: ierror	10
		H, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)	18
	e> BUF(*)		19
INTE	GER FH, COUNT, DA	TATYPE, IERRUR	20
			21
MPI FILE	_READ_ALL_END(fl	n. buf. status)	22 23
INOUT	(	file handle (handle)	24
			25
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	26
OUT	status	status object (Status)	27
· ·			28 29
int MPI_	File_read_all_end	(MPI_File fh, void *buf, MPI_Status *status)	29 30
	-	buf, status, ierror)	31
	(MPI_File), INTEN		32
		), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf	33
	(MPI_Status) :: GER, OPTIONAL, IN		34
			35 36
		BUF, STATUS, IERROR)	30
01	e> BUF(*) cfr fh status(mp	I_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR	38
T 10 I D	din in, biriob(in		39
			40
MPI_FILE	_WRITE_ALL_BEGII	N(fh, buf, count, datatype)	41
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	42 43
IN	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	43 44
			45
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	46
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	47
			48

```
1
     int MPI_File_write_all_begin(MPI_File fh, const void *buf, int count,
\mathbf{2}
                    MPI_Datatype datatype)
3
     MPI_File_write_all_begin(fh, buf, count, datatype, ierror)
4
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
5
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS ::
                                                                   buf
6
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
7
         TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype
8
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) ::
                                                ierror
9
10
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_BEGIN(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)
11
          <type> BUF(*)
12
         INTEGER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR
13
14
15
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_END(fh, buf, status)
16
       INOUT
                                            file handle (handle)
                fh
17
^{18}
       IN
                buf
                                           initial address of buffer (choice)
19
       OUT
                                           status object (Status)
                status
20
21
     int MPI_File_write_all_end(MPI_File fh, const void *buf,
22
                    MPI_Status *status)
23
^{24}
     MPI_File_write_all_end(fh, buf, status, ierror)
25
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
26
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
27
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
28
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
29
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_END(FH, BUF, STATUS, IERROR)
30
         <type> BUF(*)
^{31}
         INTEGER FH, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
32
33
34
     MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_BEGIN(fh, buf, count, datatype)
35
36
       INOUT
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
37
       OUT
                buf
                                           initial address of buffer (choice)
38
       IN
39
                count
                                           number of elements in buffer (integer)
40
       IN
                                            datatype of each buffer element (handle)
                datatype
41
42
     int MPI_File_read_ordered_begin(MPI_File fh, void *buf, int count,
43
                    MPI_Datatype datatype)
44
     MPI_File_read_ordered_begin(fh, buf, count, datatype, ierror)
45
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
46
47
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: count
48
```

	(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	• -	1 $2$
MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_BEGIN(FH, BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)			3
	READ_ORDERED_BEGIN(FH, B > BUF(*)	OF, COUNI, DATATIPE, TERROR)	4
• -	ER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE,	TERROR	5
TWIEG	ER FII, COONT, DATATIL,		6
			7
MPL FILE	_READ_ORDERED_END(fh, b	nuf status)	8 9
	, ,	,	9 10
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	10
OUT	buf	initial address of buffer (choice)	12
OUT	status	status object (Status)	13
			14
int MPI_F	Sile_read_ordered_end(MPI	_File fh, void *buf, MPI_Status *status)	15
MPI_File_	read_ordered_end(fh, buf	, status, ierror)	16 17
TYPE(	(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	fh	18
TYPE(	(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNC	HRONOUS :: buf	19
	(MPI_Status) :: status		20
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	21
MPI FILE	READ_ORDERED_END(FH, BUF	. STATUS. IERROR)	22
	> BUF(*)	,	23
INTEG	ER FH, STATUS(MPI_STATUS	_SIZE), IERROR	24
			25
			26
MPI_FILE_	_WRITE_ORDERED_BEGIN(f	h, buf, count, datatype)	27
INOUT	fh	file handle (handle)	28
IN	buf		29 30
	bui	initial address of buffer (choice)	31
IN	count	number of elements in buffer (integer)	32
IN	datatype	datatype of each buffer element (handle)	33
			34
int MPI_F	File_write_ordered_begin(	MPI_File fh, const void *buf, int count,	35
	MPI_Datatype datatyp	be)	36
MPT File	write ordered begin(fh	buf, count, datatype, ierror)	37
	(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) ::	• =	38
	(*), DIMENSION(), INTEN		39
	ER, INTENT(IN) :: count		40
TYPE(	(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN	) :: datatype	41
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT	) :: ierror	42
мрт гтгг	WRITE ORDERED REGIM(ED	BUF, COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR)	43 44
	BUF(*)	Doi, obowi, Drikilić, iliutok/	44
• -	GER FH, COUNT, DATATYPE,	IERROR	46
0	,, <b></b> , <b>,</b>		47
			48

```
1
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_END(fh, buf, status)
2
       INOUT
                fh
                                            file handle (handle)
3
       IN
                 buf
                                            initial address of buffer (choice)
4
5
       OUT
                status
                                            status object (Status)
6
\overline{7}
     int MPI_File_write_ordered_end(MPI_File fh, const void *buf,
8
                    MPI_Status *status)
9
     MPI_File_write_ordered_end(fh, buf, status, ierror)
10
         TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
11
         TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), INTENT(IN), ASYNCHRONOUS ::
                                                                     buf
12
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: status
13
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
14
15
     MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_END(FH, BUF, STATUS, IERROR)
16
          <type> BUF(*)
17
         INTEGER FH, STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
18
19
20
     13.6
             File Interoperability
```

At the most basic level, file interoperability is the ability to read the information previously written to a file — not just the bits of data, but the actual information the bits represent. MPI guarantees full interoperability within a single MPI environment, and supports increased interoperability outside that environment through the external data representation (Section 13.7.2) as well as the data conversion functions (Section 13.7.3).

<sup>27</sup> Interoperability within a single MPI environment (which could be considered "oper-<sup>28</sup> ability") ensures that file data written by one MPI process can be read by any other MPI <sup>29</sup> process, subject to the consistency constraints (see Section 13.8.1), provided that it would <sup>30</sup> have been possible to start the two processes simultaneously and have them reside in a <sup>31</sup> single MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. Furthermore, both processes must see the same data values at <sup>32</sup> every absolute byte offset in the file for which data was written.

This single environment file interoperability implies that file data is accessible regardless of the number of processes.

There are three aspects to file interoperability:

• transferring the bits,

• converting between different file structures, and

• converting between different machine representations.

The first two aspects of file interoperability are beyond the scope of this standard, as both are highly machine dependent. However, transferring the bits of a file into and out of the MPI environment (e.g., by writing a file to tape) is required to be supported by all MPI implementations. In particular, an implementation must specify how familiar operations similar to POSIX cp, rm, and mv can be performed on the file. Furthermore, it is expected that the facility provided maintains the correspondence between absolute byte offsets (e.g., after possible file structure conversion, the data bits at byte offset 102 in the

21

34

35

36

37 38

39 40

MPI environment are at byte offset 102 outside the MPI environment). As an example, a simple off-line conversion utility that transfers and converts files between the native file system and the MPI environment would suffice, provided it maintained the offset coherence mentioned above. In a high-quality implementation of MPI, users will be able to manipulate MPI files using the same or similar tools that the native file system offers for manipulating its files.

The remaining aspect of file interoperability, converting between different machine representations, is supported by the typing information specified in the etype and filetype. This facility allows the information in files to be shared between any two applications, regardless of whether they use MPI, and regardless of the machine architectures on which they run.

MPI supports multiple data representations: "native," "internal," and "external32." An implementation may support additional data representations. MPI also supports userdefined data representations (see Section 13.7.3). The "native" and "internal" data representations are implementation dependent, while the "external32" representation is common to all MPI implementations and facilitates file interoperability. The data representation is specified in the datarep argument to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW.

Advice to users. MPI is not guaranteed to retain knowledge of what data representation was used when a file is written. Therefore, to correctly retrieve file data, an MPI application is responsible for specifying the same data representation as was used to create the file. (*End of advice to users.*)

"native" Data in this representation is stored in a file exactly as it is in memory. The advantage of this data representation is that data precision and I/O performance are not lost in type conversions with a purely homogeneous environment. The disadvantage is the loss of transparent interoperability within a heterogeneous MPI environment.

Advice to users. This data representation should only be used in a homogeneous MPI environment, or when the MPI application is capable of performing the data type conversions itself. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. When implementing read and write operations on top of MPI message-passing, the message data should be typed as MPI\_BYTE to ensure that the message routines do not perform any type conversions on the data. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

"internal" This data representation can be used for I/O operations in a homogeneous or heterogeneous environment; the implementation will perform type conversions if necessary. The implementation is free to store data in any format of its choice, with the restriction that it will maintain constant extents for all predefined datatypes in any one file. The environment in which the resulting file can be reused is implementationdefined and must be documented by the implementation.

Rationale. This data representation allows the implementation to perform I/O efficiently in a heterogeneous environment, though with implementation-defined restrictions on how the file can be reused. (*End of rationale.*)

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Advice to implementors. Since "external32" is a superset of the functionality provided by "internal," an implementation may choose to implement "internal" as "external32." (*End of advice to implementors.*)

"external32" This data representation states that read and write operations convert all data from and to the "external32" representation defined in

13.7

13.7.2. The data conversion rules for communication also apply to these conversions (see Section 3.3.2). The data on the storage medium is always in this canonical representation, and the data in memory is always in the local process's native representation.

This data representation has several advantages. First, all processes reading the file in a heterogeneous MPI environment will automatically have the data converted to their respective native representations. Second, the file can be exported from one MPI environment and imported into any other MPI environment with the guarantee that the second environment will be able to read all the data in the file.

The disadvantage of this data representation is that data precision and I/O performance may be lost in data type conversions.

- Advice to implementors. When implementing read and write operations on top of MPI message-passing, the message data should be converted to and from the "external32" representation in the client, and sent as type MPI\_BYTE. This will avoid possible double data type conversions and the associated further loss of precision and performance. (*End of advice to implementors.*)
- 27 28 29

30

## 13.7.1 Datatypes for File Interoperability

<sup>31</sup> If the file data representation is other than "native," care must be taken in constructing <sup>32</sup> etypes and filetypes. Any of the datatype constructor functions may be used; however, <sup>33</sup> for those functions that accept displacements in bytes, the displacements must be specified <sup>34</sup> in terms of their values in the file for the file data representation being used. MPI will <sup>35</sup> interpret these byte displacements as is; no scaling will be done. The function

<sup>36</sup> MPI\_FILE\_GET\_TYPE\_EXTENT can be used to calculate the extents of datatypes in the
 <sup>37</sup> file. For etypes and filetypes that are portable datatypes (see Section 2.4), MPI will scale
 <sup>38</sup> any displacements in the datatypes to match the file data representation. Datatypes passed
 <sup>39</sup> as arguments to read/write routines specify the data layout in memory; therefore, they must
 <sup>40</sup> always be constructed using displacements corresponding to displacements in memory.

41

Advice to users. One can logically think of the file as if it were stored in the memory
 of a file server. The etype and filetype are interpreted as if they were defined at this
 file server, by the same sequence of calls used to define them at the calling process.
 If the data representation is "native", then this logical file server runs on the same
 architecture as the calling process, so that these types define the same data layout
 on the file as they would define in the memory of the calling process. If the etype
 and filetype are portable datatypes, then the data layout defined in the file is the

same as would be defined in the calling process memory, up to a scaling factor. The routine MPI\_FILE\_GET\_TYPE\_EXTENT can be used to calculate this scaling factor. Thus, two equivalent, portable datatypes will define the same data layout in the file, even in a heterogeneous environment with "internal", "external32", or user defined data representations. Otherwise, the etype and filetype must be constructed so that their typemap and extent are the same on any architecture. This can be achieved if they have an explicit upper bound and lower bound (defined using

MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_RESIZED). This condition must also be fulfilled by any datatype that is used in the construction of the etype and filetype, if this datatype is replicated contiguously, either explicitly, by a call to MPI\_TYPE\_CONTIGUOUS, or implicitly, by a blocklength argument that is greater than one. If an etype or filetype is not portable, and has a typemap or extent that is architecture dependent, then the data layout specified by it on a file is implementation dependent.

File data representations other than "native" may be different from corresponding data representations in memory. Therefore, for these file data representations, it is important not to use hardwired byte offsets for file positioning, including the initial displacement that specifies the view. When a portable datatype (see Section 2.4) is used in a data access operation, any holes in the datatype are scaled to match the data representation. However, note that this technique only works when all the processes that created the file view build their etypes from the same predefined datatypes. For example, if one process uses an etype built from MPI\_INT and another uses an etype built from MPI\_FLOAT, the resulting views may be nonportable because the relative sizes of these types may differ from one data representation to another. (End of advice to users.)

MPI_FILE_GET_TYPE_EXTENT(fh, datatype, extent)			28
IN	fh	file handle (handle)	29
IN	datatype	datatype (handle)	30
IIN	datatype	datatype (nandle)	31
OUT	extent	datatype extent (integer)	32
			33
int MPI_F	ile_get_type_extent(MPI_H	File fh, MPI_Datatype datatype,	34
	MPI_Aint *extent)		35
			36
<pre>MPI_File_get_type_extent(fh, datatype, extent, ierror)</pre>			37
TYPE(MPI_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh			38
TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN) :: datatype			
INTEG	ER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	), INTENT(OUT) :: extent	40
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	41
MPT FTIF	GET_TYPE_EXTENT(FH, DATAT	TYPE FXTENT TERROR)	42
	ER FH, DATATYPE, IERROR		43
	ER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)	EXTENT	44
10120			45
Return	Returns the extent of datatype in the file fh. This extent will be the same for all		

processes accessing the file fh. If the current view uses a user-defined data representation (see Section 13.7.3), MPI uses the dtype\_file\_extent\_fn callback to calculate the extent.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4  $\mathbf{5}$ 

> 6  $\overline{7}$

8 9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

47

Advice to implementors. In the case of user-defined data representations, the extent of a derived datatype can be calculated by first determining the extents of the predefined datatypes in this derived datatype using dtype\_file\_extent\_fn (see Section 13.7.3). (End of advice to implementors.)

13.7.2 External Data Representation: "external32"

All MPI implementations are required to support the data representation defined in this section. Support of optional datatypes (e.g., MPI\_INTEGER2) is not required.

All floating point values are in big-endian IEEE format [37] of the appropriate size. 10 Floating point values are represented by one of three IEEE formats. These are the IEEE 11 "Single," "Double," and "Double Extended" formats, requiring 4, 8, and 16 bytes of storage, 12respectively. For the IEEE "Double Extended" formats, MPI specifies a Format Width of 16 13 bytes, with 15 exponent bits, bias = +16383, 112 fraction bits, and an encoding analogous 14to the "Double" format. All integral values are in two's complement big-endian format. Big-15endian means most significant byte at lowest address byte. For C \_Bool, Fortran LOGICAL, 16and C++ bool, 0 implies false and nonzero implies true. C float \_Complex, double 17\_Complex, and long double \_Complex, Fortran COMPLEX and DOUBLE COMPLEX, and other 18 complex types are represented by a pair of floating point format values for the real and 19 imaginary components. Characters are in ISO 8859-1 format [38]. Wide characters (of type 20MPI\_WCHAR) are in Unicode format [59]. 21

All signed numerals (e.g., MPI\_INT, MPI\_REAL) have the sign bit at the most significant bit. MPI\_COMPLEX and MPI\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX have the sign bit of the real and imaginary parts at the most significant bit of each part.

According to IEEE specifications [37], the "NaN" (not a number) is system dependent.
 It should not be interpreted within MPI as anything other than "NaN."

Advice to implementors. The MPI treatment of "NaN" is similar to the approach used in XDR (see ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc1832.txt). (End of advice to implementors.)

All data is byte aligned, regardless of type. All data items are stored contiguously in the file (if the file view is contiguous).

Advice to implementors. All bytes of LOGICAL and bool must be checked to determine the value. (End of advice to implementors.)

Advice to users. The type MPI\_PACKED is treated as bytes and is not converted. The user should be aware that MPI\_PACK has the option of placing a header in the beginning of the pack buffer. (*End of advice to users.*)

The sizes of the predefined datatypes returned from MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_COMPLEX, and MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_INTEGER are defined in Section 17.1.9, page 621.

Advice to implementors. When converting a larger size integer to a smaller size integer, only the least significant bytes are moved. Care must be taken to preserve the sign bit value. This allows no conversion errors if the data range is within the range of the smaller size integer. (End of advice to implementors.)

<sup>48</sup> Table 13.2 specifies the sizes of predefined datatypes in "external32" format.

1

2

3

4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

27

28

29 30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

уре	Length	Optional Type	Length
IPI_PACKED	1	MPI_INTEGER1	1
IPI_BYTE	1	MPI_INTEGER2	2
IPI_CHAR	1	MPI_INTEGER4	4
PI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	1	MPI_INTEGER8	8
PI_SIGNED_CHAR	1	MPI_INTEGER16	16
PI_WCHAR	2		
PI_SHORT	2	MPI_REAL2	2
PI_UNSIGNED_SHORT	2	MPI_REAL4	4
PI_INT	4	MPI_REAL8	8
PI_UNSIGNED	4	MPI_REAL16	16
 PI_LONG	4	-	
_ PI_UNSIGNED_LONG	4	MPI_COMPLEX4	2*2
PI_LONG_LONG_INT	8	MPI_COMPLEX8	2*4
PI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG	8	MPI_COMPLEX16	2*8
PI_FLOAT	4	MPI_COMPLEX32	2*16
PI_DOUBLE	8		2.10
PI_LONG_DOUBLE	16		
PI_C_BOOL	1		
PI_INT8_T	1	C++ Types	Length
PI_INT16_T	2		
PI_INT32_T	4	MPI_CXX_BOOL	1
PI_INT64_T	8	MPI_CXX_FLOAT_COMPLEX	
 PI_UINT8_T	1	MPI_CXX_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	2*8
PI_UINT16_T	2	MPI_CXX_LONG_DOUBLE_COMP	
PI_UINT32_T	4		
PI_UINT64_T	8		
PI_AINT	8		
PI_COUNT	8		
PI_OFFSET	8		
PI_C_COMPLEX	2*4		
PI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX	2*4		
PI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	2*8		
PI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	2*16		
PI_CHARACTER	1		
PI_LOGICAL	4		
 PI_INTEGER	4		
PI_REAL	4		
PI_DOUBLE_PRECISION	8		
PI_COMPLEX	2*4		
PI_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	2*8		

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{28}$ 

1	13.7.3	User-Defined Data Represent	ations
2 3	There ar	e two situations that cannot b	e handled by the required representations:
4	1. a u	ser wants to write a file in a r	epresentation unknown to the implementation, and
5 6	2. a u	ser wants to read a file written	in a representation unknown to the implementation.
7			allow the user to insert a third party converter into
8		stream to do the data represen	
9 10			
11 12	MPI_RE	GISTER_DATAREP(datarep, re dtype_file_extent_fn, ex	ead_conversion_fn, write_conversion_fn, xtra_state)
13	IN	datarep	data representation identifier (string)
14 15 16	IN	read_conversion_fn	function invoked to convert from file representation to native representation (function)
17 18	IN	write_conversion_fn	function invoked to convert from native representation to file representation (function)
19 20	IN	dtype_file_extent_fn	function invoked to get the extent of a datatype as represented in the file (function)
21 22	IN	extra_state	extra state
23 24 25 26 27 28	int MPI	MPI_Datarep_convers	<pre>har *datarep, ion_function *read_conversion_fn, ion_function *write_conversion_fn, function *dtype_file_extent_fn,</pre>
29	MPI_Reg		ad_conversion_fn, write_conversion_fn,
30 31	СПУ	<pre>dtype_file_extent_f RACTER(LEN=*), INTENT(IN)</pre>	n, extra_state, ierror) :: datarep
32		CEDURE(MPI_Datarep_conver	-
33		-	sion_function) :: write_conversion_fn
34 35		CEDURE(MP1_Datarep_extent) EGER(KIND=MP1_ADDRESS_KIN	_function) :: dtype_file_extent_fn D), INTENT(IN) :: extra_state
36		EGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OU	
37 38	MPI_REG	ISTER_DATAREP(DATAREP, RE	AD_CONVERSION_FN, WRITE_CONVERSION_FN,
39			N, EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
40		RACTER*(*) DATAREP	WRITE_CONVERSION_FN, DTYPE_FILE_EXTENT_FN
41 42		EGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIN	
42	INT	EGER IERROR	
44	The	call associates read_conversion	on_fn, write_conversion_fn, and dtype_file_extent_fn
45			datarep. datarep can then be used as an argument
46 47		. –	sequent data access operations to call the conver- as accessed between file data representation and na-
48			DATAREP is a local operation and only registers the

data representation for the calling MPI process. If datarep is already defined, an error in the error class MPI\_ERR\_DUP\_DATAREP is raised using the default file error handler (see Section 13.9). The length of a data representation string is limited to the value of MPI\_MAX\_DATAREP\_STRING. MPI\_MAX\_DATAREP\_STRING must have a value of at least 64. No routines are provided to delete data representations and free the associated resources; it is not expected that an application will generate them in significant numbers.

# Extent Callback

The function dtype\_file\_extent\_fn must return, in file\_extent, the number of bytes required to store datatype in the file representation. The function is passed, in extra\_state, the argument that was passed to the MPI\_REGISTER\_DATAREP call. MPI will only call this routine with predefined datatypes employed by the user.

### Datarep Conversion Functions

```
typedef int MPI_Datarep_conversion_function(void *userbuf,
                                                                                  29
             MPI_Datatype datatype, int count, void *filebuf,
                                                                                  30
             MPI_Offset position, void *extra_state);
                                                                                  31
                                                                                  32
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                  33
 SUBROUTINE MPI_Datarep_conversion_function(userbuf, datatype, count,
                                                                                  34
 filebuf, position, extra_state, ierror)
                                                                                  35
      USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
                                                                                  36
      TYPE(C_PTR), VALUE :: userbuf, filebuf
                                                                                  37
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
                                                                                  38
      INTEGER :: count, ierror
                                                                                  39
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) :: position
                                                                                  40
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state
                                                                                  41
SUBROUTINE DATAREP_CONVERSION_FUNCTION(USERBUF, DATATYPE, COUNT, FILEBUF,
                                                                                  42
             POSITION, EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
                                                                                  43
                                                                                  44
    <TYPE> USERBUF(*), FILEBUF(*)
   INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR
                                                                                  45
   INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) POSITION
                                                                                  46
                                                                                  47
   INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
                                                                                  48
```

1 2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26 27

1	The function read_conversion_fn must convert from file data representation to na-
2	tive representation. Before calling this routine, MPI allocates and fills filebuf with count
3	contiguous data items. The type of each data item matches the corresponding entry for the
4 5	predefined datatype in the type signature of datatype. The function is passed, in extra_state,
6	the argument that was passed to the MPI_REGISTER_DATAREP call. The function must copy all count data items from filebuf to userbuf in the distribution described by datatype,
7	converting each data item from file representation to native representation. datatype will be
8	equivalent to the datatype that the user passed to the read function. If the size of datatype
9	is less than the size of the count data items, the conversion function must treat datatype
10	as being contiguously tiled over the userbuf. The conversion function must begin storing
11	converted data at the location in userbuf specified by position into the (tiled) datatype.
12	
13	Advice to users. Although the conversion functions have similarities to MPI_PACK
14	and MPI_UNPACK, one should note the differences in the use of the arguments count and position. In the conversion functions, count is a count of data items (i.e., count
15	of typemap entries of datatype), and position is an index into this typemap. In
16 17	MPI_PACK, incount refers to the number of whole datatypes, and position is a number
18	of bytes. (End of advice to users.)
19	
20	Advice to implementors. A converted read operation could be implemented as follows:
21	1. Get file extent of all data items
22	
23	2. Allocate a filebuf large enough to hold all count data items
24	3. Read data from file into filebuf
25	4. Call read_conversion_fn to convert data and place it into userbuf
26	5. Deallocate filebuf
27 28	(End of advice to implementors.)
28 29	(End of advice to implementors.)
30	If MPI cannot allocate a buffer large enough to hold all the data to be converted from
31	a read operation, it may call the conversion function repeatedly using the same datatype
32	and userbuf, and reading successive chunks of data to be converted in filebuf. For the first
33	call (and in the case when all the data to be converted fits into filebuf), MPI will call the
34	function with position set to zero. Data converted during this call will be stored in the
35	userbuf according to the first count data items in datatype. Then in subsequent calls to the
36	conversion function, MPI will increment the value in <b>position</b> by the <b>count</b> of items converted in the previous call, and the <b>userbuf</b> pointer will be unchanged.
37	in the previous can, and the userbut pointer will be unchanged.
$\frac{38}{39}$	Rationale. Passing the conversion function a position and one datatype for the
40	transfer allows the conversion function to decode the datatype only once and cache an
41	internal representation of it on the datatype. Then on subsequent calls, the conversion
42	function can use the position to quickly find its place in the datatype and continue
43	storing converted data where it left off at the end of the previous call. (End of
44	rationale.)
45	Advice to users. Although the conversion function may usefully cache an internal
46	representation on the datatype, it should not cache any state information specific to
47	an ongoing conversion operation, since it is possible for the same datatype to be used

<sup>47</sup> an ongoing conversion operation, since it is possible for the same datatype <sup>48</sup> concurrently in multiple conversion operations. (*End of advice to users.*) The function write\_conversion\_fn must convert from native representation to file data representation. Before calling this routine, MPI allocates filebuf of a size large enough to hold count contiguous data items. The type of each data item matches the corresponding entry for the predefined datatype in the type signature of datatype. The function must copy count data items from userbuf in the distribution described by datatype, to a contiguous distribution in filebuf, converting each data item from native representation to file representation. If the size of datatype is less than the size of count data items, the conversion function must treat datatype as being contiguously tiled over the userbuf.

The function must begin copying at the location in userbuf specified by position into the (tiled) datatype. datatype will be equivalent to the datatype that the user passed to the write function. The function is passed, in extra\_state, the argument that was passed to the MPI\_REGISTER\_DATAREP call.

The predefined constant MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL may be used as either write\_conversion\_fn or read\_conversion\_fn. In that case, MPI will not attempt to invoke write\_conversion\_fn or read\_conversion\_fn, respectively, but will perform the requested data access using the native data representation.

An MPI implementation must ensure that all data accessed is converted, either by using a filebuf large enough to hold all the requested data items or else by making repeated calls to the conversion function with the same datatype argument and appropriate values for position.

An implementation will only invoke the callback routines in this section ( read\_conversion\_fn, write\_conversion\_fn, and dtype\_file\_extent\_fn) when one of the read or write routines in Section 13.4, or MPI\_FILE\_GET\_TYPE\_EXTENT is called by the user. dtype\_file\_extent\_fn will only be passed predefined datatypes employed by the user. The conversion functions will only be passed datatypes equivalent to those that the user has passed to one of the routines noted above.

The conversion functions must be reentrant. User defined data representations are restricted to use byte alignment for all types. Furthermore, it is erroneous for the conversion functions to call any collective routines or to free datatype.

The conversion functions should return an error code. If the returned error code has a value other than MPI\_SUCCESS, the implementation will raise an error in the class MPI\_ERR\_CONVERSION.

### 13.7.4 Matching Data Representations

It is the user's responsibility to ensure that the data representation used to read data from a file is *compatible* with the data representation that was used to write that data to the file.

In general, using the same data representation name when writing and reading a file does not guarantee that the representation is compatible. Similarly, using different representation names on two different implementations may yield compatible representations.

Compatibility can be obtained when "external32" representation is used, although precision may be lost and the performance may be less than when "native" representation is used. Compatibility is guaranteed using "external32" provided at least one of the following conditions is met.

• The data access routines directly use types enumerated in Section 13.7.2, that are supported by all implementations participating in the I/O. The predefined type used to write a data item must also be used to read a data item.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

46

47

- In the case of Fortran 90 programs, the programs participating in the data accesses obtain compatible datatypes using MPI routines that specify precision and/or range (Section 17.1.9).
- For any given data item, the programs participating in the data accesses use compatible predefined types to write and read the data item.

User-defined data representations may be used to provide an implementation compatibility with another implementation's "native" or "internal" representation.

Advice to users. Section 17.1.9 defines routines that support the use of matching datatypes in heterogeneous environments and contains examples illustrating their use. (End of advice to users.)

- 13.8 Consistency and Semantics
- 17 13.8.1 File Consistency

18 Consistency semantics define the outcome of multiple accesses to a single file. All file 19accesses in MPI are relative to a specific file handle created from a collective open. MPI 20provides three levels of consistency: sequential consistency among all accesses using a single 21file handle, sequential consistency among all accesses using file handles created from a single 22collective open with atomic mode enabled, and user-imposed consistency among accesses 23other than the above. Sequential consistency means the behavior of a set of operations will  $^{24}$ be as if the operations were performed in some serial order consistent with program order; 25each access appears atomic, although the exact ordering of accesses is unspecified. User-26imposed consistency may be obtained using program order and calls to MPI\_FILE\_SYNC.

27Let  $FH_1$  be the set of file handles created from one particular collective open of the 28file FOO, and  $FH_2$  be the set of file handles created from a different collective open of 29FOO. Note that nothing restrictive is said about  $FH_1$  and  $FH_2$ : the sizes of  $FH_1$  and 30  $FH_2$  may be different, the groups of processes used for each open may or may not intersect,  $^{31}$ the file handles in  $FH_1$  may be destroyed before those in  $FH_2$  are created, etc. Consider 32 the following three cases: a single file handle (e.g.,  $fh_1 \in FH_1$ ), two file handles created 33 from a single collective open (e.g.,  $fh_{1a} \in FH_1$  and  $fh_{1b} \in FH_1$ ), and two file handles from 34different collective opens (e.g.,  $fh_1 \in FH_1$  and  $fh_2 \in FH_2$ ). 35

For the purpose of consistency semantics, a matched pair (Section 13.5.4) of split collective data access operations (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_READ\_ALL\_BEGIN and

 MPI\_FILE\_READ\_ALL\_END) compose a single data access operation. Similarly, a nonblocking data access routine (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_IREAD) and the routine which completes the request (e.g., MPI\_WAIT) also compose a single data access operation. For all cases below, these data access operations are subject to the same constraints as blocking data access operations.

Advice to users. For an MPI\_FILE\_IREAD and MPI\_WAIT pair, the operation begins when MPI\_FILE\_IREAD is called and ends when MPI\_WAIT returns. (*End of advice to users.*)

<sup>47</sup> Assume that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are two data access operations. Let  $D_1$  ( $D_2$ ) be the set of <sup>48</sup> absolute byte displacements of every byte accessed in  $A_1$  ( $A_2$ ). The two data accesses

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

36

43

44

45

overlap if  $D_1 \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ . The two data accesses *conflict* if they overlap and at least one is a write access.

Let  $SEQ_{fh}$  be a sequence of file operations on a single file handle, bracketed by MPI\_FILE\_SYNCs on that file handle. (Both opening and closing a file implicitly perform an MPI\_FILE\_SYNC.)  $SEQ_{fh}$  is a "write sequence" if any of the data access operations in the sequence are writes or if any of the file manipulation operations in the sequence change the state of the file (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE or MPI\_FILE\_PREALLOCATE). Given two sequences,  $SEQ_1$  and  $SEQ_2$ , we say they are not *concurrent* if one sequence is guaranteed to completely precede the other (temporally).

The requirements for guaranteeing sequential consistency among all accesses to a particular file are divided into the three cases given below. If any of these requirements are not met, then the value of all data in that file is implementation dependent.

Case 1:  $fh_1 \in FH_1$  All operations on  $fh_1$  are sequentially consistent if atomic mode is set. If nonatomic mode is set, then all operations on  $fh_1$  are sequentially consistent if they are either nonconcurrent, nonconflicting, or both.

Case 2:  $fh_{1a} \in FH_1$  and  $fh_{1b} \in FH_1$  Assume  $A_1$  is a data access operation using  $fh_{1a}$ , and  $A_2$  is a data access operation using  $fh_{1b}$ . If for any access  $A_1$ , there is no access  $A_2$ that conflicts with  $A_1$ , then MPI guarantees sequential consistency.

However, unlike POSIX semantics, the default MPI semantics for conflicting accesses do not guarantee sequential consistency. If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  conflict, sequential consistency can be guaranteed by either enabling atomic mode via the MPI\_FILE\_SET\_ATOMICITY routine, or meeting the condition described in Case 3 below.

Case 3:  $fh_1 \in FH_1$  and  $fh_2 \in FH_2$  Consider access to a single file using file handles from distinct collective opens. In order to guarantee sequential consistency, MPI\_FILE\_SYNC must be used (both opening and closing a file implicitly perform an MPI\_FILE\_SYNC).

Sequential consistency is guaranteed among accesses to a single file if for any write sequence  $SEQ_1$  to the file, there is no sequence  $SEQ_2$  to the file which is *concurrent* with  $SEQ_1$ . To guarantee sequential consistency when there are write sequences, MPI\_FILE\_SYNC must be used together with a mechanism that guarantees nonconcurrency of the sequences.

See the examples in Section 13.8.10 for further clarification of some of these consistency semantics.

38 MPI\_FILE\_SET\_ATOMICITY(fh, flag) 39 INOUT fh file handle (handle) 40 IN flag true to set atomic mode, false to set nonatomic mode 41 (logical) 4243 int MPI\_File\_set\_atomicity(MPI\_File fh, int flag) 4445MPI\_File\_set\_atomicity(fh, flag, ierror) 46TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh 47LOGICAL, INTENT(IN) :: flag 48

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27

 $^{28}$ 

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

1	INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I	NTENT(OUT) :: ierror
2 3	MPI_FILE_SET_ATOMICITY(F	H, FLAG, IERROR)
3 4	INTEGER FH, IERROR	
5	LOGICAL FLAG	
6	Let $FH$ be the set of f	ile handles created by one collective open. The consistency
7	-	perations using $FH$ is set by collectively calling
8		on <i>FH</i> . MPI_FILE_SET_ATOMICITY is collective; all pro-
9 10	<u> </u>	s identical values for fh and flag. If flag is true, atomic mode is
11	set; if flag is false, nonatomic	mode is set. cy semantics for an open file only affects new data accesses.
12		are guaranteed to abide by the consistency semantics in effect
13	-	locking data accesses and split collective operations that have
14	8	PI_WAIT) are only guaranteed to abide by nonatomic mode
15	consistency semantics.	
16		
17 18	-	Since the semantics guaranteed by atomic mode are stronger by nonatomic mode, an implementation is free to adhere to
19	0	mic mode semantics for outstanding requests. (End of advice
20	to implementors.)	the mode semantics for edistanding requests. (2700 of watter
21	· ,	
22		
23 24	MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY	(fh, flag)
24 25	IN fh	file handle (handle)
26		
	טוון דופס	true it atomic mode talse it nonatomic mode (logical)
27	OUT flag	true if atomic mode, false if nonatomic mode (logical)
27 28 29	U U	<pre>true if atomic mode, false if nonatomic mode (logical) ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag)</pre>
28	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f</pre>	ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror)
28 29 30 31	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE</pre>	ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh
28 29 30 31 32	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)</pre>	ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh :: flag
28 29 30 31 32 33	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE</pre>	ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh :: flag
28 29 30 31 32	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file mode is enabled; if flag is fals</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file mode is enabled; if flag is fals MPI_FILE_SYNC(fh)</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic se, nonatomic mode is enabled.</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file mode is enabled; if flag is fals MPI_FILE_SYNC(fh)</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh</pre>
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	<pre>int MPI_File_get_atomici MPI_File_get_atomicity(f     TYPE(MPI_File), INTE     LOGICAL, INTENT(OUT)     INTEGER, OPTIONAL, I MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY(F     INTEGER FH, IERROR     LOGICAL FLAG     MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMIC operations on the set of file mode is enabled; if flag is fals MPI_FILE_SYNC(fh) INOUT fh</pre>	<pre>ty(MPI_File fh, int *flag) h, flag, ierror) NT(IN) :: fh     :: flag NTENT(OUT) :: ierror H, FLAG, IERROR) CITY returns the current consistency semantics for data access handles created by one collective open. If flag is true, atomic se, nonatomic mode is enabled.     file handle (handle) le fh)</pre>

TYPE(MPI\_File), INTENT(IN) :: fh
INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror

```
MPI_FILE_SYNC(FH, IERROR)
INTEGER FH, IERROR
```

Calling MPI\_FILE\_SYNC with fh causes all previous writes to fh by the calling process to be transferred to the storage device. If other processes have made updates to the storage device, then all such updates become visible to subsequent reads of fh by the calling process. MPI\_FILE\_SYNC may be necessary to ensure sequential consistency in certain cases (see above).

MPI\_FILE\_SYNC is a collective operation.

The user is responsible for ensuring that all nonblocking requests and split collective operations on fh have been completed before calling MPI\_FILE\_SYNC — otherwise, the call to MPI\_FILE\_SYNC is erroneous.

#### 13.8.2 Random Access vs. Sequential Files

MPI distinguishes ordinary random access files from sequential stream files, such as pipes and tape files. Sequential stream files must be opened with the MPI\_MODE\_SEQUENTIAL flag set in the amode. For these files, the only permitted data access operations are shared file pointer reads and writes. Filetypes and etypes with holes are erroneous. In addition, the notion of file pointer is not meaningful; therefore, calls to MPI\_FILE\_SEEK\_SHARED and MPI\_FILE\_GET\_POSITION\_SHARED are erroneous, and the pointer update rules specified for the data access routines do not apply. The amount of data accessed by a data access operation will be the amount requested unless the end of file is reached or an error is raised.

*Rationale.* This implies that reading on a pipe will always wait until the requested amount of data is available or until the process writing to the pipe has issued an end of file. (*End of rationale.*)

Finally, for some sequential files, such as those corresponding to magnetic tapes or streaming network connections, writes to the file may be destructive. In other words, a write may act as a truncate (a MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE with size set to the current position) followed by the write.

#### 13.8.3 Progress

The progress rules of MPI are both a promise to users and a set of constraints on implementors. In cases where the progress rules restrict possible implementation choices more than the interface specification alone, the progress rules take precedence.

All blocking routines must complete in finite time unless an exceptional condition (such as resource exhaustion) causes an error.

Nonblocking data access routines inherit the following progress rule from nonblocking point to point communication: a nonblocking write is equivalent to a nonblocking send for which a receive is eventually posted, and a nonblocking read is equivalent to a nonblocking receive for which a send is eventually posted.

Finally, an implementation is free to delay progress of collective routines until all processes in the group associated with the collective call have invoked the routine. Once all

543

1

2

3

4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

processes in the group have invoked the routine, the progress rule of the equivalent noncol lective routine must be followed.

3 4

5

# 13.8.4 Collective File Operations

Collective file operations are subject to the same restrictions as collective communication
 operations. For a complete discussion, please refer to the semantics set forth in Section 5.13.
 Collective file operations are collective over a duplicate of the communicator used to
 open the file — this duplicate communicator is implicitly specified via the file handle ar gument. Different processes can pass different values for other arguments of a collective
 routine unless specified otherwise.

12 13

# 13.8.5 Type Matching

The type matching rules for I/O mimic the type matching rules for communication with one exception: if etype is MPI\_BYTE, then this matches any datatype in a data access operation. In general, the etype of data items written must match the etype used to read the items, and for each data access operation, the current etype must also match the type declaration of the data access buffer.

- 20
- 21 22

23

Advice to users. In most cases, use of MPI\_BYTE as a wild card will defeat the file interoperability features of MPI. File interoperability can only perform automatic conversion between heterogeneous data representations when the exact datatypes accessed are explicitly specified. (*End of advice to users.*)

24 25 26

# 13.8.6 Miscellaneous Clarifications

Once an I/O routine completes, it is safe to free any opaque objects passed as arguments to that routine. For example, the comm and info used in an MPI\_FILE\_OPEN, or the etype and filetype used in an MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW, can be freed without affecting access to the file. Note that for nonblocking routines and split collective operations, the operation must be completed before it is safe to reuse data buffers passed as arguments.

As in communication, datatypes must be committed before they can be used in file manipulation or data access operations. For example, the etype and filetype must be committed before calling MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW, and the datatype must be committed before calling MPI\_FILE\_READ or MPI\_FILE\_WRITE.

36 37

# 13.8.7 MPI\_Offset Type

<sup>38</sup> <sub>39</sub> MPI\_Offset is an integer type of size sufficient to represent the size (in bytes) of the largest file supported by MPI. Displacements and offsets are always specified as values of type <sub>41</sub> MPI\_Offset.

42 In Fortran, the corresponding integer is an integer with kind parameter

<sup>43</sup> MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND, which is defined in the mpi\_f08 module, the mpi module and the mpif.h <sup>44</sup> include file.

In Fortran 77 environments that do not support KIND parameters, MPI\_Offset arguments should be declared as an INTEGER of suitable size. The language interoperability implications for MPI\_Offset are similar to those for addresses (see Section 17.2).

## 13.8.8 Logical vs. Physical File Layout

MPI specifies how the data should be laid out in a virtual file structure (the view), not how that file structure is to be stored on one or more disks. Specification of the physical file structure was avoided because it is expected that the mapping of files to disks will be system specific, and any specific control over file layout would therefore restrict program portability. However, there are still cases where some information may be necessary to optimize file layout. This information can be provided as *hints* specified via info when a file is created (see Section 13.2.8).

# 13.8.9 File Size

The size of a file may be increased by writing to the file after the current end of file. The size may also be changed by calling MPI *size changing* routines, such as MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE. A call to a size changing routine does not necessarily change the file size. For example, calling MPI\_FILE\_PREALLOCATE with a size less than the current size does not change the size.

Consider a set of bytes that has been written to a file since the most recent call to a size changing routine, or since MPI\_FILE\_OPEN if no such routine has been called. Let the *high byte* be the byte in that set with the largest displacement. The file size is the larger of

- One plus the displacement of the high byte.
- The size immediately after the size changing routine, or MPI\_FILE\_OPEN, returned.

When applying consistency semantics, calls to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE and MPI\_FILE\_PREALLOCATE are considered writes to the file (which conflict with operations that access bytes at displacements between the old and new file sizes), and MPI\_FILE\_GET\_SIZE is considered a read of the file (which overlaps with all accesses to the file).

Advice to users. Any sequence of operations containing the collective routines MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE and MPI\_FILE\_PREALLOCATE is a write sequence. As such, sequential consistency in nonatomic mode is not guaranteed unless the conditions in Section 13.8.1 are satisfied. (*End of advice to users.*)

File pointer update semantics (i.e., file pointers are updated by the amount accessed) are only guaranteed if file size changes are sequentially consistent.

Advice to users. Consider the following example. Given two operations made by separate processes to a file containing 100 bytes: an MPI\_FILE\_READ of 10 bytes and an MPI\_FILE\_SET\_SIZE to 0 bytes. If the user does not enforce sequential consistency between these two operations, the file pointer may be updated by the amount requested (10 bytes) even if the amount accessed is zero bytes. (*End of advice to users.*)

## 13.8.10 Examples

The examples in this section illustrate the application of the MPI consistency and semantics guarantees. These address

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

- 546
  - conflicting accesses on file handles obtained from a single collective open, and
- $\frac{2}{3}$

1

• all accesses on file handles obtained from two separate collective opens.

The simplest way to achieve consistency for conflicting accesses is to obtain sequential consistency by setting atomic mode. For the code below, process 1 will read either 0 or 10 integers. If the latter, every element of b will be 5. If nonatomic mode is set, the results of the read are undefined.

```
9
     /* Process 0 */
10
     int i, a[10];
     int TRUE = 1;
11
12
     for ( i=0;i<10;i++)</pre>
13
14
        a[i] = 5;
15
16
     MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
17
                     MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh0 );
18
     MPI_File_set_view( fh0, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
19
     MPI_File_set_atomicity( fh0, TRUE ) ;
     MPI_File_write_at(fh0, 0, a, 10, MPI_INT, &status) ;
20
     /* MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ; */
21
22
     /* Process 1 */
23
     int b[10];
^{24}
     int TRUE = 1;
25
     MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
26
                     MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh1 );
27
     MPI_File_set_view( fh1, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
28
     MPI_File_set_atomicity( fh1, TRUE ) ;
29
     /* MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ; */
30
     MPI_File_read_at(fh1, 0, b, 10, MPI_INT, &status) ;
^{31}
32
     A user may guarantee that the write on process 0 precedes the read on process 1 by imposing
33
     temporal order with, for example, calls to MPI_BARRIER.
34
35
          Advice to users. Routines other than MPI_BARRIER may be used to impose temporal
36
          order. In the example above, process 0 could use MPI_SEND to send a 0 byte message,
37
          received by process 1 using MPI_RECV. (End of advice to users.)
38
39
         Alternatively, a user can impose consistency with nonatomic mode set:
40
41
     /* Process 0 */
42
     int i, a[10] ;
43
     for ( i=0;i<10;i++)</pre>
44
        a[i] = 5;
45
46
     MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
47
                      MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh0 );
48
     MPI_File_set_view( fh0, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
```

```
1
MPI_File_write_at(fh0, 0, a, 10, MPI_INT, &status ) ;
                                                                                     2
MPI_File_sync( fh0 ) ;
                                                                                     3
MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ;
MPI_File_sync( fh0 ) ;
                                                                                     4
                                                                                     5
/* Process 1 */
                                                                                     6
int b[10];
                                                                                     7
MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
                                                                                     8
                MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh1 );
                                                                                     9
MPI_File_set_view( fh1, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
                                                                                     10
MPI_File_sync( fh1 ) ;
                                                                                     11
MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ;
                                                                                     12
MPI_File_sync( fh1 ) ;
                                                                                     13
MPI_File_read_at(fh1, 0, b, 10, MPI_INT, &status ) ;
                                                                                     14
                                                                                     15
The "sync-barrier-sync" construct is required because:
                                                                                     16
                                                                                     17
   • The barrier ensures that the write on process 0 occurs before the read on process 1.
                                                                                     18
   • The first sync guarantees that the data written by all processes is transferred to the
                                                                                     19
     storage device.
                                                                                     20
                                                                                     21
   • The second sync guarantees that all data which has been transferred to the storage
                                                                                     22
     device is visible to all processes. (This does not affect process 0 in this example.)
                                                                                     23
    The following program represents an erroneous attempt to achieve consistency by elim-
                                                                                     24
inating the apparently superfluous second "sync" call for each process.
                                                                                     25
                                                                                     26
/* ----- THIS EXAMPLE IS ERRONEOUS ----- */
                                                                                     27
/* Process 0 */
                                                                                     28
int i, a[10];
                                                                                     29
for ( i=0;i<10;i++)</pre>
                                                                                     30
   a[i] = 5;
                                                                                     31
                                                                                     32
MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
                                                                                     33
                MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh0 );
                                                                                     34
MPI_File_set_view( fh0, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
                                                                                     35
MPI_File_write_at(fh0, 0, a, 10, MPI_INT, &status );
                                                                                     36
MPI_File_sync( fh0 ) ;
                                                                                     37
MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ;
                                                                                     38
                                                                                     39
/* Process 1 */
                                                                                     40
int b[10];
                                                                                     41
MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "workfile",
                                                                                     42
                MPI_MODE_RDWR | MPI_MODE_CREATE, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh1 );
MPI_File_set_view( fh1, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
                                                                                     43
                                                                                     44
MPI_Barrier( MPI_COMM_WORLD ) ;
                                                                                     45
MPI_File_sync( fh1 ) ;
                                                                                     46
MPI_File_read_at(fh1, 0, b, 10, MPI_INT, &status ) ;
                                                                                     47
                                                                                     48
/* ----- THIS EXAMPLE IS ERRONEOUS ------*/
```

Advice to users. Some implementations may choose to implement MPI\_FILE\_SYNC as a temporally synchronizing function. When using such an implementation, the "sync-barrier-sync" construct above can be replaced by a single "sync." The results of using such code with an implementation for which MPI\_FILE\_SYNC is not temporally synchronizing is undefined. (*End of advice to users.*)

```
<sup>10</sup> Asynchronous I/O
```

<sup>11</sup> The behavior of asynchronous I/O operations is determined by applying the rules specified above for synchronous I/O operations.

The following examples all access a preexisting file "myfile." Word 10 in myfile initially contains the integer 2. Each example writes and reads word 10.

First consider the following code fragment:

```
<sup>17</sup> int a = 4, b, TRUE=1;
```

```
<sup>18</sup> MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "myfile",
MPI_MODE_RDWR, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh );
<sup>20</sup> MPI_File_set_view( fh, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL );
<sup>21</sup> /* MPI_File_set_atomicity( fh, TRUE ); Use this to set atomic mode. */
<sup>22</sup> MPI_File_iwrite_at(fh, 10, &a, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[0]);
<sup>23</sup> MPI_File_iread_at(fh, 10, &b, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[1]);
<sup>24</sup> MPI_Waitall(2, reqs, statuses);
```

For asynchronous data access operations, MPI specifies that the access occurs at any time between the call to the asynchronous data access routine and the return from the corresponding request complete routine. Thus, executing either the read before the write, or the write before the read is consistent with program order. If atomic mode is set, then MPI guarantees sequential consistency, and the program will read either 2 or 4 into b. If atomic mode is not set, then sequential consistency is not guaranteed and the program may read something other than 2 or 4 due to the conflicting data access.

Similarly, the following code fragment does not order file accesses:

```
34
     int a = 4, b;
35
     MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "myfile",
36
                     MPI_MODE_RDWR, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh );
37
     MPI_File_set_view( fh, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
38
     /* MPI_File_set_atomicity( fh, TRUE ) ; Use this to set atomic mode. */
39
     MPI_File_iwrite_at(fh, 10, &a, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[0]) ;
40
     MPI_File_iread_at(fh, 10, &b, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[1]);
41
     MPI_Wait(&reqs[0], &status) ;
42
     MPI_Wait(&reqs[1], &status) ;
43
44
     If atomic mode is set, either 2 or 4 will be read into b. Again, MPI does not guarantee
45
     sequential consistency in nonatomic mode.
46
         On the other hand, the following code fragment:
```

1

 $^{2}$ 

3 4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8 9

16

33

```
1
int a = 4, b;
                                                                                         2
MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "myfile",
                                                                                         3
                 MPI_MODE_RDWR, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh );
MPI_File_set_view( fh, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
                                                                                         4
MPI_File_iwrite_at(fh, 10, &a, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[0]);
                                                                                         5
                                                                                         6
MPI_Wait(&reqs[0], &status) ;
                                                                                         7
MPI_File_iread_at(fh, 10, &b, 1, MPI_INT, &reqs[1]);
                                                                                         8
MPI_Wait(&reqs[1], &status) ;
                                                                                         9
defines the same ordering as:
                                                                                         10
                                                                                         11
int a = 4, b;
                                                                                         12
MPI_File_open( MPI_COMM_WORLD, "myfile",
                                                                                         13
                 MPI_MODE_RDWR, MPI_INFO_NULL, &fh );
                                                                                         14
MPI_File_set_view( fh, 0, MPI_INT, MPI_INT, "native", MPI_INFO_NULL ) ;
                                                                                         15
MPI_File_write_at(fh, 10, &a, 1, MPI_INT, &status ) ;
                                                                                         16
MPI_File_read_at(fh, 10, &b, 1, MPI_INT, &status ) ;
                                                                                         17
Since
                                                                                         18
                                                                                         19
   • nonconcurrent operations on a single file handle are sequentially consistent, and
                                                                                         20
                                                                                         21
   • the program fragments specify an order for the operations,
                                                                                         22
MPI guarantees that both program fragments will read the value 4 into b. There is no need
                                                                                         23
                                                                                         ^{24}
to set atomic mode for this example.
                                                                                         25
    Similar considerations apply to conflicting accesses of the form:
                                                                                         26
MPI_File_write_all_begin(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         27
MPI_File_iread(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         28
MPI_Wait(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         29
MPI_File_write_all_end(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         30
                                                                                         31
    Recall that constraints governing consistency and semantics are not relevant to the
                                                                                         32
following:
                                                                                         33
                                                                                         34
MPI_File_write_all_begin(fh,...) ;
MPI_File_read_all_begin(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         35
MPI_File_read_all_end(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         36
MPI_File_write_all_end(fh,...) ;
                                                                                         37
                                                                                         38
since split collective operations on the same file handle may not overlap (see Section 13.5.4).
                                                                                         39
                                                                                         40
                                                                                         41
       I/O Error Handling
13.9
                                                                                         42
By default, communication errors are fatal — MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL is the default error
                                                                                         43
handler associated with MPI_COMM_WORLD. I/O errors are usually less catastrophic (e.g.,
                                                                                         44
```

handler associated with MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. I/O errors are usually less catastrophic (e.g., "file not found") than communication errors, and common practice is to catch these errors and continue executing. For this reason, MPI provides additional error facilities for I/O.

47 48

45

Advice to users. MPI does not specify the state of a computation after an erroneous MPI call has occurred. A high-quality implementation will support the I/O error handling facilities, allowing users to write programs using common practice for I/O. (End of advice to users.)

Like communicators, each file handle has an error handler associated with it. The MPI I/O error handling routines are defined in Section 8.3.

<sup>8</sup> When MPI calls a user-defined error handler resulting from an error on a particular <sup>9</sup> file handle, the first two arguments passed to the file error handler are the file handle and <sup>10</sup> the error code. For I/O errors that are not associated with a valid file handle (e.g., in <sup>11</sup> MPI\_FILE\_OPEN or MPI\_FILE\_DELETE), the first argument passed to the error handler is <sup>12</sup> MPI\_FILE\_NULL.

I/O error handling differs from communication error handling in another important 13 aspect. By default, the predefined error handler for file handles is MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN. 14The default file error handler has two purposes: when a new file handle is created (by 1516MPI\_FILE\_OPEN), the error handler for the new file handle is initially set to the default 17error handler, and I/O routines that have no valid file handle on which to raise an error (e.g., MPI\_FILE\_OPEN or MPI\_FILE\_DELETE) use the default file error handler. The de-18 fault file error handler can be changed by specifying MPI\_FILE\_NULL as the fh argument 19to MPI\_FILE\_SET\_ERRHANDLER. The current value of the default file error handler can 20be determined by passing MPI\_FILE\_NULL as the fh argument to 21MPI\_FILE\_GET\_ERRHANDLER.

22 23

24

25

26

27

Rationale. For communication, the default error handler is inherited from

MPI\_COMM\_WORLD. In I/O, there is no analogous "root" file handle from which default properties can be inherited. Rather than invent a new global file handle, the default file error handler is manipulated as if it were attached to MPI\_FILE\_NULL. (*End* of rationale.)

28 29 30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

43

# 13.10 I/O Error Classes

The implementation dependent error codes returned by the I/O routines can be converted into the error classes defined in Table 13.3.

In addition, calls to routines in this chapter may raise errors in other MPI classes, such as MPI\_ERR\_TYPE.

# 13.11 Examples

13.11.1 Double Buffering with Split Collective I/O

This example shows how to overlap computation and output. The computation is performed
 by the function compute\_buffer().

1

2

3

4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

		10
MPI_ERR_FILE	Invalid file handle	11
MPI_ERR_NOT_SAME	Collective argument not identical on all	12
	processes, or collective routines called in	13
	a different order by different processes	14
MPI_ERR_AMODE	Error related to the <b>amode</b> passed to	15
	MPI_FILE_OPEN	16
MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_DATAREP	Unsupported datarep passed to	17
	MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW	18
MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_OPERATION	Unsupported operation, such as seeking on	19
	a file which supports sequential access only	20
MPI_ERR_NO_SUCH_FILE	File does not exist	21
MPI_ERR_FILE_EXISTS	File exists	22
MPI_ERR_BAD_FILE	Invalid file name (e.g., path name too long)	23
MPI_ERR_ACCESS	Permission denied	24
MPI_ERR_NO_SPACE	Not enough space	25
MPI_ERR_QUOTA	Quota exceeded	26
MPI_ERR_READ_ONLY	Read-only file or file system	27
MPI_ERR_FILE_IN_USE	File operation could not be completed, as	28
	the file is currently open by some process	29
MPI_ERR_DUP_DATAREP	Conversion functions could not be regis-	30
	tered because a data representation identi-	31
	fier that was already defined was passed to	32
	MPI_REGISTER_DATAREP	33
MPI_ERR_CONVERSION	An error occurred in a user supplied data	34
	conversion function.	35
MPI_ERR_IO	Other I/O error	36
		37
Table 13.3	3: I/O Error Classes	38
		39

```
1
     *
           void double_buffer(
\mathbf{2}
      *
                    MPI_File fh,
                                                             ** IN
3
      *
                    MPI_Datatype buftype,
                                                             ** IN
4
      *
                     int bufcount
                                                             ** IN
5
      *
            )
6
     *
7
     * Description:
8
            Performs the steps to overlap computation with a collective write
      *
9
            by using a double-buffering technique.
      *
10
      *
11
      * Parameters:
12
      *
           fh
                            previously opened MP1 file hand
MPI datatype for memory layout
                               previously opened MPI file handle
13
            buftype
     *
14
      *
                              (Assumes a compatible view has been set on fh)
15
                              # buftype elements to transfer
      *
            bufcount
16
      *-----*/
17
18
     /* this macro switches which buffer "x" is pointing to */
19
    #define TOGGLE_PTR(x) (((x)==(buffer1)) ? (x=buffer2) : (x=buffer1))
20
21
    void double_buffer( MPI_File fh, MPI_Datatype buftype, int bufcount)
22
     ſ
23
^{24}
       MPI_Status status; /* status for MPI calls */
25
       float *buffer1, *buffer2; /* buffers to hold results */
26
       float *compute_buf_ptr; /* destination buffer */
27
                                  /* for computing */
       float *write_buf_ptr; /* source for writing */
28
29
                                 /* determines when to quit */
       int done;
30
^{31}
       /* buffer initialization */
32
       buffer1 = (float *)
33
                          malloc(bufcount*sizeof(float)) ;
34
       buffer2 = (float *)
35
                          malloc(bufcount*sizeof(float)) ;
36
        compute_buf_ptr = buffer1 ; /* initially point to buffer1 */
37
       write_buf_ptr = buffer1 ; /* initially point to buffer1 */
38
39
40
        /* DOUBLE-BUFFER prolog:
41
             compute buffer1; then initiate writing buffer1 to disk
        *
42
        */
43
        compute_buffer(compute_buf_ptr, bufcount, &done);
44
       MPI_File_write_all_begin(fh, write_buf_ptr, bufcount, buftype);
45
       /* DOUBLE-BUFFER steady state:
46
47
        * Overlap writing old results from buffer pointed to by write_buf_ptr
48
        * with computing new results into buffer pointed to by compute_buf_ptr.
```

```
*
    *
       There is always one write-buffer and one compute-buffer in use
       during steady state.
    *
    */
  while (!done) {
      TOGGLE_PTR(compute_buf_ptr);
      compute_buffer(compute_buf_ptr, bufcount, &done);
      MPI_File_write_all_end(fh, write_buf_ptr, &status);
      TOGGLE_PTR(write_buf_ptr);
      MPI_File_write_all_begin(fh, write_buf_ptr, bufcount, buftype);
  }
   /* DOUBLE-BUFFER epilog:
    *
        wait for final write to complete.
    */
  MPI_File_write_all_end(fh, write_buf_ptr, &status);
  /* buffer cleanup */
  free(buffer1);
  free(buffer2);
}
```






Figure 13.4: Example array file layout

Assume we are writing out a 100x100 2D array of double precision floating point numbers that is distributed among 4 processes such that each process has a block of 25 columns (e.g., process 0 has columns 0–24, process 1 has columns 25–49, etc.; see Figure 13.4). To create the filetypes for each process one could use the following C program (see

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
                                        MPI_DOUBLE
                                                         Holes
10
11
                      Figure 13.5: Example local array filetype for process 1
12
13
     13.12
14
15
     4.1.3):
16
        double subarray[100][25];
17
        MPI_Datatype filetype;
18
        int sizes[2], subsizes[2], starts[2];
19
        int rank;
20
21
        MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank);
22
        sizes[0]=100; sizes[1]=100;
23
        subsizes[0]=100; subsizes[1]=25;
24
        starts[0]=0; starts[1]=rank*subsizes[1];
25
26
        MPI_Type_create_subarray(2, sizes, subsizes, starts, MPI_ORDER_C,
27
                                     MPI_DOUBLE, &filetype);
28
29
          Or, equivalently in Fortran:
30
             double precision subarray(100,25)
^{31}
             integer filetype, rank, ierror
32
             integer sizes(2), subsizes(2), starts(2)
33
34
             call MPI_COMM_RANK(MPI_COMM_WORLD, rank, ierror)
35
             sizes(1)=100
36
             sizes(2)=100
37
             subsizes(1)=100
38
             subsizes(2)=25
39
             starts(1)=0
40
             starts(2)=rank*subsizes(2)
41
42
             call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY(2, sizes, subsizes, starts, &
43
                         MPI_ORDER_FORTRAN, MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION,
                                                                              &
44
                         filetype, ierror)
45
46
          The generated filetype will then describe the portion of the file contained within the
```

<sup>46</sup> The generated filetype will then describe the portion of the file contained within the <sup>47</sup> process's subarray with holes for the space taken by the other processes. Figure 13.5 shows <sup>48</sup> the filetype created for process 1.

# Chapter 14

# **Tool Support**

# 14.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses interfaces that allow debuggers, performance analyzers, and other tools to extract information about the operation of MPI processes. Specifically, this chapter defines both the MPI profiling interface (Section 14.2), which supports the transparent interception and inspection of MPI calls, and the MPI tool information interface (Section 14.3), which supports the inspection and manipulation of MPI control and performance variables. The interfaces described in this chapter are all defined in the context of an MPI process, i.e., are callable from the same code that invokes other MPI functions.

# 14.2 Profiling Interface

#### 14.2.1 Requirements

To meet the requirements for the  $\mathsf{MPI}$  profiling interface, an implementation of the  $\mathsf{MPI}$  functions must

1. provide a mechanism through which all of the MPI defined functions, except those allowed as macros (See Section 2.6.4), may be accessed with a name shift. This requires, in C and Fortran, an alternate entry point name, with the prefix PMPI\_ for each MPI function in each provided language binding and language support method. For routines implemented as macros, it is still required that the PMPI\_ version be supplied and work as expected, but it is not possible to replace at link time the MPI\_ version with a user-defined version.

For Fortran, the different support methods cause several specific procedure names. Therefore, several profiling routines (with these specific procedure names) are needed for each Fortran MPI routine, as described in Section 17.1.5.

- 2. ensure that those MPI functions that are not replaced may still be linked into an executable image without causing name clashes.
- 3. document the implementation of different language bindings of the MPI interface if they are layered on top of each other, so that the profiler developer knows whether she must implement the profile interface for each binding, or can economize by implementing it only for the lowest level routines.

4. where the implementation of different language bindings is done through a layered approach (e.g., the Fortran binding is a set of "wrapper" functions that call the C implementation), ensure that these wrapper functions are separable from the rest of the library.

This separability is necessary to allow a separate profiling library to be correctly implemented, since (at least with Unix linker semantics) the profiling library must contain these wrapper functions if it is to perform as expected. This requirement allows the person who builds the profiling library to extract these functions from the original MPI library and add them into the profiling library without bringing along any other unnecessary code.

11 12

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14.2.2 Discussion

The objective of the MPI profiling interface is to ensure that it is relatively easy for authors of profiling (and other similar) tools to interface their codes to MPI implementations on different machines.

5. provide a no-op routine MPI\_PCONTROL in the MPI library.

Since MPI is a machine independent standard with many different implementations, it is unreasonable to expect that the authors of profiling tools for MPI will have access to the source code that implements MPI on any particular machine. It is therefore necessary to provide a mechanism by which the implementors of such tools can collect whatever performance information they wish *without* access to the underlying implementation.

We believe that having such an interface is important if MPI is to be attractive to end users, since the availability of many different tools will be a significant factor in attracting users to the MPI standard.

The profiling interface is just that, an interface. It says *nothing* about the way in which it is used. There is therefore no attempt to lay down what information is collected through the interface, or how the collected information is saved, filtered, or displayed.

While the initial impetus for the development of this interface arose from the desire to permit the implementation of profiling tools, it is clear that an interface like that specified may also prove useful for other purposes, such as "internetworking" multiple MPI implementations. Since all that is defined is an interface, there is no objection to its being used wherever it is useful.

As the issues being addressed here are intimately tied up with the way in which executable images are built, which may differ greatly on different machines, the examples given below should be treated solely as one way of implementing the objective of the MPI profiling interface. The actual requirements made of an implementation are those detailed in the Requirements section above, the whole of the rest of this section is only present as justification and discussion of the logic for those requirements.

The examples below show one way in which an implementation could be constructed to meet the requirements on a Unix system (there are doubtless others that would be equally valid).

<sup>45</sup> 14.2.3 Logic of the Design

44

<sup>46</sup>
 <sup>47</sup>
 <sup>47</sup>
 <sup>48</sup>
 <sup>48</sup>
 <sup>46</sup> Provided that an MPI implementation meets the requirements above, it is possible for the implementor of the profiling system to intercept the MPI calls that are made by the

user program. She can then collect whatever information she requires before calling the underlying MPI implementation (through its name shifted entry points) to achieve the desired effects.

# 14.2.4 Miscellaneous Control of Profiling

There is a clear requirement for the user code to be able to control the profiler dynamically at run time. This capability is normally used for (at least) the purposes of

- Enabling and disabling profiling depending on the state of the calculation.
- Flushing trace buffers at non-critical points in the calculation.
- Adding user events to a trace file.

These requirements are met by use of MPI\_PCONTROL.

```
MPI_PCONTROL(level, ...)
```

IN level Profiling level (integer)

```
int MPI_Pcontrol(const int level, ...)
```

MPI\_Pcontrol(level)
 INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: level

```
MPI_PCONTROL(LEVEL)
INTEGER LEVEL
```

MPI libraries themselves make no use of this routine, and simply return immediately to the user code. However the presence of calls to this routine allows a profiling package to be explicitly called by the user.

Since MPI has no control of the implementation of the profiling code, we are unable to specify precisely the semantics that will be provided by calls to MPI\_PCONTROL. This vagueness extends to the number of arguments to the function, and their datatypes.

However to provide some level of portability of user codes to different profiling libraries, we request the following meanings for certain values of level.

- level==0 Profiling is disabled.
  level==1 Profiling is enabled at a normal default level of detail.
  level==2 Profile buffers are flushed, which may be a no-op in some profilers.
- All other values of level have profile library defined effects and additional arguments.

We also request that the default state after MPI\_INIT has been called is for profiling to be enabled at the normal default level. (i.e., as if MPI\_PCONTROL had just been called with the argument 1). This allows users to link with a profiling library and to obtain profile output without having to modify their source code at all.

The provision of MPI\_PCONTROL as a no-op in the standard MPI library supports the collection of more detailed profiling information with source code that can still link against the standard MPI library. 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

# 14.2.5 Profiler Implementation Example

A profiler can accumulate the total amount of data sent by the MPI\_SEND function, along with the total elapsed time spent in the function as the following example shows:

```
\mathbf{5}
     Example 14.1
6
7
     static int totalBytes = 0;
     static double totalTime = 0.0;
8
9
10
     int MPI_Send(const void* buffer, int count, MPI_Datatype datatype,
11
                    int dest, int tag, MPI_Comm comm)
12
     {
                                                /* Pass on all arguments */
13
         double tstart = MPI_Wtime();
14
         int extent;
15
                         = PMPI_Send(buffer,count,datatype,dest,tag,comm);
         int result
16
17
         totalTime += MPI_Wtime() - tstart;
                                                           /* and time
                                                                                   */
18
19
         MPI_Type_size(datatype, &extent); /* Compute size */
20
         totalBytes += count*extent;
21
22
         return result;
23
     }
^{24}
25
             MPI Library Implementation Example
     14.2.6
26
     If the MPI library is implemented in C on a Unix system, then there are various options,
27
     including the two presented here, for supporting the name-shift requirement. The choice
28
     between these two options depends partly on whether the linker and compiler support weak
29
     symbols.
30
^{31}
     Systems with Weak Symbols
32
33
     If the compiler and linker support weak external symbols (e.g., Solaris 2.x, other System
34
     V.4 machines), then only a single library is required as the following example shows:
35
36
     Example 14.2
37
     #pragma weak MPI_Example = PMPI_Example
38
39
     int PMPI_Example(/* appropriate args */)
40
     {
41
          /* Useful content */
42
     }
43
44
          The effect of this #pragma is to define the external symbol MPI_Example as a weak
```

<sup>45</sup> definition. This means that the linker will not complain if there is another definition of the <sup>46</sup> symbol (for instance in the profiling library); however if no other definition exists, then the <sup>47</sup> linker will use the weak definition.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

### Systems Without Weak Symbols

In the absence of weak symbols then one possible solution would be to use the C macro preprocessor as the following example shows:

# Example 14.3

```
#ifdef PROFILELIB
# ifdef __STDC__
# define FUNCTION(name) P##name
# else
# define FUNCTION(name) P/**/name
# endif
#else
# define FUNCTION(name) name
#endif
```

Each of the user visible functions in the library would then be declared thus

```
int FUNCTION(MPI_Example)(/* appropriate args */)
{
```

/\* Useful content \*/
}

The same source file can then be compiled to produce both versions of the library, depending on the state of the PROFILELIB macro symbol.

It is required that the standard MPI library be built in such a way that the inclusion of MPI functions can be achieved one at a time. This is a somewhat unpleasant requirement, since it may mean that each external function has to be compiled from a separate file. However this is necessary so that the author of the profiling library need only define those MPI functions that she wishes to intercept, references to any others being fulfilled by the normal MPI library. Therefore the link step can look something like this

# % cc ... -lmyprof -lpmpi -lmpi

Here libmyprof.a contains the profiler functions that intercept some of the MPI functions, libpmpi.a contains the "name shifted" MPI functions, and libmpi.a contains the normal definitions of the MPI functions.

# 14.2.7 Complications

# Multiple Counting

Since parts of the MPI library may themselves be implemented using more basic MPI func-tions (e.g., a portable implementation of the collective operations implemented using point to point communications), there is potential for profiling functions to be called from within an MPI function that was called from a profiling function. This could lead to "double counting" of the time spent in the inner routine. Since this effect could actually be useful under some circumstances (e.g., it might allow one to answer the question "How much time is spent in the point to point routines when they are called from collective functions?"), we have decided not to enforce any restrictions on the author of the MPI library that would

 $\frac{4}{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

overcome this. Therefore the author of the profiling library should be aware of this problem,
 and guard against it. In a single-threaded world this is easily achieved through use of a
 static variable in the profiling code that remembers if you are already inside a profiling
 routine. It becomes more complex in a multi-threaded environment (as does the meaning
 of the times recorded).

# Linker Oddities

6 7

The Unix linker traditionally operates in one pass: the effect of this is that functions from libraries are only included in the image if they are needed at the time the library is scanned. When combined with weak symbols, or multiple definitions of the same function, this can cause odd (and unexpected) effects.

Consider, for instance, an implementation of MPI in which the Fortran binding is 13 achieved by using wrapper functions on top of the C implementation. The author of the 14profile library then assumes that it is reasonable only to provide profile functions for the C 15binding, since Fortran will eventually call these, and the cost of the wrappers is assumed 16to be small. However, if the wrapper functions are not in the profiling library, then none 17of the profiled entry points will be undefined when the profiling library is called. Therefore 18 none of the profiling code will be included in the image. When the standard MPI library 19is scanned, the Fortran wrappers will be resolved, and will also pull in the base versions of 20the MPI functions. The overall effect is that the code will link successfully, but will not be 21profiled. 22

To overcome this we must ensure that the Fortran wrapper functions are included in the profiling version of the library. We ensure that this is possible by requiring that these be separable from the rest of the base MPI library. This allows them to be copied out of the base library and into the profiling one using a tool such as **ar**.

27

# <sup>28</sup> Fortran Support Methods

The different Fortran support methods and possible options for the support of subarrays (depending on whether the compiler can support TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) choice buffers) imply different specific procedure names for the same Fortran MPI routine. The rules and implications for the profiling interface are described in Section 17.1.5.

 $\frac{34}{35}$ 

# 14.2.8 Multiple Levels of Interception

The scheme given here does not directly support the nesting of profiling functions, since it provides only a single alternative name for each MPI function. Consideration was given to an implementation that would allow multiple levels of call interception, however we were unable to construct an implementation of this that did not have the following disadvantages

40 41

42

43

- assuming a particular implementation language,
- imposing a run time cost even when no profiling was taking place.

Since one of the objectives of MPI is to permit efficient, low latency implementations, and
 it is not the business of a standard to require a particular implementation language, we
 decided to accept the scheme outlined above.

<sup>47</sup> Note, however, that it is possible to use the scheme above to implement a multi-level
 <sup>48</sup> system, since the function called by the user may call many different profiling functions

before calling the underlying MPI function. This capability has been demonstrated in the  $P^N$ MPI tool infrastructure [51].

# 14.3 The MPI Tool Information Interface

MPI implementations often use internal variables to control their operation and performance. Understanding and manipulating these variables can provide a more efficient execution environment or improve performance for many applications. This section describes the MPI tool information interface, which provides a mechanism for MPI implementors to expose variables, each of which represents a particular property, setting, or performance measurement from within the MPI implementation. The interface is split into two parts: the first part provides information about and supports the setting of control variables through which the MPI implementation tunes its configuration. The second part provides access to performance variables that can provide insight into internal performance information of the MPI implementation.

To avoid restrictions on the MPI implementation, the MPI tool information interface allows the implementation to specify which control and performance variables exist. Additionally, the user of the MPI tool information interface can obtain metadata about each available variable, such as its datatype, and a textual description. The MPI tool information interface provides the necessary routines to find all variables that exist in a particular MPI implementation, to query their properties, to retrieve descriptions about their meaning, and to access and, if appropriate, to alter their values.

Variables and categories across connected processes with equivalent names are required to have the same meaning (see the definition of "equivalent" as related to strings in Section 14.3.3). Furthermore, enumerations with equivalent names across connected processes are required to have the same meaning, but are allowed to comprise different enumeration items. Enumeration items that have equivalent names across connected processes in enumerations with the same meaning must also have the same meaning. In order for variables and categories to have the same meaning, routines in the tools information interface that return details for those variables and categories have requirements on what parameters must be identical. These requirements are specified in their respective sections.

*Rationale.* The intent of requiring the same meaning for entities with equivalent names is to enforce consistency across connected processes. For example, variables describing the number of packets sent on different types of network devices should have different names to reflect their potentially different meanings. (*End of rationale.*)

The MPI tool information interface can be used independently from the MPI communication functionality. In particular, the routines of this interface can be called before MPI\_INIT (or equivalent) and after MPI\_FINALIZE. In order to support this behavior cleanly, the MPI tool information interface uses separate initialization and finalization routines. All identifiers used in the MPI tool information interface have the prefix MPI\_T\_.

On success, all MPI tool information interface routines return MPI\_SUCCESS, otherwise they return an appropriate and unique return code indicating the reason why the call was not successfully completed. Details on return codes can be found in Section 14.3.9. However, unsuccessful calls to the MPI tool information interface are not fatal and do not impact the execution of subsequent MPI routines.

1 2

3 4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

1 Since the MPI tool information interface primarily focuses on tools and support li- $\mathbf{2}$ braries, MPI implementations are only required to provide C bindings for functions and 3 constants introduced in this section. Except where otherwise noted, all conventions and 4 principles governing the C bindings of the MPI API also apply to the MPI tool information  $\mathbf{5}$ interface, which is available by including the mpi.h header file. All routines in this interface 6 have local semantics.

The number and type of control variables and performance variables Advice to users. can vary between MPI implementations, platforms and different builds of the same implementation on the same platform as well as between runs. Hence, any application relying on a particular variable will not be portable. Further, there is no guarantee that the number of variables and variable indices are the same across connected processes.

This interface is primarily intended for performance monitoring tools, support tools, and libraries controlling the application's environment. When maximum portability is desired, application programmers should either avoid using the MPI tool information interface or avoid being dependent on the existence of a particular control or performance variable. (End of advice to users.)

14.3.1 Verbosity Levels

The MPI tool information interface provides access to internal configuration and perfor-22 mance information through a set of control and performance variables defined by the MPI 23 $^{24}$ implementation. Since some implementations may export a large number of variables, 25variables are classified by a verbosity level that categorizes both their intended audience 26(end users, performance tuners or MPI implementors) and a relative measure of level of detail (basic, detailed or all). These verbosity levels are described by a single integer. 27Table 14.1 lists the constants for all possible verbosity levels. The values of the con-28stants are monotonic in the order listed in the table; i.e., MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_USER\_BASIC 29 < MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_USER\_DETAIL < ... < MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_MPIDEV\_ALL. 30

31		
32	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_BASIC	Basic information of interest to users
33	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_DETAIL	Detailed information of interest to users
34	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_ALL	All remaining information of interest to users
35	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNER_BASIC	Basic information required for tuning
36	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNER_DETAIL	Detailed information required for tuning
37	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNER_ALL	All remaining information required for tuning
38	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPIDEV_BASIC	Basic information for MPI implementors
39	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPIDEV_DETAIL	Detailed information for MPI implementors
40	MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPIDEV_ALL	All remaining information for MPI implementors

Table 14.1: MPI tool information interface verbosity levels

#### Binding MPI Tool Information Interface Variables to MPI Objects 14.3.2

47Each MPI tool information interface variable provides access to a particular control setting or performance property of the MPI implementation. A variable may refer to a specific 48

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

43 4445

MPI object such as a communicator, datatype, or one-sided communication window, or the variable may refer more generally to the MPI environment of the process. Except for the last case, the variable must be bound to exactly one MPI object before it can be used. Table 14.2 lists all MPI object types to which an MPI tool information interface variable can be bound, together with the matching constant that MPI tool information interface routines return to identify the object type.

Constant	MPI object
MPI_T_BIND_NO_OBJECT	N/A; applies globally to entire MPI process
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_COMM	MPI communicators
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_DATATYPE	MPI datatypes
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_ERRHANDLER	MPI error handlers
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_FILE	MPI file handles
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_GROUP	MPI groups
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_OP	MPI reduction operators
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_REQUEST	MPI requests
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_WIN	MPI windows for one-sided communication
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_MESSAGE	MPI message object
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_INFO	MPI info object

## Table 14.2: Constants to identify associations of variables

*Rationale.* Some variables have meanings tied to a specific MPI object. Examples include the number of send or receive operations that use a particular datatype, the number of times a particular error handler has been called, or the communication protocol and "eager limit" used for a particular communicator. Creating a new MPI tool information interface variable for each MPI object would cause the number of variables to grow without bound, since they cannot be reused to avoid naming conflicts. By associating MPI tool information interface variables with a specific MPI object, the MPI implementation only must specify and maintain a single variable, which can then be applied to as many MPI objects of the respective type as created during the program's execution. (*End of rationale.*)

# 14.3.3 Convention for Returning Strings

Several MPI tool information interface functions return one or more strings. These functions have two arguments for each string to be returned: an OUT parameter that identifies a pointer to the buffer in which the string will be returned, and an IN/OUT parameter to pass the length of the buffer. The user is responsible for the memory allocation of the buffer and must pass the size of the buffer (n) as the length argument. Let n be the length value specified to the function. On return, the function writes at most n-1 of the string's characters into the buffer, followed by a null terminator. If the returned string's length is greater than or equal to n, the string will be truncated to n-1 characters. In this case, the length of the string plus one (for the terminating null character) is returned in the length argument. If the user passes the null pointer as the buffer argument or passes 0 as the length argument, the function does not return the string and only returns the length of the string plus one in the length argument. If the user passes the null pointer as the length argument, the buffer argument is ignored and nothing is returned.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

<sup>1</sup> MPI implementations behave as if they have an internal character array that is copied <sup>2</sup> to the output character array supplied by the user. Such output strings are defined to <sup>3</sup> be equivalent if their notional source-internal character arrays are identical (up to and <sup>4</sup> including the null terminator), even if the output string is truncated due to a small input <sup>5</sup> length parameter n.

14.3.4 Initialization and Finalization

The MPI tool information interface requires a separate set of initialization and finalization routines.

10 11 12

13 14

6 7

8

9

MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD(required, provided)

IN	required	desired level of thread support (integer)
OUT	provided	provided level of thread support (integer)

15 16 17

18

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

int MPI\_T\_init\_thread(int required, int \*provided)

All programs or tools that use the MPI tool information interface must initialize the 19MPI tool information interface in the processes that will use the interface before calling 20any other of its routines. A user can initialize the MPI tool information interface by calling 21MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD, which can be called multiple times. In addition, this routine initial-22izes the thread environment for all routines in the MPI tool information interface. Calling 23this routine when the MPI tool information interface is already initialized has no effect  $^{24}$ beyond increasing the reference count of how often the interface has been initialized. The 25argument required is used to specify the desired level of thread support. The possible values 26and their semantics are identical to the ones that can be used with MPI\_INIT\_THREAD 27listed in Section 12.4. The call returns in provided information about the actual level of 28thread support that will be provided by the MPI implementation for calls to MPI tool 29information interface routines. It can be one of the four values listed in Section 12.4. 30

The MPI specification does not require all MPI processes to exist before the call to MPI\_INIT. If the MPI tool information interface is used before MPI\_INIT has been called, the user is responsible for ensuring that the MPI tool information interface is initialized on all processes it is used in. Processes created by the MPI implementation during MPI\_INIT inherit the status of the MPI tool information interface (whether it is initialized or not as well as all active sessions and handles) from the process from which they are created.

Processes created at runtime as a result of calls to MPI's dynamic process management require their own initialization before they can use the MPI tool information interface.

Advice to users. If MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD is called before MPI\_INIT\_THREAD, the requested and granted thread level for MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD may influence the behavior and return value of MPI\_INIT\_THREAD. The same is true for the reverse order. (*End of advice to users.*)

Advice to implementors. MPI implementations should strive to make as many control
 or performance variables available before MPI\_INIT (instead of adding them within
 MPI\_INIT) to allow tools the most flexibility. In particular, control variables should
 be available before MPI\_INIT if their value cannot be changed after MPI\_INIT. (End
 of advice to implementors.)

#### MPI\_T\_FINALIZE()

#### int MPI\_T\_finalize(void)

This routine finalizes the use of the MPI tool information interface and may be called as often as the corresponding MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD routine up to the current point of execution. Calling it more times returns a corresponding error code. As long as the number of calls to MPI\_T\_FINALIZE is smaller than the number of calls to MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD up to the current point of execution, the MPI tool information interface remains initialized and calls to its routines are permissible. Further, additional calls to MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD after one or more calls to MPI\_T\_FINALIZE are permissible.

Once MPI\_T\_FINALIZE is called the same number of times as the routine MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD up to the current point of execution, the MPI tool information interface is no longer initialized. The interface can be reinitialized by subsequent calls to MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD.

At the end of the program execution, unless MPI\_ABORT is called, an application must have called MPI\_T\_INIT\_THREAD and MPI\_T\_FINALIZE an equal number of times.

### 14.3.5 Datatype System

All variables managed through the MPI tool information interface represent their values through typed buffers of a given length and type using an MPI datatype (similar to regular send/receive buffers). Since the initialization of the MPI tool information interface is separate from the initialization of MPI, MPI tool information interface routines can be called before MPI\_INIT. Consequently, these routines can also use MPI datatypes before MPI\_INIT. Therefore, within the context of the MPI tool information interface, it is permissible to use a subset of MPI datatypes as specified below before a call to MPI\_INIT (or equivalent).

MPI_INT
MPI_UNSIGNED
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG
MPI_COUNT
MPI_CHAR
MPI_DOUBLE

Table 14.3: MPI datatypes that can be used by the MPI tool information interface

*Rationale.* The MPI tool information interface relies mainly on unsigned datatypes for integer values since most variables are expected to represent counters or resource sizes. MPI\_INT is provided for additional flexibility and is expected to be used mainly for control variables and enumeration types (see below).

Providing all basic datatypes, in particular providing all signed and unsigned variants of integer types, would lead to a larger number of types, which tools need to interpret. This would cause unnecessary complexity in the implementation of tools based on the MPI tool information interface. (*End of rationale.*)

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

The MPI tool information interface only relies on a subset of the basic MPI datatypes and does not use any derived MPI datatypes. Table 14.3 lists all MPI datatypes that can be returned by the MPI tool information interface to represent its variables. The use of the datatype MPI\_CHAR in the MPI tool information interface implies a null-

The use of the datatype MPI\_CHAR in the MPI tool information interface implies a null terminated character array, i.e., a string in the C language. If a variable has type MPI\_CHAR,
 the value of the count parameter returned by MPI\_T\_CVAR\_HANDLE\_ALLOC and
 MPI\_T\_PVAR\_HANDLE\_ALLOC must be large enough to include any valid value, including

<sup>8</sup> its terminating null character. The contents of returned MPI\_CHAR arrays are only defined
 <sup>9</sup> from index 0 through the location of the first null character.

Rationale. The MPI tool information interface requires a significantly simpler type system than MPI itself. Therefore, only its required subset must be present before MPI\_INIT (or equivalent) and MPI implementations do not need to initialize the complete MPI datatype system. (*End of rationale.*)

For variables of type MPI\_INT, an MPI implementation can provide additional infor-16mation by associating names with a fixed number of values. We refer to this information 17in the following as an enumeration. In this case, the respective calls that provide addi-18 tional metadata for each control or performance variable, i.e., MPI\_T\_CVAR\_GET\_INFO 19(Section 14.3.6) and MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO (Section 14.3.7), return a handle of type 20MPI\_T\_enum that can be passed to the following functions to extract additional informa-21tion. Thus, the MPI implementation can describe variables with a fixed set of values that 22each represents a particular state. Each enumeration type can have N different values, with 23a fixed N that can be queried using  $MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO$ .  $^{24}$ 

25 26

27

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

48

MPI\_T\_ENUM\_GET\_INFO(enumtype, num, name, name\_len)

28	IN	enumtype	enumeration to be queried (handle)
29 30 31	OUT	num	number of discrete values represented by this enumer- ation (integer)
31 32 33	OUT	name	buffer to return the string containing the name of the enumeration (string)
34 35	INOUT	name_len	length of the string and/or buffer for $name$ (integer)

# int MPI\_T\_enum\_get\_info(MPI\_T\_enum enumtype, int \*num, char \*name, int \*name\_len)

If enumtype is a valid enumeration, this routine returns the number of items represented by this enumeration type as well as its name. N must be greater than 0, i.e., the enumeration must represent at least one value.

The arguments name and name\_len are used to return the name of the enumeration as described in Section 14.3.3.

The routine is required to return a name of at least length one. This name must be unique with respect to all other names for enumerations that the MPI implementation uses. Names associated with individual values in each enumeration enumtype can be queried

<sup>46</sup> In the associated with multi-<sup>47</sup> using MPI\_T\_ENUM\_GET\_ITEM.

566

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

11

12

13

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
IN	enumtype	enumeration to be queried (handle)	
IN	index	number of the value to be queried in this enumeration (integer)	
OUT	value	variable value (integer)	
OUT	name	buffer to return the string containing the name of the enumeration item (string)	
INOUT	name_len	length of the string and/or buffer for name (integer) $% \left( {{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{{}}}} \right]}}}} \right.$	1
			1

#### MPI\_T\_ENUM\_GET\_ITEM(enumtype, index, value, name, name\_len)

# 

The arguments name and name\_len are used to return the name of the enumeration item as described in Section 14.3.3.

If completed successfully, the routine returns the name/value pair that describes the enumeration at the specified index. The call is further required to return a name of at least length one. This name must be unique with respect to all other names of items for the same enumeration.

# 14.3.6 Control Variables

The routines described in this section of the MPI tool information interface specification focus on the ability to list, query, and possibly set control variables exposed by the MPI implementation. These variables can typically be used by the user to fine tune properties and configuration settings of the MPI implementation. On many systems, such variables can be set using environment variables, although other configuration mechanisms may be available, such as configuration files or central configuration registries. A typical example that is available in several existing MPI implementations is the ability to specify an "eager limit," i.e., an upper bound on the size of messages sent or received using an eager protocol.

#### Control Variable Query Functions

An MPI implementation exports a set of N control variables through the MPI tool information interface. If N is zero, then the MPI implementation does not export any control variables, otherwise the provided control variables are indexed from 0 to N-1. This index number is used in subsequent calls to identify the individual variables.

An MPI implementation is allowed to increase the number of control variables during the execution of an MPI application when new variables become available through dynamic loading. However, MPI implementations are not allowed to change the index of a control variable or to delete a variable once it has been added to the set. When a variable becomes inactive, e.g., through dynamic unloading, accessing its value should return a corresponding error code.

Advice to users. While the MPI tool information interface guarantees that indices or variable properties do not change during a particular run of an MPI program, it does not provide a similar guarantee between runs. (*End of advice to users.*)

 $^{24}$ 

The f	ollowing function can	be used to query the number of control variables, $num\_cvar$
MPI_T_C	VAR_GET_NUM(num.	_cvar)
OUT	num_cvar	returns number of control variables (integer)
int MPI_	[_cvar_get_num(int	*num_cvar)
The f each varia		$R_GET_INFO$ provides access to additional information for
MPI_T_C	VAR_GET_INFO(cvar_ desc_len, bind, s	_index, name, name_len, verbosity, datatype, enumtype, desc, scope)
IN	cvar_index	index of the control variable to be queried, value be- tween 0 and $num\_cvar - 1$ (integer)
OUT	name	buffer to return the string containing the name of the control variable (string)
INOUT	name_len	length of the string and/or buffer for name (integer)
OUT	verbosity	verbosity level of this variable (integer)
OUT	datatype	MPI datatype of the information stored in the control variable (handle)
OUT	enumtype	optional descriptor for enumeration information (han- dle)
OUT	desc	buffer to return the string containing a description of the control variable (string)
INOUT	desc_len	length of the string and/or buffer for $desc$ (integer)
OUT	bind	type of MPI object to which this variable must be bound (integer)
OUT	scope	scope of when changes to this variable are possible (integer)
int MPI_	*verbosity, M	t cvar_index, char *name, int *name_len, int NPI_Datatype *datatype, MPI_T_enum *enumtype, char Nesc_len, int *bind, int *scope)
After	a successful call to MI	PI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO for a particular variable, subsequent
	1 0	information about the same variable must return the same
	-	tation is not allowed to alter any of the returned values.
-	-	MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO is a NULL pointer, the implemen- r and not return a value for the parameter.
		name_len are used to return the name of the control variable
	ed in Section $14.3.3$ .	
		the routine is required to return a name of at least length
	-	e with respect to all other names for control variables used
by the MF	I implementation.	

The argument verbosity returns the verbosity level of the variable (see Section 14.3.1).

The argument datatype returns the MPI datatype that is used to represent the control variable.

If the variable is of type MPI\_INT, MPI can optionally specify an enumeration for the values represented by this variable and return it in enumtype. In this case, MPI returns an enumeration identifier, which can then be used to gather more information as described in Section 14.3.5. Otherwise, enumtype is set to MPI\_T\_ENUM\_NULL. If the datatype is not MPI\_INT or the argument enumtype is the null pointer, no enumeration type is returned.

The arguments desc and desc\_len are used to return a description of the control variable as described in Section 14.3.3.

Returning a description is optional. If an MPI implementation does not return a description, the first character for desc must be set to the null character and desc\_len must be set to one at the return of this call.

The parameter bind returns the type of the MPI object to which the variable must be bound or the value MPI\_T\_BIND\_NO\_OBJECT (see Section 14.3.2).

The scope of a variable determines whether changing a variable's value is either local to the process or must be done by the user across multiple processes. The latter is further split into variables that require changes in a group of processes and those that require collective changes among all connected processes. Both cases can require all processes either to be set to consistent (but potentially different) values or to equal values on every participating process. The description provided with the variable must contain an explanation about the requirements and/or restrictions for setting the particular variable.

On successful return from MPI\_T\_CVAR\_GET\_INFO, the argument scope will be set to one of the constants listed in Table 14.4.

If the name of a control variable is equivalent across connected processes, the following OUT parameters must be identical: verbosity, datatype, enumtype, bind, and scope. The returned description must be equivalent.

Scope Constant	Description
MPI_T_SCOPE_CONSTANT	read-only, value is constant
MPI_T_SCOPE_READONLY	read-only, cannot be written, but can change
MPI_T_SCOPE_LOCAL	may be writeable, writing is a local operation
MPI_T_SCOPE_GROUP	may be writeable, must be done to a group of processes,
	all processes in a group must be set to consistent values
MPI_T_SCOPE_GROUP_EQ	may be writeable, must be done to a group of processes,
	all processes in a group must be set to the same value
MPI_T_SCOPE_ALL	may be writeable, must be done to all processes,
	all connected processes must be set to consistent values
MPI_T_SCOPE_ALL_EQ	may be writeable, must be done to all processes,
	all connected processes must be set to the same value

Table 14.4: Scopes for control variables

Advice to users. The scope of a variable only indicates if a variable might be changeable; it is not a guarantee that it can be changed at any time. (*End of advice to users.*)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

```
1
     MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INDEX(name, cvar_index)
2
       IN
                                               name of the control variable (string)
                  name
3
       OUT
                 cvar_index
                                               index of the control variable (integer)
4
5
6
      int MPI_T_cvar_get_index(const char *name, int *cvar_index)
7
          MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INDEX is a function for retrieving the index of a control variable
8
      given a known variable name. The name parameter is provided by the caller, and cvar_index
9
      is returned by the MPI implementation. The name parameter is a string terminated with a
10
      null character.
11
          This routine returns MPI_SUCCESS on success and returns MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_NAME
12
     if name does not match the name of any control variable provided by the implementation
13
      at the time of the call.
14
15
           Rationale.
                        This routine is provided to enable fast retrieval of control variables by
16
           a tool, assuming it knows the name of the variable for which it is looking.
                                                                                           The
17
           number of variables exposed by the implementation can change over time, so it is not
18
           possible for the tool to simply iterate over the list of variables once at initialization.
19
           Although using MPI implementation specific variable names is not portable across MPI
20
           implementations, tool developers may choose to take this route for lower overhead at
21
           runtime because the tool will not have to iterate over the entire set of variables to
22
           find a specific one. (End of rationale.)
23
^{24}
      Example: Printing All Control Variables
25
26
      Example 14.4
27
          The following example shows how the MPI tool information interface can be used to
28
      query and to print the names of all available control variables.
29
30
      #include <stdio.h>
^{31}
      #include <stdlib.h>
32
      #include <mpi.h>
33
34
      int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
35
        int i, err, num, namelen, bind, verbose, scope;
36
        int threadsupport;
37
        char name[100];
38
        MPI_Datatype datatype;
39
40
        err=MPI_T_init_thread(MPI_THREAD_SINGLE,&threadsupport);
41
        if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS)
42
          return err;
43
44
        err=MPI_T_cvar_get_num(&num);
45
        if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS)
46
          return err;
47
48
```

Handle Allocation and Deallocation

Before reading or writing the value of a variable, a user must first allocate a handle of type MPI\_T\_cvar\_handle for the variable by binding it to an MPI object (see also Section 14.3.2).

*Rationale.* Handles used in the MPI tool information interface are distinct from handles used in the remaining parts of the MPI standard because they must be usable before MPI\_INIT and after MPI\_FINALIZE. Further, accessing handles, in particular for performance variables, can be time critical and having a separate handle space enables optimizations. (*End of rationale.*)

MPI\_T\_CVAR\_HANDLE\_ALLOC(cvar\_index, obj\_handle, handle, count)

	Ϋ́,	-	31
IN	cvar_index	index of control variable for which handle is to be al-	32
		located (index)	33
IN	obj_handle	reference to a handle of the $MPI$ object to which this	34
		variable is supposed to be bound (pointer)	35
OUT	handle	allocated handle (handle)	36
OUT	count	number of elements used to represent this variable (in-	37
001	count	-	38
		teger)	39
			40

This routine binds the control variable specified by the argument index to an MPI object. <sup>43</sup> The object is passed in the argument obj\_handle as an address to a local variable that stores <sup>44</sup> the object's handle. The argument obj\_handle is ignored if the MPI\_T\_CVAR\_GET\_INFO <sup>45</sup> call for this control variable returned MPI\_T\_BIND\_NO\_OBJECT in the argument bind. The <sup>46</sup> handle allocated to reference the variable is returned in the argument <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup>

 $^{24}$ 

1 2		-	,		f elements (of the datatyper represent this variable.	е
3 4 5 6	со	ntrol variable w	as bound. For e		he MPI object to which the nd to communicators could	
7 8 9 10 11 12	M lib ad	PI_COMM_WORL prary. Instead, s	D to this routine such object hand al variable should	lles should be stored i	bject handles, such as atation depends on the MP in a local variable and the T_CVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC	e
13 14 15 16 17	is the n MPI_T_	umber of availal CVAR_GET_NU	ole control varial M. The type of	oles as determined from the MPI object it refe	cvar – 1, where num_cvar m a prior call to erences must be consisten MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO.	
18 19	ΜΡΙ Τ	CVAR_HANDLE	FREE(handle)			
20 21	INOUT		(	handle to be freed (han	dle)	
22 23	int MP]	[_T_cvar_handl	e_free(MPI_T_c	var_handle *handle)	1	
24 25 26 27 28	call MP MPI im	When a handle is no longer needed, a user of the MPI tool information interface should call MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE to free the handle and the associated resources in the MPI implementation. On a successful return, MPI sets the handle to MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_NULL.				
29 30	Control	Variable Access F	unctions			
31 32	ΜΡΙ Τ	CVAR_READ(ha	ndle buf)			
33	IN IN	handle	naie, burj	handle to the control ve	ariable to be read (handle)	
34 35 36 37	OUT	buf			ge location for variable valu	e
38	int MP]	[_T_cvar_read(	MPI_T_cvar_han	dle handle, void* b	ouf)	
39 40	This routine queries the value of a control variable identified by the argument handle and				d	
41		stores the result in the buffer identified by the parameter <b>buf</b> . The user must ensure that the				
42		· · ·			ontrol variable (based on the MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFC	
43 44			DLE_ALLOC, res			
45						
46 47						
48						

CHAPTER 14. TOOL SUPPORT

ΜΡΙ Τ	CVAR_WRITE(handle,	huf)	1	
	,	,	2	
IN	handle	handle to the control variable to be written (handle)	3	
IN	buf	initial address of storage location for variable value	4	
		(choice)	5	
			6	
int MPI	_T_cvar_write(MPI_	T_cvar_handle handle, const void* buf)	7	
Thi	s routine sets the valu	e of the control variable identified by the argument handle to	8 9	
the data	stored in the buffer id	lentified by the parameter <b>buf</b> . The user must ensure that the	9 10	
		e to hold the entire value of the control variable (based on the	11	
		from prior corresponding calls to MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO	12	
	I_T_CVAR_HANDLE_		13	
	-	bal scope (as returned by a prior corresponding l), any write call to this variable must be issued by the user	14	
		n Section 10.5.4) MPI processes. If the variable has group	15	
	`	variable must be issued by the user in all MPI processes in	16	
<b>-</b> /	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	cribed by the MPI implementation in the description by the	17	
	CVAR_GET_INFO.		18 19	
	'	nust ensure that the writes in all processes are consistent. If	20	
-		PE_ALL_EQ or MPI_T_SCOPE_GROUP_EQ this means that the	21	
	-	be set to the same value.	22	
	-	ange the variable at the time the call is made, the function	23	
returns either MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NOT_NOW, if there may be a later time at which the variable could be set, or MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NEVER, if the variable cannot be set for the				
	er of the application's	,	25	
	The second se		26	
Example	: Reading the Value of	a Control Variable	27 28	
	-		29	
Examp	le 14.5		30	
-		hows a routine that can be used to query the value with a	31	
control	variable with a given i	index. The example assumes that the variable is intended to	32	
be boun	d to an MPI communi	icator.	33	
• • •			34	
-		index, MPI_Comm comm, int *val) {	35	
	;,count; ;var_handle handle;		36 37	
III 1_1_C	var_nanare nanare,		38	
/* This	s example assumes t	hat the variable index */	39	
	be bound to a comm		40	
			41	
err=MPI	_T_cvar_handle_all	oc(index,&comm,&handle,&count);	42	
if (err	!=MPI_SUCCESS) ret	urn err;	43	
/	£	that the menichle is the	44	
	-	that the variable is */	45	
/* repr	resented by a singl	e THreRet */	46	
MDT	T awar read(hand]	e	47	

err=MPI\_T\_cvar\_read(handle,val);

```
1
     if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
\mathbf{2}
3
     err=MPI_T_cvar_handle_free(&handle);
4
     return err;
5
     }
6
```

#### 14.3.7 Performance Variables

The following section focuses on the ability to list and to query performance variables 9 provided by the MPI implementation. Performance variables provide insight into MPI im-10 plementation specific internals and can represent information such as the state of the MPI 11 implementation (e.g., waiting blocked, receiving, not active), aggregated timing data for 12submodules, or queue sizes and lengths. 13

Rationale. The interface for performance variables is separate from the interface for control variables, since performance variables have different requirements and parameters. By keeping them separate, the interface provides cleaner semantics and allows for more performance optimization opportunities. (End of rationale.)

19Performance Variable Classes 20

21Each performance variable is associated with a class that describes its basic semantics. 22 possible datatypes, basic behavior, its starting value, whether it can overflow, and when 23and how an MPI implementation can change the variable's value. The starting value is the  $^{24}$ value that is assigned to the variable the first time that it is used or whenever it is reset. 25

- If a performance variable belongs to a class that can overflow, Advice to users. it is up to the user to protect against this overflow, e.g., by frequently reading and resetting the variable value. (End of advice to users.)
  - Advice to implementors. MPI implementations should use large enough datatypes for each performance variable to avoid overflows under normal circumstances. (End of advice to implementors.)
  - The classes are defined by the following constants:
- - MPI T PVAR CLASS STATE

A performance variable in this class represents a set of discrete states. Variables of this class are represented by MPI\_INT and can be set by the MPI implementation at any time. Variables of this type should be described further using an enumeration, as discussed in Section 14.3.5. The starting value is the current state of the implementation at the time that the starting value is set. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_LEVEL

44A performance variable in this class represents a value that describes the utilization 45level of a resource. The value of a variable of this class can change at any time to match 46the current utilization level of the resource. Values returned from variables in this class 47are non-negative and represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, 48 MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG, MPI\_DOUBLE. The starting value

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

14

15

16

17

18

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

41

is the current utilization level of the resource at the time that the starting value is set. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

#### • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_SIZE

A performance variable in this class represents a value that is the size of a resource. Values returned from variables in this class are non-negative and represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG,

MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG, MPI\_DOUBLE. The starting value is the current size of the resource at the time that the starting value is set. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

#### • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_PERCENTAGE

The value of a performance variable in this class represents the percentage utilization of a finite resource. The value of a variable of this class can change at any time to match the current utilization level of the resource. It will be returned as an MPI\_DOUBLE datatype. The value must always be between 0.0 (resource not used at all) and 1.0 (resource completely used). The starting value is the current percentage utilization level of the resource at the time that the starting value is set. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

# • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_HIGHWATERMARK

A performance variable in this class represents a value that describes the high watermark utilization of a resource. The value of a variable of this class is non-negative and grows monotonically from the initialization or reset of the variable. It can be represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG, MPI\_DOUBLE. The starting value is the current utilization level of the resource at the time that the variable is started or reset. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

#### • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_LOWWATERMARK

A performance variable in this class represents a value that describes the low watermark utilization of a resource. The value of a variable of this class is non-negative and decreases monotonically from the initialization or reset of the variable. It can be represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG, MPI\_DOUBLE. The starting value is the current utilization level of the resource at the time that the variable is started or reset. MPI implementations must ensure that variables of this class cannot overflow.

#### • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_COUNTER

A performance variable in this class counts the number of occurrences of a specific event (e.g., the number of memory allocations within an MPI library). The value of a variable of this class increases monotonically from the initialization or reset of the performance variable by one for each specific event that is observed. Values must be non-negative and represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG. The starting value for variables of this class is 0. Variables of this class can overflow.

#### MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_AGGREGATE

The value of a performance variable in this class is an an aggregated value that represents a sum of arguments processed during a specific event (e.g., the amount

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

of memory allocated by all memory allocations). This class is similar to the counter class, but instead of counting individual events, the value can be incremented by arbitrary amounts. The value of a variable of this class increases monotonically from the initialization or reset of the performance variable. It must be non-negative and represented by one of the following datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_OUBLE. The starting value for variables of this class is 0. Variables of this class can overflow.

8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

# • MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_TIMER

The value of a performance variable in this class represents the aggregated time that the MPI implementation spends executing a particular event, type of event, or section of the MPI library. This class has the same basic semantics as MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_AGGREGATE, but explicitly records a timing value. The value of a variable of this class increases monotonically from the initialization or reset of the performance variable. It must be non-negative and represented by one of the following

datatypes: MPI\_UNSIGNED, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG, MPI\_UNSIGNED\_LONG\_LONG,

MPI\_DOUBLE. The starting value for variables of this class is 0. If the type

MPI\_DOUBLE is used, the units that represent time in this datatype must match the units used by MPI\_WTIME. Otherwise, the time units should be documented, e.g., in the description returned by MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO. Variables of this class can overflow.

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_GENERIC

This class can be used to describe a variable that does not fit into any of the other classes. For variables in this class, the starting value is variable-specific and implementation-defined.

Performance Variable Query Functions

<sup>29</sup> An MPI implementation exports a set of N performance variables through the MPI tool <sup>30</sup> information interface. If N is zero, then the MPI implementation does not export any <sup>31</sup> performance variables; otherwise the provided performance variables are indexed from 0 to <sup>32</sup> N-1. This index number is used in subsequent calls to identify the individual variables.

An MPI implementation is allowed to increase the number of performance variables during the execution of an MPI application when new variables become available through dynamic loading. However, MPI implementations are not allowed to change the index of a performance variable or to delete a variable once it has been added to the set. When a variable becomes inactive, e.g., through dynamic unloading, accessing its value should return a corresponding error code.

- 39 40
- 41 42

43

44

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_NUM(num\_pvar)

OUT num\_pvar returns number of performance variables (integer)

The following function can be used to query the number of performance variables, N:

45 int MPI\_T\_pvar\_get\_num(int \*num\_pvar)
46

The function MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO provides access to additional information for each variable.

MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO(pvar_index, name, name_len, verbosity, varclass, datatype, enumtype, desc, desc_len, bind, readonly, continuous, atomic) <sup>2</sup>			
IN	pvar_index	index of the performance variable to be queried be- tween 0 and $num\_pvar - 1$ (integer)	3 4 5
OUT	name	buffer to return the string containing the name of the performance variable (string)	6 7
INOUT	name_len	length of the string and/or buffer for name (integer) $% \left( {{\left[ {{{\rm{n}}} \right]}_{{\rm{n}}}}_{{\rm{n}}}} \right)$	8
OUT	verbosity	verbosity level of this variable (integer)	9 10
OUT	var_class	class of performance variable (integer)	11
OUT	datatype	MPI data type of the information stored in the performance variable (handle)	12 13
OUT	enumtype	optional descriptor for enumeration information (han- dle)	14 15 16
OUT	desc	buffer to return the string containing a description of the performance variable (string)	17 18
INOUT	desc_len	length of the string and/or buffer for $desc\xspace$ (integer)	19
OUT	bind	type of MPI object to which this variable must be bound (integer)	20 21 22
OUT	readonly	flag indicating whether the variable can be written/reset (integer)	22 23 24
OUT	continuous	flag indicating whether the variable can be started and stopped or is continuously active (integer)	25 26
OUT	atomic	flag indicating whether the variable can be atomically read and reset (integer)	27 28 29

# int MPI\_T\_pvar\_get\_info(int pvar\_index, char \*name, int \*name\_len, int \*verbosity, int \*var\_class, MPI\_Datatype \*datatype, MPI\_T\_enum \*enumtype, char \*desc, int \*desc\_len, int \*bind, int \*readonly, int \*continuous, int \*atomic)

After a successful call to MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO for a particular variable, subsequent calls to this routine that query information about the same variable must return the same information. An MPI implementation is not allowed to alter any of the returned values.

If any OUT parameter to MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO is a NULL pointer, the implementation will ignore the parameter and not return a value for the parameter.

The arguments name and name\_len are used to return the name of the performance variable as described in Section 14.3.3. If completed successfully, the routine is required to return a name of at least length one.

The argument verbosity returns the verbosity level of the variable (see Section 14.3.1). The class of the performance variable is returned in the parameter var\_class. The class must be one of the constants defined in Section 14.3.7.

The combination of the name and the class of the performance variable must be unique with respect to all other names for performance variables used by the MPI implementation.

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

4344

45

46

47

Advice to implementors. Groups of variables that belong closely together, but have different classes, can have the same name. This choice is useful, e.g., to refer to multiple variables that describe a single resource (like the level, the total size, as well as high and low watermarks). (End of advice to implementors.)

The argument datatype returns the MPI datatype that is used to represent the perfor mance variable.

<sup>8</sup> If the variable is of type MPI\_INT, MPI can optionally specify an enumeration for the <sup>9</sup> values represented by this variable and return it in enumtype. In this case, MPI returns an <sup>10</sup> enumeration identifier, which can then be used to gather more information as described in <sup>11</sup> Section 14.3.5. Otherwise, enumtype is set to MPI\_T\_ENUM\_NULL. If the datatype is not <sup>12</sup> MPI\_INT or the argument enumtype is the null pointer, no enumeration type is returned.

Returning a description is optional. If an MPI implementation does not return a de scription, the first character for desc must be set to the null character and desc\_len must
 be set to one at the return from this function.

<sup>16</sup> The parameter bind returns the type of the MPI object to which the variable must be <sup>17</sup> bound or the value MPI\_T\_BIND\_NO\_OBJECT (see Section 14.3.2).

<sup>18</sup> Upon return, the argument readonly is set to zero if the variable can be written or reset <sup>19</sup> by the user. It is set to one if the variable can only be read.

Upon return, the argument continuous is set to zero if the variable can be started and stopped by the user, i.e., it is possible for the user to control if and when the value of a variable is updated. It is set to one if the variable is always active and cannot be controlled by the user.

<sup>24</sup> Upon return, the argument **atomic** is set to zero if the variable cannot be read and <sup>25</sup> reset atomically. Only variables for which the call sets **atomic** to one can be used in a call <sup>26</sup> to MPI\_T\_PVAR\_READRESET.

If a performance variable has an equivalent name and has the same class across con nected processes, the following OUT parameters must be identical: verbosity, varclass,
 datatype, enumtype, bind, readonly, continuous, and atomic. The returned description must
 be equivalent.

31 32

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INDEX(name, var\_class, pvar\_index)

IN	name	the name of the performance variable (string)
IN	var_class	the class of the performance variable (integer)
OUT	pvar_index	the index of the performance variable (integer)

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

int MPI\_T\_pvar\_get\_index(const char \*name, int var\_class, int \*pvar\_index)

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INDEX is a function for retrieving the index of a performance variable given a known variable name and class. The name and var\_class parameters are provided by the caller, and pvar\_index is returned by the MPI implementation. The name parameter is a string terminated with a null character.

This routine returns MPI\_SUCCESS on success and returns MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_NAME if name does not match the name of any performance variable provided by the implementation at the time of the call.

48

1

2

3

4

Rationale. This routine is provided to enable fast retrieval of performance variables by a tool, assuming it knows the name of the variable for which it is looking. The number of variables exposed by the implementation can change over time, so it is not possible for the tool to simply iterate over the list of variables once at initialization. Although using MPI implementation specific variable names is not portable across MPI implementations, tool developers may choose to take this route for lower overhead at runtime because the tool will not have to iterate over the entire set of variables to find a specific one. (*End of rationale.*)

### Performance Experiment Sessions

Within a single program, multiple components can use the MPI tool information interface. To avoid collisions with respect to accesses to performance variables, users of the MPI tool information interface must first create a session. Subsequent calls that access performance variables can then be made within the context of this session. Any call executed in a session must not influence the results in any other session.

MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE(session)			18	
MFT_T_FVAR_SESSION_CREATE(session)			19	
OUT	session	identifier of performance session (handle)	20	
			21	
int MPI_T	_pvar_session_create(MPI	_T_pvar_session *session)	22	
Th:	-11 f f f	······································	23	
This call creates a new session for accessing performance variables and returns a handle			24	
for this session in the argument session of type MPI_T_pvar_session.			25	
			26	
MPI_T_PV	AR_SESSION_FREE(session)		27	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		28	
INOUT session	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)	29	
			30	
int MPI_T	_pvar_session_free(MPI_T	_pvar_session *session)	31	
This c	This call frees an existing session. Calls to the MPI tool information interface can no longer be made within the context of a session after it is freed. On a successful return, MPI			
sets the session identifier to MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_NULL.			34	
5005 0120 500			35	
Handle Allocation and Deallocation			36	
	Cation and Deanocation		37	
Before using a performance variable, a user must first allocate a handle of type			38	
MPI_T_pvar_handle for the variable by binding it to an MPI object (see also Section 14.3.2).			39	

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

MPI_T_P	VAR_HANDLE_ALLO	C(session, pvar_index, obj_handle, handle, count)
IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
IN	pvar_index	index of performance variable for which handle is to be allocated (integer)
IN	obj_handle	reference to a handle of the MPI object to which this variable is supposed to be bound (pointer)
OUT	handle	allocated handle (handle)
OUT	count	number of elements used to represent this variable (integer)
int MPI_	-	c(MPI_T_pvar_session session, int pvar_index, dle, MPI_T_pvar_handle *handle, int *count)
argument argument variable r reference contains MPI_T_P Adu	obj_handle as an add obj_handle is ignored eturned MPI_T_BIND_ the variable is return the number of elemen VAR_GET_INFO call) <i>vice to users.</i> The co	ified by the parameter session. The object is passed in the ress to a local variable that stores the object's handle. The lif the MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO call for this performance NO_OBJECT in the argument bind. The handle allocated to ed in the argument handle. Upon successful return, count its (of the datatype returned by a previous used to represent this variable.
-		bound. For example, variables bound to communicators natches the size of the communicator.
MPI libra add	_COMM_WORLD, to the ary. Instead, such an	references to predefined MPI object handles, such as his routine, since their implementation depends on the MPI object handle should be stored in a local variable and the ble should be passed into MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC.
number of MPI_T_P with the For a argument	of available performan VAR_GET_NUM. The type returned in the b all routines in the res	be in the range 0 to $num_pvar - 1$ , where $num_pvar$ is the ce variables as determined from a prior call to e type of the MPI object it references must be consistent ind argument in a prior call to MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO. et of this section that take both handle and session as IN nent passed in is not associated with the session argument, s returned.
MPI_T_P	VAR_HANDLE_FREE	(session, handle)
IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
INOUT	handle	handle to be freed (handle)
int MPI_	T_pvar_handle_free *handle)	(MPI_T_pvar_session session, MPI_T_pvar_handle

#### 14.3. THE MPI TOOL INFORMATION INTERFACE

When a handle is no longer needed, a user of the MPI tool information interface should call MPI\_T\_PVAR\_HANDLE\_FREE to free the handle in the session identified by the parameter session and the associated resources in the MPI implementation. On a successful return, MPI sets the handle to MPI\_T\_PVAR\_HANDLE\_NULL.

#### Starting and Stopping of Performance Variables

Performance variables that have the continuous flag set during the query operation are continuously operating once a handle has been allocated. Such variables may be queried at any time, but they cannot be started or stopped by the user. All other variables are in a stopped state after their handle has been allocated; their values are not updated until they have been started by the user.

#### MPI\_T\_PVAR\_START(session, handle)

IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)

#### int MPI\_T\_pvar\_start(MPI\_T\_pvar\_session session, MPI\_T\_pvar\_handle handle)

This functions starts the performance variable with the handle identified by the parameter handle in the session identified by the parameter session.

If the constant MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is passed in handle, the MPI implementation attempts to start all variables within the session identified by the parameter session for which handles have been allocated. In this case, the routine returns MPI\_SUCCESS if all variables are started successfully (even if there are no non-continuous variables to be started), otherwise MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_STARTSTOP is returned. Continuous variables and variables that are already started are ignored when MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is specified.

MPI\_T\_PVAR\_STOP(session, handle)

IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)

#### int MPI\_T\_pvar\_stop(MPI\_T\_pvar\_session session, MPI\_T\_pvar\_handle handle)

This functions stops the performance variable with the handle identified by the parameter handle in the session identified by the parameter session.

If the constant MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is passed in handle, the MPI implementation attempts to stop all variables within the session identified by the parameter session for which handles have been allocated. In this case, the routine returns MPI\_SUCCESS if all variables are stopped successfully (even if there are no non-continuous variables to be stopped), otherwise MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_STARTSTOP is returned. Continuous variables and variables that are already stopped are ignored when MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is specified.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12 13 14

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28 29 30

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

12	Performance Variable Access Functions			
3				
4	MPI_T_PV	AR_READ(session, handle, buf	·)	
5 6	IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)	
7	IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)	
8 9 10	OUT	buf	initial address of storage location for variable value (choice)	
11 12 13	<pre>int MPI_T_pvar_read(MPI_T_pvar_session session, MPI_T_pvar_handle handle,</pre>			
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The MPI_T_PVAR_READ call queries the value of the performance variable with the handle handle in the session identified by the parameter session and stores the result in the buffer identified by the parameter buf. The user is responsible to ensure that the buffer is of the appropriate size to hold the entire value of the performance variable (based on the datatype and count returned by the corresponding previous calls to MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO and MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, respectively). The constant MPI_T_PVAR_ALL_HANDLES cannot be used as an argument for the function MPI_T_PVAR_READ.			
23 24	MPI_T_PV	AR_WRITE(session,handle, bu	f)	
25	IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)	
26	IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)	
27 28 29	IN	buf	initial address of storage location for variable value (choice)	
30 31 32	int MPI_T	_pvar_write(MPI_T_pvar_se const void* buf)	ession session, MPI_T_pvar_handle handle,	
<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	The MPI_T_PVAR_WRITE call attempts to write the value of the performance variable with the handle identified by the parameter handle in the session identified by the parameter buf. The user must ensure that the buffer is of the appropriate size to hold the entire value of the per- formance variable (based on the datatype and count returned by the corresponding previous calls to MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO and MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, respectively). If it is not possible to change the variable, the function returns MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_WRITE. The constant MPI_T_PVAR_ALL_HANDLES cannot be used as an argument for the func- tion MPI_T_PVAR_WRITE.			
46 47 48				

582

CHAPTER 14. TOOL SUPPORT

#### MPI\_T\_PVAR\_RESET(session, handle)

IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)

#### int MPI\_T\_pvar\_reset(MPI\_T\_pvar\_session session, MPI\_T\_pvar\_handle handle)

The MPI\_T\_PVAR\_RESET call sets the performance variable with the handle identified by the parameter handle to its starting value specified in Section 14.3.7. If it is not possible to change the variable, the function returns MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_WRITE.

If the constant MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is passed in handle, the MPI implementation attempts to reset all variables within the session identified by the parameter session for which handles have been allocated. In this case, the routine returns MPI\_SUCCESS if all variables are reset successfully (even if there are no valid handles or all are read-only), otherwise MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_WRITE is returned. Read-only variables are ignored when MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES is specified.

#### MPI\_T\_PVAR\_READRESET(session, handle, buf)

IN	session	identifier of performance experiment session (handle)
IN	handle	handle of a performance variable (handle)
OUT	buf	initial address of storage location for variable value
		(choice)

## int MPI\_T\_pvar\_readreset(MPI\_T\_pvar\_session session, MPI\_T\_pvar\_handle handle, void\* buf)

This call atomically combines the functionality of MPI\_T\_PVAR\_READ and MPI\_T\_PVAR\_RESET with the same semantics as if these two calls were called separately. If atomic operations on this variable are not supported, this routine returns MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_ATOMIC.

The constant MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES cannot be used as an argument for the function MPI\_T\_PVAR\_READRESET.

34 Sampling-based tools rely on the ability to call the MPI Advice to implementors. 35tool information interface, in particular routines to start, stop, read, write and reset 36 performance variables, from any program context, including asynchronous contexts 37 such as signal handlers. MPI implementations should strive, if possible in their particular environment, to enable these usage scenarios for all or a subset of the routines mentioned above. If implementing only a subset, the read, write, and reset routines are typically the most critical for sampling based tools. An MPI implementation should clearly document any restrictions on the program contexts in which the MPI tool information interface can be used. Restrictions might include guaranteeing usage outside of all signals or outside a specific set of signals. Any restrictions could be documented, for example, through the description returned by MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO. (End of advice to implementors.)

*Rationale.* All routines to read, to write or to reset performance variables require the session argument. This requirement keeps the interface consistent and allows the use

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

161718

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

4647

of MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES where appropriate. Further, this opens up additional performance optimizations for the implementation of handles. (*End of rationale.*)

## Example: Tool to Detect Receives with Long Unexpected Message Queues

## Example 14.6

The following example shows a sample tool to identify receive operations that occur during times with long message queues. This examples assumes that the MPI implementation exports a variable with the name "MPI\_T\_UMQ\_LENGTH" to represent the current length of the unexpected message queue. The tool is implemented as a PMPI tool using the MPI profiling interface.

The tool consists of three parts: (1) the initialization (by intercepting the call to MPI\_INIT), (2) the test for long unexpected message queues (by intercepting calls to MPI\_RECV), and (3) the clean-up phase (by intercepting the call to MPI\_FINALIZE). To contume all receives, the example would have to be extended to have similar wreappers for

capture all receives, the example would have to be extended to have similar wrappers for all receive operations.

Part 1— Initialization: During initialization, the tool searches for the variable and, once
 the right index is found, allocates a session and a handle for the variable with the found
 index, and starts the performance variable.

```
22
     #include <stdio.h>
23
     #include <stdlib.h>
24
     #include <string.h>
25
     #include <assert.h>
26
     #include <mpi.h>
27
28
     /* Global variables for the tool */
29
     static MPI_T_pvar_session session;
30
     static MPI_T_pvar_handle handle;
^{31}
32
     int MPI_Init(int *argc, char ***argv ) {
33
     int err, num, i, index, namelen, verbosity;
34
              int var_class, bind, threadsup;
35
     int readonly, continuous, atomic, count;
36
     char name[18];
37
     MPI_Comm comm;
38
     MPI_Datatype datatype;
39
     MPI_T_enum enumtype;
40
41
     err=PMPI_Init(argc,argv);
42
     if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
43
44
     err=PMPI_T_init_thread(MPI_THREAD_SINGLE,&threadsup);
45
     if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
46
47
     err=PMPI_T_pvar_get_num(&num);
48
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

```
1
if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
                                                                                     2
index=-1;
                                                                                      3
i=0;
while ((i<num) && (index<0) && (err==MPI_SUCCESS)) {</pre>
                                                                                     4
/* Pass a buffer that is at least one character longer than */
                                                                                     5
                                                                                     6
/* the name of the variable being searched for to avoid */
                                                                                     7
/* finding variables that have a name that has a prefix */
                                                                                      8
/* equal to the name of the variable being searched. */
                                                                                     9
namelen=18;
                                                                                     10
err=PMPI_T_pvar_get_info(i, name, &namelen, &verbosity,
                                                                                     11
&var_class, &datatype, &enumtype, NULL, NULL, &bind,
&readonly, &continuous, &atomic);
                                                                                     12
                                                                                     13
if (strcmp(name,"MPI_T_UMQ_LENGTH")==0) index=i;
                                                                                     14
i++; }
                                                                                     15
if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
                                                                                     16
                                                                                     17
/* this could be handled in a more flexible way for a generic tool */
                                                                                     18
assert(index>=0);
                                                                                     19
assert(var_class==MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_LEVEL);
assert(datatype==MPI_INT);
                                                                                     20
                                                                                     21
assert(bind==MPI_T_BIND_MPI_COMM);
                                                                                     22
                                                                                     23
/* Create a session */
                                                                                     24
err=PMPI_T_pvar_session_create(&session);
                                                                                     25
if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
                                                                                     26
/* Get a handle and bind to MPI_COMM_WORLD */
                                                                                     27
comm=MPI_COMM_WORLD;
                                                                                     28
                                                                                     29
err=PMPI_T_pvar_handle_alloc(session, index, &comm, &handle, &count);
if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
                                                                                     30
                                                                                     31
                                                                                     32
/* this could be handled in a more flexible way for a generic tool */
                                                                                     33
assert(count==1);
                                                                                     34
/* Start variable */
                                                                                     35
                                                                                     36
err=PMPI_T_pvar_start(session, handle);
                                                                                     37
if (err!=MPI_SUCCESS) return err;
                                                                                     38
                                                                                     39
return MPI_SUCCESS;
                                                                                     40
}
                                                                                     41
                                                                                     42
Part 2 — Testing the Queue Lengths During Receives: During every receive operation, the
                                                                                     43
tool reads the unexpected queue length through the matching performance variable and
                                                                                     44
compares it against a predefined threshold.
                                                                                     45
                                                                                     46
#define THRESHOLD 5
                                                                                     47
```

int MPI\_Recv(void \*buf, int count, MPI\_Datatype datatype, int source, int tag, <sup>48</sup>

```
1
                                  MPI_Comm comm, MPI_Status *status)
\mathbf{2}
     {
3
     int value, err;
4
\mathbf{5}
     if (comm==MPI_COMM_WORLD) {
6
     err=PMPI_T_pvar_read(session, handle, &value);
\overline{7}
     if ((err==MPI_SUCCESS) && (value>THRESHOLD))
8
     {
9
                                 /* tool identified receive called with long UMQ */
10
     /* execute tool functionality, */
11
     /* e.g., gather and print call stack */
12
     }
     }
13
14
15
     return PMPI_Recv(buf, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status);
16
     }
17
18
     Part 3 — Termination: In the wrapper for MPI_FINALIZE, the MPI tool information inter-
19
     face is finalized.
20
21
     int MPI_Finalize()
22
     {
23
     int err;
^{24}
     err=PMPI_T_pvar_handle_free(session, &handle);
25
     err=PMPI_T_pvar_session_free(&session);
26
     err=PMPI_T_finalize();
27
     return PMPI_Finalize();
28
     }
29
30
     14.3.8
            Variable Categorization
^{31}
```

MPI implementations can optionally group performance and control variables into categories to express logical relationships between various variables. For example, an MPI implementation could group all control and performance variables that refer to message transfers in the MPI implementation and thereby distinguish them from variables that refer to local resources such as memory allocations or other interactions with the operating system.

Categories can also contain other categories to form a hierarchical grouping. Categories can never include themselves, either directly or transitively within other included categories. Expanding on the example above, this allows MPI to refine the grouping of variables referring to message transfers into variables to control and to monitor message queues, message matching activities and communication protocols. Each of these groups of variables would be represented by a separate category and these categories would then be listed in a single category representing variables for message transfers.

The category information may be queried in a fashion similar to the mechanism for querying variable information. The MPI implementation exports a set of N categories via the MPI tool information interface. If N = 0, then the MPI implementation does not export any categories, otherwise the provided categories are indexed from 0 to N - 1. This index 48 number is used in subsequent calls to functions of the MPI tool information interface to 1  $\mathbf{2}$ identify the individual categories. 3 An MPI implementation is permitted to increase the number of categories during the 4 execution of an MPI program when new categories become available through dynamic load- $\mathbf{5}$ ing. However, MPI implementations are not allowed to change the index of a category or delete it once it has been added to the set. 6  $\overline{7}$ Similarly, MPI implementations are allowed to add variables to categories, but they are not allowed to remove variables from categories or change the order in which they are 8 9 returned. 10 The following function can be used to query the number of categories, N. 11 12MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_NUM(num\_cat) 13 14OUT current number of categories (integer) num\_cat 1516int MPI\_T\_category\_get\_num(int \*num\_cat) 17Individual category information can then be queried by calling the following function: 18 19 20MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_INFO(cat\_index, name, name\_len, desc, desc\_len, num\_cvars, 21num\_pvars, num\_categories) 22 IN cat\_index index of the category to be queried (integer) 23 $^{24}$ OUT name buffer to return the string containing the name of the 25category (string) 26INOUT name\_len length of the string and/or buffer for name (integer) 27OUT desc buffer to return the string containing the description 28of the category (string) 2930 INOUT desc\_len length of the string and/or buffer for desc (integer)  $^{31}$ OUT num\_cvars number of control variables in the category (integer) 32 OUT number of performance variables in the category (innum\_pvars 33 teger) 34 35OUT number of categories contained in the category (intenum\_categories 36 ger) 37 38 int MPI\_T\_category\_get\_info(int cat\_index, char \*name, int \*name\_len, 39 char \*desc, int \*desc\_len, int \*num\_cvars, int \*num\_pvars, 40 int \*num\_categories) 41 The arguments name and name\_len are used to return the name of the category as 42described in Section 14.3.3. 43 The routine is required to return a name of at least length one. This name must be 44unique with respect to all other names for categories used by the MPI implementation. 45If any OUT parameter to MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_INFO is a NULL pointer, the im-46plementation will ignore the parameter and not return a value for the parameter. 47

1		-	and desc_len are used to return the description of the category as	
2 3	described in Section 14.3.3. Returning a description is optional. If an MPI implementation decides not to return a			
4	description, the first character for desc must be set to the null character and desc_len must			
5		one at the return		
6			the number of control variables, performance variables and other	
7 8	-		e queried category in the arguments num_cvars, num_pvars, and	
9		gories, respective e name of a cate	gory is equivalent across connected processes, then the returned	
10		n must be equiva		
11				
12 13	MPI_T_C	ATEGORY_GET	_INDEX(name, cat_index)	
14	IN	name	the name of the category (string)	
15	OUT	cat_index	the index of the category (integer)	
16 17				
18	int MPI_	T_category_get	<pre>c_index(const char *name, int *cat_index)</pre>	
19	MPI_	T_CATEGORY_	GET_INDEX is a function for retrieving the index of a category	
20	given a kn	nown category na	ame. The name parameter is provided by the caller, and cat_index	
21 22		-	plementation. The name parameter is a string terminated with a	
22	null chara		MPI_SUCCESS on success and returns MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_NAME	
24			he name of any category provided by the implementation at the	
25	time of the call.			
26				
27 28			to enable fast retrieval of a category index it knows the name of the category for which it is looking. The	
29	number of categories exposed by the implementation can change over time, so it is not			
30	possible for the tool to simply iterate over the list of categories once at initialization.			
31			implementation specific category names is not portable across	
32 33	MPI implementations, tool developers may choose to take this route for lower overhead at runtime because the tool will not have to iterate over the entire set of categories			
34	to find a specific one. (End of rationale.)			
35				
36				
37 38	MPI_T_C	ATEGORY_GET	_CVARS(cat_index, len, indices)	
39	IN	cat_index	index of the category to be queried, in the range $[0,N-$	
40			1] (integer)	
41	IN	len	the length of the indices array (integer)	
42 43	OUT	indices	an integer array of size len, indicating control variable	
44			indices (array of integers)	
45	And MDT 1	<b>T</b> + ·		
46	int MPI_	1_category_get	<pre>c_cvars(int cat_index, int len, int indices[])</pre>	
47 48			GET_CVARS can be used to query which control variables are category. A category contains zero or more control variables.	

MPI_T_CA	TEGORY_GET_PVARS(cat_in	dex,len,indices)	1
IN	cat_index	index of the category to be queried, in the range $[0,N-1]$ (integer)	2 3 4
IN	len	the length of the indices array (integer)	4 5
OUT	indices	an integer array of size len, indicating performance variable indices (array of integers)	6 7 8
int MPI_T_	_category_get_pvars(int c	at_index, int len, int indices[])	9 10
		an be used to query which performance variables A category contains zero or more performance	10 11 12 13 14
MPI_T_CA	TEGORY_GET_CATEGORIES	(cat_index,len,indices)	15 16
IN	cat_index	index of the category to be queried, in the range $[0, N-1]$ (integer)	17 18
IN	len	the length of the indices array (integer)	19
OUT	indices	an integer array of size len, indicating category indices (array of integers)	20 21 22
int MPI_T	_category_get_categories(	int cat_index, int len, int indices[])	23 24
are contained As men as the num of the MPI added or n virtual time	ed in a particular category. A ntioned above, MPI implemen ber of variables or other cate tool information interface to new variables or categories has	RIES can be used to query which other categories category contains zero or more other categories. tations can grow the number of categories as well gories within a category. In order to allow users check quickly whether new categories have been ave been added to a category, MPI maintains a motonically increasing during the execution and is	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33
MPI_T_CA	TEGORY_CHANGED(stamp)		34 35
OUT	stamp	a virtual time stamp to indicate the last change to the categories (integer)	36 37 38
int MPI_T_	_category_changed(int *st	amp)	39 40
the category	y information has not changed	e return the same timestamp, it is guaranteed that between the two calls. If the timestamp retrieved categories have been added or expanded.	41 42 43 44
for ch	Advice to users. The timestamp value is purely virtual and only intended to check for changes in the category information. It should not be used for any other purpose. (End of advice to users.)		

1 The index values returned in indices by MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_CVARS,  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_PVARS and MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_CATEGORIES can be used 3 as input to MPI\_T\_CVAR\_GET\_INFO, MPI\_T\_PVAR\_GET\_INFO and 4 MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_INFO, respectively.  $\mathbf{5}$ The user is responsible for allocating the arrays passed into the functions 6 MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_CVARS, MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_PVARS and 7MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_GET\_CATEGORIES. Starting from array index 0, each function writes 8 up to len elements into the array. If the category contains more than len elements, the 9 function returns an arbitrary subset of size len. Otherwise, the entire set of elements is 10 returned in the beginning entries of the array, and any remaining array entries are not 11modified. 121314.3.9 Return Codes for the MPI Tool Information Interface 14All functions defined as part of the MPI tool information interface return an integer error 15code (see Table 14.5) to indicate whether the function was completed successfully or was 16aborted. In the latter case the error code indicates the reason for not completing the routine. 17Such errors neither impact the execution of the MPI process nor invoke MPI error handlers. 18 The MPI process continues executing regardless of the return code from the call. The MPI 19implementation is not required to check all user-provided parameters; if a user passes invalid 20parameter values to any routine the behavior of the implementation is undefined. 21All error codes with the prefix MPI\_T\_ must be unique values and cannot overlap with 22 any other error codes or error classes returned by the MPI implementation. Further, they 23shall be treated as MPI error classes as defined in Section 8.4 on page 347 and follow the  $^{24}$ same rules and restrictions. In particular, they must satisfy: 2526 $0 = MPI_SUCCESS < MPI_T_ERR_... \le MPI_ERR_LASTCODE.$ 27

Rationale. All MPI tool information interface functions must return error classes, because applications cannot portably call MPI\_ERROR\_CLASS before
 MPI\_INIT or MPI\_INIT\_THREAD to map an arbitrary error code to an error class. (End of rationale.)

<sup>34</sup> <sub>35</sub> 14.3.10 Profiling Interface

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32 33

36

37

38

All requirements for the profiling interfaces, as described in Section 14.2, also apply to the MPI tool information interface. All rules, guidelines, and recommendations from Section 14.2 apply equally to calls defined as part of the MPI tool information interface.

Return Code	Description	
Return Codes for All Functions in t	he MPI Tool Information Interface	
MPI_SUCCESS	Call completed successfully	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID	Invalid use of the interface or bad parameter value(s)	
MPI_T_ERR_MEMORY	Out of memory	
MPI_T_ERR_NOT_INITIALIZED	Interface not initialized	
MPI_T_ERR_CANNOT_INIT	Interface not in the state to be initialized	
Return Codes for Datatype Function	ns: MPI_T_ENUM_*	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_INDEX	The enumeration index is invalid	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_ITEM	The item index queried is out of range	
	(for MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM only)	
Return Codes for Variable and Cate	egory Query Functions: MPI_T_*_GET_*	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_INDEX	The variable or category index is invalid	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_NAME	The variable or category name is invalid	
Return Codes for Handle Functions:	MPI_T_*_{ALLOC FREE}	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_INDEX	The variable index is invalid	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_HANDLE	The handle is invalid	
MPI_T_ERR_OUT_OF_HANDLES	No more handles available	
Return Codes for Session Functions	: MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_*	
MPI_T_ERR_OUT_OF_SESSIONS	No more sessions available	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_SESSION	Session argument is not a valid session	
Return Codes for Control Variable Access Functions:		
MPI_T_CVAR_READ, WRITE		
MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NOT_NOW	Variable cannot be set at this moment	
MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NEVER	Variable cannot be set until end of execution	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_HANDLE	The handle is invalid	
Return Codes for Performance Variable Access and Control:     3		
MPI_T_PVAR_{START STOP READ WRITE RESET READREST}		
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_HANDLE	The handle is invalid	
MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_SESSION	Session argument is not a valid session	
MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_STARTSTOP	Variable cannot be started or stopped	
	(for MPI_T_PVAR_START and	
	MPI_T_PVAR_STOP)	
MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_WRITE	Variable cannot be written or reset	
	(for MPI_T_PVAR_WRITE and	
	MPI_T_PVAR_RESET)	
MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_ATOMIC	Variable cannot be read and written atomically	
	(for MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET)	

Table 14.5: Return codes used in functions of the MPI tool information interface

The category index is invalid

Return Codes for Category Functions: MPI\_T\_CATEGORY\_\*

MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_INDEX

1

48

43

4445

## Chapter 15

# **Deprecated Functions**

## 15.1 Deprecated since MPI-2.0

The following function is deprecated and is superseded by MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_KEYVAL in MPI-2.0. The language independent definition of the deprecated function is the same as that of the new function, except for the function name and a different behavior in the C/Fortran language interoperability, see Section 17.2.7. The language bindings are modified.

MPI\_KEYVAL\_CREATE(copy\_fn, delete\_fn, keyval, extra\_state)

IN	copy_fn	Copy callback function for keyval
IN	delete_fn	Delete callback function for keyval
OUT	keyval	key value for future access (integer)
IN	extra_state	Extra state for callback functions

For this routine, an interface within the mpi\_f08 module was never defined.

MPI\_KEYVAL\_CREATE(COPY\_FN, DELETE\_FN, KEYVAL, EXTRA\_STATE, IERROR) EXTERNAL COPY\_FN, DELETE\_FN INTEGER KEYVAL, EXTRA\_STATE, IERROR

The copy\_fn function is invoked when a communicator is duplicated by MPI\_COMM\_DUP. copy\_fn should be of type MPI\_Copy\_function, which is defined as follows:

typedef	int	MPI_Copy_function(MPI_Comm oldcomm, int keyval,
		<pre>void *extra_state, void *attribute_val_in,</pre>
		<pre>void *attribute_val_out, int *flag)</pre>

A Fortran declaration for such a function is as follows: For this routine, an interface within the mpi\_f08 module was never defined. SUBROUTINE COPY\_FUNCTION(OLDCOMM, KEYVAL, EXTRA\_STATE, ATTRIBUTE\_VAL\_IN, 47

ATTRIBUTE\_VAL\_OUT, FLAG, IERR)

1 2 3	INTEGER OLDCOMM, KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, IERR LOGICAL FLAG
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	copy_fn may be specified as MPI_NULL_COPY_FN or MPI_DUP_FN from either C or FORTRAN; MPI_NULL_COPY_FN is a function that does nothing other than returning flag = 0 and MPI_SUCCESS. MPI_DUP_FN is a simple-minded copy function that sets flag = 1, returns the value of attribute_val_in in attribute_val_out, and returns MPI_SUCCESS. Note that MPI_NULL_COPY_FN and MPI_DUP_FN are also deprecated. Analogous to copy_fn is a callback deletion function, defined as follows. The delete_fn function is invoked when a communicator is deleted by MPI_COMM_FREE or when a call is made explicitly to MPI_ATTR_DELETE. delete_fn should be of type MPI_Delete_function, which is defined as follows:
14 15 16	<pre>typedef int MPI_Delete_function(MPI_Comm comm, int keyval, void *attribute_val, void *extra_state);</pre>
17 18 19	A Fortran declaration for such a function is as follows: For this routine, an interface within the mpi_f08 module was never defined.
20 21	SUBROUTINE DELETE_FUNCTION(COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE, IERR) INTEGER COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE, IERR
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	<pre>delete_fn may be specified as MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN from either C or FORTRAN; MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN is a function that does nothing, other than returning MPI_SUCCESS. Note that MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN is also deprecated. The following function is deprecated and is superseded by MPI_COMM_FREE_KEYVAL in MPI-2.0. The language independent definition of the deprecated function is the same as of the new function, except of the function name. The language bindings are modified.</pre>
30	MPI_KEYVAL_FREE(keyval)
31 32 33	INOUT keyval Frees the integer key value (integer)
34	<pre>int MPI_Keyval_free(int *keyval)</pre>
35	For this routine, an interface within the mpi_f08 module was never defined.
36 37 38	MPI_KEYVAL_FREE(KEYVAL, IERROR) INTEGER KEYVAL, IERROR
<ol> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> <li>47</li> </ol>	The following function is deprecated and is superseded by MPI_COMM_SET_ATTR in MPI-2.0. The language independent definition of the deprecated function is the same as of the new function, except of the function name. The language bindings are modified.
48	

MPI_ATTE	R_PUT(comm, keyval, attribute	e_val)	1
INOUT	comm	communicator to which attribute will be attached (han-dle)	2 3 4
IN	keyval	key value, as returned by MPI_KEYVAL_CREATE (integer)	4 5 6
IN	attribute_val	attribute value	7 8
int MPI_A	ttr_put(MPI_Comm comm, ir	nt keyval, void* attribute_val)	9 10
For this ro	utine, an interface within the	mpi_f08 module was never defined.	11
	PUT(COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBU ER COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUT		12 13 14
MPI-2.0. 7	The language independent defi	and is superseded by MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR in nition of the deprecated function is the same as of name. The language bindings are modified.	15 16 17 18
MPI_ATTE	R_GET(comm, keyval, attribute	_val, flag)	19 20
IN	comm	communicator to which attribute is attached (handle)	21
IN	keyval	key value (integer)	22
OUT	attribute_val	attribute value, unless $flag = false$	23 24
OUT	flag	true if an attribute value was extracted; false if no attribute is associated with the key	25 26 27
int MPI_A	httr_get(MPI_Comm comm, ir	nt keyval, void *attribute_val, int *flag)	28
For this ro	utine, an interface within the	mpi_f08 module was never defined.	29 30
INTEG	GET(COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBU ER COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUT CAL FLAG		31 32 33
in MPI-2.0 $$	. The language independent d	and is superseded by MPI_COMM_DELETE_ATTR efinition of the deprecated function is the same as on name. The language bindings are modified.	34 35 36 37 38
MPI_ATTF	R_DELETE(comm, keyval)		39
INOUT	comm	communicator to which attribute is attached (handle)	40 41
IN	keyval	The key value of the deleted attribute (integer)	42 43
int MPI_A	httr_delete(MPI_Comm comm,	int keyval)	44
For this ro	outine, an interface within the	mpi_f08 module was never defined.	45 46
	DELETE(COMM, KEYVAL, IER		47
48			

12	INTE	EGER COMM, KEYVAL, IERROR		
3				
4 5	15.2 [	Deprecated since MPI-2.2		
6	The entir	ce set of C++ language binding	s have been removed. See Chapter	16, Removed
7		for more information.		
8 9			ve been deprecated and are superse he function signatures are exactly the	-
10			s of other function typedef names.	ie baille, the
11				
12		Deprecated Name MPI_Comm_errhandler_fn	New Name MPI_Comm_errhandler_function	
13 14		MPI_File_errhandler_fn	MPI_File_errhandler_function	
15		MPI_Win_errhandler_fn	MPI_Win_errhandler_function	
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
26				
27				
28				
29				
30 31				
32				
33				
34				
35				
36 37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42 43				
44				
45				
46				
47 48				
10				

## Chapter 16

# **Removed Interfaces**

## 16.1 Removed MPI-1 Bindings

### 16.1.1 Overview

The following MPI-1 bindings were deprecated as of MPI-2 and are removed in MPI-3. They may be provided by an implementation for backwards compatibility, but are not required. Removal of these bindings affects all language-specific definitions thereof. Only the language-neutral bindings are listed when possible.

 $44 \\ 45$ 

#### 16.1.2 Removed MPI-1 Functions

Table 16.1 shows the removed MPI-1 functions and their replacements.

Removed	MPI-2 Replacement
MPI_ADDRESS	MPI_GET_ADDRESS
MPI_ERRHANDLER_CREATE	MPI_COMM_CREATE_ERRHANDLER
MPI_ERRHANDLER_GET	MPI_COMM_GET_ERRHANDLER
MPI_ERRHANDLER_SET	MPI_COMM_SET_ERRHANDLER
MPI_TYPE_EXTENT	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT
MPI_TYPE_HINDEXED	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED
MPI_TYPE_HVECTOR	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR
MPI_TYPE_LB	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT
MPI_TYPE_STRUCT	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT
MPI_TYPE_UB	MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT

Table 16.1: Removed MPI-1 functions and their replacements

#### 16.1.3 Removed MPI-1 Datatypes

Table 16.2 shows the removed MPI-1 datatypes and their replacements.

#### 16.1.4 Removed MPI-1 Constants

Table 16.3 shows the removed MPI-1 constants. There are no MPI-2 replacements.

	598CHAPTER 16. REMOVED INTERFACES
1	Removed MPL 2 Perlagement
2	Removed     MPI-2 Replacement       MPI_LB     MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED
-	MPI_UB MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED
4	
5	
6	Table 16.2: Removed MPI-1 datatypes and their replacements
7	Removed MPI-1 Constants
8	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
9	Fortran type: INTEGER
10	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_INTEGER
11	MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR_INTEGER
12	MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT_INTEGER
13	
14 15	Table 16.3: Removed MPI-1 constants
16	Table 10.9. Removed Wit FI Constants
17	16.1.5 Demonsed MDL 1 Callback Directory and
18	16.1.5 Removed MPI-1 Callback Prototypes
19	Table 16.4 shows the removed MPI-1 callback prototypes and their MPI-2 replacements.
20	
21	Removed MPI-2 Replacement
22	MPI_Handler_function MPI_Comm_errhandler_function
23	
24	Table 16.4: Removed MPI-1 callback prototypes and their replacements
25	
26	
27	16.2 C++ Bindings
28 29	
30	The C++ bindings were deprecated as of MPI-2.2. The C++ bindings are removed in
31	MPI-3.0. The namespace is still reserved, however, and bindings may only be provided by
32	an implementation as described in the MPI-2.2 standard.
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	

## Chapter 17

# Language Bindings

## 17.1 Fortran Support

### 17.1.1 Overview

The Fortran MPI language bindings have been designed to be compatible with the Fortran 90 standard with additional features from Fortran 2003 and Fortran 2008 [40] + TS 29113 [41].

Rationale. Fortran 90 contains numerous features designed to make it a more "modern" language than Fortran 77. It seems natural that MPI should be able to take advantage of these new features with a set of bindings tailored to Fortran 90. In Fortran 2008 + TS 29113, the major new language features used are the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute to protect nonblocking MPI operations, and assumed-type and assumed-rank dummy arguments for choice buffer arguments. Further requirements for compiler support are listed in Section 17.1.7. (*End of rationale.*)

MPI defines three methods of Fortran support:

- 1. USE mpi\_f08: This method is described in Section 17.1.2. It requires compile-time argument checking with unique MPI handle types and provides techniques to fully solve the optimization problems with nonblocking calls. This is the only Fortran support method that is consistent with the Fortran standard (Fortran 2008 + TS 29113 and later). This method is highly recommended for all MPI applications.
- 2. USE mpi: This method is described in Section 17.1.3 and requires compile-time argument checking. Handles are defined as INTEGER. This Fortran support method is inconsistent with the Fortran standard, and its use is therefore not recommended. It exists only for backwards compatibility.
- 3. **INCLUDE 'mpif.h':** This method is described in Section 17.1.4. The use of the include file mpif.h is strongly discouraged starting with MPI-3.0, because this method neither guarantees compile-time argument checking nor provides sufficient techniques to solve the optimization problems with nonblocking calls, and is therefore inconsistent with the Fortran standard. It exists only for backwards compatibility with legacy MPI applications.

 $47 \\ 48$ 

Compliant MPI-3 implementations providing a Fortran interface must provide one or both of the following:

- The USE mpi\_f08 Fortran support method.
- The USE mpi and INCLUDE 'mpif.h' Fortran support methods.

Section 17.1.6 describes restrictions if the compiler does not support all the needed features. Application subroutines and functions may use either one of the modules or the mpif.h include file. An implementation may require the use of one of the modules to prevent type mismatch errors.

Advice to users. Users are advised to utilize one of the MPI modules even if mpif.h enforces type checking on a particular system. Using a module provides several potential advantages over using an include file; the mpi\_f08 module offers the most robust and complete Fortran support. (*End of advice to users.*)

In a single application, it must be possible to link together routines which USE mpi\_f08, USE mpi, and INCLUDE 'mpif.h'.

The LOGICAL compile-time constant MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED is set to

19.TRUE. if all buffer choice arguments are defined in explicit interfaces with assumed-type 20and assumed-rank [41]; otherwise it is set to .FALSE.. The LOGICAL compile-time constant 21MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING is set to .TRUE. if the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute was 22added to the choice buffer arguments of all nonblocking interfaces and the underlying 23Fortran compiler supports the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute for MPI communication (as part of  $^{24}$ TS 29113), otherwise it is set to .FALSE.. These constants exist for each Fortran support 25method, but not in the C header file. The values may be different for each Fortran support 26method. All other constants and the integer values of handles must be the same for each 27Fortran support method. 28

Section 17.1.2 through 17.1.4 define the Fortran support methods. The Fortran in-29terfaces of each MPI routine are shorthands. Section 17.1.5 defines the corresponding 30 full interface specification together with the specific procedure names and implications for  $^{31}$ the profiling interface. Section 17.1.6 the implementation of the MPI routines for differ-32 ent versions of the Fortran standard. Section 17.1.7 summarizes major requirements for 33 valid MPI-3.0 implementations with Fortran support. Section 17.1.8 and Section 17.1.9 de-34scribe additional functionality that is part of the Fortran support. MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG is 35 needed for one of the methods to prevent register optimization problems. A set of func-36 tions provides additional support for Fortran intrinsic numeric types, including parameter-37 ized types: MPI\_SIZEOF, MPI\_TYPE\_MATCH\_SIZE, MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_INTEGER, 38 MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL and MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_COMPLEX. In the context 39 of MPI, parameterized types are Fortran intrinsic types which are specified using KIND type 40 parameters. Sections 17.1.10 through 17.1.19 give an overview and details on known prob-41 lems when using Fortran together with MPI; Section 17.1.20 compares the Fortran problems 42with those in C.

43 44

45

## 17.1.2 Fortran Support Through the mpi\_f08 Module

An MPI implementation providing a Fortran interface must provide a module named mpi\_f08
 that can be used in a Fortran program. Section 17.1.6 describes restrictions if the compiler
 does not support all the needed features. Within all MPI function specifications, the first

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

of the set of two Fortran routine interface specifications is provided by this module. This module must:

- Define all named MPI constants.
- Declare MPI functions that return a value.
- Provide explicit interfaces according to the Fortran routine interface specifications. This module therefore guarantees compile-time argument checking for all arguments which are not TYPE(\*), with the following exception:

Only one Fortran interface is defined for functions that are deprecated as of MPI-3.0. This interface must be provided as an explicit interface according to the rules defined for the mpi module, see Section 17.1.3.

Advice to users. It is strongly recommended that developers substitute calls to deprecated routines when upgrading from mpif.h or the mpi module to the mpi\_f08 module. (End of advice to users.)

- Define the derived type MPI\_Status, and define all MPI handles with uniquely named handle types (instead of INTEGER handles, as in the mpi module). This is reflected in the first Fortran binding in each MPI function definition throughout this document (except for the deprecated routines).
- Overload the operators .EQ. and .NE. to allow the comparison of these MPI handles with .EQ., .NE., == and /=.
- Use the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute to protect the buffers of nonblocking operations, and set the LOGICAL compile-time constant MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING to .TRUE. if the underlying Fortran compiler supports the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute for MPI communication (as part of TS 29113). See Section 17.1.6 for older compiler versions.
- Set the LOGICAL compile-time constant MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED to .TRUE. and declare choice buffers using the Fortran 2008 TS 29113 features assumed-type and assumed-rank, i.e., TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) in all nonblocking, split collective and persistent communication routines, if the underlying Fortran compiler supports it. With this, non-contiguous sub-arrays can be used as buffers in nonblocking routines.

*Rationale.* In all blocking routines, i.e., if the choice-buffer is not declared as ASYNCHRONOUS, the TS 29113 feature is not needed for the support of non-contiguous buffers because the compiler can pass the buffer by in-and-out-copy through a contiguous scratch array. (*End of rationale.*)

- Set the MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED compile-time constant to .FALSE. and declare choice buffers with a compiler-dependent mechanism that overrides type checking if the underlying Fortran compiler does not support the Fortran 2008 TS 29113 assumed-type and assumed-rank notation. In this case, the use of non-contiguous sub-arrays as buffers in nonblocking calls may be invalid. See Section 17.1.6 for details.
- Declare each argument with an INTENT of IN, OUT, or INOUT as defined in this standard.

 $\frac{4}{5}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

Rationale. For these definitions in the mpi\_f08 bindings, in most cases, INTENT(IN) is used if the C interface uses call-by-value. For all buffer arguments and for OUT and INOUT dummy arguments that allow one of the non-ordinary Fortran constants (see MPI\_BOTTOM, etc. in Section 2.5.4) as input, an INTENT is not specified. (End of rationale.)

Advice to users. If a dummy argument is declared with INTENT(OUT), then the Fortran standard stipulates that the actual argument becomes undefined upon invocation of the MPI routine, i.e., it may be overwritten by some other values, e.g. zeros; according to [40], 12.5.2.4 Ordinary dummy variables, Paragraph 17: "If a dummy argument has INTENT(OUT), the actual argument becomes undefined at the time the association is established, except [...]". For example, if the dummy argument is an assumed-size array and the actual argument is a strided array, the call may be implemented with copy-in and copy-out of the argument. In the case of INTENT(OUT) the copy-in may be suppressed by the optimization and the routine is starts execution using an array of undefined values. If the routine stores fewer elements into the dummy argument than is provided in the actual argument, then the remaining locations are overwritten with these undefined values. See also both advices to implementors in Section 17.1.3. (End of advice to users.)

• Declare all ierror output arguments as OPTIONAL, except for user-defined callback functions (e.g., COMM\_COPY\_ATTR\_FUNCTION) and predefined callbacks (e.g., MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN).

*Rationale.* For user-defined callback functions (e.g., COMM\_COPY\_ATTR\_FUNCTION) and their predefined callbacks (e.g., MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN), the ierror argument is not optional. The MPI library must always call these routines with an actual ierror argument. Therefore, these user-defined functions need not check whether the MPI library calls these routines with or without an actual ierror output argument. (*End of rationale.*)

The MPI Fortran bindings in the mpi\_f08 module are designed based on the Fortran 2008 standard [40] together with the Technical Specification "TS 29113 Further Interoperability with C" [41] of the ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 (Fortran) working group.

Rationale. The features in TS 29113 on further interoperability with C were decided on by ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22/WG5 and designed by PL22.3 (formerly J3) to support a higher level of integration between Fortran-specific features and C than was provided in the Fortran 2008 standard; part of this design is based on requirements from the MPI Forum to support MPI-3.0. According to [41], "an ISO/IEC TS is reviewed after three years in order to decide whether it will be confirmed for a further three years, revised to become an International Standard, or withdrawn. If the ISO/IEC TS is confirmed, it is reviewed again after a further three years, at which time it must either be transformed into an International Standard or be withdrawn."

The TS 29113 contains the following language features that are needed for the MPI bindings in the mpi\_f08 module: assumed-type and assumed-rank. It is important that any possible actual argument can be used for such dummy arguments, e.g., scalars, arrays, assumed-shape arrays, assumed-size arrays, allocatable arrays, and

with any element type, e.g., REAL, CHARACTER\*5, CHARACTER\*(\*), sequence derived types, or BIND(C) derived types. Especially for backward compatibility reasons, it is important that any possible actual argument in an implicit interface implementation of a choice buffer dummy argument (e.g., with mpif.h without argument-checking) can be used in an implementation with assumed-type and assumed-rank argument in an explicit interface (e.g., with the mpi\_f08 module).

A further feature useful for MPI is the extension of the semantics of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute: In F2003 and F2008, this attribute could be used only to protect buffers of Fortran asynchronous I/O. With TS 29113, this attribute now also covers asynchronous communication occurring within library routines written in C.

The MPI Forum hereby wishes to acknowledge this important effort by the Fortran PL22.3 and WG5 committee. (*End of rationale.*)

## 17.1.3 Fortran Support Through the mpi Module

An MPI implementation providing a Fortran interface must provide a module named mpi that can be used in a Fortran program. Within all MPI function specifications, the second of the set of two Fortran routine interface specifications is provided by this module. This module must:

- $\bullet\,$  Define all named MPI constants
- Declare MPI functions that return a value.
- Provide explicit interfaces according to the Fortran routine interface specifications. This module therefore guarantees compile-time argument checking and allows positional and keyword-based argument lists. If an implementation is paired with a compiler that either does not support TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) from TS 29113, or is otherwise unable to ignore the types of choice buffers, then the implementation must provide explicit interfaces only for MPI routines with no choice buffer arguments. See Section Section 17.1.6 on page 611 for more details.
- Define all MPI handles as type INTEGER.
- Define the derived type MPI\_Status and all named handle types that are used in the mpi\_f08 module. For these named handle types, overload the operators .EQ. and .NE. to allow handle comparison via the .EQ., .NE., == and /= operators.

*Rationale.* They are needed only when the application converts old-style INTEGER handles into new-style handles with a named type. (*End of rationale.*)

- A high quality MPI implementation may enhance the interface by using the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute in the same way as in the mpi\_f08 module if it is supported by the underlying compiler.
- Set the LOGICAL compile-time constant MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING to .TRUE. if the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is used in all nonblocking interfaces and the underlying Fortran compiler supports the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute for MPI communication (as part of TS 29113), otherwise to .FALSE..

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

For an MPI implementation that fully supports nonblocking calls Advice to users. with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute for choice buffers, an existing MPI-2.2 application may fail to compile even if it compiled and executed with expected results with an MPI-2.2 implementation. One reason may be that the application uses "contiguous" but not "simply contiguous" ASYNCHRONOUS arrays as actual arguments for choice buffers of nonblocking routines, e.g., by using subscript triplets with stride one or specifying (1:n) for a whole dimension instead of using (:). This should be fixed to fulfill the Fortran constraints for ASYNCHRONOUS dummy arguments. This is not considered a violation of backward compatibility because existing applications can not use the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute to protect nonblocking calls. Another reason may be that the application does not conform either to MPI-2.2, or to MPI-3.0, or to the Fortran standard, typically because the program forces the compiler to perform copy-in/out for a choice buffer argument in a nonblocking MPI call. This is also not a violation of backward compatibility because the application itself is non-conforming. See Section 17.1.12 for more details. (End of advice to users.)

- A high quality MPI implementation may enhance the interface by using TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..) choice buffer dummy arguments instead of using non-standardized extensions such as !\$PRAGMA IGNORE\_TKR or a set of overloaded functions as described by M. Hennecke in [28], if the compiler supports this TS 29113 language feature. See Section 17.1.6 for further details.
  - Set the LOGICAL compile-time constant MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED to .TRUE. if all choice buffer arguments in all nonblocking, split collective and persistent communication routines are declared with TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), otherwise set it to .FALSE.. When MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED is defined as
    - .TRUE., non-contiguous sub-arrays can be used as buffers in nonblocking routines.
  - Set the MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED compile-time constant to .FALSE. and declare choice buffers with a compiler-dependent mechanism that overrides type checking if the underlying Fortran compiler does not support the TS 29113 assumed-type and assumed-rank features. In this case, the use of non-contiguous sub-arrays in non-blocking calls may be disallowed. See Section 17.1.6 for details.

An MPI implementation may provide other features in the mpi module that enhance the usability of MPI while maintaining adherence to the standard. For example, it may provide INTENT information in these interface blocks.

Advice to implementors. The appropriate INTENT may be different from what is given in the MPI language-neutral bindings. Implementations must choose INTENT so that the function adheres to the MPI standard, e.g., by defining the INTENT as provided in the mpi\_f08 bindings. (End of advice to implementors.)

Rationale. The intent given by the MPI generic interface is not precisely defined
 and does not in all cases correspond to the correct Fortran INTENT. For instance,
 receiving into a buffer specified by a datatype with absolute addresses may require
 associating MPI\_BOTTOM with a dummy OUT argument. Moreover, "constants" such
 MPI\_BOTTOM and MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE are not constants as defined by Fortran,
 but "special addresses" used in a nonstandard way. Finally, the MPI-1 generic intent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

was changed in several places in MPI-2. For instance, MPI\_IN\_PLACE changes the intent of an OUT argument to be INOUT. (End of rationale.)

Advice to implementors. The Fortran 2008 standard illustrates in its Note 5.17 that "INTENT(OUT) means that the value of the argument after invoking the procedure is entirely the result of executing that procedure. If an argument should retain its value rather than being redefined, INTENT(INOUT) should be used rather than INTENT(OUT), even if there is no explicit reference to the value of the dummy argument. Furthermore, INTENT(INOUT) is not equivalent to omitting the IN-TENT attribute, because INTENT(INOUT) always requires that the associated actual argument is definable." Applications that include mpif.h may not expect that 11 INTENT (OUT) is used. In particular, output array arguments are expected to keep their 12content as long as the MPI routine does not modify them. To keep this behavior, it is 13 recommended that implementations not use INTENT(OUT) in the mpi module and the 14mpif.h include file, even though INTENT(OUT) is specified in an interface description of the mpi\_f08 module. (End of advice to implementors.)

#### Fortran Support Through the mpif.h Include File 17.1.4

The use of the mpif.h include file is strongly discouraged and may be deprecated in a future version of MPI.

An MPI implementation providing a Fortran interface must provide an include file named mpif.h that can be used in a Fortran program. Within all MPI function specifications, the second of the set of two Fortran routine interface specifications is supported by this include file. This include file must:

- Define all named MPI constants. • Declare MPI functions that return a value. • Define all handles as INTEGER. • Be valid and equivalent for both fixed and free source form. For each MPI routine, an implementation can choose to use an implicit or explicit interface for the second Fortran binding (in deprecated routines, the first one may be omitted). • Set the LOGICAL compile-time constants MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED and MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING according to the same rules as for the mpi module. In the case of implicit interfaces for choice buffer or nonblocking routines, the constants must be set to .FALSE.. Advice to users. Instead of using mpif.h, the use of the mpi\_f08 or mpi module is strongly encouraged for the following reasons: • Most mpif.h implementations do not include compile-time argument checking.
  - Therefore, many bugs in MPI applications remain undetected at compile-time, 45such as: 46
    - Missing ierror as last argument in most Fortran bindings.

605

1  $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

15

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

2728

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

4243

44

47

	000 CHAFTER 17. LANGUAGE DINDINGS
1	– Declaration of a status as an INTEGER variable instead of an INTEGER array
2	with size MPI_STATUS_SIZE.
3	- Incorrect argument positions; e.g., interchanging the count and
4	datatype arguments.
5	- Passing incorrect MPI handles; e.g., passing a datatype instead of a commu-
6	nicator.
7	• The migration from mpif.h to the mpi module should be relatively straightfor-
8 9	ward (i.e., substituting include 'mpif.h' after an implicit statement by use
10	mpi before that implicit statement) as long as the application syntax is correct.
11	• Migrating portable and correctly written applications to the <b>mpi</b> module is not
12	expected to be difficult. No compile or runtime problems should occur because
13	an mpif.h include file was always allowed to provide explicit Fortran interfaces.
14 15	(End of advice to users.)
16	Rationale. With MPI-3.0, the mpif.h include file was not deprecated in order to
17	retain strong backward compatibility. Internally, mpif.h and the mpi module may be
18	implemented so that essentially the same library implementation of the MPI routines
19	can be used. (End of rationale.)
20 21	
21	17.1.5 Interface Specifications, Procedure Names, and the Profiling Interface
23	The Fortran interface specification of each MPI routine specifies the routine name that must
24	be called by the application program, and the names and types of the dummy arguments
25	together with additional attributes. The Fortran standard allows a given Fortran interface
26	to be implemented with several methods, e.g., within or outside of a module, with or without
27 28	BIND(C), or the buffers with or without TS 29113. Such implementation decisions imply
29	different binary interfaces and different specific procedure names. The requirements for
30	several implementation schemes together with the rules for the specific procedure names and its implications for the profiling interface are specified within this section, but not the
31	implementation details.
32	
33	Rationale. This section was introduced in MPI-3.0 on Sep. 21, 2012. The major goals
34	for implementing the three Fortran support methods have been:
35	• Portable implementation of the wrappers from the MPI Fortran interfaces to the
36	• I of table implementation of the wrappers from the WFT Fortran interfaces to the MPI routines in C.
37	• Binary backward compatible implementation path when switching
38 39	MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED from .FALSE. to .TRUE
40	• The Fortran PMPI interface need not be backward compatible, but a method
41	must be included that a tools layer can use to examine the MPI library about
42	the specific procedure names and interfaces used.
43	• No performance drawbacks.
44	• Consistency between all three Fortran support methods.
45	• Consistent with Fortran 2008 + TS 29113.
46	
47	The design expected that all dummy arguments in the MPI Fortran interfaces are
48	interoperable with C according to Fortran $2008 + TS 29113$ . This expectation was

not fulfilled. The LOGICAL arguments are not interoperable with C, mainly because the internal representations for .FALSE. and .TRUE. are compiler dependent. The provided interface was mainly based on BIND(C) interfaces and therefore inconsistent with Fortran. To be consistent with Fortran, the BIND(C) had to be removed from the callback procedure interfaces and the predefined callbacks, e.g., MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_FN. Non-BIND(C) procedures are also not interoperable with C, and therefore the BIND(C) had to be removed from all routines with PROCEDURE arguments, e.g., from MPI\_OP\_CREATE.

Therefore, this section was rewritten as an erratum to MPI-3.0. (End of rationale.)

A Fortran call to an MPI routine shall result in a call to a procedure with one of the specific procedure names and calling conventions, as described in Table 17.1 on page 607. Case is not significant in the names.

No.	Specific pro-	Calling convention
	cedure name	
1A	MPI_Isend_f08	Fortran interface and arguments, as in Annex A.3, except
		that in routines with a choice buffer dummy argument, this
		dummy argument is implemented with non-standard ex-
		tensions like <b>!</b> \$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR, which provides a call-
		by-reference argument without type, kind, and dimension
		checking.
$1\mathrm{B}$	MPI_lsend_f08ts	Fortran interface and arguments, as in Annex A.3, but
		only for routines with one or more choice buffer dummy
		arguments; these dummy arguments are implemented with
		TYPE(*), DIMENSION().
2A	MPI_ISEND	Fortran interface and arguments, as in Annex A.4, except
	_	that in routines with a choice buffer dummy argument, this
		dummy argument is implemented with non-standard ex-
		tensions like !\$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR, which provides a call-
		by-reference argument without type, kind, and dimension
		checking.
2B	MPI_ISEND_FTS	Fortran interface and arguments, as in Annex A.4, but
-	·_···	only for routines with one or more choice buffer dummy
		arguments; these dummy arguments are implemented with
		TYPE(*), DIMENSION().

Table 17.1: Specific Fortran procedure names and related calling conventions. MPI\_ISEND is used as an example. For routines without choice buffers, only 1A and 2A apply.

Note that for the deprecated routines in Section 15.1 on page 593, which are reported only in Annex A.4, scheme 2A is utilized in the mpi module and mpif.h, and also in the mpi\_f08 module.

To set MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED to .TRUE. within a Fortran support method, it is required that all non-blocking and split-collective routines with buffer arguments are implemented according to 1B and 2B, i.e., with MPI\_Xxxx\_f08ts in the mpi\_f08 module, and with MPI\_XXXX\_FTS in the mpi module and the mpif.h include file.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

The mpi and mpi\_f08 modules and the mpif.h include file will each correspond to exactly one implementation scheme from Table 17.1 on page 607. However, the MPI library may contain multiple implementation schemes from Table 17.1.

Advice to implementors. This may be desirable for backwards binary compatibility in the scope of a single MPI implementation, for example. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Rationale. After a compiler provides the facilities from TS 29113, i.e., TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), it is possible to change the bindings within a Fortran support method to support subarrays without recompiling the complete application provided that the previous interfaces with their specific procedure names are still included in the library. Of course, only recompiled routines can benefit from the added facilities. There is no binary compatibility conflict because each interface uses its own specific procedure names and all interfaces use the same constants (except the value of MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED and MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING) and type definitions. After a compiler also ensures that buffer arguments of nonblocking MPI operations can be protected through the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute, and the procedure declarations in the mpi\_f08 and mpi module and the mpif.h include file declare choice buffers with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute, then the value of

MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING can be switched to .TRUE. in the module definition and include file. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. Partial recompilation of user applications when upgrading MPI implementations is a highly complex and subtle topic. Users are strongly advised to consult their MPI implementation's documentation to see exactly what is — and what is not — supported. (*End of advice to users.*)

26 27

> Within the mpi\_f08 and mpi modules and mpif.h, for all MPI procedures, a second procedure with the same calling conventions shall be supplied, except that the name is modified by prefixing with the letter "P", e.g., PMPI\_Isend. The specific procedure names for these PMPI\_Xxxx procedures must be different from the specific procedure names for the MPI\_Xxxx procedures and are not specified by this standard.

> A user-written or middleware profiling routine should provide the same specific Fortran procedure names and calling conventions, and therefore can interpose itself as the MPI library routine. The profiling routine can internally call the matching PMPI routine with any of its existing bindings, except for routines that have callback routine dummy arguments, choice buffer arguments, or that are attribute caching routines (

> <sup>38</sup> MPI\_{COMM|WIN|TYPE}\_{SET|GET}\_ATTR). In this case, the profiling software should <sup>39</sup> invoke the corresponding PMPI routine using the same Fortran support method as used in <sup>40</sup> the calling application program, because the C, mpi\_f08 and mpi callback prototypes are <sup>41</sup> different or the meaning of the choice buffer or attribute\_val arguments are different.

42 43

Advice to users. Although for each support method and MPI routine (e.g.,

<sup>44</sup> MPI\_ISEND in mpi\_f08), multiple routines may need to be provided to intercept <sup>45</sup> the specific procedures in the MPI library (e.g., MPI\_Isend\_f08 and MPI\_Isend\_f08ts), <sup>46</sup> each profiling routine itself uses only one support method (e.g., mpi\_f08) and calls <sup>47</sup> the real MPI routine through the one PMPI routine defined in this support method <sup>48</sup> (i.e., PMPI\_Isend in this example). (*End of advice to users.*)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Advice to implementors. If all of the following conditions are fulfilled:

- the handles in the mpi\_f08 module occupy one Fortran numerical storage unit (same as an INTEGER handle),
- the internal argument passing mechanism used to pass an actual ierror argument to a non-optional ierror dummy argument is binary compatible to passing an actual ierror argument to an ierror dummy argument that is declared as OPTIONAL,
- the internal argument passing mechanism for ASYNCHRONOUS and non-ASYNCHRONOUS arguments is the same,
- the internal routine call mechanism is the same for the Fortran and the C compilers for which the MPI library is compiled,
- the compiler does not provide TS 29113,

then the implementor may use the same internal routine implementations for all Fortran support methods but with several different specific procedure names. If the accompanying Fortran compiler supports TS 29113, then the new routines are needed only for routines with choice buffer arguments. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Advice to implementors. In the Fortran support method mpif.h, compile-time argument checking can be also implemented for all routines. For mpif.h, the argument names are not specified through the MPI standard, i.e., only positional argument lists are defined, and not key-word based lists. Due to the rule that mpif.h must be valid for fixed and free source form, the subroutine declaration is restricted to one line with 72 characters. To keep the argument lists short, each argument name can be shortened to a minimum of one character. With this, the two longest subroutine declaration statements are

SUBROUTINE PMPI\_Dist\_graph\_create\_adjacent(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k)
SUBROUTINE PMPI\_Rget\_accumulate(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k,l,m,n)

with 71 and 66 characters. With buffers implemented with TS 29113, the specific procedure names have an additional postfix. The longest of such interface definitions is

INTERFACE PMPI\_Rget\_accumulate
SUBROUTINE PMPI\_Rget\_accumulate\_fts(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k,l,m,n)

with 70 characters. In principle, continuation lines would be possible in mpif.h (spaces in columns 73–131, & in column 132, and in column 6 of the continuation line) but this would not be valid if the source line length is extended with a compiler flag to 132 characters. Column 133 is also not available for the continuation character because lines longer than 132 characters are invalid with some compilers by default.

The longest specific procedure names are PMPI\_Dist\_graph\_create\_adjacent\_f08 and PMPI\_File\_write\_ordered\_begin\_f08ts both with 35 characters in the mpi\_f08 module.

For example, the interface specifications together with the specific procedure names can be implemented with

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

```
1
              MODULE mpi_f08
2
                 TYPE, BIND(C) :: MPI_Comm
3
                    INTEGER :: MPI_VAL
                 END TYPE MPI_Comm
4
                 . . .
5
                 INTERFACE MPI_Comm_rank ! (as defined in Chapter 6)
6
                    SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_rank_f08(comm, rank, ierror)
7
                       IMPORT :: MPI_Comm
8
                      TYPE(MPI_Comm),
                                                   INTENT(IN) :: comm
9
                                                   INTENT(OUT) :: rank
                      INTEGER,
                      INTEGER, OPTIONAL,
                                                   INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
10
                    END SUBROUTINE
11
                 END INTERFACE
12
              END MODULE mpi_f08
13
14
              MODULE mpi
15
                 INTERFACE MPI_Comm_rank ! (as defined in Chapter 6)
16
                    SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_rank(comm, rank, ierror)
17
                       INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: comm
                                                                 ! The INTENT may be added although
                      INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank
                                                                 ! it is not defined in the
18
                      INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror ! official routine definition.
19
                   END SUBROUTINE
20
                 END INTERFACE
21
              END MODULE mpi
22
23
              And if interfaces are provided in mpif.h, they might look like this (outside of any
24
              module and in fixed source format):
25
               1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012
26
                       INTERFACE MPI_Comm_rank ! (as defined in Chapter 6)
27
                        SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_rank(comm, rank, ierror)
28
                         INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: comm ! The argument names may be
29
                         INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: rank
                                                                    ! shortened so that the
30
                         INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror ! subroutine line fits to the
31
                        END SUBROUTINE
                                                                    ! maximum of 72 characters.
32
                       END INTERFACE
33
34
              (End of advice to implementors.)
35
                                    The following is an example of how a user-written or middleware
              Advice to users.
36
              profiling routine can be implemented:
37
38
              SUBROUTINE MPI_Isend_f08ts(buf,count,datatype,dest,tag,comm,request,ierror)
39
                 USE :: mpi_f08, my_noname => MPI_Isend_f08ts
40
                 TYPE(*), DIMENSION(...), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf
41
                 INTEGER,
                                             INTENT(IN)
                                                                :: count, dest, tag
42
                 TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(IN)
                                                                   :: datatype
                 TYPE(MPI_Comm),
                                            INTENT(IN)
                                                                   :: comm
43
                 TYPE(MPI_Request), INTENT(OUT)
                                                                    :: request
44
                 INTEGER, OPTIONAL,
                                            INTENT(OUT)
                                                                    :: ierror
45
                    ! ... some code for the begin of profiling
46
                 call PMPI_Isend (buf, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm, request, ierror)
47
                    ! ... some code for the end of profiling
48
              END SUBROUTINE MPI_Isend_f08ts
```

Note that this routine is used to intercept the existing specific procedure name MPI\_lsend\_f08ts in the MPI library. This routine must not be part of a module. This routine itself calls PMPI\_lsend. The USE of the mpi\_f08 module is needed for definitions of handle types and the interface for PMPI\_lsend. However, this module also contains an interface definition for the specific procedure name MPI\_lsend\_f08ts that conflicts with the definition of this profiling routine (i.e., the name is doubly defined). Therefore, the USE here specifically excludes the interface from the module by renaming the unused routine name in the mpi\_f08 module into "my\_noname" in the scope of this routine. (*End of advice to users.*)

The PMPI interface allows intercepting MPI routines. For exam-Advice to users. 11 ple, an additional MPI\_ISEND profiling wrapper can be provided that is called by the 12application and internally calls PMPI\_ISEND. There are two typical use cases: a pro- $^{13}$ filing layer that is developed independently from the application and the MPI library, 14and profiling routines that are part of the application and have access to the appli-15cation data. With MPI-3.0, new Fortran interfaces and implementation schemes were 16 introduced that have several implications on how Fortran MPI routines are internally 17 implemented and optimized. For profiling layers, these schemes imply that several in-18 ternal interfaces with different specific procedure names may need to be intercepted, 19 as shown in the example code above. Therefore, for wrapper routines that are part 20of a Fortran application, it may be more convenient to make the name shift within 21the application, i.e., to substitute the call to the MPI routine (e.g., MPI\_ISEND) by a 22call to a user-written profiling wrapper with a new name (e.g., X\_MPI\_ISEND) and to 23call the Fortran MPI\_ISEND from this wrapper, instead of using the PMPI interface.  $^{24}$ (End of advice to users.) 25

Advice to implementors. An implementation that provides a Fortran interface must provide a combination of MPI library and module or include file that uses the specific procedure names as described in Table 17.1 on page 607 so that the MPI Fortran routines are interceptable as described above. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

## 17.1.6 MPI for Different Fortran Standard Versions

This section describes which Fortran interface functionality can be provided for different versions of the Fortran standard.

- For Fortran 77 with some extensions:
  - MPI identifiers may be up to 30 characters (31 with the profiling interface).
  - MPI identifiers may contain underscores after the first character.
  - An MPI subroutine with a choice argument may be called with different argument types.
  - Although not required by the MPI standard, the INCLUDE statement should be available for including mpif.h into the user application source code.

Only MPI-1.1, MPI-1.2, and MPI-1.3 can be implemented. The use of absolute addresses from MPI\_ADDRESS and MPI\_BOTTOM may cause problems if an address does not fit into the memory space provided by an INTEGER. (In MPI-2.0 this problem is solved with MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS, but not for Fortran 77.)
45
46
47
48

1 2

3

4

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

6 7

8 9

10

26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

1	• For Fortran 90:
2 3	The major additional features that are needed from Fortran 90 are:
4	- The MODULE and INTERFACE concept.
5	- The KIND= and SELECTEDKIND concept.
6	- Fortran derived TYPEs and the SEQUENCE attribute.
7	- The OPTIONAL attribute for dummy arguments.
8 9	- Cray pointers, which are a non-standard compiler extension, are needed for the
10	use of MPI_ALLOC_MEM.
11 12	With these features, $MPI-1.1 - MPI-2.2$ can be implemented without restrictions.
12	MPI-3.0 can be implemented with some restrictions. The Fortran support methods
14	are abbreviated with $\texttt{S1}=$ the <code>mpi_f08</code> module, $\texttt{S2}=$ the <code>mpi</code> module, and $\texttt{S3}=$ the
15	mpif.f include file. If not stated otherwise, restrictions exist for each method which
16	prevent implementing the complete semantics of MPI-3.0.
17	– MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED equals .FALSE., i.e., subscript triplets and non-
18	contiguous subarrays cannot be used as buffers in nonblocking routines, RMA,
19	or split-collective I/O.
20 21	- S1, S2, and S3 can be implemented, but for S1, only a preliminary implementa-
21	tion is possible.
23	- In this preliminary interface of S1, the following changes are necessary:
24	* TYPE(*), DIMENSION() is substituted by non-standardized extensions
25	like !\$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR.
26	* The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is omitted.
27 28	* <b>PROCEDURE()</b> callback declarations are substituted by <b>EXTERNAL</b> .
29	- The specific procedure names are specified in Section 17.1.5.
30	- Due to the rules specified in Section 17.1.5, choice buffer declarations should be
31	implemented only with non-standardized extensions like <b>!</b> \$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR
32	(as long as $F2008+TS$ 29113 is not available).
33	In S2 and S3: Without such extensions, routines with choice buffers should be
34	provided with an implicit interface, instead of overloading with a different MPI
35 36	function for each possible buffer type (as mentioned in Section $17.1.11$ ). Such
37	overloading would also imply restrictions for passing Fortran derived types as
38	choice buffer, see also Section $17.1.15$ .
39	Only in S1: The implicit interfaces for routines with choice buffer arguments
40	imply that the ierror argument cannot be defined as OPTIONAL. For this reason,
41	it is recommended not to provide the mpi_f08 module if such an extension is not available.
42	
43	- The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute can <b>not</b> be used in applications to protect buffers in pophlocking MPI calls (S1-S3)
44	in nonblocking MPI calls (S1–S3).
45 46	- The TYPE(C_PTR) binding of the MPI_ALLOC_MEM and MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE
40	routines is not available.
48	

interfaces are used.

•

	- In S1 and S2, the definition of the handle types (e.g., TYPE(MPI_Comm) and the status type TYPE(MPI_Status) must be modified: The SEQUENCE attribute must be used instead of BIND(C) (which is not available in Fortran 90/95). This restriction implies that the application must be fully recompiled if one switches to	1 2 3 4
	an MPI library for Fortran 2003 and later because the internal memory size of the	5
	handles may have changed. For this reason, an implementor may choose not to	6
	provide the mpi_f08 module for Fortran 90 compilers. In this case, the mpi_f08	7
	handle types and all routines, constants and types related to TYPE(MPI_Status)	8
	(see Section 17.2.5) are also not available in the mpi module and mpif.h.	9 10
,	For Fortran 95:	11
	The quality of the MPI interface and the restrictions are the same as with Fortran 90.	12 13
,	For Fortran 2003:	14
	The major features that are needed from Fortran 2003 are:	15
	- Interoperability with C, i.e.,	16
		17
	* BIND(C) derived types.	18
	* The ISO_C_BINDING intrinsic type C_PTR and routine C_F_POINTER.	19
	<ul> <li>The ability to define an ABSTRACT INTERFACE and to use it for PROCEDURE dummy arguments.</li> </ul>	20 21
	<ul> <li>The ability to overload the operators .EQ. and .NE. to allow the comparison of derived types (used in MPI-3.0 for MPI handles).</li> </ul>	22 23 24
	<ul> <li>The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is available to protect Fortran asynchronous I/O. This feature is not yet used by MPI, but it is the basis for the enhancement for MPI communication in the TS 29113.</li> </ul>	24 25 26 27
	With these features (but still without the features of TS 29113), MPI-1.1 – MPI-2.2 can be implemented without restrictions, but with one enhancement:	28 29 30
	<ul> <li>The user application can use TYPE(C_PTR) together with MPI_ALLOC_MEM as long as MPI_ALLOC_MEM is defined with an implicit interface because a C_PTR and an INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) argument must both map to a void * argument.</li> </ul>	30 31 32 33 34
	MPI-3.0 can be implemented with the following restrictions:	35 36
	- MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED equals .FALSE	37
	- For S1, only a preliminary implementation is possible. The following changes are	38
	necessary:	39 40
	* TYPE(*), DIMENSION() is substituted by non-standardized extensions like !\$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR.	41 42
	- The specific procedure names are specified in Section 17.1.5.	43
	- With S1, the ASYNCHRONOUS is required as specified in the second Fortran inter-	44
	faces. With S2 and S3 the implementation can also add this attribute if explicit	45 46
		-0

4	CHAPTER 17. LANGUAGE BINDINGS
	<ul> <li>The ASYNCHRONOUS Fortran attribute can be used in applications to <i>try to</i> protect buffers in nonblocking MPI calls, but the protection can work only if the compiler is able to protect asynchronous Fortran I/O and makes no difference between such asynchronous Fortran I/O and MPI communication.</li> <li>The TYPE(C_PTR) binding of the MPI_ALLOC_MEM, MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE, MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED, and MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY routines can be used only for Fortran types that are C compatible.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The same restriction as for Fortran 90 applies if non-standardized extensions like <b>!\$PRAGMA_IGNORE_TKR</b> are not available.</li> </ul>
•	For Fortran $2008 + TS 29113$ and later and For Fortran $2003 + TS 29113$ : The major feature that are needed from TS 29113 are:
	<ul> <li>TYPE(*), DIMENSION() is available.</li> <li>The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is extended to protect also nonblocking MPI communication.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The array dummy argument of the ISO_C_BINDING intrinsic C_F_POINTER is not restricted to Fortran types for which a corresponding type in C exists.</li> </ul>

Using these features, MPI-3.0 can be implemented without any restrictions.

- - With S1, MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED equals .TRUE.. The ASYNCHRONOUS at-tribute can be used to protect buffers in nonblocking MPI calls. The TYPE(C\_PTR) binding of the MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM, MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE,  $^{24}$ MPI\_WIN\_ALLOCATE\_SHARED, and MPI\_WIN\_SHARED\_QUERY routines can be used for any Fortran type. - With S2 and S3, the value of MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED is implementation dependent. A high quality implementation will also provide MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED == . TRUE. and will use the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute in the same way as in S1.
  - If non-standardized extensions like **!\$PRAGMA IGNORE\_TKR** are not available then S2 must be implemented with TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..).

Advice to implementors. If MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED ==. FALSE., the choice argument may be implemented with an explicit interface using compiler directives, for example:

37	INTERFACE
38	SUBROUTINE MPI(buf,)
39	!DEC\$ ATTRIBUTES NO_ARG_CHECK :: buf
40	!\$PRAGMA IGNORE_TKR buf
41	!DIR\$ IGNORE_TKR buf
42	!IBM* IGNORE_TKR buf
43	REAL, DIMENSION(*) :: buf
44	! declarations of the other arguments
45	END SUBROUTINE
46	END INTERFACE
47	
48	(End of advice to implementors.)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

## 17.1.7 Requirements on Fortran Compilers

 $\mathsf{MPI-3.0}$  (and later) compliant Fortran bindings are not only a property of the  $\mathsf{MPI}$  library itself, but rather a property of an  $\mathsf{MPI}$  library together with the Fortran compiler suite for which it is compiled.

Advice to users. Users must take appropriate steps to ensure that proper options are specified to compilers. MPI libraries must document these options. Some MPI libraries are shipped together with special compilation scripts (e.g., mpif90, mpicc) that set these options automatically. (End of advice to users.)

An MPI library together with the Fortran compiler suite is only compliant with MPI-3.0 (and later), as referred by MPI\_GET\_VERSION, if all the solutions described in Sections 17.1.11 through 17.1.19 work correctly. Based on this rule, major requirements for all three Fortran support methods (i.e., the mpi\_f08 and mpi modules, and mpif.h) are:

- The language features assumed-type and assumed-rank from Fortran 2008 TS 29113 [41] are available. This is required only for mpi\_f08. As long as this requirement is not supported by the compiler, it is valid to build an MPI library that implements the mpi\_f08 module with MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED set to .FALSE..
- "Simply contiguous" arrays and scalars must be passed to choice buffer dummy arguments of nonblocking routines with call by reference. This is needed only if one of the support methods does not use the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute. See Section 17.1.12 for more details.
- SEQUENCE and BIND(C) derived types are valid as actual arguments passed to choice buffer dummy arguments, and, in the case of MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED== .FALSE., they are passed with call by reference, and passed by descriptor in the case of .TRUE..
- All actual arguments that are allowed for a dummy argument in an implicitly defined and separately compiled Fortran routine with the given compiler (e.g., CHARACTER(LEN=\*) strings and array of strings) must also be valid for choice buffer dummy arguments with all Fortran support methods.
- The array dummy argument of the ISO\_C\_BINDING intrinsic module procedure C\_F\_POINTER is not restricted to Fortran types for which a corresponding type in C exists.
- The Fortran compiler shall not provide TYPE(\*) unless the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute protects MPI communication as described in TS 29113. Specifically, the TS 29113 must be implemented as a whole.

The following rules are required at least as long as the compiler does not provide the extension of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute as part of TS 29113 and there still exists a Fortran support method with MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING==.FALSE.. Observation of these rules by the MPI application developer is especially recomended for backward compatibility of existing applications that use the mpi module or the mpif.h include file. The rules are as follows:  $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

1 2 3 4	• Separately compiled empty Fortran routines with implicit interfaces and separately compiled empty C routines with BIND(C) Fortran interfaces (e.g., MPI_F_SYNC_REG on page 637 and Section 17.1.8, and DD on page 639) solve the problems described in Section 17.1.17.		
5 6 7 8 9	• The problems with temporary data movement (described in detail in Section 17.1.18) are solved as long as the application uses different sets of variables for the nonblocking communication (or nonblocking or split collective I/O) and the computation when overlapping communication and computation.		
10 11 12 13 14	• Problems caused by automatic and permanent data movement (e.g., within a garbage collection, see Section 17.1.19) are resolved <b>without</b> any further requirements on the application program, neither on the usage of the buffers, nor on the declaration of application routines that are involved in invoking MPI procedures.		
15 16	All of these rules are valid for the mpi_f08 and mpi modules and independently of whether mpif.h uses explicit interfaces.		
17 18 19 20 21	Advice to implementors. Some of these rules are already part of the Fortran 2003 standard, some of these requirements require the Fortran TS 29113 [41], and some of these requirements for MPI-3.0 are beyond the scope of TS 29113. (End of advice to implementors.)		
22 23	17.1.8 Additional Support for Fortran Register-Memory-Synchronization		
24 25 26 27	As described in Section 17.1.17, a dummy call may be necessary to tell the compiler that registers are to be flushed for a given buffer or that accesses to a buffer may not be moved across a given point in the execution sequence. Only a Fortran binding exists for this call.		
28 29	MPI_F_SYNC_REG(buf)		
30 31	INOUT buf initial address of buffer (choice)		
32 33 34	<pre>MPI_F_sync_reg(buf)     TYPE(*), DIMENSION(), ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf</pre>		
35 36	<pre>MPI_F_SYNC_REG(buf)</pre>		
37 38 39 40 41	This routine has no executable statements. It must be compiled in the MPI library in such a manner that a Fortran compiler cannot detect in the module that the routine has an empty body. It is used only to force the compiler to flush a cached register value of a variable or buffer back to memory (when necessary), or to invalidate the register value.		
42 43 44 45	<i>Rationale.</i> This function is not available in other languages because it would not be useful. This routine has no ierror return argument because there is no operation that can fail. ( <i>End of rationale.</i> )		
46 47 48	Advice to implementors. This routine can be bound to a C routine to minimize the risk that the Fortran compiler can learn that this routine is empty (and that		

the call to this routine can be removed as part of an optimization). However, it is

explicitly allowed to implement this routine within the mpi\_f08 module according to the definition for the mpi module or mpif.h to circumvent the overhead of building the internal dope vector to handle the assumed-type, assumed-rank argument. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

*Rationale.* This routine is not defined with TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(\*), i.e., assumed size instead of assumed rank, because this would restrict the usability to "simply contiguous" arrays and would require overloading with another interface for scalar arguments. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to users. If only a part of an array (e.g., defined by a subscript triplet) is used in a nonblocking routine, it is recommended to pass the whole array to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG anyway to minimize the overhead of this no-operation call. Note that this routine need not be called if MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING is .TRUE. and the application fully uses the facilities of ASYNCHRONOUS arrays. (*End of advice to users*.)

## 17.1.9 Additional Support for Fortran Numeric Intrinsic Types

MPI provides a small number of named datatypes that correspond to named intrinsic types supported by C and Fortran. These include MPI\_INTEGER, MPI\_REAL, MPI\_INT, MPI\_DOUBLE, etc., as well as the optional types MPI\_REAL4, MPI\_REAL8, etc. There is a one-to-one correspondence between language declarations and MPI types.

Fortran (starting with Fortran 90) provides so-called KIND-parameterized types. These types are declared using an intrinsic type (one of INTEGER, REAL, COMPLEX, LOGICAL, and CHARACTER) with an optional integer KIND parameter that selects from among one or more variants. The specific meaning of different KIND values themselves are implementation dependent and not specified by the language. Fortran provides the KIND selection functions selected\_real\_kind for REAL and COMPLEX types, and selected\_int\_kind for INTEGER types that allow users to declare variables with a minimum precision or number of digits. These functions provide a portable way to declare KIND-parameterized REAL, COMPLEX, and INTEGER variables in Fortran. This scheme is backward compatible with Fortran 77. REAL and INTEGER Fortran variables have a default KIND if none is specified. Fortran DOUBLE PRECISION variables are of intrinsic type REAL with a non-default KIND. The following two declarations are equivalent:

double precision x
real(KIND(0.0d0)) x

MPI provides two orthogonal methods for handling communication buffers of numeric intrinsic types. The first method (see the following section) can be used when variables have been declared in a portable way — using default KIND or using KIND parameters obtained with the selected\_int\_kind or selected\_real\_kind functions. With this method, MPI automatically selects the correct data size (e.g., 4 or 8 bytes) and provides representation conversion in heterogeneous environments. The second method (see "Support for sizespecific MPI Datatypes" on page 621) gives the user complete control over communication by exposing machine representations.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

618

### Parameterized Datatypes with Specified Precision and Exponent Range

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$   $\,$   $\,$  MPI provides named data types corresponding to standard Fortran 77 numeric types:

```
<sup>4</sup> MPI_INTEGER, MPI_COMPLEX, MPI_REAL, MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION and
```

<sup>5</sup> MPI\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX. MPI automatically selects the correct data size and provides rep-<sup>6</sup> resentation conversion in heterogeneous environments. The mechanism described in this <sup>7</sup> section extends this model to support portable parameterized numeric types.

The model for supporting portable parameterized types is as follows. Real variables 8 are declared (perhaps indirectly) using selected\_real\_kind(p, r) to determine the KIND 9 parameter, where  $\mathbf{p}$  is decimal digits of precision and  $\mathbf{r}$  is an exponent range. Implicitly 10 MPI maintains a two-dimensional array of predefined MPI datatypes D(p, r). D(p, r) is 11 defined for each value of (p, r) supported by the compiler, including pairs for which one 12value is unspecified. Attempting to access an element of the array with an index (p, r) not 13 supported by the compiler is erroneous. MPI implicitly maintains a similar array of COMPLEX 14 datatypes. For integers, there is a similar implicit array related to selected\_int\_kind and 15indexed by the requested number of digits r. Note that the predefined datatypes contained 16in these implicit arrays are not the same as the named MPI datatypes MPI\_REAL, etc., but 17a new set. 18

Advice to implementors. The above description is for explanatory purposes only. It is not expected that implementations will have such internal arrays. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Advice to users. selected\_real\_kind() maps a large number of (p,r) pairs to a much smaller number of KIND parameters supported by the compiler. KIND parameters are not specified by the language and are not portable. From the language point of view intrinsic types of the same base type and KIND parameter are of the same type. In order to allow interoperability in a heterogeneous environment, MPI is more stringent. The corresponding MPI datatypes match if and only if they have the same (p,r) value (REAL and COMPLEX) or r value (INTEGER). Thus MPI has many more datatypes than there are fundamental language types. (End of advice to users.)

31 32

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

33 34

39 40

41

42

43

44

MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL(p, r, newtype)

5	IN	р	precision, in decimal digits (integer)
, ,	IN	r	decimal exponent range (integer)
3	OUT	newtype	the requested MPI datatype (handle)

```
int MPI_Type_create_f90_real(int p, int r, MPI_Datatype *newtype)
```

```
MPI_Type_create_f90_real(p, r, newtype, ierror)
    INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: p, r
    TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype
```

```
45 INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
```

```
    <sup>46</sup> MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL(P, R, NEWTYPE, IERROR)
    <sup>47</sup> INTEGER P, R, NEWTYPE, IERROR
```

```
48
```

This function returns a predefined MPI datatype that matches a REAL variable of KIND selected\_real\_kind(p, r). In the model described above it returns a handle for the element D(p, r). Either p or r may be omitted from calls to selected\_real\_kind(p, r) (but not both). Analogously, either p or r may be set to MPI\_UNDEFINED. In communication, an MPI datatype A returned by MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL matches a datatype B if and only if B was returned by MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL called with the same values for p and r or B is a duplicate of such a datatype. Restrictions on using the returned datatype with the "external32" data representation are given on page 621.

It is erroneous to supply values for p and r not supported by the compiler.

			11			
MPI_TY	PE_CREATE_F90_C	COMPLEX(p, r, newtype)	11			
IN	р	precision, in decimal digits (integer)	13			
IN	r	decimal exponent range (integer)	14			
			15			
OUT	newtype	the requested MPI datatype (handle)	16			
			17			
int MPI	_Type_create_f90	_complex(int p, int r, MPI_Datatype *newtype)	18			
MPI_Typ	e_create_f90_com	plex(p, r, newtype, ierror)	19			
INT	EGER, INTENT(IN)	:: p, r	20			
TYP	E(MPI_Datatype),	INTENT(OUT) :: newtype	21			
INT	EGER, OPTIONAL, I	INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	22			
תעיד ידעות	E ODENTE EOO COM		23 24			
	E_CREATE_F90_COM EGER P, R, NEWTYI	PLEX(P, R, NEWTYPE, IERROR)	24 25			
TNT	EGER F, R, NEWIII	FE, IERROR	26			
This	s function returns a	a predefined MPI datatype that matches a	27			
COMPLEX	variable of KIND se	elected_real_kind(p, r). Either p or r may be omitted from	28			
calls to a	selected_real_kin	nd(p, r) (but not both). Analogously, either p or r may be set	29			
		ng rules for datatypes created by this function are analogous to	30			
	-	types created by MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL. Restrictions	31			
-		type with the "external32" data representation are given on	32			
page 621			33			
It is	erroneous to supply	y values for $p$ and $r$ not supported by the compiler.	34			
			35			
ΜΡΙ ΤΥ	PE CREATE E90 II	NTEGER(r, newtype)	36			
			37			
IN	r	decimal exponent range, i.e., number of decimal digits	38			
		(integer)	39			
OUT	newtype	the requested MPI datatype (handle)	40			
			41			
int MPI	_Type_create_f90	_integer(int r, MPI_Datatype *newtype)	42			
MDT Tree	a amosta f $00$ int	amen(n neuture ierren)	43			
		eger(r, newtype, ierror)	44			
	INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: r TYPE(MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT) :: newtype					
	• -	INTENT(OUT) :: ierror	46			
T 11 T	LOLIC, OF I LOWAL, -	INITUL (OOI) IEITOI	47			
MPI_TYP	E_CREATE_F90_INT	EGER(R, NEWTYPE, IERROR)	48			

```
1
          INTEGER R, NEWTYPE, IERROR
2
          This function returns a predefined MPI datatype that matches a INTEGER variable of
3
     KIND selected_int_kind(r). Matching rules for datatypes created by this function are
4
     analogous to the matching rules for datatypes created by MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL.
5
     Restrictions on using the returned datatype with the "external 32" data representation are
6
     given on page 621.
7
          It is erroneous to supply a value for r that is not supported by the compiler.
8
         Example:
9
10
                         longtype, quadtype
         integer
11
         integer, parameter :: long = selected_int_kind(15)
12
         integer(long) ii(10)
13
         real(selected_real_kind(30)) x(10)
14
         call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER(15, longtype, ierror)
15
         call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL(30, MPI_UNDEFINED, quadtype, ierror)
16
         . . .
17
18
         call MPI_SEND(ii, 10, longtype, ...)
19
         call MPI_SEND(x,
                             10, quadtype, ...)
20
21
           Advice to users.
                              The datatypes returned by the above functions are predefined
22
           datatypes. They cannot be freed; they do not need to be committed; they can be
23
           used with predefined reduction operations. There are two situations in which they
24
           behave differently syntactically, but not semantically, from the MPI named predefined
25
           datatypes.
26
             1. MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE returns special combiners that allow a program to
27
                retrieve the values of p and r.
28
29
             2. Because the datatypes are not named, they cannot be used as compile-time
30
                initializers or otherwise accessed before a call to one of the
31
                MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX routines.
32
           If a variable was declared specifying a non-default KIND value that was not obtained
33
           with selected_real_kind() or selected_int_kind(), the only way to obtain a
34
           matching MPI datatype is to use the size-based mechanism described in the next
35
           section.
36
37
           (End of advice to users.)
38
           Advice to implementors.
                                      An application may often repeat a call to
39
           MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX with the same combination of (XXX,p,r). The appli-
40
41
           cation is not allowed to free the returned predefined, unnamed datatype handles. To
42
           prevent the creation of a potentially huge amount of handles, a high quality MPI imple-
           mentation should return the same datatype handle for the same (REAL/COMPLEX/
43
           INTEGER, p, r) combination. Checking for the combination (p, r) in the preceding call
44
           to MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX and using a hash table to find formerly generated
45
           handles should limit the overhead of finding a previously generated datatype with
46
47
           same combination of (XXX,p,r). (End of advice to implementors.)
48
```

*Rationale.* The MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL/COMPLEX/INTEGER interface needs as input the original range and precision values to be able to define useful and compiler-independent external (Section 13.7.2) or user-defined (Section 13.7.3) data representations, and in order to be able to perform automatic and efficient data conversions in a heterogeneous environment. (*End of rationale.*)

We now specify how the datatypes described in this section behave when used with the "external32" external data representation described in Section 13.7.2.

The external32 representation specifies data formats for integer and floating point values. Integer values are represented in two's complement big-endian format. Floating point values are represented by one of three IEEE formats. These are the IEEE "Single," "Double," and "Double Extended" formats, requiring 4, 8, and 16 bytes of storage, respectively. For the IEEE "Double Extended" formats, MPI specifies a Format Width of 16 bytes, with 15 exponent bits, bias = +10383, 112 fraction bits, and an encoding analogous to the "Double" format.

The external32 representations of the datatypes returned by MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL/COMPLEX/INTEGER are given by the following rules. For MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_F90\_REAL:

if	(p >	33) d	or (r >	4931)	then	external32 representation	
						is undefined	
else if	(p >	15) c	or (r >	307)	then	external32_size = 16	
else if	(p >	6) c	or (r >	37)	then	external32_size = 8	
else						external32_size = 4	
For MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_COMPLEX: twice the size as for MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL.							
For MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER:							

if	(r >	38) then	external32 representation is undefined
else if	(r >	18) then	external32_size = 16
else if	(r >	9) then	external32_size = 8
else if	(r >	4) then	external32_size = 4
else if	(r >	2) then	external32_size = 2
else			external32_size = 1

If the external32 representation of a datatype is undefined, the result of using the datatype directly or indirectly (i.e., as part of another datatype or through a duplicated datatype) in operations that require the external32 representation is undefined. These operations include MPI\_PACK\_EXTERNAL, MPI\_UNPACK\_EXTERNAL, and many MPI\_FILE functions, when the "external32" data representation is used. The ranges for which the external32 representation is undefined are reserved for future standardization.

#### Support for Size-specific MPI Datatypes

MPI provides named datatypes corresponding to optional Fortran 77 numeric types that contain explicit byte lengths — MPI\_REAL4, MPI\_INTEGER8, etc. This section describes a mechanism that generalizes this model to support all Fortran numeric intrinsic types.

We assume that for each **typeclass** (integer, real, complex) and each word size there is  $^{47}$  a unique machine representation. For every pair (**typeclass**, **n**) supported by a compiler,  $^{48}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $41 \\ 42$ 

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1MPI must provide a named size-specific datatype. The name of this datatype is of the form

 $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_<TYPE>n in C and Fortran where <TYPE> is one of REAL, INTEGER and COMPLEX,

3 and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the length in bytes of the machine representation. This datatype locally matches

4 all variables of type (typeclass, n). The list of names for such types includes:

5MPI\_REAL4 6

- MPI\_REAL8 7
- MPI\_REAL16 8
- MPI\_COMPLEX8 9
- MPI\_COMPLEX16 10
- MPI\_COMPLEX32 11
- MPI\_INTEGER1 12
- MPI\_INTEGER2 13
- MPI\_INTEGER4 14
- MPI\_INTEGER8 15
- MPI\_INTEGER16 16

17One datatype is required for each representation supported by the compiler. To be backward 18 compatible with the interpretation of these types in MPI-1, we assume that the nonstandard 19declarations REAL\*n, INTEGER\*n, always create a variable whose representation is of size n. 20These datatypes may also be used for variables declared with KIND=INT8/16/32/64 or 21KIND=REAL32/64/128, which are defined in the ISO\_FORTRAN\_ENV intrinsic module. Note 22that the MPI datatypes and the REAL\*n, INTEGER\*n declarations count bytes whereas the 23Fortran KIND values count bits. All these datatypes are predefined.

 $^{24}$ The following functions allow a user to obtain a size-specific MPI datatype for any 25intrinsic Fortran type. 26

```
MPI_SIZEOF(x, size)
28
```

х

```
IN
OUT
```

27

29

30

40

41

4243

44

45

46

a Fortran variable of numeric intrinsic type (choice)

```
size of machine representation of that type (integer)
                 size
^{31}
32
     MPI_Sizeof(x, size, ierror)
33
          TYPE(*), DIMENSION(..)
                                     ::
                                          х
34
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: size
35
          INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
36
37
     MPI_SIZEOF(X, SIZE, IERROR)
38
          <type> X
39
```

INTEGER SIZE, IERROR

This function returns the size in bytes of the machine representation of the given variable. It is a generic Fortran routine and has a Fortran binding only.

Advice to users. This function is similar to the C size of operator but behaves slightly differently. If given an array argument, it returns the size of the base element, not the size of the whole array. (End of advice to users.)

47 *Rationale.* This function is not available in other languages because it would not be 48 useful. (End of rationale.)

MPI_TYPE		, datatype)	1
IN	typeclass	generic type specifier (integer)	2 3
IN	size	size, in bytes, of representation (integer)	4
OUT	datatype	datatype with correct type, size (handle)	5
			6
int MPI_T	ype_match_size(int typec]	ass, int size, MPI_Datatype *datatype)	7
MPI Tvpe	<pre>match_size(typeclass, siz</pre>	ze. datatype. ierror)	8 9
• -	ER, INTENT(IN) :: typec]	• -	10
	MPI_Datatype), INTENT(OUT	V1	11
INTEG	ER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT)	:: ierror	12
MPI_TYPE_	MATCH_SIZE(TYPECLASS, SIZ	ZE, DATATYPE, IERROR)	13
INTEG	ER TYPECLASS, SIZE, DATAT	YPE, IERROR	14 15
typecla	ass is one of MPI_TYPECLASS	_REAL, MPI_TYPECLASS_INTEGER and	16
• •		ng to the desired <b>typeclass</b> . The function returns	17
	tatype matching a local varial		18
		ndle) to one of the predefined named datatypes, not	19
-		MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE can be used to obtain a	20
-		numeric intrinsic type by first calling MPI_SIZEOF	21
		nd then calling MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE to find the C function sizeof(), instead of MPI_SIZEOF.	22 23
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	the variable's size can be computed by a call to	23 24
MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, if the typeclass is known. It is erroneous to specify a size not			
supported by the compiler.			
			27
		function. Without it, it can be tedious to find the	28
corre	ct named type. See note to m	aplementors below. (End of rationale.)	29
Advie	ce to implementors. This fun	ction could be implemented as a series of tests.	30
110000			31 32
int	MPI_Type_match_size(int t	ypeclass, int size, MPI_Datatype *rtype)	33
{			34
SW	itch(typeclass) {		35
	case MPI_TYPECLASS_REAL		36
		REAL4; return MPI_SUCCESS;	37
	<pre>case 8: *rtype = MP1_ default: error();</pre>	REAL8; return MPI_SUCCESS;	38
	<pre>default: effor(), }</pre>		39
	case MPI_TYPECLASS_INTE	GER: switch(size) {	40
		_INTEGER4; return MPI_SUCCESS;	41 42
	v 1	_INTEGER8; return MPI_SUCCESS;	43
	<pre>default: error();</pre>		44
	}		45
٦	etc		46
}			47

```
1
              return MPI_SUCCESS;
2
           }
3
4
           (End of advice to implementors.)
5
6
     Communication With Size-specific Types
7
     The usual type matching rules apply to size-specific datatypes: a value sent with datatype
8
     MPI_{TYPE>n} can be received with this same datatype on another process. Most modern
9
     computers use 2's complement for integers and IEEE format for floating point. Thus, com-
10
     munication using these size-specific datatypes will not entail loss of precision or truncation
11
     errors.
12
13
           Advice to users. Care is required when communicating in a heterogeneous environ-
14
           ment. Consider the following code:
15
16
           real(selected_real_kind(5)) x(100)
17
           call MPI_SIZEOF(x, size, ierror)
18
           call MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE(MPI_TYPECLASS_REAL, size, xtype, ierror)
19
           if (myrank .eq. 0) then
20
                ... initialize x ...
21
                call MPI_SEND(x, xtype, 100, 1, ...)
22
           else if (myrank .eq. 1) then
23
                call MPI_RECV(x, xtype, 100, 0, ...)
24
           endif
25
26
           This may not work in a heterogeneous environment if the value of size is not the
27
           same on process 1 and process 0. There should be no problem in a homogeneous
28
           environment. To communicate in a heterogeneous environment, there are at least four
29
           options. The first is to declare variables of default type and use the MPI datatypes
30
           for these types, e.g., declare a variable of type REAL and use MPI_REAL. The second
31
           is to use selected_real_kind or selected_int_kind and with the functions of the
32
           previous section. The third is to declare a variable that is known to be the same
33
           size on all architectures (e.g., selected_real_kind(12) on almost all compilers will
34
           result in an 8-byte representation). The fourth is to carefully check representation
35
           size before communication. This may require explicit conversion to a variable of size
36
           that can be communicated and handshaking between sender and receiver to agree on
37
           a size.
38
           Note finally that using the "external32" representation for I/O requires explicit at-
39
           tention to the representation sizes. Consider the following code:
40
41
42
           real(selected_real_kind(5)) x(100)
43
           call MPI_SIZEOF(x, size, ierror)
44
           call MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE(MPI_TYPECLASS_REAL, size, xtype, ierror)
45
46
           if (myrank .eq. 0) then
47
              call MPI_FILE_OPEN(MPI_COMM_SELF, 'foo',
                                                                                &
48
                                     MPI_MODE_CREATE+MPI_MODE_WRONLY,
                                                                                &
```

```
MPI_INFO_NULL, fh, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW(fh, zero, xtype, xtype, 'external32', &
                          MPI_INFO_NULL, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_WRITE(fh, x, 100, xtype, status, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_CLOSE(fh, ierror)
endif
call MPI_BARRIER(MPI_COMM_WORLD, ierror)
if (myrank .eq. 1) then
   call MPI_FILE_OPEN(MPI_COMM_SELF, 'foo', MPI_MODE_RDONLY,
                                                              &
                 MPI_INFO_NULL, fh, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW(fh, zero, xtype, xtype, 'external32', &
                          MPI_INFO_NULL, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_WRITE(fh, x, 100, xtype, status, ierror)
   call MPI_FILE_CLOSE(fh, ierror)
endif
```

If processes 0 and 1 are on different machines, this code may not work as expected if the size is different on the two machines. (*End of advice to users.*)

#### 17.1.10 Problems With Fortran Bindings for MPI

This section discusses a number of problems that may arise when using MPI in a Fortran program. It is intended as advice to users, and clarifies how MPI interacts with Fortran. It is intended to clarify, not add to, this standard.

As noted in the original MPI specification, the interface violates the Fortran standard in several ways. While these may cause few problems for Fortran 77 programs, they become more significant for Fortran 90 programs, so that users must exercise care when using new Fortran 90 features. With Fortran 2008 and the new semantics defined in TS 29113, most violations are resolved, and this is hinted at in an addendum to each item. The violations were originally adopted and have been retained because they are important for the usability of MPI. The rest of this section describes the potential problems in detail.

The following MPI features are inconsistent with Fortran 90 and Fortran 77.

- 1. An MPI subroutine with a choice argument may be called with different argument types. When using the mpi\_f08 module together with a compiler that supports Fortran 2008 + TS 29113, this problem is resolved.
- 2. An MPI subroutine with an assumed-size dummy argument may be passed an actual scalar argument. This is only solved for choice buffers through the use of DIMENSION(...).
- 3. Nonblocking and split-collective MPI routines assume that actual arguments are passed by address or descriptor and that arguments and the associated data are not copied on entrance to or exit from the subroutine. This problem is solved with the use of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

1 2 3 4	4. An MPI implementation may read or modify user data (e.g., communication buffers used by nonblocking communications) concurrently with a user program that is executing outside of MPI calls. This problem is resolved by relying on the extended semantics of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute as specified in TS 29113.
5 6 7 8 9 10	5. Several named "constants," such as MPI_BOTTOM, MPI_IN_PLACE, MPI_STATUS_IGNORE, MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE, MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE, MPI_UNWEIGHTED, MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY, MPI_ARGV_NULL, and MPI_ARGVS_NULL are not ordinary Fortran constants and require a special implementation. See Sec- tion 2.5.4 for more information.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	6. The memory allocation routine MPI_ALLOC_MEM cannot be used from Fortran 77/90/95 without a language extension (for example, Cray pointers) that allows the allocated memory to be associated with a Fortran variable. Therefore, address sized integers were used in MPI-2.0 – MPI-2.2. In Fortran 2003, TYPE(C_PTR) entities were added, which allow a standard-conforming implementation of the semantics of MPI_ALLOC_MEM. In MPI-3.0 and later, MPI_ALLOC_MEM has an additional, overloaded interface to support this language feature. The use of Cray pointers is deprecated. The mpi_f08 module only supports TYPE(C_PTR) pointers.
20	Additionally, MPI is inconsistent with Fortran 77 in a number of ways, as noted below.
21 22	• MPI identifiers exceed 6 characters.
23 24	• MPI identifiers may contain underscores after the first character.
25 26	• MPI requires an include file, mpif.h. On systems that do not support include files, the implementation should specify the values of named constants.
27 28 29 30 31	• Many routines in MPI have KIND-parameterized integers (e.g., MPI_ADDRESS_KIND and MPI_OFFSET_KIND) that hold address information. On systems that do not support Fortran 90-style parameterized types, INTEGER*8 or INTEGER should be used instead.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	MPI-1 contained several routines that take address-sized information as input or return address-sized information as output. In C such arguments were of type MPI_Aint and in Fortran of type INTEGER. On machines where integers are smaller than addresses, these routines can lose information. In MPI-2 the use of these functions has been deprecated and they have been replaced by routines taking INTEGER arguments of KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND. A number of new MPI-2 functions also take INTEGER arguments of non-default KIND. See Section 2.6 and Section 4.1.1 for more information.

 <sup>39</sup> Sections 17.1.11 through 17.1.19 describe several problems in detail which concern the interaction of MPI and Fortran as well as their solutions. Some of these solutions require special capabilities from the compilers. Major requirements are summarized in Section 17.1.7.

43

<sup>44</sup><sub>45</sub> 17.1.11 Problems Due to Strong Typing

<sup>46</sup> All MPI functions with choice arguments associate actual arguments of different Fortran
 <sup>47</sup> datatypes with the same dummy argument. This is not allowed by Fortran 77, and in
 <sup>48</sup> Fortran 90, it is technically only allowed if the function is overloaded with a different

 $^{24}$ 

function for each type (see also Section 17.1.6). In C, the use of void\* formal arguments avoids these problems. Similar to C, with Fortran 2008 + TS 29113 (and later) together with the mpi\_f08 module, the problem is avoided by declaring choice arguments with TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), i.e., as assumed-type and assumed-rank dummy arguments.

Using INCLUDE 'mpif.h', the following code fragment is technically invalid and may generate a compile-time error.

```
integer i(5)
real x(5)
...
call mpi_send(x, 5, MPI_REAL, ...)
call mpi_send(i, 5, MPI_INTEGER, ...)
```

In practice, it is rare for compilers to do more than issue a warning. When using either the mpi\_f08 or mpi module, the problem is usually resolved through the assumed-type and assumed-rank declarations of the dummy arguments, or with a compiler-dependent mechanism that overrides type checking for choice arguments.

It is also technically invalid in Fortran to pass a scalar actual argument to an array dummy argument that is not a choice buffer argument. Thus, when using the mpi\_f08 or mpi module, the following code fragment usually generates an error since the dims and periods arguments to MPI\_CART\_CREATE are declared as assumed size arrays INTEGER :: DIMS(\*) and LOGICAL :: PERIODS(\*).

```
USE mpi_f08 ! or USE mpi
INTEGER size
CALL MPI_Cart_create( comm_old,1,size,.TRUE.,.TRUE.,comm_cart,ierror )
```

Although this is a non-conforming MPI call, compiler warnings are not expected (but may occur) when using INCLUDE 'mpif.h' and this include file does not use Fortran explicit interfaces.

#### 17.1.12 Problems Due to Data Copying and Sequence Association with Subscript Triplets

Arrays with subscript **triplets** describe Fortran subarrays with or without strides, e.g.,

REAL a(100,100,100) CALL MPI\_Send( a(11:17, 12:99:3, 1:100), 7\*30\*100, MPI\_REAL, ...)

The handling of subscript triplets depends on the value of the constant MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED:

• If MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED equals .TRUE.:

Choice buffer arguments are declared as TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..). For example, consider the following code fragment:

```
REAL s(100), r(100)
CALL MPI_Isend(s(1:100:5), 3, MPI_REAL, ..., rq, ierror)
CALL MPI_Wait(rq, status, ierror)
CALL MPI_Irecv(r(1:100:5), 3, MPI_REAL, ..., rq, ierror)
CALL MPI_Wait(rq, status, ierror)
```

In this case, the individual elements s(1), s(6), and s(11) are sent between the start of MPI\_ISEND and the end of MPI\_WAIT even though the compiled code will not copy s(1:100:5) to a real contiguous temporary scratch buffer. Instead, the compiled code will pass a descriptor to MPI\_ISEND that allows MPI to operate directly on s(1), s(6), s(11), ..., s(96). The called MPI\_ISEND routine will take only the first three of these elements due to the type signature "3, MPI\_REAL".

All nonblocking MPI functions (e.g., MPI\_ISEND, MPI\_PUT,

8 MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_ALL\_BEGIN) behave as if the user-specified elements of choice 9 buffers are copied to a contiguous scratch buffer in the MPI runtime environment. 10 All datatype descriptions (in the example above, "3, MPI\_REAL") read and store 11 data from and to this virtual contiguous scratch buffer. Displacements in MPI de-12rived datatypes are relative to the beginning of this virtual contiguous scratch buffer. 13 Upon completion of a nonblocking receive operation (e.g., when MPI\_WAIT on a cor-14responding MPI\_Request returns), it is as if the received data has been copied from 15the virtual contiguous scratch buffer back to the non-contiguous application buffer. 16In the example above, r(1), r(6), and r(11) are guaranteed to be defined with the 17 received data when MPI\_WAIT returns. 18

- <sup>19</sup> Note that the above definition does not supercede restrictions about buffers used with <sup>20</sup> non-blocking operations (e.g., those specified in Section 3.7.2).
  - Advice to implementors. The Fortran descriptor for TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(...) arguments contains enough information that, if desired, the MPI library can make a real contiguous copy of non-contiguous user buffers when the nonblocking operation is started, and release this buffer not before the nonblocking communication has completed (e.g., the MPI\_WAIT routine). Efficient implementations may avoid such additional memory-to-memory data copying. (End of advice to implementors.)
  - *Rationale.* If MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED equals .TRUE., non-contiguous buffers are handled inside the MPI library instead of by the compiler through argument association conventions. Therefore, the scope of MPI library scratch buffers can be from the beginning of a nonblocking operation until the completion of the operation although beginning and completion are implemented in different routines. (*End of rationale.*)
  - If MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED equals .FALSE.:
    - In this case, the use of Fortran arrays with subscript triplets as actual choice buffer arguments in any nonblocking MPI operation (which also includes persistent request, and split collectives) may cause undefined behavior. They may, however, be used in blocking MPI operations.
- Implicit in MPI is the idea of a contiguous chunk of memory accessible through a linear address space. MPI copies data to and from this memory. An MPI program specifies the location of data by providing memory addresses and offsets. In the C language, sequence association rules plus pointers provide all the necessary low-level structure.
- <sup>47</sup> In Fortran, array data is not necessarily stored contiguously. For example, the array <sup>48</sup> section A(1:N:2) involves only the elements of A with indices 1, 3, 5, .... The same is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

true for a pointer array whose target is such a section. Most compilers ensure that an array that is a dummy argument is held in contiguous memory if it is declared with an explicit shape (e.g., B(N)) or is of assumed size (e.g., B(\*)). If necessary, they do this by making a copy of the array into contiguous memory.<sup>1</sup>

Because MPI dummy buffer arguments are assumed-size arrays if MPI\_SUBARRAYS\_SUPPORTED equals .FALSE., this leads to a serious problem for a nonblocking call: the compiler copies the temporary array back on return but MPI continues to copy data to the memory that held it. For example, consider the following code fragment:

```
real a(100)
call MPI_IRECV(a(1:100:2), MPI_REAL, 50, ...)
```

Since the first dummy argument to MPI\_IRECV is an assumed-size array (<type> buf(\*)), the array section a(1:100:2) is copied to a temporary before being passed to MPI\_IRECV, so that it is contiguous in memory. MPI\_IRECV returns immediately, and data is copied from the temporary back into the array a. Sometime later, MPI may write to the address of the deallocated temporary. Copying is also a problem for MPI\_ISEND since the temporary array may be deallocated before the data has all been sent from it.

Most Fortran 90 compilers do not make a copy if the actual argument is the whole of an explicit-shape or assumed-size array or is a "simply contiguous" section such as A(1:N) of such an array. ("Simply contiguous" is defined in the next paragraph.) Also, many compilers treat allocatable arrays the same as they treat explicit-shape arrays in this regard (though we know of one that does not). However, the same is not true for assumed-shape and pointer arrays; since they may be discontiguous, copying is often done. It is this copying that causes problems for MPI as described in the previous paragraph.

According to the Fortran 2008 Standard, Section 6.5.4, a "simply contiguous" array section is

```
name ( [:,]... [<subscript>]:[<subscript>] [,<subscript>]... )
```

That is, there are zero or more dimensions that are selected in full, then one dimension selected without a stride, then zero or more dimensions that are selected with a simple subscript. The compiler can detect from analyzing the source code that the array is contiguous. Examples are

```
A(1:N), A(:,N), A(:,1:N,1), A(1:6,N), A(:,:,1:N)
```

Because of Fortran's column-major ordering, where the first index varies fastest, a "simply contiguous" section of a contiguous array will also be contiguous.

The same problem can occur with a scalar argument. A compiler may make a copy of scalar dummy arguments within a called procedure when passed as an actual argument to a choice buffer routine. That this can cause a problem is illustrated by the example

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Technically, the Fortran standard is worded to allow non-contiguous storage of any array data, unless the dummy argument has the CONTIGUOUS attribute.

1	real :: a
2	call user1(a,rq)
3	call MPI_WAIT(rq,status,ierr)
4	write (*,*) a
5	
6	subroutine user1(buf,request)
7	call MPI_IRECV(buf,,request,)
8	end
9	
10 11	If <b>a</b> is copied, MPI_IRECV will alter the copy when it completes the communication and will not alter <b>a</b> itself.
12	
13	Note that copying will almost certainly occur for an argument that is a non-trivial
14	expression (one with at least one operator or function call), a section that does not
15	select a contiguous part of its parent (e.g., A(1:n:2)), a pointer whose target is such
16	a section, or an assumed-shape array that is (directly or indirectly) associated with
17	such a section.
18	If a compiler option exists that inhibits copying of arguments, in either the calling or
19	called procedure, this must be employed.
20	If a compiler makes copies in the calling procedure of arguments that are explicit-
21	shape or assumed-size arrays, "simply contiguous" array sections of such arrays, or
22	scalars, and if no compiler option exists to inhibit such copying, then the compiler
23	cannot be used for applications that use MPI_GET_ADDRESS, or any nonblocking
24	MPI routine. If a compiler copies scalar arguments in the called procedure and there
25	is no compiler option to inhibit this, then this compiler cannot be used for applications
26	that use memory references across subroutine calls as in the example above.
27	v
28 29	17.1.13 Problems Due to Data Copying and Sequence Association with Vector Subscripts
30 31	Fortran arrays with <b>vector</b> subscripts describe subarrays containing a possibly irregular set of elements
32	
33	REAL a(100)
34	CALL MPI_Send( A((/7,9,23,81,82/)), 5, MPI_REAL,)
35	
36	Fortran arrays with a vector subscript must not be used as actual choice buffer argu-
37	ments in any nonblocking or split collective MPI operations. They may, however, be used
38	in blocking MPI operations.
39	
40	17.1.14 Special Constants
41	MPI requires a number of special "constants" that cannot be implemented as normal Fortran
42	constants, e.g., MPI_BOTTOM. The complete list can be found in Section 2.5.4. In C, these
43	are implemented as constant pointers, usually as NULL and are used where the function
44	prototype calls for a pointer to a variable, not the variable itself.
45	In Fortran, using special values for the constants (e.g., by defining them through

In Fortran, using special values for the constants (e.g., by defining them through 46parameter statements) is not possible because an implementation cannot distinguish these 47values from valid data. Typically these constants are implemented as predefined static vari-48ables (e.g., a variable in an MPI-declared COMMON block), relying on the fact that the target compiler passes data by address. Inside the subroutine, the address of the actual choice buffer argument can be compared with the address of such a predefined static variable.

These special constants also cause an exception with the usage of Fortran INTENT: with USE mpi\_f08, the attributes INTENT(IN), INTENT(OUT), and INTENT(INOUT) are used in the Fortran interface. In most cases, INTENT(IN) is used if the C interface uses call-by-value. For all buffer arguments and for dummy arguments that may be modified and allow one of these special constants as input, an INTENT is not specified.

## 17.1.15 Fortran Derived Types

MPI supports passing Fortran entities of BIND(C) and SEQUENCE derived types to choice dummy arguments, provided no type component has the ALLOCATABLE or POINTER attribute.

The following code fragment shows some possible ways to send scalars or arrays of interoperable derived type in Fortran. The example assumes that all data is passed by address.

```
type, :: mytype
                                                                                  17
   integer :: i
                                                                                  18
   real :: x
                                                                                  19
   double precision :: d
                                                                                  20
   logical :: 1
                                                                                  21
end type mytype
                                                                                  22
                                                                                  23
type(mytype) :: foo, fooarr(5)
                                                                                  ^{24}
integer :: blocklen(4), type(4)
                                                                                  25
integer(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: disp(4), base, lb, extent
                                                                                  26
                                                                                  27
call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(foo%i, disp(1), ierr)
                                                                                  28
call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(foo%x, disp(2), ierr)
                                                                                  29
call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(foo%d, disp(3), ierr)
                                                                                  30
call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(foo%1, disp(4), ierr)
                                                                                  31
                                                                                  32
base = disp(1)
                                                                                  33
disp(1) = disp(1) - base
                                                                                  34
disp(2) = disp(2) - base
                                                                                  35
disp(3) = disp(3) - base
                                                                                  36
disp(4) = disp(4) - base
                                                                                  37
                                                                                  38
blocklen(1) = 1
                                                                                  39
blocklen(2) = 1
                                                                                  40
blocklen(3) = 1
                                                                                  41
blocklen(4) = 1
                                                                                  42
                                                                                  43
type(1) = MPI_INTEGER
                                                                                  44
type(2) = MPI_REAL
                                                                                  45
type(3) = MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION
                                                                                  46
type(4) = MPI_LOGICAL
                                                                                  47
                                                                                  48
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

```
1
         call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(4, blocklen, disp, type, newtype, ierr)
2
         call MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(newtype, ierr)
3
4
         call MPI_SEND(foo%i, 1, newtype, dest, tag, comm, ierr)
5
         ! or
6
         call MPI_SEND(foo, 1, newtype, dest, tag, comm, ierr)
7
         ! expects that base == address(foo%i) == address(foo)
8
9
         call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(fooarr(1), disp(1), ierr)
10
         call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(fooarr(2), disp(2), ierr)
11
         extent = disp(2) - disp(1)
12
         1b = 0
13
         call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED(newtype, lb, extent, newarrtype, ierr)
14
         call MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(newarrtype, ierr)
15
16
         call MPI_SEND(fooarr, 5, newarrtype, dest, tag, comm, ierr)
17
```

Using the derived type variable foo instead of its first basic type element foo%i may be impossible if the MPI library implements choice buffer arguments through overloading instead of using TYPE(\*), DIMENSION(..), or through a non-standardized extension such as !\$PRAGMA IGNORE\_TKR; see Section 17.1.6.

To use a derived type in an array requires a correct extent of the datatype handle 22 to take care of the alignment rules applied by the compiler. These alignment rules may 23imply that there are gaps between the components of a derived type, and also between the 24subsuguent elements of an array of a derived type. The extent of an interoperable derived 25type (i.e., defined with BIND(C)) and a SEQUENCE derived type with the same content may 26be different because C and Fortran may apply different alignment rules. As recommended 27in the advice to users in Section 4.1.6, one should add an additional fifth structure element 28with one numerical storage unit at the end of this structure to force in most cases that 29 the array of structures is contiguous. Even with such an additional element, one should 30 keep this resizing due to the special alignment rules that can be used by the compiler for  $^{31}$ structures, as also mentioned in this advice. 32

Using the extended semantics defined in TS 29113, it is also possible to use entities or derived types without either the BIND(C) or the SEQUENCE attribute as choice buffer arguments; some additional constraints must be observed, e.g., no ALLOCATABLE or POINTER type components may exist. In this case, the base address in the example must be changed to become the address of foo instead of foo%i, because the Fortran compiler may rearrange type components or add padding. Sending the structure foo should then also be performed by providing it (and not foo%i) as actual argument for MPI\_Send.

40 41

## 17.1.16 Optimization Problems, an Overview

<sup>42</sup> MPI provides operations that may be hidden from the user code and run concurrently <sup>43</sup> with it, accessing the same memory as user code. Examples include the data transfer <sup>44</sup> for an MPI\_IRECV. The optimizer of a compiler will assume that it can recognize periods <sup>46</sup> when a copy of a variable can be kept in a register without reloading from or storing to <sup>47</sup> memory. When the user code is working with a register copy of some variable while the <sup>48</sup> hidden operation reads or writes the memory copy, problems occur. These problems are

<pre>ndependent of the Fortran support method; i.e., they occur with the mpi_f08 module, the pi module, and the mpif.h include file. This section shows four problematic usage areas (the abbrevations in parentheses are sed in the table below):</pre>									
• Use of nonblocking routines or persistent requests (Nonbl.).									
• Use of one-sided routines (1-sided).									
• Use of MPI parallel file I/O split col	lective op	erations	(Split).		8 9				
	<ul> <li>Use of MPI_BOTTOM together with absolute displacements in MPI datatypes, or relative displacements between two variables in such datatypes (<i>Bottom</i>).</li> </ul>								
The following compiler optimization s lems in MPI applications:	strategies	(valid for	serial	code) may cause prob-	13 14 15				
• Code movement and register optimiz	zation pro	oblems; se	e Sectio	on 17.1.17.	16				
• Temporary data movement and temp	orary me	mory mod	lificatio	ons; see Section 17.1.18.	17 18				
• Permanent data movement (e.g., thr	ough gar	bage colle	ction);	see Section 17.1.19.	19				
Table 17.2 shows the only usage areas who	ere these	optimizat	ion pro	blems may occur.	20 21				
Optimization		nay cause llowing u 1-sided	sage are		22 23 24 25				
Code movement	yes	yes	no	yes	26				
and register optimization				U U	27				
Temporary data movement	yes	yes	yes	no	28				
Permanent data movement	yes	yes	yes	yes	29 30				
Table 17.2: Occurrence of Fortran op	otimizatio	on probler	ns in se	everal usage areas	31 32				
The solutions in the following section	s are base	ed on com	promis	es:	33 34				
• to minimize the burden for the app "Solutions" through "The (Poorly pages 635–640,	-	0	, 0	,	35 36 37				
• to minimize the drawbacks on comp.	iler based	optimiza	tion, ar	ıd	38 39				
• to minimize the requirements defined					40				
17117 Duchland with Colds Management					41 42				
17.1.17 Problems with Code Movement	and Reg	ister Opt	imizatio	on	43				
Nonblocking Operations					44				
If a variable is local to a Fortran subrouti	ne (i.e., n	ot in a m	odule o	or a COMMON block), the	45 46				
compiler will assume that it cannot be modified by a called subroutine unless it is an actual $\frac{1}{4}$									
rgument of the call. In the most common linkage convention, the subroutine is expected $_{48}$									

1 2	<b>Example 17.1</b> Fortran 90 register optimization — extreme.						
3	Source	compiled as	or compiled as				
4 5 6	REAL :: buf, b1 call MPI_IRECV(buf,req)	REAL :: buf, b1 call MPI_IRECV(buf,req) register = buf	REAL :: buf, b1 call MPI_IRECV(buf,req) b1 = buf				
7 8 9 10	<pre>call MPI_WAIT(req,) b1 = buf</pre>	<pre>call MPI_WAIT(req,) b1 = register</pre>	call MPI_WAIT(req,)				
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to save and restore certain registers. Thus, the optimizer will assume that a register which held a valid copy of such a variable before the call will still hold a valid copy on return. Example 17.1 shows extreme, but allowed, possibilities. MPI_WAIT on a concurrent thread modifies buf between the invocation of MPI_IRECV and the completion of MPI_WAIT. But the compiler cannot see any possibility that buf can be changed after MPI_IRECV has returned, and may schedule the load of buf earlier than typed in the source. The compiler has no reason to avoid using a register to hold buf across the call to MPI_WAIT. It also may reorder the instructions as illustrated in the rightmost column.						
20 21	Example 17.2 Similar exa	mple with MPI_ISEND					
22 23	Source	compiled as	with a possible MPI-internal execution sequence				
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<pre>REAL :: buf, copy buf = val call MPI_ISEND(buf,req) copy = buf call MPI_WAIT(req,) buf = val_overwrite</pre>	<pre>REAL :: buf, copy buf = val call MPI_ISEND(buf,req) copy= buf buf = val_overwrite call MPI_WAIT(req,)</pre>	<pre>REAL :: buf, copy buf = val addr = &amp;buf copy = buf buf = val_overwrite call send(*addr) ! within</pre>				
32 33 34 35 36	Due to valid compiler code movement optimizations in Example 17.2, the content of buf may already have been overwritten by the compiler when the content of buf is sent. The code movement is permitted because the compiler cannot detect a possible access to buf in MPI_WAIT (or in a second thread between the start of MPI_ISEND and the end of						

Such register optimization is based on moving code; here, the access to buf was moved
 from after MPI\_WAIT to before MPI\_WAIT. Note that code movement may also occur across
 subroutine boundaries when subroutines or functions are inlined.

This register optimization/code movement problem for nonblocking operations does not occur with MPI parallel file I/O split collective operations, because in the ...\_BEGIN and ...\_END calls, the same buffer has to be provided as an actual argument. The register optimization / code movement problem for MPI\_BOTTOM and derived MPI datatypes may occur in each blocking and nonblocking communication call, as well as in each parallel file I/O operation.

47

37

MPI\_WAIT).

One-sided Communication					
An example with instruction reordering due to register optimization can be found in Sec-					
	o register optimization can be found in Sec-	3			
tion 11.7.4.		4			
		5			
MPI_BOTTOM and Combining Independent Va	riables in Datatypes	6			
This section is only relevant if the MPI progr	am uses a buffer argument to an	7			
MPI_SEND, MPI_RECV, etc., that hides the a	0	8			
tion. MPI_BOTTOM with an MPI_Datatype co		9			
Creating a datatype which uses one variable as		10			
MPI_GET_ADDRESS to determine their offset	0 0 0	11			
variable would be the only one referenced in t		12			
operations are used that run in parallel with the	*	13			
		14			
Example 17.3 shows what Fortran compilers are allowed to do.					
		16			
Example 17.3 Fortran 90 register optimization	on.	17			
This source	can be compiled as:	18			
		19			
call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(buf, bufaddr,	<pre>call MPI_GET_ADDRESS(buf,)</pre>	20			
ierror)		21			
call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(1,1,	call MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT()	22			
bufaddr,		23			
MPI_REAL,type,ierror)		24			
<pre>call MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(type,ierror)</pre>	<pre>call MPI_TYPE_COMMIT()</pre>	25			
<pre>val_old = buf</pre>	register = buf	26			
	<pre>val_old = register</pre>				
a = 11  MDT  DECU(MDT DOTTOM 1 + cm)	Var_oru - register	27			
<pre>call MPI_RECV(MPI_BOTTOM,1,type,)</pre>	call MPI_RECV(MPI_BOTTOM,)	27 28			
<pre>val_new = buf</pre>	-				

In Example 17.3, the compiler does not invalidate the register because it cannot see that MPI\_RECV changes the value of buf. The access to buf is hidden by the use of MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS and MPI\_BOTTOM.

In Example 17.4, several successive assignments to the same variable buf can be combined in a way such that only the last assignment is executed. "Successive" means that no interfering load access to this variable occurs between the assignments. The compiler cannot detect that the call to MPI\_SEND statement is interfering because the load access to buf is hidden by the usage of MPI\_BOTTOM.

### Solutions

The following sections show in detail how the problems with code movement and register optimization can be portably solved. Application writers can partially or fully avoid these compiler optimization problems by using one or more of the special Fortran declarations with the send and receive buffers used in nonblocking operations, or in operations in which MPI\_BOTTOM is used, or if datatype handles that combine several variables are used:

• Use of the Fortran ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

 $^{31}$ 

```
1
     Example 17.4 Similar example with MPI_SEND
\mathbf{2}
3
     This source . . .
                                                  can be compiled as:
4
     ! buf contains val_old
                                                  ! buf contains val_old
5
     buf = val_new
6
     call MPI_SEND(MPI_BOTTOM,1,type,...)
                                                  call MPI_SEND(...)
7
     ! with buf as a displacement in type
                                                  ! i.e. val_old is sent
8
                                                  1
9
                                                  ! buf=val_new is moved to here
10
                                                  ! and detected as dead code
11
                                                  i
                                                    and therefore removed
12
                                                  1
13
     buf = val_overwrite
                                                  buf = val_overwrite
14
15
```

- Use of the helper routine MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG, or an equivalent user-written dummy routine.
- Declare the buffer as a Fortran module variable or within a Fortran common block.
- Use of the Fortran VOLATILE attribute.

22 Each of these methods solves the problems of code movement and register optimization, 23but may incur various degrees of performance impact, and may not be usable in every 24application context. These methods may not be guaranteed by the Fortran standard, but 25they must be guaranteed by a MPI-3.0 (and later) compliant MPI library and associated 26compiler suite according to the requirements listed in Section 17.1.7. The performance 27impact of using MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG is expected to be low, that of using module variables 28or the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is expected to be low to medium, and that of using the 29 VOLATILE attribute is expected to be high or very high. Note that there is one attribute 30 that cannot be used for this purpose: the Fortran TARGET attribute does not solve code  $^{31}$ movement problems in MPI applications. 32

33 34

16

17

18 19

20

21

## The Fortran ASYNCHRONOUS Attribute

35 Declaring an actual buffer argument with the ASYNCHRONOUS Fortran attribute in a scoping unit (or BLOCK) informs the compiler that any statement in the scoping unit may be executed 36 37 while the buffer is affected by a pending asynchronous Fortran input/output operation (since 38 Fortran 2003) or by an asynchronous communication (TS 29113 extension). Without the 39 extensions specified in TS 29113, a Fortran compiler may totally ignore this attribute if the 40Fortran compiler implements asynchronous Fortran input/output operations with blocking 41 I/O. The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute protects the buffer accesses from optimizations through 42code movements across routine calls, and the buffer itself from temporary and permanent 43data movements. If the choice buffer dummy argument of a nonblocking MPI routine is 44declared with ASYNCHRONOUS (which is mandatory for the mpi\_f08 module, with allowable 45exceptions listed in Section 17.1.6), then the compiler has to guarantee call by reference 46and should report a compile-time error if call by reference is impossible, e.g., if vector 47subscripts are used. The MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING is set to .TRUE. if both 48the protection of the actual buffer argument through ASYNCHRONOUS according to the TS 29113 extension and the declaration of the dummy argument with ASYNCHRONOUS in the Fortran support method is guaranteed for all nonblocking routines, otherwise it is set to .FALSE..

The ASYNCHRONOUS attribute has some restrictions. Section 5.4.2 of the TS 29113 specifies:

"Asynchronous communication for a Fortran variable occurs through the action of procedures defined by means other than Fortran. It is initiated by execution of an asynchronous communication initiation procedure and completed by execution of an asynchronous communication completion procedure. Between the execution of the initiation and completion procedures, any variable of which any part is associated with any part of the asynchronous communication variable is a pending communication affector. Whether a procedure is an asynchronous communication initiation or completion procedure is processor dependent.

Asynchronous communication is either input communication or output communication. For input communication, a pending communication affector shall not be referenced, become defined, become undefined, become associated with a dummy argument that has the VALUE attribute, or have its pointer association status changed. For output communication, a pending communication affector shall not be redefined, become undefined, or have its pointer association status changed."

In Example 17.5 Case (a) on page 643, the read accesses to b within function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1)) cannot be moved by compiler optimizations to before the wait call because b was declared as ASYNCHRONOUS. Note that only the elements 0, 1, 100, and 101 of b are involved in asynchronous communication but by definition, the total variable b is the pending communication affector and is usable for input and output asynchronous communication between the MPI\_I... routines and MPI\_Waitall. Case (a) works fine because the read accesses to b occur after the communication has completed.

In Case (b), the read accesses to b(1:100) in the loop i=2,99 are read accesses to a pending communication affector while input communication (i.e., the two MPI\_Irecv calls) is pending. This is a contradiction to the rule that *for input communication, a pending communication affector shall not be referenced*. The problem can be solved by using separate variables for the halos and the inner array, or by splitting a common array into disjoint subarrays which are passed through different dummy arguments into a subroutine, as shown in Example 17.9.

If one does not overlap communication and computation on the same variable, then all optimization problems can be solved through the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

The problems with MPI\_BOTTOM, as shown in Example 17.3 and Example 17.4, can also be solved by declaring the buffer **buf** with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

In some MPI routines, a buffer dummy argument is defined as ASYNCHRONOUS to guarantee passing by reference, provided that the actual argument is also defined as ASYNCHRONOUS.

### Calling MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG

The compiler may be prevented from moving a reference to a buffer across a call to an MPI subroutine by surrounding the call by calls to an external subroutine with the buffer as an actual argument. The MPI library provides the MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG routine for this purpose; see Section 17.1.8.

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

 $45 \\ 46$ 

47

2 MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) once immediately after MPI\_WAIT. 3 Example 17.1 Example 17.2 4 can be solved with can be solved with 5call MPI\_IRECV(buf,..req) buf = val 6 call MPI\_ISEND(buf, ... req) 7 8 copy = bufcall MPI\_WAIT(req,..) call MPI\_WAIT(req,...) 9 call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) 10 11 b1 = bufbuf = val\_overwrite 12The call to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) prevents moving the last line before the 13 MPI\_WAIT call. Further calls to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) are not needed because it 14is still correct if the additional read access copy=buf is moved below MPI\_WAIT and 15before buf=val\_overwrite. 1617 • The problems illustrated by the Examples 17.3 and 17.4 can be solved with two 18 additional MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) statements; one directly before MPI\_RECV/ 19 MPI\_SEND, and one directly after this communication operation. 2021Example 17.3 Example 17.4 22 can be solved with can be solved with 23call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) 24call MPI\_RECV(MPI\_BOTTOM,...) call MPI\_SEND(MPI\_BOTTOM,...) 25call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) 2627The first call to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buf) is needed to finish all load and store refer-28ences to buf prior to MPI\_RECV/MPI\_SEND; the second call is needed to assure that 29 any subsequent access to buf is not moved before MPI\_RECV/SEND. 30 • In the example in Section 11.7.4, two asynchronous accesses must be protected: in 31Process 1, the access to bbbb must be protected similar to Example 17.1, i.e., a call to 32 MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(bbbb) is needed after the second MPI\_WIN\_FENCE to guarantee 33 that further accesses to bbbb are not moved ahead of the call to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE. In 34 Process 2, both calls to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE together act as a communication call with 35MPI\_BOTTOM as the buffer. That is, before the first fence and after the second fence, 36 a call to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buff) is needed to guarantee that accesses to buff are not 37 moved after or ahead of the calls to MPI\_WIN\_FENCE. Using MPI\_GET instead of 38 MPI\_PUT, the same calls to MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG are necessary. 39 40 Source of Process 1 41 Source of Process 2 42bbbb = 777buff = 99943 call MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG(buff) 44call MPI\_WIN\_FENCE call MPI\_WIN\_FENCE 45call MPI\_PUT(bbbb 46into buff of process 2) 47 48 call MPI\_WIN\_FENCE call MPI\_WIN\_FENCE

• The problems illustrated by the Examples 17.1 and 17.2 can be solved by calling

<pre>call MPI_F_SYNC_REG(bbbb) call MPI_F_SYNC_REG(buff)</pre>	1 2 3
• The temporary memory modification problem, i.e., Example 17.6, can no with this method.	4
A User Defined Routine Instead of MPI_F_SYNC_REG	7
Instead of MPI_F_SYNC_REG, one can also use a user defined external subrout is separately compiled:	
<pre>subroutine DD(buf)     integer buf end</pre>	11 12 13 14
Note that if the intent is declared in an explicit interface for the external it must be OUT or INOUT. The subroutine itself may have an empty body, but t does not know this and has to assume that the buffer may be altered. For exa to MPI_RECV with MPI_BOTTOM as buffer might be replaced by	he compiler 17
<pre>call DD(buf) call MPI_RECV(MPI_BOTTOM,) call DD(buf)</pre>	20 21 22 23
Such a user-defined routine was introduced in MPI-2.0 and is still included here t such usage in existing application programs although new applications should MPI_F_SYNC_REG or one of the other possibilities. In an existing applicati such a user-written routine should be substituted by a call to MPI_F_SYNC_R the user-written routine may not be implemented in accordance with the rules Section 17.1.7.	prefer <sup>25</sup> on, calls to <sup>26</sup> REG because <sup>27</sup>
Module Variables and COMMON Blocks	31
An alternative to the previously mentioned methods is to put the buffer or var module or a common block and access it through a USE or COMMON statement in where it is referenced, defined or appears as an actual argument in a call to an M The compiler will then have to assume that the MPI procedure may alter the variable, provided that the compiler cannot infer that the MPI procedure does not the module or common block.	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & \\ & & & \\ \text{MPI routine.} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \text{he buffer or} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{array}$
• This method solves problems of instruction reordering, code movement, a optimization related to nonblocking and one-sided communication, or relusage of MPI_BOTTOM and derived datatype handles.	40
• Unfortunately, this method does <b>not</b> solve problems caused by asynchrone between the start and end of a nonblocking or one-sided communication. problems caused by temporary memory modifications are not solved.	ous accesses 43
	48

1 **T** 

# The (Poorly Performing) Fortran VOLATILE Attribute

The VOLATILE attribute gives the buffer or variable the properties needed to avoid register optimization or code movement problems, but it may inhibit optimization of any code containing references or definitions of the buffer or variable. On many modern systems, the performance impact will be large because not only register, but also cache optimizations will not be applied. Therefore, use of the VOLATILE attribute to enforce correct execution of MPI programs is discouraged.

8 9

10

# The Fortran TARGET Attribute

<sup>11</sup> The TARGET attribute does not solve the code movement problem because it is not specified <sup>12</sup> for the choice buffer dummy arguments of nonblocking routines. If the compiler detects that <sup>13</sup> the application program specifies the TARGET attribute for an actual buffer argument used <sup>14</sup> in the call to a nonblocking routine, the compiler may ignore this attribute if no pointer <sup>15</sup> reference to this buffer exists.

*Rationale.* The Fortran standardization body decided to extend the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute within the TS 29113 to protect buffers in nonblocking calls from all kinds of optimization, instead of extending the TARGET attribute. (*End of rationale.*)

19 20 21

17

18

## 17.1.18 Temporary Data Movement and Temporary Memory Modification

The compiler is allowed to temporarily modify data in memory. Normally, this problem may occur only when overlapping communication and computation, as in Example 17.5, Case (b) on page 643. Example 17.6 also shows a possibility that could be problematic.

In the compiler-generated, possible optimization in Example 17.7, buf(100,100) from Example 17.6 is equivalenced with the 1-dimensional array buf\_1dim(10000). The nonblocking receive may asynchronously receive the data in the boundary buf(1,1:100) while the fused loop is temporarily using this part of the buffer. When the tmp data is written back to buf, the previous data of buf(1,1:100) is restored and the received data is lost. The principle behind this optimization is that the receive buffer data buf(1,1:100) was temporarily moved to tmp.

Example 17.8 shows a second possible optimization. The whole array is temporarily moved to local\_buf.

When storing local\_buf back to the original location buf, then this implies overwriting the section of buf that serves as a receive buffer in the nonblocking MPI call, i.e., this storing back of local\_buf is therefore likely to interfere with asynchronously received data in buf(1,1:100).

Note that this problem may also occur:

- With the local buffer at the origin process, between an RMA communication call and the ensuing synchronization call; see Chapter 11.
- With the window buffer at the target process between two ensuing RMA synchronization calls.
- With the local buffer in MPI parallel file I/O split collective operations between the ...\_BEGIN and ...\_END calls; see Section 13.5.4.
- 47 48

46

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

As already mentioned in subsection *The Fortran ASYNCHRONOUS attribute* on page 636 of Section 17.1.17, the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute can prevent compiler optimization with temporary data movement, but only if the receive buffer and the local references are separated into different variables, as shown in Example 17.9 and in Example 17.10.

Note also that the methods

- calling MPI\_F\_SYNC\_REG (or such a user-defined routine),
- using module variables and COMMON blocks, and
- the TARGET attribute

cannot be used to prevent such temporary data movement. These methods influence compiler optimization when library routines are called. They cannot prevent the optimizations of the code fragments shown in Example 17.6 and 17.7.

Note also that compiler optimization with temporary data movement should **not** be prevented by declaring **buf** as **VOLATILE** because the **VOLATILE** implies that all accesses to any storage unit (word) of **buf** must be directly done in the main memory exactly in the sequence defined by the application program. The **VOLATILE** attribute prevents all register and cache optimizations. Therefore, **VOLATILE** may cause a huge performance degradation.

Instead of solving the problem, it is better to **prevent** the problem: when overlapping communication and computation, the nonblocking communication (or nonblocking or split collective I/O) and the computation should be executed **on different variables**, and the communication should be *protected* with the **ASYNCHRONOUS** attribute. In this case, the temporary memory modifications are done only on the variables used in the computation and cannot have any side effect on the data used in the nonblocking MPI operations.

*Rationale.* This is a strong restriction for application programs. To weaken this restriction, a new or modified asynchronous feature in the Fortran language would be necessary: an asynchronous attribute that can be used on parts of an array and together with asynchronous operations outside the scope of Fortran. If such a feature becomes available in a future edition of the Fortran standard, then this restriction also may be weakened in a later version of the MPI standard. (*End of rationale.*)

In Example 17.9 (which is a solution for the problem shown in Example 17.5 and in Example 17.10 (which is a solution for the problem shown in Example 17.8), the array is split into inner and halo part and both disjoint parts are passed to a subroutine separated\_sections. This routine overlaps the receiving of the halo data and the calculations on the inner part of the array. In a second step, the whole array is used to do the calculation on the elements where inner+halo is needed. Note that the halo and the inner area are strided arrays. Those can be used in non-blocking communication only with a TS 29113 based MPI library.

#### 17.1.19 Permanent Data Movement

A Fortran compiler may implement permanent data movement during the execution of a Fortran program. This would require that pointers to such data are appropriately updated. An implementation with automatic garbage collection is one use case. Such permanent data movement is in conflict with MPI in several areas:

• MPI datatype handles with absolute addresses in combination with MPI\_BOTTOM.

 $^{24}$ 

• All nonblocking MPI operations if the internally used pointers to the buffers are not updated by the Fortran runtime, or if within an MPI process, the data movement is executed in parallel with the MPI operation.

This problem can be also solved by using the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute for such buffers. This MPI standard requires that the problems with permanent data movement do not occur by imposing suitable restrictions on the MPI library together with the compiler used; see Section 17.1.7.

<sup>10</sup> 17.1.20 Comparison with C

In C, subroutines which modify variables that are not in the argument list will not cause register optimization problems. This is because taking pointers to storage objects by using the & operator and later referencing the objects by indirection on the pointer is an integral part of the language. A C compiler understands the implications, so that the problem should not occur, in general. However, some compilers do offer optional aggressive optimization levels which may not be safe. Problems due to temporary memory modifications can also occur in C. As above, the best advice is to avoid the problem: use different variables for buffers in nonblocking MPI operations and computation that is executed while a nonblocking operation is pending. 

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Example 17.5 Protecting nonblocking communication with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

```
USE mpi_f08
REAL, ASYNCHRONOUS :: b(0:101) ! elements 0 and 101 are halo cells
REAL :: bnew(0:101)
                               ! elements 1 and 100 are newly computed
TYPE(MPI_Request) :: req(4)
INTEGER :: left, right, i
CALL MPI_Cart_shift(...,left,right,...)
CALL MPI_Irecv(b( 0), ..., left, ..., req(1), ...)
CALL MPI_Irecv(b(101), ..., right, ..., req(2), ...)
CALL MPI_Isend(b( 1), ..., left, ..., req(3), ...)
CALL MPI_Isend(b(100), ..., right, ..., req(4), ...)
#ifdef WITHOUT_OVERLAPPING_COMMUNICATION_AND_COMPUTATION
! Case (a)
  CALL MPI_Waitall(4,req,...)
  DO i=1,100 ! compute all new local data
    bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
  END DO
#endif
#ifdef WITH_OVERLAPPING_COMMUNICATION_AND_COMPUTATION
! Case (b)
  DO i=2,99 ! compute only elements for which halo data is not needed
    bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
  END DO
  CALL MPI_Waitall(4,req,...)
  i=1 ! compute leftmost element
    bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
  i=100 ! compute rightmost element
    bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
#endif
Example 17.6 Overlapping Communication and Computation.
USE mpi_f08
REAL :: buf(100,100)
CALL MPI_Irecv(buf(1,1:100),...req,...)
DO j=1,100
  DO i=2,100
    buf(i,j)=....
  END DO
END DO
CALL MPI_Wait(req,...)
```

1

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

 $14 \\ 15$ 

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

 $^{24}$ 

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

```
1
\mathbf{2}
3
4
5
6
     Example 17.7 The compiler may substitute the nested loops through loop fusion.
\overline{7}
8
     REAL :: buf(100,100), buf_1dim(10000)
9
     EQUIVALENCE (buf(1,1), buf_1dim(1))
10
     CALL MPI_Irecv(buf(1,1:100),...req,...)
11
     tmp(1:100) = buf(1,1:100)
12
     DO j=1,10000
13
       buf_1dim(h)=...
14
     END DO
15
     buf(1,1:100) = tmp(1:100)
16
     CALL MPI_Wait(req,...)
17
^{18}
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
     Example 17.8 Another optimization is based on the usage of a separate memory storage
30
     area, e.g., in a GPU.
31
32
     REAL :: buf(100,100), local_buf(100,100)
33
     CALL MPI_Irecv(buf(1,1:100),...req,...)
34
     local_buf = buf
35
     DO j=1,100
36
       DO i=2,100
37
          local_buf(i,j)=....
38
       END DO
39
     END DO
40
     buf = local_buf ! may overwrite asynchronously received
41
                        ! data in buf(1,1:100)
42
     CALL MPI_Wait(req,...)
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

**Example 17.9** Using separated variables for overlapping communication and computation to allow the protection of nonblocking communication with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.

```
USE mpi_f08
REAL :: b(0:101) ! elements 0 and 101 are halo cells
                                                                                 12
REAL :: bnew(0:101) ! elements 1 and 100 are newly computed
                                                                                 13
INTEGER :: i
                                                                                 14
CALL separated_sections(b(0), b(1:100), b(101), bnew(0:101))
i=1 ! compute leftmost element
  bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
i=100 ! compute rightmost element
  bnew(i) = function(b(i-1), b(i), b(i+1))
                                                                                 19
END
                                                                                 20
                                                                                 21
SUBROUTINE separated_sections(b_lefthalo, b_inner, b_righthalo, bnew)
                                                                                 22
USE mpi_f08
                                                                                 23
REAL, ASYNCHRONOUS :: b_lefthalo(0:0), b_inner(1:100), b_righthalo(101:101)
                                                                                 24
REAL :: bnew(0:101) ! elements 1 and 100 are newly computed
                                                                                 25
TYPE(MPI_Request) :: req(4)
INTEGER :: left, right, i
                                                                                 27
CALL MPI_Cart_shift(...,left,right,...)
                                                                                 28
CALL MPI_Irecv(b_lefthalo ( 0), ..., left, ..., req(1), ...)
                                                                                 29
CALL MPI_Irecv(b_righthalo(101), ..., right, ..., req(2), ...)
                                                                                 30
! b_lefthalo and b_righthalo is written asynchronously.
                                                                                 31
! There is no other concurrent access to b_lefthalo and b_righthalo.
                                                                                 32
CALL MPI_Isend(b_inner( 1),
                                 ..., left, ..., req(3), ...)
                                                                                 33
                               ..., right, ..., req(4), ...)
CALL MPI_Isend(b_inner(100),
                                                                                 34
                                                                                 35
DO i=2,99 ! compute only elements for which halo data is not needed
                                                                                 36
  bnew(i) = function(b_inner(i-1), b_inner(i), b_inner(i+1))
                                                                                 37
  ! b_inner is read and sent at the same time.
                                                                                 38
  ! This is allowed based on the rules for ASYNCHRONOUS.
                                                                                 39
END DO
CALL MPI_Waitall(4,req,...)
END SUBROUTINE
                                                                                 42
```

8

9 10

11

15

16

17

18

26

40

41

```
1
\mathbf{2}
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
     Example 17.10 Protecting GPU optimizations with the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute.
15
     USE mpi_f08
16
     REAL :: buf(100,100)
17
     CALL separated_sections(buf(1:1,1:100), buf(2:100,1:100))
18
     END
19
20
     SUBROUTINE separated_sections(buf_halo, buf_inner)
21
     REAL, ASYNCHRONOUS :: buf_halo(1:1,1:100)
22
     REAL :: buf_inner(2:100,1:100)
23
     REAL :: local_buf(2:100,100)
^{24}
25
     CALL MPI_Irecv(buf_halo(1,1:100),...req,...)
26
     local_buf = buf_inner
27
     DO j=1,100
28
       DO i=2,100
29
          local_buf(i,j)=....
30
       END DO
31
     END DO
32
     buf_inner = local_buf ! buf_halo is not touched!!!
33
34
     CALL MPI_Wait(req,...)
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
```

# 17.2 Language Interoperability

### 17.2.1 Introduction

It is not uncommon for library developers to use one language to develop an application library that may be called by an application program written in a different language. MPI currently supports ISO (previously ANSI) C and Fortran bindings. It should be possible for applications in any of the supported languages to call MPI-related functions in another language.

Moreover, MPI allows the development of client-server code, with MPI communication used between a parallel client and a parallel server. It should be possible to code the server in one language and the clients in another language. To do so, communications should be possible between applications written in different languages.

There are several issues that need to be addressed in order to achieve interoperability.

Initialization We need to specify how the MPI environment is initialized for all languages.

- Interlanguage passing of MPI opaque objects We need to specify how MPI object handles are passed between languages. We also need to specify what happens when an MPI object is accessed in one language, to retrieve information (e.g., attributes) set in another language.
- **Interlanguage communication** We need to specify how messages sent in one language can be received in another language.

It is highly desirable that the solution for interlanguage interoperability be extensible to new languages, should MPI bindings be defined for such languages.

### 17.2.2 Assumptions

We assume that conventions exist for programs written in one language to call routines written in another language. These conventions specify how to link routines in different languages into one program, how to call functions in a different language, how to pass arguments between languages, and the correspondence between basic data types in different languages. In general, these conventions will be implementation dependent. Furthermore, not every basic datatype may have a matching type in other languages. For example, C character strings may not be compatible with Fortran CHARACTER variables. However, we assume that a Fortran INTEGER, as well as a (sequence associated) Fortran array of INTEGERs, can be passed to a C program. We also assume that Fortran and C have addresssized integers. This does not mean that the default-size integers are the same size as default-sized pointers, but only that there is some way to hold (and pass) a C address in a Fortran integer. It is also assumed that INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_OFFSET\_KIND) can be passed from Fortran to C as MPI\_Offset.

### 17.2.3 Initialization

A call to MPI\_INIT or MPI\_INIT\_THREAD, from any language, initializes MPI for execution in all languages.

Advice to users. Certain implementations use the (inout) argc, argv arguments of the C version of MPI\_INIT in order to propagate values for argc and argv to all

1 2

3 4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

 $^{24}$ 

25 26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45

46 47

2 result in a loss of this ability. (End of advice to users.) 3 The function MPI\_INITIALIZED returns the same answer in all languages. 4 The function MPI\_FINALIZE finalizes the MPI environments for all languages. 5The function MPI\_FINALIZED returns the same answer in all languages. 6 The function MPI\_ABORT kills processes, irrespective of the language used by the 7 caller or by the processes killed. 8 9 The MPI environment is initialized in the same manner for all languages by 10 MPI\_INIT. E.g., MPI\_COMM\_WORLD carries the same information regardless of language: 11same processes, same environmental attributes, same error handlers. Information can be added to info objects in one language and retrieved in another. 1213 Advice to users. The use of several languages in one MPI program may require the 14use of special options at compile and/or link time. (End of advice to users.) 1516Advice to implementors. Implementations may selectively link language specific MPI 17 libraries only to codes that need them, so as not to increase the size of binaries for codes 18 that use only one language. The MPI initialization code need perform initialization for 19 a language only if that language library is loaded. (End of advice to implementors.) 202117.2.4 Transfer of Handles 22 23Handles are passed between Fortran and C by using an explicit C wrapper to convert Fortran  $^{24}$ handles to C handles. There is no direct access to C handles in Fortran. 25The type definition MPI\_Fint is provided in C for an integer of the size that matches a 26Fortran INTEGER; usually, MPI\_Fint will be equivalent to int. With the Fortran mpi module 27or the mpif.h include file, a Fortran handle is a Fortran INTEGER value that can be used in 28the following conversion functions. With the Fortran mpi\_f08 module, a Fortran handle is a 29BIND(C) derived type that contains an INTEGER component named MPI\_VAL. This INTEGER 30 value can be used in the following conversion functions.  $^{31}$ The following functions are provided in C to convert from a Fortran communicator 32 handle (which is an integer) to a C communicator handle, and vice versa. See also Sec-33 tion 2.6.4. 34MPI\_Comm MPI\_Comm\_f2c(MPI\_Fint comm) 35 If comm is a valid Fortran handle to a communicator, then MPI\_Comm\_f2c returns a 36 valid C handle to that same communicator; if  $comm = MPI_COMM_NULL$  (Fortran value), 37 then MPI\_Comm\_f2c returns a null C handle; if comm is an invalid Fortran handle, then 38 39 MPI\_Comm\_f2c returns an invalid C handle. MPI\_Fint MPI\_Comm\_c2f(MPI\_Comm comm) 4041 The function MPI\_Comm\_c2f translates a C communicator handle into a Fortran handle 42to the same communicator; it maps a null handle into a null handle and an invalid handle 43 into an invalid handle. 44 Similar functions are provided for the other types of opaque objects. 45MPI\_Datatype MPI\_Type\_f2c(MPI\_Fint datatype) 4647MPI\_Fint MPI\_Type\_c2f(MPI\_Datatype datatype)

executing processes. Use of the Fortran version of MPI\_INIT to initialize MPI may

1

MPI_Group MPI_Group_f2c(MPI_Fint group)	1
MPI_Fint MPI_Group_c2f(MPI_Group group)	2 3
MPI_Request MPI_Request_f2c(MPI_Fint request)	4
MPI_Fint MPI_Request_c2f(MPI_Request request)	5 6
MPI_File MPI_File_f2c(MPI_Fint file)	7
MPI_Fint MPI_File_c2f(MPI_File file)	8 9
MPI_Win MPI_Win_f2c(MPI_Fint win)	10
MPI_Fint MPI_Win_c2f(MPI_Win win)	11
	12 13
MPI_Op MPI_Op_f2c(MPI_Fint op)	14
MPI_Fint MPI_Op_c2f(MPI_Op op)	15 16
MPI_Info MPI_Info_f2c(MPI_Fint info)	17
MPI_Fint MPI_Info_c2f(MPI_Info info)	18
MPI_Errhandler MPI_Errhandler_f2c(MPI_Fint errhandler)	19 20
MPI_Fint MPI_Errhandler_c2f(MPI_Errhandler errhandler)	21
MPI_Message MPI_Message_f2c(MPI_Fint message)	22 23
MPI_Fint MPI_Message_c2f(MPI_Message message)	23
	25
Example 17.11 The example below illustrates how the Fortran MPI function	26 27
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT can be implemented by wrapping the C MPI function MPI_Type_commit with a C wrapper to do handle conversions. In this example a Fortran-C	28
interface is assumed where a Fortran function is all upper case when referred to from C and	29
arguments are passed by addresses.	30 31
! FORTRAN PROCEDURE	32
SUBROUTINE MPI_TYPE_COMMIT( DATATYPE, IERR)	33
INTEGER :: DATATYPE, IERR	34 35
CALL MPI_X_TYPE_COMMIT(DATATYPE, IERR) RETURN	36
END	37
	38 39
/* C wrapper */	40
<pre>void MPI_X_TYPE_COMMIT( MPI_Fint *f_handle, MPI_Fint *ierr)</pre>	41
{	42 43
MPI_Datatype datatype;	43
<pre>datatype = MPI_Type_f2c( *f_handle);</pre>	45
<pre>*ierr = (MPI_Fint)MPI_Type_commit( &amp;datatype);</pre>	46 47
<pre>*f_handle = MPI_Type_c2f(datatype); return;</pre>	48

The same approach can be used for all other MPI functions. The call to MPI\_XXX\_f2c (resp. MPI\_XXX\_c2f) can be omitted when the handle is an OUT (resp. IN) argument, rather than INOUT.

*Rationale.* The design here provides a convenient solution for the prevalent case, where a C wrapper is used to allow Fortran code to call a C library, or C code to call a Fortran library. The use of C wrappers is much more likely than the use of Fortran wrappers, because it is much more likely that a variable of type INTEGER can be passed to C, than a C handle can be passed to Fortran.

Returning the converted value as a function value rather than through the argument list allows the generation of efficient inlined code when these functions are simple (e.g., the identity). The conversion function in the wrapper does not catch an invalid handle argument. Instead, an invalid handle is passed below to the library function, which, presumably, checks its input arguments. (*End of rationale.*)

17.2.5 Status

The following two procedures are provided in C to convert from a Fortran (with the mpi module or mpif.h) status (which is an array of integers) to a C status (which is a structure), and vice versa. The conversion occurs on all the information in status, including that which is hidden. That is, no status information is lost in the conversion.

int MPI\_Status\_f2c(const MPI\_Fint \*f\_status, MPI\_Status \*c\_status)

If f\_status is a valid Fortran status, but not the Fortran value of MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, then MPI\_Status\_f2c returns in c\_status a valid C status with the same content. If f\_status is the Fortran value of MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or

MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, or if f\_status is not a valid Fortran status, then the call is erroneous. The C status has the same source, tag and error code values as the Fortran status, and returns the same answers when queried for count, elements, and cancellation. The conversion function may be called with a Fortran status argument that has an undefined error field, in which case the value of the error field in the C status argument is undefined.

Two global variables of type MPI\_Fint\*, MPI\_F\_STATUS\_IGNORE and MPI\_F\_STATUSES\_IGNORE are declared in mpi.h. They can be used to test, in C, whether f\_status is the Fortran value of MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE defined in the mpi module or mpif.h. These are global variables, not C constant expressions and cannot be used in places where C requires constant expressions. Their value is defined only between the calls to MPI\_INIT and MPI\_FINALIZE and should not be changed by user code. To do the conversion in the other direction, we have the following:

int MPI\_Status\_c2f(const MPI\_Status \*c\_status, MPI\_Fint \*f\_status)

This call converts a C status into a Fortran status, and has a behavior similar to MPI\_Status\_f2c. That is, the value of c\_status must not be either MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE.

Advice to users. There exists no separate conversion function for arrays of statuses, since one can simply loop through the array, converting each status with the routines in Figure 17.1. (End of advice to users.)

}

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

25

26

27

28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

40

41

45

46

47

Rationale. The handling of MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE is required in order to layer libraries with only a C wrapper: if the Fortran call has passed MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE, then the C wrapper must handle this correctly. Note that this constant need not have the same value in Fortran and C. If MPI\_Status\_f2c were to handle MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE, then the type of its result would have to be MPI\_Status\*\*, which was considered an inferior solution. (*End of rationale.*)

Using the mpi\_f08 Fortran module, a status is declared as TYPE(MPI\_Status). The C type MPI\_F08\_status can be used to pass a Fortran TYPE(MPI\_Status) argument into a C routine. Figure 17.1 illustrates all status conversion routines. Some are only available in C, some in both C and Fortran.




Figure 17.1: Status conversion routines

### 

This C routine converts a Fortran mpi\_f08 TYPE(MPI\_Status) into a C MPI\_Status. int MPI\_Status\_c2f08(const MPI\_Status \*c\_status, MPI\_F08\_status \*f08\_status)

This C routine converts a C MPI\_Status into a Fortran mpi\_f08 TYPE(MPI\_Status). Two global variables of type MPI\_F08\_status\*, MPI\_F08\_STATUS\_IGNORE and MPI\_F08\_STATUSES\_IGNORE are declared in mpi.h. They can be used to test, in C, whether f\_status is the Fortran value of MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE or MPI\_STATUSES\_IGNORE defined in the mpi\_f08 module. These are global variables, not C constant expressions and cannot be used in places where C requires constant expressions. Their value is defined only between the calls to MPI\_INIT and MPI\_FINALIZE and should not be changed by user code.

```
1
         Conversion between the two Fortran versions of a status can be done with:
\mathbf{2}
3
     MPI_STATUS_F2F08(f_status, f08_status)
4
5
       IN
                 f_status
                                            status object declared as array
6
       OUT
                 f08_status
                                            status object declared as named type
7
8
     int MPI_Status_f2f08(MPI_Fint *f_status, MPI_F08_status *f08_status)
9
10
     MPI_Status_f2f08(f_status, f08_status, ierror)
11
          INTEGER, INTENT(IN) :: f_status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
12
         TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(OUT) :: f08_status
13
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
14
     MPI_STATUS_F2F08(F_STATUS, F08_STATUS, IERROR)
15
          INTEGER :: F_STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
16
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: F08_STATUS
17
         INTEGER IERROR
18
19
         This routine converts a Fortran INTEGER, DIMENSION (MPI_STATUS_SIZE) status array
20
     into a Fortran mpi_f08 TYPE(MPI_Status).
21
22
     MPI_STATUS_F082F(f08_status, f_status)
23
24
       IN
                 f08_status
                                            status object declared as named type
25
       OUT
                f_status
                                            status object declared as array
26
27
     int MPI_Status_f082f(MPI_F08_status *f08_status, MPI_Fint *f_status)
28
29
     MPI_Status_f082f(f08_status, f_status, ierror)
30
         TYPE(MPI_Status), INTENT(IN) :: f08_status
31
          INTEGER, INTENT(OUT) :: f_status(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
32
         INTEGER, OPTIONAL, INTENT(OUT) :: ierror
33
34
     MPI_STATUS_F082F(F08_STATUS, F_STATUS, IERROR)
         TYPE(MPI_Status) :: F08_STATUS
35
         INTEGER :: F_STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
36
         INTEGER IERROR
37
38
         This routine converts a Fortran mpi_f08 TYPE(MPI_Status) into a Fortran INTEGER,
39
     DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) status array.
40
41
            MPI Opaque Objects
     17.2.6
42
43
     Unless said otherwise, opaque objects are "the same" in all languages: they carry the same
44
     information, and have the same meaning in both languages. The mechanism described
```

in the previous section can be used to pass references to MPI objects from language to
 language. An object created in one language can be accessed, modified or freed in another
 language.

48 V

We examine below in more detail issues that arise for each type of MPI object.

### Datatypes

Datatypes encode the same information in all languages. E.g., a datatype accessor like MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT will return the same information in all languages. If a datatype defined in one language is used for a communication call in another language, then the message sent will be identical to the message that would be sent from the first language: the same communication buffer is accessed, and the same representation conversion is performed, if needed. All predefined datatypes can be used in datatype constructors in any language. If a datatype is committed, it can be used for communication in any language.

The function MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS returns the same value in all languages. Note that we do not require that the constant MPI\_BOTTOM have the same value in all languages (see Section 17.2.9).

#### Example 17.12

```
! FORTRAN CODE
REAL :: R(5)
INTEGER :: TYPE, IERR, AOBLEN(1), AOTYPE(1)
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: AODISP(1)
! create an absolute datatype for array R
                                                                                    20
AOBLEN(1) = 5
                                                                                   21
CALL MPI_GET_ADDRESS( R, AODISP(1), IERR)
                                                                                   22
AOTYPE(1) = MPI_REAL
                                                                                   23
                                                                                    24
CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(1, AOBLEN, AODISP, AOTYPE, TYPE, IERR)
                                                                                    25
CALL C_ROUTINE(TYPE)
/* C code */
                                                                                    27
                                                                                    28
void C_ROUTINE(MPI_Fint *ftype)
                                                                                    29
{
                                                                                    30
   int count = 5;
   int lens[2] = \{1, 1\};
   MPI_Aint displs[2];
                                                                                    33
   MPI_Datatype types[2], newtype;
                                                                                   34
                                                                                   35
   /* create an absolute datatype for buffer that consists
                                                                 */
                                                                                   36
   /* of count, followed by R(5)
                                                                 */
                                                                                   37
                                                                                    38
   MPI_Get_address(&count, &displs[0]);
                                                                                    39
   displs[1] = 0;
   types[0] = MPI_INT;
                                                                                    41
   types[1] = MPI_Type_f2c(*ftype);
                                                                                    42
   MPI_Type_create_struct(2, lens, displs, types, &newtype);
                                                                                    43
   MPI_Type_commit(&newtype);
                                                                                    44
   MPI_Send(MPI_BOTTOM, 1, newtype, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
   /* the message sent contains an int count of 5, followed
                                                                 */
   /* by the 5 REAL entries of the Fortran array R.
                                                                 */
```

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

26

31

32

40

45

46

47

Advice to implementors. The following implementation can be used: MPI addresses, as returned by MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS, will have the same value in all languages. One obvious choice is that MPI addresses be identical to regular addresses. The address is stored in the datatype, when datatypes with absolute addresses are constructed. When a send or receive operation is performed, then addresses stored in a datatype are interpreted as displacements that are all augmented by a base address. This base address is (the address of) buf, or zero, if buf = MPI\_BOTTOM. Thus, if MPI\_BOTTOM is zero then a send or receive call with buf = MPI\_BOTTOM is implemented exactly as a call with a regular buffer argument: in both cases the base address is buf. On the other hand, if MPI\_BOTTOM is not zero, then the implementation has to be slightly different. A test is performed to check whether buf = MPI\_BOTTOM. If true, then the base address is zero, otherwise it is buf. In particular, if MPI\_BOTTOM does not have the same value in Fortran and C, then an additional test for buf = MPI\_BOTTOM is needed in at least one of the languages.

It may be desirable to use a value other than zero for MPI\_BOTTOM even in C, so as to distinguish it from a NULL pointer. If MPI\_BOTTOM = c then one can still avoid the test buf = MPI\_BOTTOM, by using the displacement from MPI\_BOTTOM, i.e., the regular address - c, as the MPI address returned by MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS and stored in absolute datatypes. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

23 Callback Functions

<sup>24</sup> MPI calls may associate callback functions with MPI objects: error handlers are associ-<sup>25</sup> ated with communicators and files, attribute copy and delete functions are associated with <sup>26</sup> attribute keys, reduce operations are associated with operation objects, etc. In a multilan-<sup>27</sup> guage environment, a function passed in an MPI call in one language may be invoked by an <sup>28</sup> MPI call in another language. MPI implementations must make sure that such invocation <sup>29</sup> will use the calling convention of the language the function is bound to.

Advice to implementors. Callback functions need to have a language tag. This tag is set when the callback function is passed in by the library function (which is presumably different for each language and language support method), and is used to generate the right calling sequence when the callback function is invoked. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

Advice to users. If a subroutine written in one language or Fortran support method wants to pass a callback routine including the predefined Fortran functions (e.g., MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN) to another application routine written in another language or Fortran support method, then it must be guaranteed that both routines use the callback interface definition that is defined for the argument when passing the callback to an MPI routine (e.g., MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_KEYVAL); see also the advice to users on page 270. (*End of advice to users.*)

## Error Handlers

Advice to implementors. Error handlers, have, in C, a variable length argument list.
 It might be useful to provide to the handler information on the language environment
 where the error occurred. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

}

#### **Reduce Operations**

All predefined named and unnamed datatypes as listed in Section 5.9.2 can be used in the listed predefined operations independent of the programming language from which the MPI routine is called.

Advice to users. Reduce operations receive as one of their arguments the datatype of the operands. Thus, one can define "polymorphic" reduce operations that work for C and Fortran datatypes. (*End of advice to users.*)

### 17.2.7 Attributes

Attribute keys can be allocated in one language and freed in another. Similarly, attribute values can be set in one language and accessed in another. To achieve this, attribute keys will be allocated in an integer range that is valid all languages. The same holds true for system-defined attribute values (such as MPI\_TAG\_UB, MPI\_WTIME\_IS\_GLOBAL, etc.).

Attribute keys declared in one language are associated with copy and delete functions in that language (the functions provided by the MPI\_{TYPE,COMM,WIN}\_CREATE\_KEYVAL call). When a communicator is duplicated, for each attribute, the corresponding copy function is called, using the right calling convention for the language of that function; and similarly, for the delete callback function.

Advice to implementors. This requires that attributes be tagged either as "C" or "Fortran" and that the language tag be checked in order to use the right calling convention for the callback function. (*End of advice to implementors.*)

The attribute manipulation functions described in Section 6.7 defines attributes arguments to be of type void\* in C, and of type INTEGER, in Fortran. On some systems, INTEGERs will have 32 bits, while C pointers will have 64 bits. This is a problem if communicator attributes are used to move information from a Fortran caller to a C callee, or vice-versa.

MPI behaves as if it stores, internally, address sized attributes. If Fortran INTEGERs are smaller, then the (deprecated) Fortran function MPI\_ATTR\_GET will return the least significant part of the attribute word; the (deprecated) Fortran function MPI\_ATTR\_PUT will set the least significant part of the attribute word, which will be sign extended to the entire word. (These two functions may be invoked explicitly by user code, or implicitly, by attribute copying callback functions.)

As for addresses, new functions are provided that manipulate Fortran address sized attributes, and have the same functionality as the old functions in C. These functions are described in Section 6.7. Users are encouraged to use these new functions.

MPI supports two types of attributes: address-valued (pointer) attributes, and integer-valued attributes. C attribute functions put and get address-valued attributes. Fortran attribute functions put and get integer-valued attributes. When an integer-valued attribute is accessed from C, then MPI\_XXX\_get\_attr will return the address of (a pointer to) the integer-valued attribute, which is a pointer to MPI\_Aint if the attribute was stored with Fortran MPI\_XXX\_SET\_ATTR, and a pointer to int if it was stored with the deprecated Fortran MPI\_ATTR\_PUT. When an address-valued attribute is accessed from Fortran, then MPI\_XXX\_GET\_ATTR will convert the address into an integer and return the result of this conversion. This conversion is lossless if new style attribute functions are used, and an integer of kind MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND is returned. The conversion may cause truncation if 

 $\overline{7}$ 

1 deprecated attribute functions are used. In C, the deprecated routines MPI\_Attr\_put and  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_Attr\_get behave identical to MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr and MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr. 3 Example 17.13 4 A. Setting an attribute value in C 56 int set\_val = 3; 7 struct foo set\_struct; 8 9 /\* Set a value that is a pointer to an int \*/ 10 11 MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval1, &set\_val); 12/\* Set a value that is a pointer to a struct \*/ 13MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval2, &set\_struct); 14 /\* Set an integer value \*/ 15MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval3, (void \*) 17); 16 17B. Reading the attribute value in C 1819int flag, \*get\_val; 20struct foo \*get\_struct; 2122/\* Upon successful return, get\_val == &set\_val 23(and therefore \*get\_val == 3) \*/  $^{24}$ MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval1, &get\_val, &flag); 25/\* Upon successful return, get\_struct == &set\_struct \*/ 26MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval2, &get\_struct, &flag); 27/\* Upon successful return, get\_val == (void\*) 17 \*/ 28i.e., (MPI\_Aint) get\_val == 17 \*/ /\*  $^{29}$ MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, keyval3, &get\_val, &flag); 30 C. Reading the attribute value with (deprecated) Fortran MPI-1 calls  $^{31}$ 32LOGICAL FLAG 33 INTEGER IERR, GET\_VAL, GET\_STRUCT 3435 ! Upon successful return, GET\_VAL == &set\_val, possibly truncated 36 CALL MPI\_ATTR\_GET(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, KEYVAL1, GET\_VAL, FLAG, IERR) 37 ! Upon successful return, GET\_STRUCT == &set\_struct, possibly truncated 38CALL MPI\_ATTR\_GET(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, KEYVAL2, GET\_STRUCT, FLAG, IERR) 39 ! Upon successful return, GET\_VAL == 17 40CALL MPI\_ATTR\_GET(MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, KEYVAL3, GET\_VAL, FLAG, IERR) 41 42D. Reading the attribute value with Fortran MPI-2 calls 43 44454647 48

```
1
LOGICAL FLAG
                                                                                       \mathbf{2}
INTEGER IERR
                                                                                       3
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) GET_VAL, GET_STRUCT
                                                                                       4
! Upon successful return, GET_VAL == &set_val
                                                                                       5
                                                                                       6
CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL1, GET_VAL, FLAG, IERR)
                                                                                       7
! Upon successful return, GET_STRUCT == &set_struct
CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL2, GET_STRUCT, FLAG, IERR)
                                                                                       8
! Upon successful return, GET_VAL == 17
                                                                                       9
                                                                                      10
CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL3, GET_VAL, FLAG, IERR)
                                                                                       11
                                                                                       12
Example 17.14 A. Setting an attribute value with the (deprecated) Fortran MPI-1 call
                                                                                      13
                                                                                      14
INTEGER IERR, VAL
                                                                                       15
VAL = 7
                                                                                       16
CALL MPI_ATTR_PUT(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL, VAL, IERR)
                                                                                       17
                                                                                       18
    B. Reading the attribute value in C
                                                                                       19
                                                                                      20
int flag;
                                                                                      21
int *value;
                                                                                      22
                                                                                      23
/* Upon successful return, value points to internal MPI storage and
                                                                                       ^{24}
   *value == (int) 7 */
                                                                                      25
MPI_Comm_get_attr(MPI_COMM_WORLD, keyval, &value, &flag);
                                                                                       26
                                                                                      27
    C. Reading the attribute value with (deprecated) Fortran MPI-1 calls
                                                                                      28
                                                                                      29
LOGICAL FLAG
                                                                                       30
INTEGER IERR, VALUE
                                                                                       31
                                                                                       32
! Upon successful return, VALUE == 7
                                                                                      33
CALL MPI_ATTR_GET(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL, VALUE, FLAG, IERR)
                                                                                      34
    D. Reading the attribute value with Fortran MPI-2 calls
                                                                                      35
                                                                                      36
LOGICAL FLAG
                                                                                      37
INTEGER IERR
                                                                                       38
INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) VALUE
                                                                                       39
                                                                                       40
! Upon successful return, VALUE == 7 (sign extended)
                                                                                      41
CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL, VALUE, FLAG, IERR)
                                                                                      42
                                                                                      43
                                                                                      44
Example 17.15 A. Setting an attribute value via a Fortran MPI-2 call
                                                                                       45
                                                                                       46
                                                                                       47
```

```
1
     INTEGER IERR
\mathbf{2}
     INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) VALUE1
3
     INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) VALUE2
4
     VALUE1 = 42
\mathbf{5}
     VALUE2 = INT(2, KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ** 40
6
7
     CALL MPI_COMM_SET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL1, VALUE1, IERR)
8
     CALL MPI_COMM_SET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL2, VALUE2, IERR)
9
10
         B. Reading the attribute value in C
11
     int flag;
12
     MPI_Aint *value1, *value2;
13
14
     /* Upon successful return, value1 points to internal MPI storage and
15
        *value1 == 42 */
16
     MPI_Comm_get_attr(MPI_COMM_WORLD, keyval1, &value1, &flag);
17
     /* Upon successful return, value2 points to internal MPI storage and
18
        *value2 == 2^40 */
19
     MPI_Comm_get_attr(MPI_COMM_WORLD, keyval2, &value2, &flag);
20
21
         C. Reading the attribute value with (deprecated) Fortran MPI-1 calls
22
23
     LOGICAL FLAG
24
     INTEGER IERR, VALUE1, VALUE2
25
26
     ! Upon successful return, VALUE1 == 42
27
     CALL MPI_ATTR_GET(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL1, VALUE1, FLAG, IERR)
28
     ! Upon successful return, VALUE2 == 2<sup>40</sup>, or 0 if truncation
29
     ! needed (i.e., the least significant part of the attribute word)
30
     CALL MPI_ATTR_GET(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL2, VALUE2, FLAG, IERR)
^{31}
32
         D. Reading the attribute value with Fortran MPI-2 calls
33
34
     LOGICAL FLAG
35
     INTEGER IERR
36
     INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) VALUE1, VALUE2
37
38
     ! Upon successful return, VALUE1 == 42
39
     CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL1, VALUE1, FLAG, IERR)
40
     ! Upon successful return, VALUE2 == 2^40
41
     CALL MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, KEYVAL2, VALUE2, FLAG, IERR)
42
43
         The predefined MPI attributes can be integer valued or address-valued. Predefined
44
     integer valued attributes, such as MPI_TAG_UB, behave as if they were put by a call to
45
     the deprecated Fortran routine MPI_ATTR_PUT, i.e., in Fortran,
46
     MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR(MPI_COMM_WORLD, MPI_TAG_UB, val, flag, ierr) will return
47
     in val the upper bound for tag value; in C, MPI_Comm_get_attr(MPI_COMM_WORLD,
```

MPI\_TAG\_UB, &p, &flag) will return in p a pointer to an int containing the upper bound for tag value.

Address-valued predefined attributes, such as MPI\_WIN\_BASE behave as if they were put by a C call, i.e., in Fortran, MPI\_WIN\_GET\_ATTR(win, MPI\_WIN\_BASE, val, flag, ierror) will return in val the base address of the window, converted to an integer. In C, MPI\_Win\_get\_attr(win, MPI\_WIN\_BASE, &p, &flag) will return in p a pointer to the window base, cast to (void \*).

*Rationale.* The design is consistent with the behavior specified for predefined attributes, and ensures that no information is lost when attributes are passed from language to language. Because the language interoperability for predefined attributes was defined based on MPI\_ATTR\_PUT, this definition is kept for compatibility reasons although the routine itself is now deprecated. (*End of rationale.*)

Advice to implementors. Implementations should tag attributes either as (1) address attributes, (2) as INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) attributes or (3) as INTEGER attributes, according to whether they were set in (1) C (with MPI\_Attr\_put or MPI\_XXX\_set\_attr), (2) in Fortran with MPI\_XXX\_SET\_ATTR or (3) with the deprecated Fortran routine MPI\_ATTR\_PUT. Thus, the right choice can be made when the attribute is retrieved. (End of advice to implementors.)

### 17.2.8 Extra-State

Extra-state should not be modified by the copy or delete callback functions. (This is obvious from the C binding, but not obvious from the Fortran binding). However, these functions may update state that is indirectly accessed via extra-state. E.g., in C, extra-state can be a pointer to a data structure that is modified by the copy or callback functions; in Fortran, extra-state can be an index into an entry in a COMMON array that is modified by the copy or callback functions. In a multithreaded environment, users should be aware that distinct threads may invoke the same callback function concurrently: if this function modifies state associated with extra-state, then mutual exclusion code must be used to protect updates and accesses to the shared state.

### 17.2.9 Constants

MPI constants have the same value in all languages, unless specified otherwise. This does not apply to constant handles (MPI\_INT, MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN, MPI\_SUM, etc.) These handles need to be converted, as explained in Section 17.2.4. Constants that specify maximum lengths of strings (see Section A.1.1 for a listing) have a value one less in Fortran than C since in C the length includes the null terminating character. Thus, these constants represent the amount of space which must be allocated to hold the largest possible such string, rather than the maximum number of printable characters the string could contain.

Advice to users. This definition means that it is safe in C to allocate a buffer to receive a string using a declaration like

char name [MPI\_MAX\_OBJECT\_NAME];

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

 $^{31}$ 

(End of advice to users.)

Also constant "addresses," i.e., special values for reference arguments that are not handles, such as MPI\_BOTTOM or MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE may have different values in different languages.

*Rationale.* The current MPI standard specifies that MPI\_BOTTOM can be used in initialization expressions in C, but not in Fortran. Since Fortran does not normally support call by value, then MPI\_BOTTOM in Fortran must be the name of a predefined static variable, e.g., a variable in an MPI declared COMMON block. On the other hand, in C, it is natural to take MPI\_BOTTOM = 0 (Caveat: Defining MPI\_BOTTOM = 0 implies that NULL pointer cannot be distinguished from MPI\_BOTTOM; it may be that MPI\_BOTTOM = 1 is better. See the advice to implementors in the *Datatypes* subsection in Section 17.2.6) Requiring that the Fortran and C values be the same will complicate the initialization process. (*End of rationale.*)

#### 14 15 16

17

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

### 17.2.10 Interlanguage Communication

The type matching rules for communication in MPI are not changed: the datatype specification for each item sent should match, in type signature, the datatype specification used to receive this item (unless one of the types is MPI\_PACKED). Also, the type of a message item should match the type declaration for the corresponding communication buffer location, unless the type is MPI\_BYTE or MPI\_PACKED. Interlanguage communication is allowed if it complies with these rules.

Example 17.16 In the example below, a Fortran array is sent from Fortran and received in C.

```
27
     ! FORTRAN CODE
28
     SUBROUTINE MYEXAMPLE()
29
     USE mpi_f08
30
     REAL :: R(5)
^{31}
     INTEGER :: IERR, MYRANK, AOBLEN(1)
32
     TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: TYPE, AOTYPE(1)
33
     INTEGER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: AODISP(1)
34
35
     ! create an absolute datatype for array \ensuremath{\mathtt{R}}
36
     AOBLEN(1) = 5
37
     CALL MPI_GET_ADDRESS( R, AODISP(1), IERR)
38
     AOTYPE(1) = MPI_REAL
39
     CALL MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT(1, AOBLEN, AODISP, AOTYPE, TYPE, IERR)
40
     CALL MPI_TYPE_COMMIT(TYPE, IERR)
41
42
     CALL MPI_COMM_RANK( MPI_COMM_WORLD, MYRANK, IERR)
43
     IF (MYRANK.EQ.O) THEN
        CALL MPI_SEND( MPI_BOTTOM, 1, TYPE, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, IERR)
44
45
     ELSE
46
        CALL C_ROUTINE(TYPE%MPI_VAL)
47
     END IF
48
     END SUBROUTINE
```

```
/* C code */
void C_ROUTINE(MPI_Fint *fhandle)
{
    MPI_Datatype type;
    MPI_Status status;
    type = MPI_Type_f2c(*fhandle);
    MPI_Recv( MPI_BOTTOM, 1, type, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &status);
}
```

MPI implementors may weaken these type matching rules, and allow messages to be sent with Fortran types and received with C types, and vice versa, when those types match. I.e., if the Fortran type INTEGER is identical to the C type int, then an MPI implementation may allow data to be sent with datatype MPI\_INTEGER and be received with datatype MPI\_INT. However, such code is not portable.

# Annex A

# Language Bindings Summary

In this section we summarize the specific bindings for C and Fortran. First we present the constants, type definitions, info values and keys. Then we present the routine prototypes separately for each binding. Listings are alphabetical within chapter.

## A.1 Defined Values and Handles

### A.1.1 Defined Constants

The C and Fortran names are listed below. Constants with the type const int may also be implemented as literal integer constants substituted by the preprocessor.

	24
Error classes	25
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	26
Fortran type: INTEGER	27
MPI_SUCCESS	28
MPI_ERR_BUFFER	29
MPI_ERR_COUNT	30
MPI_ERR_TYPE	31
MPI_ERR_TAG	32
MPI_ERR_COMM	33
MPI_ERR_RANK	34
MPI_ERR_REQUEST	35
MPI_ERR_ROOT	36
MPI_ERR_GROUP	37
MPI_ERR_OP	38
MPI_ERR_TOPOLOGY	39
MPI_ERR_DIMS	40
MPI_ERR_ARG	41
MPI_ERR_UNKNOWN	42
MPI_ERR_TRUNCATE	43
MPI_ERR_OTHER	44
MPI_ERR_INTERN	45
MPI_ERR_PENDING	46
(Continued on next page)	47
	48

1	Error classes (continued)
2	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
3	Fortran type: INTEGER
1	MPI_ERR_IN_STATUS
5	MPI_ERR_ACCESS
3	MPI_ERR_AMODE
7	MPI_ERR_ASSERT
3	MPI_ERR_BAD_FILE
)	MPI_ERR_BASE
0	MPI_ERR_CONVERSION
1	MPI_ERR_DISP
2	MPI_ERR_DUP_DATAREP
3	
4	MPI_ERR_FILE_EXISTS
* 5	MPI_ERR_FILE_IN_USE
	MPI_ERR_FILE
ô -	MPI_ERR_INFO_KEY
7	MPI_ERR_INFO_NOKEY
8	MPI_ERR_INFO_VALUE
9	MPI_ERR_INFO
)	MPI_ERR_IO
L	MPI_ERR_KEYVAL
2	MPI_ERR_LOCKTYPE
3	MPI_ERR_NAME
L	MPI_ERR_NO_MEM
5	MPI_ERR_NOT_SAME
3	MPI_ERR_NO_SPACE
7	MPI_ERR_NO_SUCH_FILE
3	MPI_ERR_PORT
)	MPI_ERR_QUOTA
)	MPI_ERR_READ_ONLY
	MPI_ERR_RMA_ATTACH
2	MPI_ERR_RMA_CONFLICT
3	MPI_ERR_RMA_RANGE
1	MPI_ERR_RMA_SHARED
õ	MPI_ERR_RMA_SYNC
<u>.</u>	MPI_ERR_RMA_FLAVOR
7	MPI_ERR_RMA_FLAVOK MPI_ERR_SERVICE
3	
s )	MPI_ERR_SIZE
	MPI_ERR_SPAWN
)	MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_DATAREP
1	MPI_ERR_UNSUPPORTED_OPERATION
2	MPI_ERR_WIN
3	(Continued on next page)
l	
i	

	Error classes (continued)	1
	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	2
	Fortran type: INTEGER	3
	MPI_T_ERR_CANNOT_INIT	4
	MPI_T_ERR_NOT_INITIALIZED	5
	MPI_T_ERR_MEMORY	6
	MPI_T_ERR_INVALID	7
	MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_INDEX	8
	MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_ITEM	9
	MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_SESSION	10
	MPI_T_ERR_INVALID_HANDLE	11
	MPI_T_ERR_OUT_OF_HANDLES	12
	MPI_T_ERR_OUT_OF_SESSIONS	13
	MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NOT_NOW	14
	MPI_T_ERR_CVAR_SET_NEVER	15
	MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_WRITE	16
	MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_STARTSTOP	17
	MPI_T_ERR_PVAR_NO_ATOMIC	18
	MPI_ERR_LASTCODE	19
		20
	Buffer Address Constants	21
C type: void * c		22
01	edefined memory location) <sup><math>1</math></sup>	23
MPI_BOTTOM	0 /	24
MPI_IN_PLACE		25
$^{-1}$ Note that in F	ortran these constants are not usable for initialize	ation 26
expressions or	assignment. See Section 2.5.4.	27
		28
	Assorted Constants	29
	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	30
	Fortran type: INTEGER	31
	MPI_PROC_NULL	32
	MPI_ANY_SOURCE	33
	MPI_ANY_TAG	34
	MPI_UNDEFINED	35
	MPI_BSEND_OVERHEAD	36
	MPI_KEYVAL_INVALID	37
	MPI_LOCK_EXCLUSIVE	38
	MPI_LOCK_SHARED	39
	MPI_ROOT	40
		41
	No Process Message Handle	42
$\mathbf{C}$	type: MPI_Message	43
For	rtran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Message)	44
M	PI_MESSAGE_NO_PROC	45
		46
		47
		48

Fortran type: LOGICAL
MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED (Fortran only)
MPI_ASYNC_PROTECTS_NONBLOCKING (Fortran onl
Status size and reserved index values (Fortran o
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_STATUS_SIZE
MPI_SOURCE
MPI_TAG
MPI_ERROR
Variable Address Size (Fortran only)
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_ADDRESS_KIND
MPI_COUNT_KIND
MPI_INTEGER_KIND
MPI_OFFSET_KIND
Error-handling specifiers
$C \text{ type: MPI}$ _Errhandler
Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Errhandler)
MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL
MPI_ERRORS_RETURN
Maximum Sizes for Strings
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_MAX_DATAREP_STRING
MPI_MAX_ERROR_STRING
MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY
MPI_MAX_INFO_VAL
MPI_MAX_INTO_VAL
MPI_MAX_DBJECT_NAME
MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME
MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME

Named Predefined Datatypes C type: MPI_Datatype	C types
Fortran type: INTEGER	
or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)	
MPI_CHAR	char
	(treated as printable character)
MPI_SHORT	signed short int
	signed int
MPI_INT	6
MPI_LONG	signed long
MPI_LONG_LONG_INT	signed long long
MPI_LONG_LONG (as a synonym)	signed long long
MPI_SIGNED_CHAR	signed char
	(treated as integral value)
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR	unsigned char
	(treated as integral value)
MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT	unsigned short
MPI_UNSIGNED	unsigned int
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG	unsigned long
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG	unsigned long long
MPI_FLOAT	float
MPI_DOUBLE	double
MPI_LONG_DOUBLE	long double
/IPI_WCHAR	wchar_t
	(defined in <stddef.h>)</stddef.h>
	(treated as printable character)
MPI_C_BOOL	_Bool
MPI_INT8_T	int8_t
MPI_INT16_T	int16_t
MPI_INT32_T	int32_t
MPI_INT64_T	int64_t
MPI_UINT8_T	uint8_t
MPI_UINT16_T	uint16_t
MPI_UINT32_T	uint32_t
MPI_UINT64_T	uint64_t
MPI_AINT	MPI_Aint
MPI_COUNT	MPI_Count
MPI_OFFSET	MPI_Offset
MPI_C_COMPLEX	float _Complex
MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX	float _Complex
MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	double _Complex
MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	long double _Complex
MPI_BYTE	(any C type)
MPI_PACKED	(any C type)

47 48

 $45 \\ 46$ 

1	Named Predefined Datatypes	Fort	ran types
2	C type: MPI_Datatype		
3	Fortran type: INTEGER		
4	or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)		
5	MPI_INTEGER	INTE	GER
6	MPI_REAL	REAL	
7	MPI_DOUBLE_PRECISION	DOUB	LE PRECISION
8	MPI_COMPLEX	COMP	LEX
9	MPI_LOGICAL	LOGI	CAL
10	MPI_CHARACTER	CHAR	ACTER(1)
11	MPI_AINT	INTE	GER (KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND)
12	MPI_COUNT	INTE	GER (KIND=MPI_COUNT_KIND)
13	MPI_OFFSET	INTE	GER (KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)
14	MPI_BYTE	(any	Fortran type)
15	MPI_PACKED	(any	Fortran type)
16			
17	Named Predefined Datatype	$\mathbf{es}^1 \mid 0$	C++ types
18	C type: MPI_Datatype		
19	Fortran type: INTEGER		
20	or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)		
21	MPI_CXX_BOOL	1	bool
22	MPI_CXX_FLOAT_COMPLEX	:	<pre>std::complex<float></float></pre>
23	MPI_CXX_DOUBLE_COMPLEX	:	std::complex <double></double>
24	MPI_CXX_LONG_DOUBLE_COMP		<pre>std::complex<long double=""></long></pre>
25	$^{-1}$ If an accompanying C++ comp	-	8,
26	MPI datatypes in this table are	e not d	lefined.
27		-	
28	Optional datatypes (I	fortra	n) Fortran types
29	C type: MPI_Datatype		
30 31	Fortran type: INTEGER		
32	or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)		
33	MPI_DOUBLE_COMPLEX		DOUBLE COMPLEX
33	MPI_INTEGER1		INTEGER*1
35	MPI_INTEGER2		INTEGER*2
35			INTEGER*4
37			INTEGER*8
38	MPI_INTEGER16		INTEGER*16
39	MPI_REAL2		REAL*2
40	MPI_REAL4		REAL*4
40	MPI_REAL8		REAL*8
42	MPI_REAL16		REAL*16
43	MPI_COMPLEX4		COMPLEX*4
44	MPI_COMPLEX8		COMPLEX*8
45	MPI_COMPLEX16		COMPLEX*16
46	MPI_COMPLEX32		COMPLEX*32
47			
10			

 $^{48}$ 

Datatypes for reduction functions (C)	1
C type: MPI_Datatype	2
Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)	3
MPI_FLOAT_INT	4
MPI_DOUBLE_INT	5
MPI_LONG_INT	6
MPI_2INT	7
MPI_SHORT_INT	8
MPI_LONG_DOUBLE_INT	9
Datatypes for reduction functions (Fortran)	10 11
C type: MPI_Datatype	12
Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)	13
MPI_2REAL	14
MPI_2DOUBLE_PRECISION	15
MPI_2INTEGER	16
	17
Reserved communicators	18
C type: MPI_Comm	19
Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Comm)	20
MPI_COMM_WORLD	21
MPI_COMM_SELF	22
	23
Communicator split type constants	24
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	25
Fortran type: INTEGER	26
MPI_COMM_TYPE_SHARED	27 28
Results of communicator and group comparisons	23
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	30
Fortran type: INTEGER	31
MPI_IDENT	32
MPI_CONGRUENT	33
MPI_SIMILAR	34
MPI_UNEQUAL	35
	36
Environmental inquiry info key	37
C type: MPI_Info	38
Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Info)	39 40
MPI_INFO_ENV	40
Environmental in	41 42
Environmental inquiry keys	42
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)	43
Fortran type: INTEGER	44
MPI_TAG_UB	40
MPI_IO	40
MPI_HOST	48
MPI_WTIME_IS_GLOBAL	10

1	Collective Operations
2	C type: MPI_Op
3	Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_0p)
4	MPI_MAX
õ	MPI_MIN
3	MPI_SUM
	MPI_PROD
3	MPI_MAXLOC
	_
	MPI_MINLOC
)	MPI_BAND
1	MPI_BOR
2	MPI_BXOR
3	MPI_LAND
1	MPI_LOR
5	MPI_LXOR
3	MPI_REPLACE
7	MPI_NO_OP
3	Null Handles
)	C/Fortran name
L	C type / Fortran type
2	MPI_GROUP_NULL
	MPI_Group / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Group)
	MPI_COMM_NULL
	MPI_Comm / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Comm) MPI_DATATYPE_NULL
	MPI_Datatype / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Datatype)
	MPI_REQUEST_NULL
	MPI_Request / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Request)
	MPI_OP_NULL
	MPI_Op / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Op)
	MPI_ERRHANDLER_NULL
	MPI_Errhandler / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Errhandler)
	MPI_FILE_NULL
i	<pre>MPI_File / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_File)</pre>
	MPI_INFO_NULL
	<pre>MPI_Info / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Info)</pre>
;	MPI_WIN_NULL
)	MPI_Win / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Win)
)	MPI_MESSAGE_NULL
	MPI_Message / INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Message)
2	
3	Empty group
1	C type: MPI_Group
5	Fortran type: INTEGER or TYPE(MPI_Group)
3	MPI_GROUP_EMPTY
7	
3	

	Topologies
	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
	Fortran type: INTEGER
	MPI_GRAPH
	MPI_CART
	MPI_DIST_GRAPH
	Predefined functions
/Fortran name	
C type	
/ Fortran type with mp:	i module / Fortran type with mpi_f08 module
PI_COMM_NULL_COF	PY_FN
MPI_Comm_copy_attr_f	function
/ COMM_COPY_ATTR_FUN	$  $ CTION / PROCEDURE(MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function) $  $
PI_COMM_DUP_FN	
MPI_Comm_copy_attr_f	function
/ COMM_COPY_ATTR_FUN	$(TTION / PROCEDURE(MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function)^{-1})$
PI_COMM_NULL_DEL	_ETE_FN
MPI_Comm_delete_attr	c_function
/ COMM_DELETE_ATTR_F	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
PI_WIN_NULL_COPY	_FN
MPI_Win_copy_attr_fu	_
/ WIN_COPY_ATTR_FUNC	$TION / PROCEDURE(MPI_Win_copy_attr_function)$
PI_WIN_DUP_FN	
MPI_Win_copy_attr_fu	
/ WIN_COPY_ATTR_FUNC	, ,
PI_WIN_NULL_DELET	
MPI_Win_delete_attr_	
/ WIN_DELETE_ATTR_FU	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PI_TYPE_NULL_COP	
MPI_Type_copy_attr_f	
/ TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FUN PI_TYPE_DUP_FN	ICTION       / PROCEDURE(MPI_Type_copy_attr_function) 1)
	function
<pre>MPI_Type_copy_attr_f / TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FUN</pre>	
PI_TYPE_NULL_DELE	
MPI_Type_delete_attr	
/ TYPE_DELETE_ATTR_F	
PI_CONVERSION_FN_	,
MPI_Datarep_conversi	
/ DATAREP_CONVERSION	
1	ementors (on page 270) and advice to users (on page 270)
see uno accrice to imple	
on the predefined For	tran functions MPL COMM_NULL_COPY_ENin
	tran functions MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN, in
on the predefined For Section 6.7.2.	tran functions MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN, in

1	Deprecated predefined functions
2	C/Fortran name
3	C type / Fortran type with $\tt mpi$ module
4	MPI_NULL_COPY_FN
5	MPI_Copy_function / COPY_FUNCTION
6	MPI_DUP_FN
7	MPI_Copy_function / COPY_FUNCTION
8	MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN
9	MPI_Delete_function / DELETE_FUNCTION
.0	
2	Predefined Attribute Keys
2 3	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
1	Fortran type: INTEGER
÷	
3	MPI_WIN_BASE
	MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT
	MPI_WIN_SIZE
)	MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR
1	MPI_WIN_MODEL
3	MDI Window Create Element
4	MPI Window Create Flavors
- 	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
;	Fortran type: INTEGER
7	
3	
)	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_DYNAMIC MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_SHARED
)	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_SHARED
	MPI Window Models
2	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
;	Fortran type: INTEGER
L	MPI_WIN_SEPARATE
i	MPI_WIN_UNIFIED
;	
3	
)	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
3	
7	

 $^{48}$ 

Mode Constants
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_MODE_APPEND
MPI_MODE_CREATE
MPI_MODE_DELETE_ON_CLOSE
MPI_MODE_EXCL
MPI_MODE_NOCHECK
MPI_MODE_NOPRECEDE
MPI_MODE_NOPUT
MPI_MODE_NOSTORE
MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED
MPI_MODE_RDONLY
MPI_MODE_RDWR
MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL
MPI_MODE_UNIQUE_OPEN
MPI_MODE_WRONLY
Datatype Decoding Constants
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_COMBINER_CONTIGUOUS
MPI_COMBINER_DARRAY
MPI_COMBINER_DUP
MPI_COMBINER_F90_COMPLEX
MPI_COMBINER_F90_INTEGER
MPI_COMBINER_F90_REAL
MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED
MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR
MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED_BLOCK
MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_BLOCK
MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED
MPI_COMBINER_NAMED
MPI_COMBINER_RESIZED
MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT
MPI_COMBINER_SUBARRAY
MPI_COMBINER_VECTOR
Threads Constants
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
Fortran type: INTEGER
MPI_THREAD_FUNNELED
MPI_THREAD_MULTIPLE
MPI_THREAD_SERIALIZED
MPI_THREAD_SINGLE

1	File Operation Constants, Part 1
2	C type: const MPI_Offset (or unnamed enum)
3	Fortran type: INTEGER (KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND)
4	MPI_DISPLACEMENT_CURRENT
5	
6	File Operation Constants, Part 2
7	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
8	Fortran type: INTEGER
9	MPI_DISTRIBUTE_BLOCK
10	MPI_DISTRIBUTE_CYCLIC
11	MPI_DISTRIBUTE_DFLT_DARG
12	MPI_DISTRIBUTE_NONE
13	MPI_ORDER_C
14	MPI_ORDER_FORTRAN
15	MPI_SEEK_CUR
16	MPI_SEEK_END
17	MPI_SEEK_SET
18	
19	F90 Datatype Matching Constants
20	C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
21	Fortran type: INTEGER
22	MPI_TYPECLASS_COMPLEX
23	MPI_TYPECLASS_INTEGER
24	MPI_TYPECLASS_REAL
05	
25	
25 26	Constants Specifying Empty or Ignored Input
	Constants Specifying Empty or Ignored Input C/Fortran name
26	
26 27	C/Fortran name
26 27 28	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup>
26 27 28 29	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL
26 27 28 29 30	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status) MPI_UNWEIGHTED
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status) MPI_UNWEIGHTED int* / INTEGER array MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY int* / INTEGER array
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status) MPI_UNWEIGHTED int* / INTEGER array MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status) MPI_UNWEIGHTED int* / INTEGER array MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY int* / INTEGER array
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	C/Fortran name C type / Fortran type <sup>1</sup> MPI_ARGVS_NULL char*** / 2-dim. array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ARGV_NULL char** / array of CHARACTER*(*) MPI_ERRCODES_IGNORE int* / INTEGER array MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE,*) or TYPE(MPI_Status), DIMENSION(*) MPI_STATUS_IGNORE MPI_Status* / INTEGER, DIMENSION(MPI_STATUS_SIZE) or TYPE(MPI_Status) MPI_UNWEIGHTED int* / INTEGER array MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY int* / INTEGER array <sup>1</sup> Note that in Fortran these constants are not usable for initialization

	equivalent to Fortran
_F_STATUSES_IGNORE	MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE in mpi / mpif.h
I_F_STATUS_IGNORE	MPI_STATUS_IGNORE in mpi / mpif.h
vpe: MPI_F08_status*	equivalent to Fortran
I_F08_STATUSES_IGNORE	MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE in mpi_f08
_F08_STATUS_IGNORE	MPI_STATUS_IGNORE in mpi_f08
	stants and Fortran Parameters
	nacro that expands to an int value
Fortran type: INTEGER	
MPI_SUBVERSION	
MPI_VERSION	
	he MPI tool information interface
MPI_T_ENUM_NULL	
MPI_T_enum	
MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_NU	LL
MPI_T_cvar_handle	
MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_NU	LL
MPI_T_pvar_handle MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_NU	
MPI_T_pvar_session	
III 1_1_pvar_bebbion	
Verbosity Levels in th	e MPI tool information interface
C type: const int (or unn	
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER	L'BASIC
*= (	
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER	_DETAIL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER	_DETAIL _ALL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC EV_DETAIL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC EV_DETAIL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC EV_DETAIL
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID MPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC EV_DETAIL
IPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER IPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER IPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER IPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE IPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNE IPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID IPI_T_VERBOSITY_MPID	E_DETAIL E_ALL ER_BASIC ER_DETAIL ER_ALL EV_BASIC EV_DETAIL

Constants to identify associations of variable
in the MPI tool information interface
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
MPI_T_BIND_NO_OBJECT
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_COMM
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_DATATYPE
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_ERRHANDLER
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_FILE
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_GROUP
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_OP
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_REQUEST
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_WIN
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_MESSAGE
MPI_T_BIND_MPI_INFO
Constants describing the scope of a control vari
in the MPI tool information interface
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
MPI_T_SCOPE_CONSTANT
MPI_T_SCOPE_READONLY
MPI_T_SCOPE_LOCAL
MPI_T_SCOPE_GROUP
MPI_T_SCOPE_GROUP_EQ
MPI_T_SCOPE_ALL
MPI_T_SCOPE_ALL_EQ
Additional constants used
by the MPI tool information interface
C type: MPI_T_pvar_handle
MPI_T_PVAR_ALL_HANDLES
Performance variables classes used by the
MPI tool information interface
C type: const int (or unnamed enum)
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_STATE
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_STATE MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_LEVEL
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_SIZE
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_PERCENTAGE
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_HIGHWATERMARK
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_LOWWATERMARK
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_COUNTER
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_AGGREGATE
MPI_T_PVAR_CLASS_TIMER

## A.1.2 Types

46

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> The following are defined C type definitions, included in the file mpi.h.

/* C opaque types */	1
MPI_Aint	2
MPI_Count	3
MPI_Fint	4
MPI_Offset	5
MPI_Status	6
MPI_F08_status	7
	8
/* C handles to assorted structures */	9
MPI_Comm	10
MPI_Datatype	11
MPI_Errhandler	12
MPI_File	13
MPI_Group	14
MPI_Info	15
MPI_Message	16
MPI_Op	17
MPI_Request	18
MPI_Win	19
	20
/* Types for the MPI_T interface */	21
MPI_T_enum	22
MPI_T_cvar_handle	23
MPI_T_pvar_handle	24
MPI_T_pvar_session	25 26
	20
The following and defined Fortune town definitions included in the mui 600 and mui	
The following are defined Fortran type definitions, included in the mpi_f08 and mpi modules.	29
! Fortran opaque types in the mpi_f08 and mpi modules	30
TYPE(MPI_Status)	31
	32
! Fortran handles in the mpi_f08 and mpi modules	33
TYPE(MPI_Comm)	34
TYPE(MPI_Datatype)	35
TYPE(MPI_Errhandler)	36 37
TYPE(MPI_File)	38
TYPE(MPI_Group)	39
TYPE(MPI_Info)	40
TYPE(MPI_Message)	40
TYPE(MPI_Op)	41
TYPE(MPI_Request)	43
TYPE(MPI_Win)	40
	45
	46
	47

1 A.1.3 Prototype Definitions  $\mathbf{2}$ C Bindings 3 4 The following are defined C typedefs for user-defined functions, also included in the file  $\mathbf{5}$ mpi.h. 6  $\overline{7}$ /\* prototypes for user-defined functions \*/ typedef void MPI\_User\_function(void \*invec, void \*inoutvec, int \*len, 8 9 MPI\_Datatype \*datatype); 10  $^{11}$ typedef int MPI\_Comm\_copy\_attr\_function(MPI\_Comm oldcomm, int comm\_keyval, void \*extra\_state, void \*attribute\_val\_in, 12void \*attribute\_val\_out, int \*flag); 13 14typedef int MPI\_Comm\_delete\_attr\_function(MPI\_Comm comm, int comm\_keyval, void \*attribute\_val, void \*extra\_state); 151617typedef int MPI\_Win\_copy\_attr\_function(MPI\_Win oldwin, int win\_keyval, 18 void \*extra\_state, void \*attribute\_val\_in, 19void \*attribute\_val\_out, int \*flag); typedef int MPI\_Win\_delete\_attr\_function(MPI\_Win win, int win\_keyval, 2021void \*attribute\_val, void \*extra\_state); 2223typedef int MPI\_Type\_copy\_attr\_function(MPI\_Datatype oldtype,  $^{24}$ int type\_keyval, void \*extra\_state, 25void \*attribute\_val\_in, void \*attribute\_val\_out, int \*flag); 26typedef int MPI\_Type\_delete\_attr\_function(MPI\_Datatype datatype, int type\_keyval, void \*attribute\_val, void \*extra\_state); 2728typedef void MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function(MPI\_Comm \*, int \*, ...); 29typedef void MPI\_Win\_errhandler\_function(MPI\_Win \*, int \*, ...); 30  $^{31}$ typedef void MPI\_File\_errhandler\_function(MPI\_File \*, int \*, ...); 3233 typedef int MPI\_Grequest\_query\_function(void \*extra\_state, 34 MPI\_Status \*status); typedef int MPI\_Grequest\_free\_function(void \*extra\_state); 35typedef int MPI\_Grequest\_cancel\_function(void \*extra\_state, int complete); 36 37 typedef int MPI\_Datarep\_extent\_function(MPI\_Datatype datatype, 3839MPI\_Aint \*file\_extent, void \*extra\_state); typedef int MPI\_Datarep\_conversion\_function(void \*userbuf, 4041 MPI\_Datatype datatype, int count, void \*filebuf, 42MPI\_Offset position, void \*extra\_state); 43 44Fortran 2008 Bindings with the mpi\_f08 Module 45The callback prototypes when using the Fortran mpi\_f08 module are shown below: 46The user-function argument to MPI\_Op\_create should be declared according to: 47ABSTRACT INTERFACE 48

```
1
  SUBROUTINE MPI_User_function(invec, inoutvec, len, datatype)
                                                                                   2
      USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
                                                                                    3
      TYPE(C_PTR), VALUE :: invec, inoutvec
      INTEGER :: len
                                                                                   4
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
                                                                                   5
                                                                                   6
    The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_Comm_create_keyval should be de-
                                                                                   7
clared according to:
                                                                                    8
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                   9
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function(oldcomm, comm_keyval, extra_state,
                                                                                   10
  attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)
                                                                                   11
      TYPE(MPI_Comm) :: oldcomm
                                                                                   12
      INTEGER :: comm_keyval, ierror
                                                                                   13
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,
                                                                                   14
      attribute_val_out
                                                                                   15
      LOGICAL :: flag
                                                                                   16
                                                                                   17
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                   18
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Comm_delete_attr_function(comm, comm_keyval,
                                                                                   19
  attribute_val, extra_state, ierror)
      TYPE(MPI_Comm) :: comm
                                                                                   20
                                                                                   21
      INTEGER :: comm_keyval, ierror
                                                                                   22
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: attribute_val, extra_state
                                                                                   23
   The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_Win_create_keyval should be declared
                                                                                   24
according to:
                                                                                   25
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                   26
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Win_copy_attr_function(oldwin, win_keyval, extra_state,
                                                                                   27
  attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)
                                                                                   28
      TYPE(MPI_Win) :: oldwin
                                                                                   29
      INTEGER :: win_keyval, ierror
                                                                                   30
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,
                                                                                   31
      attribute_val_out
                                                                                   32
      LOGICAL :: flag
                                                                                   33
                                                                                   34
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                   35
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Win_delete_attr_function(win, win_keyval, attribute_val,
                                                                                   36
  extra_state, ierror)
                                                                                   37
      TYPE(MPI_Win) :: win
                                                                                   38
      INTEGER :: win_keyval, ierror
                                                                                   39
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: attribute_val, extra_state
                                                                                   40
    The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_Type_create_keyval should be declared
                                                                                   41
according to:
                                                                                   42
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                   43
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Type_copy_attr_function(oldtype, type_keyval, extra_state,
                                                                                   44
  attribute_val_in, attribute_val_out, flag, ierror)
                                                                                   45
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: oldtype
                                                                                   46
      INTEGER :: type_keyval, ierror
                                                                                   47
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state, attribute_val_in,
                                                                                   48
```

1attribute\_val\_out  $\mathbf{2}$ LOGICAL :: flag 3 ABSTRACT INTERFACE 4 SUBROUTINE MPI\_Type\_delete\_attr\_function(datatype, type\_keyval, 5attribute\_val, extra\_state, ierror) 6 TYPE(MPI\_Datatype) :: datatype 7 INTEGER :: type\_keyval, ierror 8 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: attribute\_val, extra\_state 9 10 The handler-function argument to MPI\_Comm\_create\_errhandler should be declared 11 like this: 12ABSTRACT INTERFACE 13SUBROUTINE MPI\_Comm\_errhandler\_function(comm, error\_code) 14TYPE(MPI\_Comm) :: comm 15INTEGER :: error\_code 16The handler-function argument to MPI\_Win\_create\_errhandler should be declared like 17this: 18 ABSTRACT INTERFACE 19 SUBROUTINE MPI\_Win\_errhandler\_function(win, error\_code) 20TYPE(MPI\_Win) :: win 21INTEGER :: error\_code 22 23The handler-function argument to MPI\_File\_create\_errhandler should be declared like 24this: 25ABSTRACT INTERFACE 26SUBROUTINE MPI\_File\_errhandler\_function(file, error\_code) 27TYPE(MPI\_File) :: file 28INTEGER :: error\_code 29 The query, free, and cancel function arguments to MPI\_Grequest\_start should be de-30 clared according to: 31ABSTRACT INTERFACE 32 SUBROUTINE MPI\_Grequest\_query\_function(extra\_state, status, ierror) 33 TYPE(MPI\_Status) :: status 34 INTEGER :: ierror 35 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: extra\_state 36 37 ABSTRACT INTERFACE 38 SUBROUTINE MPI\_Grequest\_free\_function(extra\_state, ierror) 39 INTEGER :: ierror 40INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: extra\_state 41 ABSTRACT INTERFACE 42SUBROUTINE MPI\_Grequest\_cancel\_function(extra\_state, complete, ierror) 43 INTEGER :: ierror 44 INTEGER(KIND=MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND) :: extra\_state 45 LOGICAL :: complete 4647 The extent and conversion function arguments to MPI\_Register\_datarep should be de-48

```
1
clared according to:
                                                                                     2
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                    3
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Datarep_extent_function(datatype, extent, extra_state,
  ierror)
                                                                                    4
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
                                                                                     5
                                                                                     6
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extent, extra_state
                                                                                     7
      INTEGER :: ierror
ABSTRACT INTERFACE
                                                                                     9
  SUBROUTINE MPI_Datarep_conversion_function(userbuf, datatype, count,
                                                                                    10
  filebuf, position, extra_state, ierror)
                                                                                    11
      USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, ONLY : C_PTR
                                                                                    12
      TYPE(C_PTR), VALUE :: userbuf, filebuf
                                                                                    13
      TYPE(MPI_Datatype) :: datatype
                                                                                    14
      INTEGER :: count, ierror
                                                                                    15
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) :: position
                                                                                    16
      INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) :: extra_state
                                                                                    17
                                                                                    18
                                                                                    19
Fortran Bindings with mpif.h or the mpi Module
                                                                                    20
With the Fortran mpi module or mpif.h, here are examples of how each of the user-defined
                                                                                    21
subroutines should be declared.
                                                                                    22
    The user-function argument to MPI_OP_CREATE should be declared like this:
                                                                                    23
                                                                                    24
SUBROUTINE USER_FUNCTION (INVEC, INOUTVEC, LEN, DATATYPE)
                                                                                    25
   <type> INVEC(LEN), INOUTVEC(LEN)
                                                                                    26
   INTEGER LEN, DATATYPE
                                                                                    27
    The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_COMM_CREATE_KEYVAL should be
                                                                                    28
                                                                                    29
declared like these:
                                                                                    30
SUBROUTINE COMM_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDCOMM, COMM_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
                                                                                    31
             ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
                                                                                    32
   INTEGER OLDCOMM, COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                    33
   INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN,
                                                                                    34
             ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
                                                                                    35
   LOGICAL FLAG
                                                                                    36
                                                                                    37
SUBROUTINE COMM_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
                                                                                    38
             EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
                                                                                    39
   INTEGER COMM, COMM_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                    40
   INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
                                                                                    41
                                                                                    42
    The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_WIN_CREATE_KEYVAL should be
                                                                                    43
declared like these:
                                                                                    44
                                                                                    45
SUBROUTINE WIN_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDWIN, WIN_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
                                                                                    46
             ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
                                                                                    47
   INTEGER OLDWIN, WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
                                                                                    48
```

1	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
3	LOGICAL FLAG
4	FORICAT LEAG
5	SUBROUTINE WIN_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
6	EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
7	INTEGER WIN, WIN_KEYVAL, IERROR
8	INTEGER WIN, WIN_KEIVAL, IERROR INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
9	INTEGER(KIND-MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
10	The copy and delete function arguments to MPI_TYPE_CREATE_KEYVAL should be
11	declared like these:
12	
13	SUBROUTINE TYPE_COPY_ATTR_FUNCTION(OLDTYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE,
14	ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERROR)
15	INTEGER OLDTYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
16	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE,
17	ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT
18	LOGICAL FLAG
19	
20	SUBROUTINE TYPE_DELETE_ATTR_FUNCTION(DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL,
21	EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)
22	INTEGER DATATYPE, TYPE_KEYVAL, IERROR
23	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE
24	
25	The handler-function argument to $MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER$ should be de-
26	clared like this:
27	
28	SUBROUTINE COMM_ERRHANDLER_FUNCTION(COMM, ERROR_CODE)
29	INTEGER COMM, ERROR_CODE
30	
31	The handler-function argument to MPI_WIN_CREATE_ERRHANDLER should be de-
32	clared like this:
33	SUBROUTINE WIN_ERRHANDLER_FUNCTION(WIN, ERROR_CODE)
34	INTEGER WIN, ERROR_CODE
35	INTEGER WIN, ERROR_CODE
36	The handler-function argument to MPI_FILE_CREATE_ERRHANDLER should be de-
37	clared like this:
38	
39	SUBROUTINE FILE_ERRHANDLER_FUNCTION(FILE, ERROR_CODE)
40	INTEGER FILE, ERROR_CODE
41	
42	The query, free, and cancel function arguments to MPI_GREQUEST_START should be
43	declared like these:
44	
45	SUBROUTINE GREQUEST_QUERY_FUNCTION(EXTRA_STATE, STATUS, IERROR)
46	INTEGER STATUS(MPI_STATUS_SIZE), IERROR
47	INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE
48	

SUBROUTINE GREQUEST_FREE_FUNCTION(EXTRA_STATE, IERROR) INTEGER IERROR	1 2
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE	$\frac{3}{4}$
SUBROUTINE GREQUEST_CANCEL_FUNCTION(EXTRA_STATE, COMPLETE, IERROR) INTEGER IERROR	5 6
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE LOGICAL COMPLETE	7 8
The extent and conversion function arguments to MPI_REGISTER_DATAREP should be declared like these:	9 10 11
SUBROUTINE DATAREP_EXTENT_FUNCTION(DATATYPE, EXTENT, EXTRA_STATE, IERROR) INTEGER DATATYPE, IERROR	12 13 14
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTENT, EXTRA_STATE	15
SUBROUTINE DATAREP_CONVERSION_FUNCTION(USERBUF, DATATYPE, COUNT, FILEBUF, POSITION, EXTRA_STATE, IERROR)	16 17 18
<type> USERBUF(*), FILEBUF(*) INTEGER COUNT, DATATYPE, IERROR</type>	19 20
INTEGER(KIND=MPI_OFFSET_KIND) POSITION INTEGER(KIND=MPI_ADDRESS_KIND) EXTRA_STATE	21 22
A.1.4 Deprecated Prototype Definitions	23 24
The following are defined C typedefs for deprecated user-defined functions, also included in the file mpi.h.	25 26 27
<pre>/* prototypes for user-defined functions */ typedef int MPI_Copy_function(MPI_Comm oldcomm, int keyval,</pre>	28 29
<pre>void *extra_state, void *attribute_val_in, void *attribute_val_out, int *flag);</pre>	30 31 32
<pre>typedef int MPI_Delete_function(MPI_Comm comm, int keyval,</pre>	33 34
The following are deprecated Fortran user-defined callback subroutine prototypes. The deprecated copy and delete function arguments to MPI_KEYVAL_CREATE should be de-	35 36 37
clared like these:	38
SUBROUTINE COPY_FUNCTION(OLDCOMM, KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, FLAG, IERR)	$\frac{39}{40}$
INTEGER OLDCOMM, KEYVAL, EXTRA_STATE, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_IN, ATTRIBUTE_VAL_OUT, IERR	41 42 43
LOGICAL FLAG	44
SUBROUTINE DELETE_FUNCTION(COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE, IERR) INTEGER COMM, KEYVAL, ATTRIBUTE_VAL, EXTRA_STATE, IERR	45 46 47
	48

- $\frac{2}{3}$  The following info keys are reserved. They are strings.
- 4 access\_style
- 5 accumulate\_ops
- 6 accumulate\_ordering
- 7 alloc\_shared\_noncontig
- 8 appnum
- 9 arch
- 10 cb\_block\_size
- 11 cb\_buffer\_size
- 12 cb\_nodes
- 13 chunked\_item
- 14 chunked\_size
- 15 chunked
- <sup>16</sup> collective\_buffering
- 17 file\_perm
- 18 filename
- 19 file
- 20 host
- 21 io\_node\_list
- 22 ip\_address
- 23 ip\_port
- 24 nb\_proc
- 25 no\_locks
- num\_io\_nodes
- 27 path
- 28 same\_size
- 29 soft
- 30 striping\_factor
- 31 striping\_unit
- 32 wdir
- 33
- 34
- A.1.6 Info Values
- $_{37}^{36}$  The following info values are reserved. They are strings.
- 38 false
- 39 random
- 40 rar
- 41 raw
- $_{42}$  read\_mostly
- $_{43}$  read\_once
- 44 reverse\_sequential
- 45 same\_op
- $_{46}$  sequential
- 47 true
- 48 war

waw	
write_mostly	
write_once	

## A.2 C Bindings

- .
- 4 5

- 36 37

- 43 44

A.3. FORTRAN 2008 BINDIN	NGS WITH THE MPI_F08 MODULE	687
A.3 Fortran 2008 Bindir	ngs with the mpi_f08 Module	1
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6 7
		8
		9
		10
		11
		12
		13
		14
		15 16
		17
		18
		19
		20
		21
		22
		23
		24
		25 26
		27
		28
		29
		30
		31
		32
		33
		34 35
		36
		37
		38
		39
		40
		41
		42
		43 44
		44 45
		46
		47
		48

	688	ANNEX A. LANGUAGE BINDINGS SUMMARY
1	A.4	Fortran Bindings with mpif.h or the mpi Module
2		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37 38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		

# Annex B

# Change-Log

This annex summarizes changes from the previous version of the MPI standard to the version presented by this document. Only significant changes (i.e., clarifications and new features) that might either require implementation effort in the MPI libraries or change the understanding of MPI from a user's perspective are presented. Editorial modifications, formatting, typo corrections and minor clarifications are not shown.

B.1	Changes from Version 2.2 to Version 3.0	20 21
2.1		21
B.1.:	1 Fixes to Errata in Previous Versions of MPI	23
1.	Sections $2.6.2$ and $2.6.3$ on pages 18 and 19, and	24
	MPI-2.2 Section 2.6.2 on page 17, lines 41-42, Section 2.6.3 on page 18, lines 15-16, and Section 2.6.4 on page 18, lines 40-41.	25 26
	This is an MPI-2 erratum: The scope for the reserved prefix MPI_ and the C++ namespace MPI is now any name as originally intended in MPI-1.	27 28
		29
2.	Sections 3.2.2, 5.9.2, 13.7.2 Table 13.2, and Annex A.1.1 on pages 25, 176, 535, and	30
	663, and	31
	MPI-2.2 Sections 3.2.2, 5.9.2, 13.5.2 Table 13.2, 16.1.16 Table 16.1, and Annex A.1.1 on pages 27, 164, 433, 472 and 513	32 33
	This is an MPI-2.2 erratum: New named predefined datatypes MPI_CXX_BOOL,	34
	MPI_CXX_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_CXX_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and	35
	MPI_CXX_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX were added in C and Fortran corresponding	36
	to the C++ types bool, std::complex <float>, std::complex<double>, and</double></float>	37
	std::complex <long double="">. These datatypes also correspond to the deprecated</long>	38
	C++ predefined datatypes MPI::BOOL, MPI::COMPLEX, MPI::DOUBLE_COMPLEX,	39
	and MPI::LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, which were removed in MPI-3.0. The non-	40
	standard $C++$ types Complex<> were substituted by the standard types	41
	<pre>std::complex&lt;&gt;.</pre>	42
า	Sections 5.0.0 cm menors 176 cm d MDL 0.0 Section 5.0.0 menor 165 line 47	43
ა.	Sections 5.9.2 on pages 176 and MPI-2.2 Section 5.9.2, page 165, line 47. This is an MPI-2.2 erratum: MPI_C_COMPLEX was added to the "Complex" reduc-	44
	tion group.	45 46
1	Section 7.5.5 on norm 202 and	40
4.	Section 7.5.5 on page 302, and MPL 2.2. Section 7.5.5 on page 257. C++ interface on page 264 line 2	48
	MPI-2.2, Section 7.5.5 on page $257$ , C++ interface on page $264$ , line 3.	

1	This is an MPI-2.2 erratum: The argument rank was removed and in/outdegree are	
2	now defined as int& indegree and int& outdegree in the C++ interface of	
3	MPI_DIST_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT.	
4		
	5. Section 13.7.2, Table 13.2 on page 535, and	
5	MPI-2.2, Section 13.5.3, Table 13.2 on page 433.	
6	This was an MPI-2.2 erratum: The MPI_C_BOOL "external32" representation is cor-	
7	•	
8	rected to a 1-byte size.	
9	6. MPI-2.2 Section 16.1.16 on page 471, line 45.	
10	· · · ·	
11	This is an MPI-2.2 erratum: The constant MPI::_LONG_LONG should be	
12	MPI::LONG_LONG.	
13	7. Annex A.1.1 on page 663, Table "Optional datatypes (Fortran)," and	
14	MPI-2.2, Annex A.1.1, Table on page 517, lines 34, and 37-41.	
15		
	This is an MPI-2.2 erratum: The C++ datatype handles MPI::INTEGER16,	
16	MPI::REAL16, MPI::F_COMPLEX4, MPI::F_COMPLEX8, MPI::F_COMPLEX16,	
17	MPI::F_COMPLEX32 were added to the table.	
18		
19	B.1.2 Changes in MPI-3.0	
20		
21	1. Section 2.6.1 on page 17, Section 16.2 on page 598 and all other chapters.	
22	The $C++$ bindings were removed from the standard. See errata in Section B.1.1 on	
23	page $689$ for the latest changes to the MPI C++ binding defined in MPI-2.2.	
24	This change may affect backward compatibility.	
25		
26	2. Section 2.6.1 on page 17, Section 15.1 on page 593 and Section 16.1 on page 597.	
27	The deprecated functions MPI_TYPE_HVECTOR, MPI_TYPE_HINDEXED,	
28	MPI_TYPE_STRUCT, MPI_ADDRESS, MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, MPI_TYPE_LB,	
29	$MPI_TYPE_UB$ , $MPI_ERRHANDLER_CREATE$ (and its callback function prototype	
	${\sf MPI\_Handler\_function},  {\sf MPI\_ERRHANDLER\_SET},  {\sf MPI\_ERRHANDLER\_GET},  {\rm the \ dep}$	
30	recated special datatype handles MPI_LB, MPI_UB, and the constants	
31	MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_INTEGER, MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR_INTEGER,	
32	MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT_INTEGER were removed from the standard.	
33	This change may affect backward compatibility.	
34		
35	3. Section $2.3$ on page 10.	
36	Clarified parameter usage for IN parameters. C bindings are now const-correct where	
37	backward compatibility is preserved.	
38	······································	
39	4. Section 2.5.4 on page 15 and Section 7.5.4 on page 296.	
40	The recommended C implementation value for MPI_UNWEIGHTED changed from NULL	
41	to non-NULL. An additional weight array constant (MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY) was in-	
	troduced.	
42		
43	5. Section 2.5.4 on page 15 and Section 8.1.1 on page 333.	
44	Added the new routine MPI_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION to query library specific ver-	
45	sions, and the new constant MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING.	
46		
47	6. Sections 2.5.8, 3.2.2, 3.3, 5.9.2, on pages 16, 25, 27, 176, Sections 4.1, 4.1.7, 4.1.8,	
47 48	6. Sections 2.5.8, 3.2.2, 3.3, 5.9.2, on pages 16, 25, 27, 176, Sections 4.1, 4.1.7, 4.1.8, 4.1.11, 12.3 on pages 83, 105, 107, 110, 480, and Annex A.1.1 on page 663.	

New inquiry functions, MPI\_TYPE\_SIZE\_X, MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_EXTENT\_X, 1  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_TYPE\_GET\_TRUE\_EXTENT\_X, and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X, return their re-3 sults as an MPI\_Count value, which is a new type large enough to represent ele-4 ment counts in memory, file views, etc. A new function,  $\mathbf{5}$ MPI\_STATUS\_SET\_ELEMENTS\_X, modifies the opaque part of an MPI\_Status object so that a call to MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS\_X returns the provided MPI\_Count value (in 6  $\overline{7}$ Fortran, INTEGER (KIND=MPI\_COUNT\_KIND). The corresponding predefined datatype is MPI\_COUNT. 8 9 7. Chapter 3 on page 23 until Chapter 17 on page 599. 10 In the C language bindings, the array-arguments' interfaces were modified to consis-11 tently use use [] instead of \*. 12Exceptions are MPI\_INIT, which continues to use char **\*\*\*argv** (correct because of 13 subtle rules regarding the use of the & operator with char \*argv[]), and 14MPI\_INIT\_THREAD, which is changed to be consistent with MPI\_INIT. 15168. Sections 3.2.5, 4.1.5, 4.1.11, 4.2 on pages 30, 101, 110, 130. 17 The functions MPI\_GET\_COUNT and MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS were defined to set the 18 count argument to MPI\_UNDEFINED when that argument would overflow. The func-19 tions MPI\_PACK\_SIZE and MPI\_TYPE\_SIZE were defined to set the size argument 20to MPI\_UNDEFINED when that argument would overflow. In all other MPI-2.2 rou-21tines, the type and semantics of the count arguments remain unchanged, i.e., int or 22 INTEGER. 23 $^{24}$ 9. Section 3.2.6 on page 32, and Section 3.8 on page 64. 25MPI\_STATUS\_IGNORE can be also used in MPI\_IPROBE, MPI\_PROBE, MPI\_IMPROBE, 26and MPI\_MPROBE. 2710. Section 3.8 on page 64 and Section 3.11 on page 80. 28The use of MPI\_PROC\_NULL in probe operations was clarified. A special predefined 29 message MPI\_MESSAGE\_NO\_PROC was defined for the use of matching probe (i.e., the 30 new MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE) with MPI\_PROC\_NULL.  $^{31}$ 32 11. Sections 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 17.2.4, A.1.1 on pages 67, 69, 648, 663. 33 Like MPI\_PROBE and MPI\_IPROBE, the new MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE 34 operations allow incoming messages to be queried without actually receiving them, 35 except that MPI\_MPROBE and MPI\_IMPROBE provide a mechanism to receive the 36 specific message with the new routines MPI\_MRECV and MPI\_IMRECV regardless of 37 other intervening probe or receive operations. The opaque object MPI\_Message, the 38 null handle MPI\_MESSAGE\_NULL, and the conversion functions MPI\_Message\_c2f and 39 MPI\_Message\_f2c were defined. 40 12. Section 4.1.2 on page 85 and Section 4.1.13 on page 115. 41 The routine MPI\_TYPE\_CREATE\_HINDEXED\_BLOCK and constant 42MPI\_COMBINER\_HINDEXED\_BLOCK were added. 43 4413. Chapter 5 on page 141 and Section 5.12 on page 196. 45Added nonblocking interfaces to all collective operations. 464714. Sections 6.4.2, 6.4.4, 11.2.7, on pages 237, 248, 415. 48 The new routines MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_WITH\_INFO, MPI\_COMM\_SET\_INFO,

1 2 3		MPI_COMM_GET_INFO, MPI_WIN_SET_INFO, and MPI_WIN_GET_INFO were added. The routine MPI_COMM_DUP must also duplicate info hints.
4 5	15.	Section 6.4.2 on page 237. Added MPI_COMM_IDUP.
6 7 8 9 10	16.	Section 6.4.2 on page 237. Added the new communicator construction routine MPI_COMM_CREATE_GROUP, which is invoked only by the processes in the group of the new communicator being constructed.
11 12 13	17.	Section 6.4.2 on page 237. Added the MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE routine and the communicator split type con- stant MPI_COMM_TYPE_SHARED.
14 15 16 17 18	18.	Section 6.6.2 on page 260. In MPI-2.2, communication involved in an MPI_INTERCOMM_CREATE operation could interfere with point-to-point communication on the parent communicator with the same tag or MPI_ANY_TAG. This interference has been removed in MPI-3.0.
19 20 21 22	19.	Section 6.8 on page 281. Section 6.8 on page 238. The constant MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME also applies for type and window names.
23 24	20.	Section 7.5.8 on page 312. MPI_CART_MAP can also be used for a zero-dimensional topologies.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	21.	Section 7.6 on page 314 and Section 7.7 on page 323. The following neighborhood collective communication routines were added to support sparse communication on virtual topology grids: MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER, MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV, MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV, MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV and the nonblocking variants MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV, and MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW. The displacement arguments in MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW and MPI_INEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW were defined as address size integers. In MPI_DIST_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS, an ordering rule was added for communicators created with MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE_ADJACENT.
37 38 39 40 41	22.	Section 8.7 on page 355 and Section 12.4.3 on page 485. The use of MPI_INIT, MPI_INIT_THREAD and MPI_FINALIZE was clarified. After MPI is initialized, the application can access information about the execution envi- ronment by querying the new predefined info object MPI_INFO_ENV.
42 43	23.	Section 8.7 on page 355. Allow calls to MPI_T routines before MPI_INIT and after MPI_FINALIZE.
44 45 46 47 48	24.	Chapter 11 on page 401. Substantial revision of the entire One-sided chapter, with new routines for window creation, additional synchronization methods in passive target communication, new one-sided communication routines, a new memory model, and other changes.

25.	Section 14.3 on page 561. A new MPI Tool Information Interface was added.	1 2
	The following changes are related to the Fortran language support.	3 4
26.	Section 2.3 on page 10, and Sections 17.1.1, 17.1.2, 17.1.7 on pages 599, 600, and 615. The new mpi_08 Fortran module was introduced.	5 6
27.	Section 2.5.1 on page 12, and Sections 17.1.2, 17.1.3, 17.1.7 on pages 600, 603, and 615. Handles to opaque objects were defined as named types within the mpi_08 Fortran module. The operators .EQ., .NE., ==, and /= were overloaded to allow the comparison of these handles. The handle types and the overloaded operators are also available through the mpi Fortran module.	7 8 9 10 11 12
28.	Sections 2.5.4, 2.5.5 on pages 15, 16, Sections 17.1.1, 17.1.10, 17.1.11, 17.1.12, 17.1.13 on pages 599, 625, 626, 627, 630, and Sections 17.1.2, 17.1.3, 17.1.7 on pages 600, 603, 615. Within the mpi_08 Fortran module, choice buffers were defined as assumed-type and assumed-rank according to Fortran 2008 TS 29113 [41], and the compile-time constant MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED was set to .TRUE With this, Fortran subscript triplets can be used in nonblocking MPI operations; vector subscripts are not supported in nonblocking operations. If the compiler does not support this Fortran TR 29113 feature, the constant is set to .FALSE	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21
29.	Section 2.6.2 on page 18, Section 17.1.2 on page 600, and Section 17.1.7 on page 615. The ierror dummy arguments are OPTIONAL within the mpi_08 Fortran module.	23 23 24
30.	<ul> <li>Section 3.2.5 on page 30, Sections 17.1.2, 17.1.3, 17.1.7, on pages 600, 603, 615, and Section 17.2.5 on page 650.</li> <li>Within the mpi_08 Fortran module, the status was defined as TYPE(MPI_Status). Additionally, within both the mpi and the mpi_f08 modules, the constants</li> <li>MPI_STATUS_SIZE, MPI_SOURCE, MPI_TAG, MPI_ERROR, and TYPE(MPI_Status) are defined. New conversion routines were added: MPI_STATUS_F2F08,</li> <li>MPI_STATUS_F082F, MPI_Status_c2f08, and MPI_Status_f082c, In mpi.h, the new type MPI_F08_status, and the external variables MPI_F08_STATUS_IGNORE and MPI_F08_STATUSES_IGNORE were added.</li> </ul>	25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
31.	Section 3.6 on page 44. In Fortran with the mpi module or mpif.h, the type of the buffer_addr argument of MPI_BUFFER_DETACH is incorrectly defined and the argument is therefore unused.	34 35 36 37
32.	Section 4.1 on page 83, Section 4.1.6 on page 103, and Section 17.1.15 on page 631. The Fortran alignments of basic datatypes within Fortran derived types are implementation dependent; therefore it is recommended to use the BIND(C) attribute for derived types in MPI communication buffers. If an array of structures (in $C/C++$ ) or derived types (in Fortran) is to be used in MPI communication buffers, it is recommended that the user creates a portable datatype handle and additionally applies MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED to this datatype handle.	38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45
33.	Sections 4.1.10, 5.9.5, 5.9.7, 6.7.4, 6.8, 8.3.1, 8.3.2, 8.3.3, 15.1, 17.1.9 on pages 110, 183, 189, 275, 281, 341, 343, 345, 593, and 617. In some routines, the dummy argument names were changed because they were identical to the Fortran keywords	46 47 48

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		TYPE and FUNCTION. The new dummy argument names must be used because the mpi and mpi_08 modules guarantee keyword-based actual argument lists. The argument name type was changed in MPI_TYPE_DUP, the Fortran USER_FUNCTION of MPI_OP_CREATE, MPI_TYPE_SET_ATTR, MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, MPI_TYPE_DELETE_ATTR, MPI_TYPE_SET_NAME, MPI_TYPE_GET_NAME, MPI_TYPE_GET_NAME, MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE, the callback prototype definition MPI_Type_delete_attr_function, and the predefined callback function MPI_TYPE_NULL_DELETE_FN; function was changed in MPI_OP_CREATE, MPI_COMM_CREATE_ERRHANDLER, MPI_WIN_CREATE_ERRHANDLER, MPI_FILE_CREATE_ERRHANDLER, and MPI_ERRHANDLER_CREATE. For consistency reasons, INOUBUF was changed to INOUTBUF in MPI_REDUCE_LOCAL, and intracomm to newintracomm in MPI_INTERCOMM_MERGE.
13 14 15 16 17	34.	Section 6.7.2 on page 267. Section 6.7.2 on page 226. It was clarified that in Fortran, the flag values returned by a comm_copy_attr_fn callback, including MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN and MPI_COMM_DUP_FN, are .FALSE. and .TRUE.; see MPI_COMM_CREATE_KEYVAL.
18 19 20 21 22	35.	Section 8.2 on page 337. With the mpi and mpi_f08 Fortran modules, MPI_ALLOC_MEM now also supports TYPE(C_PTR) C-pointers instead of only returning an address-sized integer that may be usable together with a non-standard Cray-pointer.
23 24 25	36.	Section 17.1.15 on page 631, and Section 17.1.7 on page 615. Fortran SEQUENCE and BIND(C) derived application types can now be used as buffers in MPI operations.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	37.	Section 17.1.16 on page 632 to Section 17.1.19 on page 641, Section 17.1.7 on page 615, and Section 17.1.8 on page 616. The sections about Fortran optimization problems and their solutions were partially rewritten and new methods are added, e.g., the use of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute. The constant MPI_ASYNC_PROTECTS_NONBLOCKING tells whether the semantics of the ASYNCHRONOUS attribute is extended to protect nonblocking operations. The Fortran routine MPI_F_SYNC_REG is added. MPI-3.0 compliance for an MPI library together with a Fortran compiler is defined in Section 17.1.7.
35 36 37 38	38.	Section 17.1.2 on page 600. Within the mpi_08 Fortran module, dummy arguments are now declared with INTENT=IN, OUT, or INOUT as defined in the mpi_08 interfaces.
39 40	39.	Section 17.1.3 on page 603, and Section 17.1.7 on page 615. The existing mpi Fortran module must implement compile-time argument checking.
41 42 43	40.	Section 17.1.4 on page 605. The use of the mpif.h Fortran include file is now strongly discouraged.
44 45 46 47 48	41.	Section A.1.1, Table " <i>Predefined functions</i> " on page 671, Section A.1.3 on page 678, and Section ?? on page ??. Within the new mpi_f08 module, all callback prototype definitions are now defined with explicit interfaces PROCEDURE(MPI) that have the BIND(C) attribute; user-written callbacks must be modified if the mpi_f08 module is used.

42.	Section A.1.3 on page 678.	1
	In some routines, the Fortran callback prototype names were changed fromFN toFUNCTION to be consistent with the other language bindings.	2 3
		4
B.2	Changes from Version 2.1 to Version 2.2	5 6
1	Section $2.5.4$ on page 15.	7
1.	It is now guaranteed that predefined named constant handles (as other constants)	8
	can be used in initialization expressions or assignments, i.e., also before the call to MPI_INIT.	9 10
		11
2.	Section 2.6 on page 17, and Section 16.2 on page 598.	12
	The C++ language bindings have been deprecated and may be removed in a future version of the MPI specification.	13 14
	-	15
3.	Section 3.2.2 on page 25.	16
	MPI_CHAR for printable characters is now defined for C type char (instead of signed	17
	char). This change should not have any impact on applications nor on MPI libraries	18
	(except some comment lines), because printable characters could and can be stored in	19
	any of the C types char, signed char, and unsigned char, and MPI_CHAR is not allowed for predefined reduction operations.	20
	for predefined reduction operations.	21
4.	Section $3.2.2$ on page $25$ .	22
	MPI_(U)INT{8,16,32,64}_T, MPI_AINT, MPI_OFFSET, MPI_C_BOOL,	23 24
	MPI_C_COMPLEX, MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and	24 25
	MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX are now valid predefined MPI datatypes.	26
5.	Section 3.4 on page 37, Section 3.7.2 on page 48, Section 3.9 on page 73, and Section 5.1	27
	on page 141.	28
	The read access restriction on the send buffer for blocking, non blocking and collective	29
	API has been lifted. It is permitted to access for read the send buffer while the	30
	operation is in progress.	31
6	Section 2.7 on page 47	32
0.	Section 3.7 on page 47. The Advice to users for IBSEND and IRSEND was slightly changed.	33
	The Advice to users for indential and indential was slightly changed.	34
7.	Section $3.7.3$ on page $52$ .	35
	The advice to free an active request was removed in the Advice to users for	36
	MPI_REQUEST_FREE.	37 38
8.	Section $3.7.6$ on page $63$ .	39
	MPI_REQUEST_GET_STATUS changed to permit inactive or null requests as input.	40
9.	Section 5.8 on page 168.	41
	"In place" option is added to MPI_ALLTOALL, MPI_ALLTOALLV, and	42
	MPI_ALLTOALLW for intracommunicators.	43 44
10	Section $5.9.2$ on page $176$ .	45
10.	Predefined parameterized datatypes (e.g., returned by	46
	MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL) and optional named predefined datatypes (e.g.	47
	MPI_REAL8) have been added to the list of valid datatypes in reduction operations.	48

1 2 3 4 5 6	11.	Section 5.9.2 on page 176. MPI_(U)INT{8,16,32,64}_T are all considered C integer types for the purposes of the predefined reduction operators. MPI_AINT and MPI_OFFSET are considered Fortran integer types. MPI_C_BOOL is considered a Logical type. MPI_C_COMPLEX, MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX are considered Complex types.
7 8 9 10	12.	Section 5.9.7 on page 189. The local routines MPI_REDUCE_LOCAL and MPI_OP_COMMUTATIVE have been added.
11 12 13 14	13.	Section 5.10.1 on page 190. The collective function MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK is added to the MPI standard.
15 16	14.	Section 5.11.2 on page 194. Added in place argument to MPI_EXSCAN.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15.	Section 6.4.2 on page 237, and Section 6.6 on page 257. Implementations that did not implement MPI_COMM_CREATE on intercommunicators will need to add that functionality. As the standard described the behavior of this operation on intercommunicators, it is believed that most implementations already provide this functionality. Note also that the C++ binding for both MPI_COMM_CREATE and MPI_COMM_SPLIT explicitly allow Intercomms.
24 25 26 27 28	16.	Section 6.4.2 on page 237. MPI_COMM_CREATE is extended to allow several disjoint subgroups as input if comm is an intracommunicator. If comm is an intercommunicator it was clarified that all processes in the same local group of comm must specify the same value for group.
29 30 31 32 33	17.	Section 7.5.4 on page 296. New functions for a scalable distributed graph topology interface has been added. In this section, the functions MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE_ADJACENT and MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE, the constants MPI_UNWEIGHTED, and the derived C++ class Distgraphcomm were added.
34 35 36 37 38	18.	Section 7.5.5 on page 302. For the scalable distributed graph topology interface, the functions MPI_DIST_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT and MPI_DIST_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS and the constant MPI_DIST_GRAPH were added.
39 40 41 42	19.	Section 7.5.5 on page 302. Remove ambiguity regarding duplicated neighbors with MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS and MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT.
43 44	20.	Section 8.1.1 on page 333. The subversion number changed from 1 to 2.
45 46 47 48	21.	Section 8.3 on page 340, Section 15.2 on page 596, and Annex A.1.3 on page 678. Changed function pointer typedef names MPI_{Comm,File,Win}_errhandler_fn to MPI_{Comm,File,Win}_errhandler_function. Deprecated old "_fn" names.

22.	Section 8.7.1 on page 361. Attribute deletion callbacks on MPI_COMM_SELF are now called in LIFO order. Implementors must now also register all implementation-internal attribute deletion callbacks on MPI_COMM_SELF before returning from MPI_INIT/MPI_INIT_THREAD.	1 2 3 4
23.	Section 11.3.4 on page 423. The restriction added in MPI 2.1 that the operation MPI_REPLACE in MPI_ACCUMULATE can be used only with predefined datatypes has been removed. MPI_REPLACE can now be used even with derived datatypes, as it was in MPI 2.0. Also, a clarification has been made that MPI_REPLACE can be used only in MPI_ACCUMULATE, not in collective operations that do reductions, such as MPI_REDUCE and others.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
24.	Section 12.2 on page 473. Add "*" to the query_fn, free_fn, and cancel_fn arguments to the C++ binding for MPI::Grequest::Start() for consistency with the rest of MPI functions that take function pointer arguments.	13 14 15 16 17
25.	Section 13.7.2 on page 534, and Table 13.2 on page 535. MPI_(U)INT{8,16,32,64}_T, MPI_AINT, MPI_OFFSET, MPI_C_COMPLEX, MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and MPI_C_BOOL are added as predefined datatypes in the external32 representation.	18 19 20 21 22
26.	Section 17.2.7 on page 655. The description was modified that it only describes how an MPI implementation behaves, but not how MPI stores attributes internally. The erroneous MPI-2.1 Example 16.17 was replaced with three new examples 17.13, 17.14, and 17.15 on pages 656-657 explicitly detailing cross-language attribute behavior. Implementations that matched the behavior of the old example will need to be updated.	23 24 25 26 27 28 29
27.	Annex A.1.1 on page 663. Removed type MPI::Fint (compare MPI_Fint in Section A.1.2 on page 676).	30 31 32
28.	Annex A.1.1 on page 663. Table Named Predefined Datatypes. Added MPI_(U)INT{8,16,32,64}_T, MPI_AINT, MPI_OFFSET, MPI_C_BOOL, MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, MPI_C_COMPLEX, MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, and MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX are added as predefined datatypes.	33 34 35 36 37
B.3	Changes from Version 2.0 to Version 2.1	38 39
1.	Section 3.2.2 on page 25, and Annex A.1 on page 663. In addition, the MPI_LONG_LONG should be added as an optional type; it is a syn- onym for MPI_LONG_LONG_INT.	40 41 42 43
2.	Section 3.2.2 on page 25, and Annex A.1 on page 663. MPI_LONG_LONG_INT, MPI_LONG_LONG (as synonym), MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG, MPI_SIGNED_CHAR, and MPI_WCHAR are moved from optional to official and they are therefore defined for all three language bindings.	44 45 46 47 48

1 2 3 4 5	3.	Section 3.2.5 on page 30. MPI_GET_COUNT with zero-length datatypes: The value returned as the count argument of MPI_GET_COUNT for a datatype of length zero where zero bytes have been transferred is zero. If the number of bytes transferred is greater than zero, MPI_UNDEFINED is returned.
6 7 8 9 10 11	4.	Section 4.1 on page 83. General rule about derived datatypes: Most datatype constructors have replication count or block length arguments. Allowed values are non-negative integers. If the value is zero, no elements are generated in the type map and there is no effect on datatype bounds or extent.
12 13 14 15	5.	Section 4.3 on page 137. MPI_BYTE should be used to send and receive data that is packed using MPI_PACK_EXTERNAL.
16 17 18 19 20	6.	Section 5.9.6 on page 187. If comm is an intercommunicator in MPI_ALLREDUCE, then both groups should provide count and datatype arguments that specify the same type signature (i.e., it is not necessary that both groups provide the same count value).
20 21 22 23 24	7.	Section 6.3.1 on page 228. MPI_GROUP_TRANSLATE_RANKS and MPI_PROC_NULL: MPI_PROC_NULL is a valid rank for input to MPI_GROUP_TRANSLATE_RANKS, which returns MPI_PROC_NULL as the translated rank.
25 26 27	8.	Section 6.7 on page 265. About the attribute caching functions:
28 29 30 31		Advice to implementors. High-quality implementations should raise an error when a keyval that was created by a call to MPI_XXX_CREATE_KEYVAL
32 33 34		is used with an object of the wrong type with a call to MPI_YYY_GET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_SET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_DELETE_ATTR, or MPI_YYY_FREE_KEYVAL. To do so, it is necessary to maintain, with each key- val, information on the type of the associated user function. ( <i>End of advice to</i> <i>implementors.</i> )
33	9.	MPI_YYY_GET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_SET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_DELETE_ATTR, or MPI_YYY_FREE_KEYVAL. To do so, it is necessary to maintain, with each key- val, information on the type of the associated user function. ( <i>End of advice to</i>
33 34 35 36 37 38 39		<ul> <li>MPI_YYY_GET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_SET_ATTR, MPI_YYY_DELETE_ATTR, or MPI_YYY_FREE_KEYVAL. To do so, it is necessary to maintain, with each key- val, information on the type of the associated user function. (<i>End of advice to</i> <i>implementors.</i>)</li> <li>Section 6.8 on page 281.</li> <li>In MPI_COMM_GET_NAME: In C, a null character is additionally stored at name[resultlen]. resultlen cannot be larger then MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME-1. In For- tran, name is padded on the right with blank characters. resultlen cannot be larger</li> </ul>

12.	Section 7.5.3 on page 294. In MPI_GRAPH_CREATE: If the graph is empty, i.e., nnodes $== 0$ , then	1 2		
	MPI_COMM_NULL is returned in all processes.	3		
13	Section 7.5.3 on page 294.	4		
10.	In MPI_GRAPH_CREATE: A single process is allowed to be defined multiple times	5 6		
	in the list of neighbors of a process (i.e., there may be multiple edges between two			
	processes). A process is also allowed to be a neighbor to itself (i.e., a self loop in the	7		
	graph). The adjacency matrix is allowed to be non-symmetric.	8		
	graph). The adjacency matrix is allowed to be non symmetric.	9		
	Advice to users. Performance implications of using multiple edges or a non-	10		
	symmetric adjacency matrix are not defined. The definition of a node-neighbor	11		
	edge does not imply a direction of the communication. (End of advice to users.)	12		
14		13 14		
14.	Section 7.5.5 on page 302.	14		
	In MPI_CARTDIM_GET and MPI_CART_GET: If comm is associated with a zero-	16		
	dimensional Cartesian topology, MPI_CARTDIM_GET returns ndims=0 and	10		
	MPI_CART_GET will keep all output arguments unchanged.	18		
15.	Section $7.5.5$ on page $302$ .	19		
	In MPI_CART_RANK: If comm is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topol-	20		
	ogy, coord is not significant and 0 is returned in rank.			
		21 22		
16.	Section 7.5.5 on page 302.	23		
	In MPI_CART_COORDS: If comm is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian	24		
	topology, <b>coords</b> will be unchanged.	25		
17	Section $7.5.6$ on page $310$ .	26		
111	In MPI_CART_SHIFT: It is erroneous to call MPI_CART_SHIFT with a direction that	27		
	is either negative or greater than or equal to the number of dimensions in the Cartesian	28		
	communicator. This implies that it is erroneous to call MPI_CART_SHIFT with a	29		
	comm that is associated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology.	30		
		31		
18.	Section 7.5.7 on page 311.	32		
	In MPI_CART_SUB: If all entries in remain_dims are false or comm is already associ-	33		
	ated with a zero-dimensional Cartesian topology then newcomm is associated with a	34		
	zero-dimensional Cartesian topology.	35		
18.1	Section 8.1.1 on page 333.	36		
10.11	The subversion number changed from 0 to 1.	37		
		38		
19.	Section $8.1.2$ on page $334$ .	39		
	In MPI_GET_PROCESSOR_NAME: In C, a null character is additionally stored at	40		
	name[resultlen]. resultlen cannot be larger then MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME-1. In	41		
	Fortran, name is padded on the right with blank characters. resultlen cannot be larger	42		
	then MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME.	43		
20	Section 8.3 on page 340.	44		
20.	MPI_{COMM,WIN,FILE}_GET_ERRHANDLER behave as if a new error handler object	45		
	is created. That is, once the error handler is no longer needed,	46		
	MPI_ERRHANDLER_FREE should be called with the error handler returned from	47		
		48		

1		MPI_ERRHANDLER_GET or MPI_{COMM,WIN,FILE}_GET_ERRHANDLER to mark
2		the error handler for deallocation. This provides behavior similar to that of
3		MPI_COMM_GROUP and MPI_GROUP_FREE.
4 5	21.	Section $8.7$ on page $355$ , see explanations to MPI_FINALIZE.
6		MPI_FINALIZE is collective over all connected processes. If no processes were spawned,
7		accepted or connected then this means over MPI_COMM_WORLD; otherwise it is col-
8		lective over the union of all processes that have been and continue to be connected,
9		as explained in Section $10.5.4$ on page $397$ .
10	22.	Section 8.7 on page 355.
11		About MPI_ABORT:
12		
13 14		Advice to users. Whether the errorcode is returned from the executable or from
15		the MPI process startup mechanism (e.g., mpiexec), is an aspect of quality of the MPI library but not mandatory. ( <i>End of advice to users.</i> )
16		With horary but not mandatory. (End of dubice to users.)
17		Advice to implementors. Where possible, a high-quality implementation will try
18		to return the error code from the $MPI$ process startup mechanism (e.g. mpi exec
19		or singleton init). (End of advice to implementors.)
20	กา	Section 0 on page 265
21	25.	Section 9 on page 365. An implementation must support info objects as caches for arbitrary (key, value)
22 23		pairs, regardless of whether it recognizes the key. Each function that takes hints in
24		the form of an MPI_Info must be prepared to ignore any key it does not recognize. This
25		description of info objects does not attempt to define how a particular function should
26		react if it recognizes a key but not the associated value. MPI_INFO_GET_NKEYS,
27		MPI_INFO_GET_NTHKEY, MPI_INFO_GET_VALUELEN, and MPI_INFO_GET must
28		retain all (key,value) pairs so that layered functionality can also use the lnfo object.
29	24.	Section $11.3$ on page $417$ .
30 31		MPI_PROC_NULL is a valid target rank in the MPI RMA calls MPI_ACCUMULATE,
32		MPI_GET, and MPI_PUT. The effect is the same as for MPI_PROC_NULL in MPI point-
33		to-point communication. See also item $25$ in this list.
34	25.	Section $11.3$ on page $417$ .
35		After any RMA operation with rank MPI_PROC_NULL, it is still necessary to finish
36		the RMA epoch with the synchronization method that started the epoch. See also
37		item 24 in this list.
38 39	26	Section 11.3.4 on page 423.
40	20.	MPI_REPLACE in MPI_ACCUMULATE, like the other predefined operations, is defined
41		only for the predefined MPI datatypes.
42	07	Section 12.2.8 on page 408
43	21.	Section 13.2.8 on page 498. About MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW and MPI_FILE_SET_INFO: When an info object that
44		specifies a subset of valid hints is passed to MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW or
45		MPI_FILE_SET_INFO, there will be no effect on previously set or defaulted hints that
46		the info does not specify.
47 48		

28.	Section 13.2.8 on page 498. About MPI_FILE_GET_INFO: If no hint exists for the file associated with fh, a handle to a newly created info object is returned that contains no key/value pair.	1 2 3
29.	Section 13.3 on page 501. If a file does not have the mode MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL, then MPI_DISPLACEMENT_CURRENT is invalid as disp in MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW.	4 5 6 7
30.	Section 13.7.2 on page 534. The bias of 16 byte doubles was defined with 10383. The correct value is 16383.	8 9 10
31.	MPI-2.2, Section 16.1.4 (Section was removed in MPI-3.0). In the example in this section, the buffer should be declared as const void* buf.	11 12
32.	Section 17.1.9 on page 617. About MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX:	13 14 15
	Advice to implementors. An application may often repeat a call to MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX with the same combination of $(XXX,p,r)$ . The application is not allowed to free the returned predefined, unnamed datatype handles. To prevent the creation of a potentially huge amount of handles, the MPI implementation should return the same datatype handle for the same ( REAL/COMPLEX/INTEGER,p,r) combination. Checking for the combination ( p,r) in the preceding call to MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_XXX and using a hash- table to find formerly generated handles should limit the overhead of finding a previously generated datatype with same combination of $(XXX,p,r)$ . (End of advice to implementors.)	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26
33.	Section A.1.1 on page 663. MPI_BOTTOM is defined as void * const MPI::BOTTOM.	27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45
		46 47 48

## Bibliography

 V. Bala and S. Kipnis. Process groups: a mechanism for the coordination of and communication among processes in the Venus collective communication library. Technical report, IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, October 1992. Preprint. 1.2

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

 $\frac{44}{45}$ 

46

47

- [2] V. Bala, S. Kipnis, L. Rudolph, and Marc Snir. Designing efficient, scalable, and portable collective communication libraries. Technical report, IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, October 1992. Preprint. 1.2
- [3] Purushotham V. Bangalore, Nathan E. Doss, and Anthony Skjellum. MPI++: Issues and Features. In *OON-SKI '94*, page in press, 1994. 6.1
- [4] A. Beguelin, J. Dongarra, A. Geist, R. Manchek, and V. Sunderam. Visualization and debugging in a heterogeneous environment. *IEEE Computer*, 26(6):88–95, June 1993.
   1.2
- [5] Luc Bomans and Rolf Hempel. The Argonne/GMD macros in FORTRAN for portable parallel programming and their implementation on the Intel iPSC/2. *Parallel Computing*, 15:119–132, 1990. 1.2
- [6] Dan Bonachea and Jason Duell. Problems with using MPI 1.1 and 2.0 as compilation targets for parallel language implementations. IJHPCN, 1(1/2/3):91–99, 2004. 11.7
- [7] Rajesh Bordawekar, Juan Miguel del Rosario, and Alok Choudhary. Design and evaluation of primitives for parallel I/O. In *Proceedings of Supercomputing '93*, pages 452–461, 1993. 13.1
- [8] R. Butler and E. Lusk. User's guide to the p4 programming system. Technical Report TM-ANL-92/17, Argonne National Laboratory, 1992. 1.2
- [9] Ralph Butler and Ewing Lusk. Monitors, messages, and clusters: The p4 parallel programming system. *Parallel Computing*, 20(4):547–564, April 1994. Also Argonne National Laboratory Mathematics and Computer Science Division preprint P362-0493. 1.2
- [10] Robin Calkin, Rolf Hempel, Hans-Christian Hoppe, and Peter Wypior. Portable programming with the PARMACS message-passing library. *Parallel Computing*, 20(4):615–632, April 1994. 1.2
- [11] S. Chittor and R. J. Enbody. Performance evaluation of mesh-connected wormholerouted networks for interprocessor communication in multicomputers. In *Proceedings* of the 1990 Supercomputing Conference, pages 647–656, 1990. 7.1

1 2 3	[12]	S. Chittor and R. J. Enbody. Predicting the effect of mapping on the communica- tion performance of large multicomputers. In <i>Proceedings of the 1991 International</i> <i>Conference on Parallel Processing, vol. II (Software)</i> , pages II–1 – II–4, 1991. 7.1
4 5 6	[13]	Parasoft Corporation. Express version 1.0: A communication environment for parallel computers, 1988. 1.2, 7.4
7 8 9 10 11	[14]	Yiannis Cotronis, Anthony Danalis, Dimitrios S. Nikolopoulos, and Jack Dongarra, editors. Recent Advances in the Message Passing Interface - 18th European MPI Users' Group Meeting, EuroMPI 2011, Santorini, Greece, September 18-21, 2011. Proceedings, volume 6960 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2011. 16, 36
12 13 14 15	[15]	Juan Miguel del Rosario, Rajesh Bordawekar, and Alok Choudhary. Improved parallel I/O via a two-phase run-time access strategy. In <i>IPPS '93 Workshop on Input/Output in Parallel Computer Systems</i> , pages 56–70, 1993. Also published in Computer Architecture News 21(5), December 1993, pages 31–38. 13.1
16 17 18 19	[16]	James Dinan, Sriram Krishnamoorthy, Pavan Balaji, Jeff R. Hammond, Manojkumar Krishnan, Vinod Tipparaju, and Abhinav Vishnu. Noncollective communicator creation in MPI. In Cotronis et al. [14], pages 282–291. 6.4.2
20 21 22	[17]	J. Dongarra, A. Geist, R. Manchek, and V. Sunderam. Integrated PVM framework supports heterogeneous network computing. <i>Computers in Physics</i> , 7(2):166–75, April 1993. 1.2
23 24 25 26	[18]	J. J. Dongarra, R. Hempel, A. J. G. Hey, and D. W. Walker. A proposal for a user- level, message passing interface in a distributed memory environment. Technical Report TM-12231, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, February 1993. 1.2
27 28 29	[19]	Edinburgh Parallel Computing Centre, University of Edinburgh. <i>CHIMP Concepts</i> , June 1991. 1.2
30 31	[20]	Edinburgh Parallel Computing Centre, University of Edinburgh. CHIMP Version 1.0 Interface, May 1992. 1.2
32 33 34 35	[21]	D. Feitelson. Communicators: Object-based multiparty interactions for parallel programming. Technical Report 91-12, Dept. Computer Science, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, November 1991. 6.1.2
36 37 38 39	[22]	Message Passing Interface Forum. MPI: A Message-Passing Interface standard. The In- ternational Journal of Supercomputer Applications and High Performance Computing, 8, 1994. 1.3
40 41	[23]	Message Passing Interface Forum. MPI: A Message-Passing Interface standard (version 1.1). Technical report, 1995. http://www.mpi-forum.org. 1.3
42 43 44 45	[24]	Al Geist, Adam Beguelin, Jack Dongarra, Weicheng Jiang, Bob Manchek, and Vaidy Sunderam. PVM: Parallel Virtual Machine—A User's Guide and Tutorial for Network Parallel Computing. MIT Press, 1994. 10.1
46 47 48	[25]	G. A. Geist, M. T. Heath, B. W. Peyton, and P. H. Worley. PICL: A portable in- strumented communications library, C reference manual. Technical Report TM-11130, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, July 1990. 1.2

- [26] D. Gregor, T. Hoefler, B. Barrett, and A. Lumsdaine. Fixing probe for multi-threaded MPI applications. Technical Report 674, Indiana University, Jan. 2009. 3.8.2
- [27] William D. Gropp and Barry Smith. Chameleon parallel programming tools users manual. Technical Report ANL-93/23, Argonne National Laboratory, March 1993. 1.2
- [28] Michael Hennecke. A Fortran 90 interface to MPI version 1.1. Technical Report Internal Report 63/96, Rechenzentrum, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany, June 1996. Available via world wide web from http://www.uni-karlsruhe.de/~Michael.Hennecke/Publications/#MPI\_F90. 17.1.3
- [29] T. Hoefler, G. Bronevetsky, B. Barrett, B. R. de Supinski, and A. Lumsdaine. Efficient MPI support for advanced hybrid programming models. In *Recent Advances in the Message Passing Interface (EuroMPI'10)*, volume LNCS 6305, pages 50–61. Springer, Sep. 2010. 3.8.1, 3.8.2
- [30] T. Hoefler, P. Gottschling, A. Lumsdaine, and W. Rehm. Optimizing a conjugate gradient solver with non-blocking collective operations. *Elsevier Journal of Parallel Computing (PARCO)*, 33(9):624–633, Sep. 2007. 5.12
- [31] T. Hoefler, F. Lorenzen, and A. Lumsdaine. Sparse non-blocking collectives in quantum mechanical calculations. In *Recent Advances in Parallel Virtual Machine and Message Passing Interface*, 15th European PVM/MPI Users' Group Meeting, volume LNCS 5205, pages 55–63. Springer, Sep. 2008. 7.6
- [32] T. Hoefler and A. Lumsdaine. Message progression in parallel computing to thread or not to thread? In Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing. IEEE Computer Society, Oct. 2008. 5.12
- [33] T. Hoefler, A. Lumsdaine, and W. Rehm. Implementation and performance analysis of non-blocking collective operations for MPI. In *Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC07.* IEEE Computer Society/ACM, Nov. 2007. 5.12
- [34] T. Hoefler, M. Schellmann, S. Gorlatch, and A. Lumsdaine. Communication optimization for medical image reconstruction algorithms. In *Recent Advances in Parallel Virtual Machine and Message Passing Interface, 15th European PVM/MPI Users' Group Meeting*, volume LNCS 5205, pages 75–83. Springer, Sep. 2008. 5.12
- [35] T. Hoefler and J. L. Traeff. Sparse collective operations for MPI. In Proceedings of the 23rd IEEE International Parallel & Distributed Processing Symposium, HIPS'09 Workshop, May 2009. 7.6
- [36] Torsten Hoefler and Marc Snir. Writing parallel libraries with MPI common practice, issues, and extensions. In Cotronis et al. [14], pages 345–355. 6.4.2
- [37] Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, New York. *IEEE Standard for Binary Floating-Point Arithmetic, ANSI/IEEE Standard 754-1985*, 1985. 13.7.2
- [38] International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, ISO 8859-1:1987. Information 46 processing — 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets — Part 1: Latin alphabet 47 No. 1, 1987. 13.7.2

705

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5 6

 $\overline{7}$ 

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

 $^{31}$ 

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

1 2 3	[39]	International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, ISO/IEC 9945-1:1996(E). In- formation technology — Portable Operating System Interface (POSIX) — Part 1: Sys- tem Application Program Interface (API) [C Language], December 1996. 12.4, 13.2.1
4 5 6 7	[40]	International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, ISO/IEC 1539-1:2010. In- formation technology – Programming languages – Fortran – Part 1: Base language, November 2010. 17.1.1, 17.1.2
8 9 10 11 12 13	[41]	International Organization for Standardization, ISO/IEC/SC22/WG5 (Fortran), Geneva, TS 29113. TS on further interoperability with C, 2012. http://www.nag.co.uk/sc22wg5/, successfully balloted DTS at ftp://ftp.nag.co.uk/sc22wg5/N1901-N1950/N1917.pdf. 17.1.1, 17.1.1, 17.1.2, 17.1.7, 28
14 15	[42]	Charles H. Koelbel, David B. Loveman, Robert S. Schreiber, Guy L. Steele Jr., and Mary E. Zosel. <i>The High Performance Fortran Handbook</i> . MIT Press, 1993. 4.1.4
16 17 18 19	[43]	David Kotz. Disk-directed I/O for MIMD multiprocessors. In <i>Proceedings of the 1994</i> Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation, pages 61–74, November 1994. Updated as Dartmouth TR PCS-TR94-226 on November 8, 1994. 13.1
20 21 22	[44]	O. Krämer and H. Mühlenbein. Mapping strategies in message-based multiprocessor systems. <i>Parallel Computing</i> , 9:213–225, 1989. 7.1
23 24 25 26 27	[45]	S. J. Lefflet, R. S. Fabry, W. N. Joy, P. Lapsley, S. Miller, and C. Torek. An advanced 4.4BSD interprocess communication tutorial, Unix programmer's supplementary documents (PSD) 21. Technical report, Computer Systems Research Group, Depertment of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California, Berkeley, 1993. Also available at http://www.netbsd.org/Documentation/lite2/psd/. 10.5.5
28 29	[46]	nCUBE Corporation. nCUBE 2 Programmers Guide, r2.0, December 1990. 1.2
30 31 32	[47]	Bill Nitzberg. Performance of the iPSC/860 Concurrent File System. Technical Report RND-92-020, NAS Systems Division, NASA Ames, December 1992. 13.1
33 34	[48]	William J. Nitzberg. <i>Collective Parallel I/O</i> . PhD thesis, Department of Computer and Information Science, University of Oregon, December 1995. 13.1
35 36 37	[49]	4.4BSD Programmer's Supplementary Documents (PSD). O'Reilly and Associates, 1994. 10.5.5
38 39 40 41	[50]	Paul Pierce. The NX/2 operating system. In <i>Proceedings of the Third Conference on Hypercube Concurrent Computers and Applications</i> , pages 384–390. ACM Press, 1988. 1.2
42 43 44	[51]	Martin Schulz and Bronis R. de Supinski. $P^N$ MPI tools: A whole lot greater than the sum of their parts. In ACM/IEEE Supercomputing Conference (SC), pages 1–10. ACM, 2007. 14.2.8
45 46 47 48	[52]	K. E. Seamons, Y. Chen, P. Jones, J. Jozwiak, and M. Winslett. Server-directed collective I/O in Panda. In <i>Proceedings of Supercomputing '95</i> , December 1995. 13.1

- [53] A. Skjellum and A. Leung. Zipcode: a portable multicomputer communication library atop the reactive kernel. In D. W. Walker and Q. F. Stout, editors, *Proceedings of the Fifth Distributed Memory Concurrent Computing Conference*, pages 767–776. IEEE Press, 1990. 1.2, 6.1.2
- [54] A. Skjellum, S. Smith, C. Still, A. Leung, and M. Morari. The Zipcode message passing system. Technical report, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, September 1992. 1.2
- [55] Anthony Skjellum, Nathan E. Doss, and Purushotham V. Bangalore. Writing Libraries in MPI. In Anthony Skjellum and Donna S. Reese, editors, *Proceedings of the Scalable Parallel Libraries Conference*, pages 166–173. IEEE Computer Society Press, October 1993. 6.1
- [56] Anthony Skjellum, Nathan E. Doss, and Kishore Viswanathan. Inter-communicator extensions to MPI in the MPIX (MPI eXtension) Library. Technical Report MSU-940722, Mississippi State University — Dept. of Computer Science, April 1994. http://www.erc.msstate.edu/mpi/mpix.html. 5.2.2
- [57] Anthony Skjellum, Steven G. Smith, Nathan E. Doss, Alvin P. Leung, and Manfred Morari. The Design and Evolution of Zipcode. *Parallel Computing*, 20(4):565–596, April 1994. 6.1.2, 6.5.6
- [58] Rajeev Thakur and Alok Choudhary. An extended two-phase method for accessing sections of out-of-core arrays. *Scientific Programming*, 5(4):301–317, Winter 1996. 13.1
- [59] The Unicode Standard, Version 2.0. Addison-Wesley, 1996. ISBN 0-201-48345-9. 13.7.2
- [60] D. Walker. Standards for message passing in a distributed memory environment. Technical Report TM-12147, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, August 1992. 1.2

 $\overline{7}$ 

 $^{24}$ 

### **Examples Index**

10

11 12 This index lists code examples throughout the text. Some examples are referred to by content; others are listed by the major MPI function that they are demonstrating. MPI functions listed in all capital letter are Fortran examples; MPI functions listed in mixed case are C examples.

13	ASYNCHRONOUS, 643, 645
14	Attributes between languages, 656
15	Basic tool using performance variables in the
16	MPI tool information interface, 584
17	
18	C/Fortran handle conversion, 649
19	Cartesian virtual topologies, 329
20	Client-server code, 62, 63
21	with blocking probe, 66
22	with blocking probe, wrong, $66$
23	Datatype
24	3D array, 121
25	absolute addresses, 127
26	array of structures, $124$
27	elaborate example, 134, 136
28	matching type, 111
	matrix transpose, 123
29	union, 128
30	Datatypes
31	matching, 33
32	not matching, 34 untyped, 34
33	Deadlock
34	if not buffered, 43
35	with MPI_Bcast, 214, 215
36	wrong message exchange, 43
37	
38	False matching of collective operations, 218
39	Fortran 90 copying and sequence problem, 627
40	629 Fortuge 00 derived types 621
41	Fortran 90 derived types, 631 Fortran 90 heterogeneous communication, 624
42	Fortran 90 invalid KIND, 620
43	Fortran 90 MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE
44	implementation, 623
45	Fortran 90 overlapping communication and
46	computation, 643, 644, 646
40	Fortran 90 register optimization, 634–636
48	
-10	Independence of nonblocking operations, 221

Intercommunicator, 241, 245 Interlanguage communication, 660 Intertwined matching pairs, 41 Message exchange, 42Mixing blocking and nonblocking collective operations, 217 Mixing collective and point-to-point requests, 220MPI\_ACCUMULATE, 425 MPI\_Accumulate, 467, 469 MPI\_Aint, 124 MPI\_Allgather, 167 MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM, 339 MPI\_Alloc\_mem, 339, 469 MPI\_ALLREDUCE, 188 MPI\_Alltoall, 219 MPI\_Barrier, 358, 457-460, 466-468 MPI\_Bcast, 149, 214-218 MPI\_BSEND, 41 MPI\_Buffer\_attach, 45, 358  $MPI_Buffer_detach, 45$ MPI\_BYTE, 34 MPI\_Cancel, 358 MPI\_CART\_COORDS, 311 MPI\_CART\_GET, 329 MPI\_CART\_RANK, 311 MPI\_CART\_SHIFT, 311, 329 MPI\_CART\_SUB, 312 MPI\_CHARACTER, 35 MPI\_Comm\_create, 241, 251, 252, 255 MPI\_Comm\_create\_keyval, 279 MPI\_Comm\_dup, 254 MPI\_Comm\_get\_attr, 279 MPI\_Comm\_group, 241, 255, 279 MPI\_Comm\_remote\_size, 245 MPI\_Comm\_set\_attr, 279 MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 376 MPI\_Comm\_spawn, 376 MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 382 MPI\_Comm\_spawn\_multiple, 382

MPI\_Comm\_split, 245, 263, 264 MPI\_Compare\_and\_swap, 468, 469 MPI\_DIMS\_CREATE, 293, 329 MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, 300 MPI\_Dist\_graph\_create, 301 MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT, 300 MPI\_FILE\_CLOSE, 512, 515 MPI\_FILE\_GET\_AMODE, 497 MPI\_FILE\_IREAD, 515 MPI\_FILE\_OPEN, 512, 515 MPI\_FILE\_READ, 512 MPI\_FILE\_SET\_ATOMICITY, 546 MPI\_FILE\_SET\_VIEW, 512, 515 MPI\_FILE\_SYNC, 546 MPI\_Finalize, 358, 359 MPI\_FREE\_MEM, 339 MPI\_Free\_mem, 469 MPI\_Gather, 136, 152, 153, 157 MPI\_Gatherv, 136, 154-157 MPI\_GET, 421, 422 MPI\_Get, 457–459, 464–466 MPI\_Get\_accumulate, 467, 469 MPI\_GET\_ADDRESS, 102, 631, 632, 653 MPI\_Get\_address, 124, 127, 128, 134 MPI\_GET\_COUNT, 113 MPI\_GET\_ELEMENTS, 113 MPI\_GRAPH\_CREATE, 294, 307 MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS, 307 MPI\_GRAPH\_NEIGHBORS\_COUNT, 307 MPI\_Grequest\_complete, 477 MPI\_Grequest\_start, 477 MPI\_Group\_excl, 251 MPI\_Group\_free, 241, 251, 252 MPI\_Group\_incl, 241, 252, 255 MPI\_Iallreduce, 220 MPI\_Ialltoall, 219 MPI\_Ibarrier, 217-220 MPI\_Ibcast, 199, 220, 221 MPI\_INFO\_ENV, 357 MPI\_Intercomm\_create, 263, 264 MPI\_Iprobe, 358 MPI\_IRECV, 54-56, 62, 63 MPI\_Irecv, 220 MPI\_ISEND, 54-56, 62, 63 MPI\_Op\_create, 186, 187, 195 MPI\_Pack, 134, 136 MPI\_Pack\_size, 136 MPI\_PROBE, 66 MPI\_Put, 442, 448, 458, 460, 464, 465 MPI\_RECV, 33-35, 41-43, 56, 66, 111 MPI\_Recv, 219 MPI\_REDUCE, 177, 178, 181 MPI\_Reduce, 180, 182, 186, 187

MPI_REQUEST_FREE, 55	1
MPI_Request_free, 358	$^{2}$
$MPI_Rget, 468$	3
MPI_Rput, 468	4
MPI_Scan, 195	5
MPI_Scatter, 162	6
MPI_Scatterv, 162, 163	
MPI_SEND, 33–35, 42, 43, 56, 66, 111	7
MPI_Send, 124, 127, 128, 134, 219, 220	8
MPI_SENDRECV, 121–123	9
MPI_SENDRECV_REPLACE, 311	10
MPI_SSEND, 41, 56	11
MPI_Test_cancelled, 358	12
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT, 109, 121–123, 421,	13
631, 632	
MPI_Type_commit, 124, 127, 128, 134,	14
153-157, 163, 195	15
MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS, 86, 104, 111, 113	16
MPI_Type_contiguous, 153	17
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY, 101	18
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR, 121, 123	19
MPI_Type_create_hvector, 124, 127	20
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK,	
421	21
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED, 631, 632	22
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT, 93, 104,	23
123,631,632	24
MPI_Type_create_struct, 124, 127, 128, 134,	25
156, 157, 195	26
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY, 554	27
MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 421	28
MPI_TYPE_FREE, 421	
MPI_Type_get_contents, 129	29
MPI_Type_get_envelope, 129	30
MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, 121, 123, 422,	31
425	32
MPI_Type_get_extent, 124	33
MPI_TYPE_INDEXED, 89, 122	34
MPI_Type_indexed, 124, 127	35
MPI_TYPE_VECTOR, 86, 87, 121, 123	36
MPI_Type_vector, 154, 155, 157, 163	
MPI_Unpack, 134, 136	37
MPI_User_function, 187	38
MPI_WAIT, 54–56, 62, 63, 515	39
MPI_Wait, 217–220	40
MPI_Waitall, 220, 468	41
MPI_WAITANY, 62	42
MPI_Waitany, 468	43
MPI_WAITSOME, 63	
MPI_Win_attach, 469	44
MPI_Win_complete, 442, 459, 460, 465, 466	45
MPI_WIN_CREATE, 421, 422, 425	46
MPI_Win_create_dynamic, 469	47
MPI_Win_detach, 469	48

1	MPI_WIN_FENCE, 421, 422, 425
2	MPI_Win_fence, 464
3	MPI_Win_flush, 458, 467–469
4	MPI_Win_flush_all, 467
5	MPI_Win_flush_local, 457
6	MPI_WIN_FREE, 422, 425
7	MPI_Win_lock, 448, 457–460
8	MPI_Win_lock_all, 468, 469
	MPI_Win_post, 459, 460, 465, 466
9	MPI_Win_start, 442, 459, 460, 465, 466
10	MPI_Win_sync, 457, 458, 467, 468
11	MPI_Win_unlock, 448, 457–460 MPI_Win_unlock_all, 468, 469
12	MPI_Win_wait, 459, 460, 465, 466
13	mpiexec, 357, 363
14	
15	Neighborhood collective communication, 329
16	No Matching of Blocking and Nonblocking
17	collective operations, $219$
18	Non-deterministic program with MPI_Bcast,
19	216
20	Non-overtaking messages, 41
21	Nonblocking operations, 54, 55
22	message ordering, 56
23	progress, 56
24	Overlapping Communicators, 220
	••••••FF••••3••••••••••, -=•
25	Pipelining nonblocking collective operations,
26	220
27	Profiling interface, 558
28	Progression of nonblocking collective
29	operations, 219
30	Reading the value of a control variable in the
31	MPI tool information interface, 573
32	
33	Threads and MPI, 483
34	Topologies, 329
35	Typemap, 85–87, 89, 93, 101
36	
37	Using MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO to list all
38	names of control variables., 570
39	Virtual topologies, 329
40	1 0 /
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

## MPI Constant and Predefined Handle Index

This index lists predefined MPI constants and handles.

 $\mathrm{MPI::\_LONG\_LONG},\, 690$ MPI::BOOL, 689 MPI::COMPLEX, 689 MPI::DOUBLE\_COMPLEX, 689 MPI::F\_COMPLEX16, 690 MPI::F\_COMPLEX32, 690 MPI::F\_COMPLEX4, 690 MPI::F\_COMPLEX8, 690 MPI::INTEGER16, 690 MPI::LONG\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX, 689 MPI::LONG\_LONG, 690 MPI::REAL16, 690 MPI\_2DOUBLE\_PRECISION, 180, 669 MPI\_2INT, 180, 669 MPI\_2INTEGER, 180, 669 MPI\_2REAL, 180, 669 MPI\_ADDRESS\_KIND, 15, 16, 16, 26, 266, 626, 655, 666 MPI\_AINT, 25, 27, 177, 411, 667, 668, 695-697 MPI\_ANY\_SOURCE, 28, 29, 41, 51, 52, 64, 65, 67-69, 76, 79, 80, 287, 335, 665 MPI\_ANY\_TAG, 15, 28, 29, 31, 51, 52, 64, 65, 67-71, 76, 79-81, 665, 692 MPI\_APPNUM, 396, 397, 672 MPI\_ARGV\_NULL, 16, 376, 377, 626, 674 MPI\_ARGVS\_NULL, 16, 381, 626, 674 MPI\_ASYNC\_PROTECTS\_NONBLOCKING, 15, 600, 601, 603, 605, 608, 615, 617, 636, 666, 694 MPI\_BAND, 176, 177, 670 MPI\_BOR, 176, 177, 670 MPI\_BOTTOM, 10, 16, 32, 101, 114, 115, 145, 298, 300, 378, 411, 415, 602, 604, 611, 626, 630, 633-635, 637-639, 641, 653, 654, 660, 665, 701 MPI\_BSEND\_OVERHEAD, 46, 665 MPI\_BXOR, 176, 177, 670 MPI\_BYTE, 25, 26, 33, 34, 36, 137, 177, 490, 531, 532, 544, 660, 667, 668, 698 MPI\_C\_BOOL, 26, 177, 667, 690, 695-697

MPI_C_COMPLEX, 26, 177, 667, 689,	13
<u>695–697</u>	14
MPI_C_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, 26, 177, 667,	15
695-697	16
MPI_C_FLOAT_COMPLEX, 177, 667,	17
695 - 697	18
MPI_C_LONG_DOUBLE_COMPLEX, 26,	19
177,667,695697	20
MPI_CART, 302, 671	21
MPI_CHAR, 26, 36, 93, 178, 179, 565, 566,	22
667, 695	22
MPI_CHARACTER, 25, 34–36, 178, 179, 668	
MPI_COMBINER_CONTIGUOUS, 116, 119, 673	24
MPI_COMBINER_DARRAY, 116, 121, 673	25
MPI_COMBINER_DARRAY, 110, 121, 073 MPI_COMBINER_DUP, 116, 119, 673	26
MPI_COMBINER_F90_COMPLEX, 116, 121,	27
673	28
MPI_COMBINER_F90_INTEGER, 116, 121,	29
673	30
MPI_COMBINER_F90_REAL, 116, 121, 673	31
MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED, 18, 116, 120,	32
673	33
MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_BLOCK, 116,	34
120,673,691	35
MPI_COMBINER_HINDEXED_INTEGER,	36
18,598,690	
MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR, 18, 116, 119,	37
673	38
MPI_COMBINER_HVECTOR_INTEGER,	39
18, 598, 690	40
MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED, 116, 120, 673	41
MPI_COMBINER_INDEXED_BLOCK, 116,	42
120, 673 MPI_COMBINER_NAMED, 116, 119, 673	43
MPI_COMBINER_RESIZED, 116, 121, 673	44
MPI_COMBINER_RESIZED, 110, 121, 073 MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT, 18, 116, 120, 673	45
MPI_COMBINER_STRUCT_INTEGER, 18,	46
598, 690	40
MPI_COMBINER_SUBARRAY, 116, 120, 673	47 48
	48

> 5 6

1 MPI\_COMBINER\_VECTOR, 116, 119, 673  $\mathbf{2}$ MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_FN, 18, 269, 671, 694 MPI\_COMM\_NULL, 227, 240, 241, 243-245, 3 247, 248, 283, 292, 294, 379, 398-400, 4 670.699  $\mathbf{5}$ MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_COPY\_FN, 18, 269, 602, 6 654, 671, 694 7MPI\_COMM\_NULL\_DELETE\_FN, 18, 269, 8 671 9 MPI\_COMM\_PARENT, 283 10 MPI\_COMM\_SELF, 227, 243, 266, 283, 361, 398, 492, 669, 697 11MPI\_COMM\_TYPE\_SHARED, 248, 669, 692 12MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 15, 22, 27, 28, 227, 13229, 236, 237, 252, 261, 283, 293, 14334-336, 340, 342, 351, 357, 359, 360, 15362, 371, 372, 374, 375, 379, 381, 16395-398, 486, 530, 549, 550, 572, 580, 17648, 659, 669, 700 MPI\_COMPLEX, 25, 177, 534, 618, 668 18MPI\_COMPLEX16, 177, 668 19MPI\_COMPLEX32, 177, 668 20MPI\_COMPLEX4, 177, 668 21MPI\_COMPLEX8, 177, 668  $^{22}$ MPI\_CONGRUENT, 237, 259, 669 23MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL, 539, 671  $^{24}$ MPI\_COUNT, 25, 27, 177, 565, 667, 668, 691 25MPI\_COUNT\_KIND, 15, 26, 666 MPI\_CXX\_BOOL, 27, 177, 668, 689 26MPI\_CXX\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX, 27, 177, 27668, 689 28 MPI\_CXX\_FLOAT\_COMPLEX, 27, 177, 668, 29689 30MPI\_CXX\_LONG\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX, 27,  $^{31}$ 177, 668, 689 MPI\_DATATYPE\_NULL, 110, 670 32MPI\_DISPLACEMENT\_CURRENT, 502, 33 674, 701 34MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH, 302, 671, 696 35 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_BLOCK, 98, 674 36 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_CYCLIC, 98, 674 37 MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_DFLT\_DARG, 98, 674 38MPI\_DISTRIBUTE\_NONE, 98, 674 39 MPI\_DOUBLE, 26, 176, 565, 574-576, 617, 40667 MPI\_DOUBLE\_COMPLEX, 25, 177, 534, 618,  $^{41}$ 668 42MPI\_DOUBLE\_INT, 180, 669 43MPI\_DOUBLE\_PRECISION, 25, 176, 618, 44668 45MPI\_DUP\_FN, 18, 269, 594, 672 46MPI\_ERR\_ACCESS, 349, 495, 551, 664 47MPI\_ERR\_AMODE, 349, 493, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_ARG, 348, 663 48

MPI\_ERR\_ASSERT, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_BAD\_FILE, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_BASE, 338, 348, 452, 664 MPI ERR BUFFER, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_COMM, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_CONVERSION, 349, 539, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_COUNT, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_DIMS, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_DISP, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_DUP\_DATAREP, 349, 537, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_FILE, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_FILE\_EXISTS, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_FILE\_IN\_USE, 349, 495, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_GROUP, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_IN\_STATUS, 30, 32, 53, 59, 61, 342, 348, 477, 507, 664 MPI\_ERR\_INFO, 348, 664 MPI\_ERR\_INFO\_KEY, 348, 366, 664 MPI\_ERR\_INFO\_NOKEY, 348, 367, 664 MPI\_ERR\_INFO\_VALUE, 348, 366, 664 MPI\_ERR\_INTERN, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_IO, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_KEYVAL, 279, 348, 664 MPI\_ERR\_LASTCODE, 347, 349, 351, 352, 590,665 MPI\_ERR\_LOCKTYPE, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_NAME, 348, 392, 664 MPI\_ERR\_NO\_MEM, 338, 348, 664 MPI\_ERR\_NO\_SPACE, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_NO\_SUCH\_FILE, 349, 494, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_NOT\_SAME, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_OP, 348, 452, 663 MPI\_ERR\_OTHER, 347, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_PENDING, 59, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_PORT, 348, 389, 664 MPI\_ERR\_QUOTA, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RANK, 348, 452, 663 MPI\_ERR\_READ\_ONLY, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_REQUEST, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_ATTACH, 349, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_CONFLICT, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_FLAVOR, 349, 409, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_RANGE, 349, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_SHARED, 349, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_RMA\_SYNC, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_ROOT, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_SERVICE, 348, 391, 664 MPI\_ERR\_SIZE, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERR\_SPAWN, 348, 377, 378, 664 MPI\_ERR\_TAG, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_TOPOLOGY, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_TRUNCATE, 348, 663

MPI\_ERR\_TYPE, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_UNKNOWN, 347, 348, 663 MPI\_ERR\_UNSUPPORTED\_DATAREP, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_UNSUPPORTED\_OPERATION, 349, 551, 664 MPI\_ERR\_WIN, 348, 452, 664 MPI\_ERRCODES\_IGNORE, 16, 378, 626, 674 MPI\_ERRHANDLER\_NULL, 346, 670 MPI\_ERROR, 30, 53, 197, 430, 666, 693 MPI\_ERRORS\_ARE\_FATAL, 340, 341, 353, 452, 549, 666 MPI\_ERRORS\_RETURN, 340, 341, 354, 550, 659,666 MPI\_F08\_STATUS\_IGNORE, 651, 675, 693 MPI\_F08\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, 651, 675, 693 MPI\_F\_STATUS\_IGNORE, 650, 675 MPI\_F\_STATUSES\_IGNORE, 650, 675 MPI\_FILE\_NULL, 494, 550, 670 MPI\_FLOAT, 26, 93, 174, 176, 533, 667 MPI\_FLOAT\_INT, 12, 180, 669 MPI\_GRAPH, 302, 671 MPI\_GROUP\_EMPTY, 226, 232, 240, 241, 243, 670 MPI\_GROUP\_NULL, 226, 235, 670 MPI\_HOST, 335, 669 MPI\_IDENT, 229, 237, 669 MPI\_IN\_PLACE, 16, 144, 171, 605, 626, 665 MPI\_INFO\_ENV, 356, 357, 669, 692 MPI\_INFO\_NULL, 300, 370, 378, 387, 493, 494, 503, 670 MPI\_INT, 12, 26, 84, 176, 533, 534, 565, 566, 569, 574, 578, 617, 659, 661, 667 MPI\_INT16\_T, 26, 176, 667, 695-697 MPI\_INT32\_T, 26, 176, 667, 695-697 MPI\_INT64\_T, 26, 176, 667, 695-697 MPI\_INT8\_T, 26, 176, 667, 695-697 MPI\_INTEGER, 25, 33, 176, 617, 618, 661, 668 MPI\_INTEGER1, 25, 176, 668 MPI\_INTEGER16, 176, 668 MPI\_INTEGER2, 25, 176, 534, 668 MPI\_INTEGER4, 25, 176, 668 MPI\_INTEGER8, 176, 621, 668 MPI\_INTEGER\_KIND, 15, 666 MPI\_IO, 335, 669 MPI\_KEYVAL\_INVALID, 270, 271, 665 MPI\_LAND, 176, 177, 670 MPI\_LASTUSEDCODE, 351, 672 MPI\_LB, 18, 598, 690 MPI\_LOCK\_EXCLUSIVE, 445, 665 MPI\_LOCK\_SHARED, 445, 446, 665 MPI\_LOGICAL, 25, 177, 668 MPI\_LONG, 26, 176, 667

MPI_LONG_DOUBLE, 26, 176, 667	1
MPI_LONG_DOUBLE_INT, 180, 669	2
MPI_LONG_INT, 180, 669	3
MPI_LONG_LONG, 26, 176, 667, 697	4
MPI_LONG_LONG_INT, 26, 176, 667, 697	
MPI_LOR, 176, 177, 670	5
MPI_LXOR, 176, 177, 670	6
MPI_MAX, 174, 176, 177, 194, 670	7
MPI_MAX_DATAREP_STRING, 15, 504,	8
537, 666	9
MPI_MAX_ERROR_STRING, 15, 347, 352,	10
666	
MPI_MAX_INFO_KEY, 15, 348, 365, 368, 666	11
MPI_MAX_INFO_VAL, 15, 348, 365, 666	12
MPI_MAX_LIBRARY_VERSION_STRING,	13
15, 334, 666, 690	14
	15
MPI_MAX_OBJECT_NAME, 15, 282–284,	16
666, 692, 698	
MPI_MAX_PORT_NAME, 15, 387, 666	17
MPI_MAX_PROCESSOR_NAME, 15, 336,	18
337, 666, 699	19
MPI_MAXLOC, 176, 179, 180, 183, 670	20
MPI_MESSAGE_NO_PROC, 68, 70, 71, 665,	21
691	22
MPI_MESSAGE_NULL, 68, 70, 71, 670, 691	23
MPI_MIN, 176, 177, 670	
MPI_MINLOC, 176, 179, 180, 183, 670	24
MPI_MODE_APPEND, 492, 493, 673	25
MPI_MODE_CREATE, 492, 493, 501, 673	26
MPI_MODE_DELETE_ON_CLOSE, 492–494,	27
673	28
MPI_MODE_EXCL, 492, 493, 673	29
MPI_MODE_NOCHECK, 446, 450, 451, 673	
$MPI\_MODE\_NOPRECEDE, 441, 450, 451,$	30
673	31
MPI_MODE_NOPUT, 450, 451, 673	32
MPI_MODE_NOSTORE, 450, 451, 673	33
MPI_MODE_NOSUCCEED, 450, 451, 673	34
MPI_MODE_RDONLY, 492, 493, 498, 673	35
MPI_MODE_RDWR, 492, 493, 673	36
MPI_MODE_SEQUENTIAL, 492, 493, 495,	
496, 502, 507, 511, 522, 543, 673, 701	37
MPI_MODE_UNIQUE_OPEN, 492, 493, 673	38
MPI_MODE_WRONLY, 492, 493, 673	39
MPI_NO_OP, 427, 428, 670	40
MPI_NULL_COPY_FN, 18, 269, <u>594</u> , 672	41
MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN, 18, 269, <u>594</u> , 672	42
MPI_OFFSET, 25, 177, 667, 668, 695–697	
MPI_OFFSET_KIND, 15, <u>16</u> , 26, 544, 626, 666	43
MPI_OP_NULL, 186, 670	44
MPI_ORDER_C, 14, 95, 98, 99, 674	45
MPI_ORDER_FORTRAN, 14, 95, 98, 674	46
MPI_PACKED, 12, 25, 26, 33, 131, 133, 137,	47
534, 660, 667, 668	48
, , ,	

1	MPI_PROC_NULL, 24, 27, 29, 30, 65, 68–71,
2	80, 81, 146, 148, 150, 152, 160, 162,
3	175, 229, 310, 314, 335, 409, 417, 665,
	691, 698, 700
4	MPI_PROD, 176, 177, 670
5	MPI_REAL, 25, 33, 176, 534, 617, 618, 624,
6	668
7	MPI_REAL16, 177, 668
8	MPI_REAL2, 25, 177, 668
9	MPI_REAL4, 25, 177, 617, 621, 668
10	MPI_REAL8, 25, 177, 617, 668, 695
	MPI_REPLACE, 425–428, 468, 670, 697, 700
11	MPI_REQUEST_NULL, 52–55, 57–61, 476,
12	670
13	
14	MPI_ROOT, 146, 665
15	MPI_SEEK_CUR, 516, 522, 674
16	MPI_SEEK_END, 516, 522, 674
	MPI_SEEK_SET, 516, 517, 522, 674
17	MPI_SHORT, 26, 176, 667
18	MPI_SHORT_INT, 180, 669
19	MPI_SIGNED_CHAR, 26, 176, 178, 179, 667,
20	697
21	MPI_SIMILAR, 229, 237, 259, 669
22	MPI_SOURCE, 30, 197, 666, 693
23	MPI_STATUS_IGNORE, 10, 16, 32, 475, 507,
	604, 626, 650, 651, 660, 674, 675, 691
24	MPI_STATUS_SIZE, 15, 30, 606, 666, 693
25	MPI_STATUSES_IGNORE, 14, 16, 32, 475,
26	477, 626, 650, 651, 674, 675
27	MPI_SUBARRAYS_SUPPORTED, 15, 600,
28	601, 604-608, 612-615, 627-629, 666,
29	693
30	MPI_SUBVERSION, 15, 334, 675
31	MPI_SUCCESS, 19, 52, 59, 61, 269, 271–274,
	276, 277, 347, 348, 350, 353, 354, 378,
32	539, 561, 570, 578, 581, 583, 588, 590,
33	591, 594, 663
34	MPI_SUM, 176, 177, 659, 670
35	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_COMM, 563, 676
36	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_DATATYPE, 563, 676
37	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_ERRHANDLER, 563,
38	676
	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_FILE, 563, 676
39	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_GROUP, 563, 676
40	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_INFO, 563, 676
41	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_MESSAGE, 563, 676
42	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_OP, 563, 676
43	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_REQUEST, 563, 676
44	MPI_T_BIND_MPI_WIN, 563, 676
45	MPI_T_BIND_NO_OBJECT, 563, 569, 571,
	578, 580, 676
46	MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_NULL, 572, 675
47	MPI_T_CVAR_READ, WRITE, 591
48	MPL T ENUM NULL 569 578 675

<sup>48</sup> MPI\_T\_ENUM\_NULL, 569, 578, 675

- MPI\_T\_ERR\_CANNOT\_INIT, 591, 665
- $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{MPI\_T\_ERR\_CVAR\_SET\_NEVER,\ 573,\ 591,}\\ & 665 \end{array}$
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_CVAR\_SET\_NOT\_NOW, 573, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_HANDLE, 580, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_INDEX, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_ITEM, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_NAME, 570, 578, 588, 591
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_INVALID\_SESSION, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_MEMORY, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_NOT\_INITIALIZED, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_OUT\_OF\_HANDLES, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_OUT\_OF\_SESSIONS, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_ATOMIC, 583, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_STARTSTOP, 581, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_ERR\_PVAR\_NO\_WRITE, 582, 583, 591, 665
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_ALL\_HANDLES, 581–584, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_AGGREGATE, 575, 576, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_COUNTER, 575, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_GENERIC, 576, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_HIGHWATERMARK, 575, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_LEVEL, 574, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_LOWWATERMARK, 575, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_PERCENTAGE, 575, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_SIZE, 575, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_STATE, 574, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_CLASS\_TIMER, 576, 676
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_HANDLE\_NULL, 581, 675
- MPI\_T\_PVAR\_SESSION\_NULL, 579, 675
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_ALL, 569, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_ALL\_EQ, 569, 573, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_CONSTANT, 569, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_GROUP, 569, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_GROUP\_EQ, 569, 573, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_LOCAL, 569, 676
- MPI\_T\_SCOPE\_READONLY, 569, 676
- MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_MPIDEV\_ALL, 562, 675
- $\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_MPIDEV\_BASIC, 562,} \\ & 675 \end{array}$
- $\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_MPIDEV\_DETAIL},\\ 562,\,675 \end{array}$
- MPI\_T\_VERBOSITY\_TUNER\_ALL, 562, 675

MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNER_BASIC, 562,	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_DYNAMIC, 414, 672	1
675	MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_SHARED, 415, 672	2
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_TUNER_DETAIL, 562,	MPI_WIN_MODEL, 414, 436, 672	3
675	MPI_WIN_NULL, 413, 670	4
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_ALL, 562, 675	MPI_WIN_NULL_COPY_FN, <u>273</u> , 671	5
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_BASIC, 562,	MPI_WIN_NULL_DELETE_FN, <u>273</u> , 671	6
675	MPI_WIN_SEPARATE, 415, 436, 454, 672	
MPI_T_VERBOSITY_USER_DETAIL, 562,	MPI_WIN_SIZE, 414, 672	7
675	MPI_WIN_UNIFIED, 415, 436, 455, 463, 672	8
MPI_TAG, 30, 197, 666, 693	MPI_WTIME_IS_GLOBAL, 335, 336, 355,	9
MPI_TAG_UB, 27, 335, 655, 658, 669	655,669	10
MPI_THREAD_FUNNELED, 485, 486, 673		11
MPI_THREAD_MULTIPLE, 485, 486, 488,		12
673		13
MPI_THREAD_SERIALIZED, 485, 486, 673		
MPI_THREAD_SINGLE, 485–487, 673		14
MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 671		15
MPI_TYPE_NULL_COPY_FN, <u>276</u> , 671		16
MPI_TYPE_NULL_DELETE_FN, <u>276</u> , 671,		17
694		18
MPI_TYPECLASS_COMPLEX, 623, 674		19
MPI_TYPECLASS_INTEGER, 623, 674		20
MPI_TYPECLASS_REAL, 623, 674		
MPI_UB, 4, 18, 598, 690		21
MPI_UINT16_T, 26, 176, 667, 695–697		22
MPI_UINT32_T, 26, 176, 667, 695–697		23
MPI_UINT64_T, 26, 176, 667, 695–697		24
MPI_UINT8_T, 26, 176, 667, 695–697		25
MPI_UNDEFINED, 31, 58, 61, 62, 103, 106,		26
108, 113, 134, 228, 229, 244, 245, 302,		27
312, 313, 619, 665, 691, 698		28
MPI_UNEQUAL, 229, 237, 259, 669		
MPI_UNIVERSE_SIZE, 374, 395, 396, 672		29
MPI_UNSIGNED, 26, 176, 565, 574–576, 667		30
MPI_UNSIGNED_CHAR, 26, 176, 178, 179,		31
667		32
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG, 26, 176, 565,		33
$574-576,\ 667$		34
MPI_UNSIGNED_LONG_LONG, 26, 176,		35
565, 574-576, 667, 697		36
MPI_UNSIGNED_SHORT, 26, 176, 667		
MPI_UNWEIGHTED, 16, 297, 298, 300, 301,		37
308, 309, 626, 674, 690, 696		38
MPI_VAL, 12, 648		39
MPI_VERSION, 15, 334, 675		40
MPI_WCHAR, 26, 178, 179, 284, 534, 667, 697		41
MPI_WEIGHTS_EMPTY, 297, 298, 300, 626,		42
674,690		43
MPI_WIN_BASE, 414, 659, 672		
MPI_WIN_CREATE_FLAVOR, 414, 672		44
MPI_WIN_DISP_UNIT, 414, 672		45
MPI_WIN_DUP_FN, <u>273</u> , 671		46
MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_ALLOCATE, 414, 672		47
MPI_WIN_FLAVOR_CREATE, 414, 672		48

# **MPI** Declarations Index

 This index refers to declarations needed in C, such as address kind integers, handles, etc. The underlined page numbers is the "main" reference (sometimes there are more than one when key concepts are discussed in multiple areas).

13	MPI_Aint, <u>16</u> , 16, 17, 19, 25, <u>85</u> , 85, 87, 90, 93,
14	101, 105-107, 117, 138, 139, 403, 405,
15	407, 410, 411, 418, 420, 424, 426,
16	428-432,  434,  533,  537,  626,  655,  677
17	MPI_Comm, 12, <u>24</u> , 230, 235–240, <u>242</u> , 244,
18	$247, 248, \underline{249}, 259-262, 268, 270-272,$
19	669, 670, 677
	MPI_Count, <u>17</u> , 17, 25, 677, 691
20	MPI_Datatype, <u>85</u> , 635, 667–670, 677
21	MPI_ERR, 347
22	MPI_Errhandler, <u>341</u> , 342–346, 649, 666, 670, 677
23	MPI_F08_status, <u>651</u> , 675, 677, 693
24	MPI_File, 345, 346, 353, <u>491</u> , 493, 495–497,
25	$\begin{array}{c} 499, 501, 504, 505, \underline{451}, 455, 456, 457, \\ 499, 501, 504, 507-511, 513-523, \end{array}$
26	525-530, 533, 541, 542, 649, 670, 677
27	MPI_Fint, <u>648</u> , 648, 675, 677, 697
28	MPI_Group, <u>228</u> , 228–235, 240, 260, 415, 441,
29	443, 497, 648, 649, 670, 677
30	MPI_Info, 337, <u>365</u> , 365–369, 374, 377, 380,
31	386, 388-392, 416, 491, 494, 499, 501,
32	649,669,670,677,700
	MPI_Message, <u>68</u> , 649, 665, 670, 677, 691
33	MPI_Offset, <u>16</u> , 16, 17, 19, 25, 495, 496, 501,
34	504, 507-510, 516, 517, 522, 523, 525,
35	526, 537, <u>544</u> , 544, 647, 677
36	MPI_Op, 174, <u>183</u> , 185, 187, 189–191, 193,
37	$194, 209-214, 424, 426, 428, 432, 434, \\649, 679, 677, 677, 677, 677, 677, 677, 67$
38	649, 670, 677 MDI Decruget 40, 51, 52, 54, 55, 57, 61, 64, 71
39	MPI_Request, 49–51, <u>53</u> , 54, 55, 57–61, 64, 71, 74–77, 474, 477, 510, 514, 515, 519,
40	520, 628, 649, 670, 677
41	MPI_Status, <u>28</u> , 30–32, 53, 54, 57–61, 64, 65,
42	68-70, 72, 79, 80, 112, 474, 480, 481,
43	507-509, 511, 513, 514, 518, 519, 521,
44	525-530, 603, 650-652, 674, 677, 691,
45	693
46	MPI_T_cvar_handle, <u>571</u> , 571–573, 675
	MPI_T_enum, <u>566</u> , 566–568, 577, 675
47	MPI_T_pvar_handle, <u>579</u> , 579–583, 675
48	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_T\_pvar\_session, } \underline{579}, 579{-}583, 675\\ \text{MPI\_Win, } 273{-}275, 284, 285, 344, 353, \underline{403},\\ \underline{405}, \underline{407}, \underline{410}, 413, 415, 416, 418, 420,\\ 424, 426, 428{-}432, 434, 440{-}450, 649,\\ 670, 677 \end{array}$ 

# MPI Callback Function Prototype Index

This index lists the C typedef names for callback routines, such as those used with attribute caching or user-defined reduction operations. Fortran example prototypes are given near the text of the C name.

	14
MPI_Comm_copy_attr_function, 18, 19, 268,	15
602,671,678	16
MPI_Comm_delete_attr_function, 18, 268,	17
671,678	18
MPI_Comm_errhandler_fn, 596, 696	19
MPI_Comm_errhandler_function, 18, 342, 596,	
598,678,696	20
MPI_Copy_function, 18, 593, 672, 683	21
MPI_Datarep_conversion_function, 537, 671,	22
678	23
MPI_Datarep_extent_function, 537, 678	24
MPI_Delete_function, 18, 594, 672, 683	25
MPI_File_errhandler_fn, 596, 696	26
MPI_File_errhandler_function, 345, 596, 678,	
696	27
MPI_Grequest_cancel_function, 476, 678	28
MPI_Grequest_free_function, 475, 678	29
MPI_Grequest_query_function, 475, 678	30
MPI_Handler_function, 18, 598, 690	31
MPI_Type_copy_attr_function, 276, 671, 678	32
MPI_Type_delete_attr_function, 276, 671,	33
678,694	34
MPI_User_function, 183, 187, 678	
MPI_Win_copy_attr_function, 273, 671, 678	35
MPI_Win_delete_attr_function, 273, 671, 678	36
MPI_Win_errhandler_fn, 596, 696	37
MPI_Win_errhandler_function, 344, 596, 678,	38
696	39
	40
	41

## **MPI** Function Index

78 9 The underlined page numbers refer to the function definitions. 10 11MPI\_ABORT, 184, 340, 357, 360, 398, 565, 12648,700 MPI\_ACCUMULATE, 401, 417, 424, 425, 427, 13433, 437, 461, 467, 468, 697, 700 14MPI\_ADD\_ERROR\_CLASS, 350, 351 15MPI\_ADD\_ERROR\_CODE, 351 16MPI\_ADD\_ERROR\_STRING, 352, 352 17MPI\_ADDRESS, 18, 597, 611, 690 18MPI\_ALLGATHER, 141, 145, 146, 165, 19165-168, 204 MPI\_ALLGATHERV, 141, 145, 146, 166, 167, 2020521MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM, <u>337</u>, 338, 339, 348, 22404-409, 412, 419, 447, 612-614, 626, 23694  $^{24}$ MPI\_ALLOC\_MEM\_CPTR, 338 25MPI\_ALLREDUCE, 141, 144–146, 176, 183, 26187, 188, 210, 698 MPI\_ALLTOALL, 141, 145, 146, <u>168</u>, 168-171, 27206, 695 28MPI\_ALLTOALLV, 141, 145, 146, 170, 170, 29 171, 173, 207, 695 30 MPI\_ALLTOALLW, 141, 145, 146, 172, 173,  $^{31}$ 209.695 32 MPI\_ATTR\_DELETE, 18, 279, 594, 595 33 MPI\_ATTR\_GET, 18, 279, 595, 655, 656 34MPI\_ATTR\_PUT, 18, 279, 595, 655, 656, 658, 35659 MPI\_BARRIER, 141, 145, 147, 147, 148, 198, 36 457-459, 546 37 MPI\_BCAST, 141, 145, 148, 148, 149, 175, 38 199, 218 39 MPI\_BSEND, 38, 46 40MPI\_BSEND\_INIT, 74, 77 41MPI\_BUFFER\_ATTACH, 44, 53 42MPI\_BUFFER\_DETACH, 45, 693 MPI\_CANCEL, 41, 53, 64, 71, 71-73, 197, 358, 43430, 473, 476, 477  $^{44}$ MPI\_CART\_COORDS, 291, 305, 305, 699 45MPI\_CART\_CREATE, 258, 290, 291, 292, 46292-294, 304, 312-314, 627, 698 47MPI\_CART\_GET, 291, 304, 304, 699 48

5 6

> MPI\_CART\_MAP, 291, 312, 313, 692 MPI\_CART\_RANK, 291, 305, 305, 699 MPI\_CART\_SHIFT, 291, 310, 310, 311, 314, 699 MPI\_CART\_SUB, 291, 311, 312, 313, 699 MPI\_CARTDIM\_GET, 291, 304, 304, 699 MPI\_CLOSE\_PORT, 387, 387, 391 MPI\_COMM\_ACCEPT, 386, 388, 388, 389, 396, 397 MPI\_COMM\_C2F, <u>648</u> MPI\_COMM\_CALL\_ERRHANDLER, 352, 354MPI\_COMM\_COMPARE, 237, 259 MPI\_COMM\_CONNECT, 348, 389, 389, 396, 397 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE, 235, 237, 240, 240-245, 291, 696 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_ERRHANDLER, 18, <u>341</u>, 341, 343, 597, 680, 682, 694 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_GROUP, 237, 242, 243, 244, 692 MPI\_COMM\_CREATE\_KEYVAL, 18, 266, 267, 269, 270, 279, 593, 654, 655, 679, 681, 694, 698 MPI\_COMM\_DELETE\_ATTR, 18, 266, 269-271, <u>272</u>, 279, 595 MPI\_COMM\_DISCONNECT, 279, 379, 397, <u>398</u>, 398 MPI\_COMM\_DUP, 230, 235, 237, 238, 238, 239, 241, 248, 249, 260, 262, 266, 269, 272, 279, 286, 593, 692 MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_FN, 18, 269, 269, 270, 607, 671, 694 MPI\_COMM\_DUP\_WITH\_INFO, 237, 238, 239, 248, 691 MPI\_COMM\_F2C, 648 MPI\_COMM\_FREE, 235, 238, 248, 248, 260, 262, 269, 270, 272, 279, 357, 361, 379, 397, 398, 594 MPI\_COMM\_FREE\_KEYVAL, 18, 266, 270, 279.594

MPI_COMM_GET_ATTR, 18, 266, <u>271</u> , 271,
279,  334,  595,  608,  655,  656,  658
MPI_COMM_GET_ERRHANDLER, 18, 341,
$\underline{343}, 597, 699$
MPI_COMM_GET_INFO, <u>249</u> , 250, 692
MPI_COMM_GET_NAME, <u>282</u> , 282, 283, 698
MPI_COMM_GET_PARENT, 283, 375, <u>378</u> ,
378, 379
MPI_COMM_GROUP, 14, 227, <u>230</u> , 230, 235,
236, 259, 341, 700
MPI_COMM_IDUP, 235, 237, <u>239</u> , 239, 248,
249, 257, 266, 269, 272, 279, 692
MPI_COMM_JOIN, <u>399</u> , 399, 400
MPI_COMM_NULL_COPY_FN, 18, <u>269</u> , 269,
270, 602, 654, 671, 694
MPI_COMM_NULL_DELETE_FN, 18, <u>269</u> ,
269, 270, 671
MPI_COMM_RANK, <u>236</u> , 236, 259, 609
MPI_COMM_RANK, <u>250</u> , 250, 259, 009 MPI_COMM_RANK_F08, 609
MPI_COMM_REMOTE_GROUP, <u>260</u>
MPI_COMM_REMOTE_SIZE, <u>260</u> , 260
MPI_COMM_SET_ATTR, 18, 266, 269, <u>270</u> ,
279, 594, 608, 655, 656, 659
MPI_COMM_SET_ERRHANDLER, 18, 341,
$\frac{342}{597}$
MPI_COMM_SET_INFO, 248, <u>249</u> , 249, 691
MPI_COMM_SET_NAME, <u>281</u> , 281, 282
MPI_COMM_SIZE, <u>235</u> , 236, 259
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383,
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, <u>395–397</u>
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, <u>395–397</u> MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, <u>395–397</u> MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363, <u>372</u> , 373, 378, <u>380</u> , 381, 396, 397
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, <u>395–397</u> MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363, <u>372</u> , 373, 378, <u>380</u> , 381, 396, 397 MPI_COMM_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, <u>244</u> ,
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,} \\ \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377 \\ -379, 381 \\ -383, \\ \underline{395} \\ -397 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,} \\ \underline{372, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397} \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244},} \\ \underline{244} \\ -246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312 \\ -314, \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN,\ 356,\ 362,\ 363,\ 372,\ 373,}\\ & \underline{374},\ 374,\ 375,\ 377-379,\ 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE,\ 356,\ 363,}\\ & \underline{372,\ 373,\ 378,\ \underline{380},\ 381,\ 396,\ 397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT,\ 237,\ 240,\ 241,\ \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246,\ 286,\ 291,\ 292,\ 294,\ 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN,\ 356,\ 362,\ 363,\ 372,\ 373,}\\ \underline{374},\ 374,\ 375,\ 377-379,\ 381-383,}\\ 395-397\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE,\ 356,\ 363,}\\ 372,\ 373,\ 378,\ \underline{380},\ 381,\ 396,\ 397\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT,\ 237,\ 240,\ 241,\ \underline{244},}\\ 244-246,\ 286,\ 291,\ 292,\ 294,\ 312-314,}\\ 696\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE,\ \underline{247},\ 248,\ 692}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN,\ 356,\ 362,\ 363,\ 372,\ 373,}\\ & \underline{374},\ 374,\ 375,\ 377-379,\ 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE,\ 356,\ 363,}\\ & \underline{372,\ 373,\ 378,\ \underline{380},\ 381,\ 396,\ 397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT,\ 237,\ 240,\ 241,\ \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246,\ 286,\ 291,\ 292,\ 294,\ 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE,\ \underline{247},\ 248,\ 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE,\ \underline{258,\ \underline{259}}\\ \end{array}$
MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u> , 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, <u>395–397</u> MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363, <u>372</u> , 373, 378, <u>380</u> , 381, 396, 397 MPI_COMM_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, <u>244</u> , <u>244–246</u> , 286, 291, 292, 294, 312–314, <u>696</u> MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE, <u>247</u> , 248, 692 MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER, 258, <u>259</u> MPI_COMM_WORLD, 487
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN,\ 356,\ 362,\ 363,\ 372,\ 373,}\\ & \underline{374},\ 374,\ 375,\ 377-379,\ 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE,\ 356,\ 363,}\\ & \underline{372,\ 373,\ 378,\ \underline{380},\ 381,\ 396,\ 397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT,\ 237,\ 240,\ 241,\ \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246,\ 286,\ 291,\ 292,\ 294,\ 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE,\ \underline{247},\ 248,\ 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE,\ \underline{258,\ \underline{259}}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,}\\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,}\\ & \underline{372}, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER, 258, \underline{259}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP, 401, 417, \underline{429},}\\ & \underline{467}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,} \\ \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383, \\ \underline{395-397} \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,} \\ \underline{372}, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397 \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244}, \\ \underline{244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314,} \\ \underline{696} \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692 \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER, 258, \underline{259} \\ \text{MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487} \\ \text{MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP, 401, 417, \underline{429},} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,}\\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,}\\ & \underline{372}, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER, 258, \underline{259}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP, 401, 417, \underline{429},}\\ & \underline{467}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,}\\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395-397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,}\\ & \underline{372, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER, 258, \underline{259}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP, 401, 417, \underline{429},}\\ & \underline{467}\\ {\rm MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671}\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,}\\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383,}\\ & \underline{395}-397 \\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,}\\ & \underline{372}, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397 \\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244},}\\ & \underline{244}-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314,}\\ & \underline{696} \\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692}\\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_TEST\_INTER, 258, \underline{259} \\ {\rm MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487} \\ {\rm MPI\_COMPARE\_AND\_SWAP, 401, 417, \underline{429},}\\ & \underline{467} \\ {\rm MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671}\\ {\rm MPI\_CWIN\_GET\_ATTR, 608} \\ \end{array}$
<ul> <li>MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u>, 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, 395–397</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363, 372, 373, 378, <u>380</u>, 381, 396, 397</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, <u>244</u>, 244–246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312–314, 696</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE, <u>247</u>, 248, 692</li> <li>MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER, 258, <u>259</u></li> <li>MPI_COMM_WORLD, 487</li> <li>MPI_COMPARE_AND_SWAP, 401, 417, <u>429</u>, 467</li> <li>MPI_CONVERSION_FN_NULL, <u>539</u>, 671</li> <li>MPI_CWIN_GET_ATTR, 608</li> <li>MPI_DIMS_CREATE, 291, <u>293</u>, 293</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MPI_COMM_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373, <u>374</u>, 374, 375, 377–379, 381–383, 395–397</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPAWN_MULTIPLE, 356, 363, 372, 373, 378, <u>380</u>, 381, 396, 397</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, <u>244</u>, 244–246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312–314, 696</li> <li>MPI_COMM_SPLIT_TYPE, <u>247</u>, 248, 692</li> <li>MPI_COMM_TEST_INTER, 258, <u>259</u></li> <li>MPI_COMM_WORLD, 487</li> <li>MPI_COMPARE_AND_SWAP, 401, 417, <u>429</u>, 467</li> <li>MPI_CONVERSION_FN_NULL, <u>539</u>, 671</li> <li>MPI_CWIN_GET_ATTR, 608</li> <li>MPI_DIMS_CREATE, 291, <u>293</u>, 293</li> <li>MPI_DIST_GRAPH_CREATE, 248, 290, 291,</li> </ul>
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$\begin{split} & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,} \\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383, \\ & 395-397 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,} \\ & 372, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244}, \\ & 244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314, \\ & 696 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{258}, \underline{259} \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487 } \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487 } \\ & \text{MPI\_COMVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671 \\ & \text{MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671 \\ & \text{MPI\_CWIN\_GET\_ATTR, 608 } \\ & \text{MPI\_DIMS\_CREATE, 291, \underline{293}, 293 } \\ & \text{MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, 248, 290, 291, \\ & 296, \underline{298}, 299, 301, 309, 310, 314, 696 \\ & \text{MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT, \\ & 248, 290, 291, \underline{296}, 296, 297, 301, 309, \\ \hline \end{aligned}$
$\begin{split} & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN, 356, 362, 363, 372, 373,} \\ & \underline{374}, 374, 375, 377-379, 381-383, \\ & 395-397 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPAWN\_MULTIPLE, 356, 363,} \\ & 372, 373, 378, \underline{380}, 381, 396, 397 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT, 237, 240, 241, \underline{244}, \\ & 244-246, 286, 291, 292, 294, 312-314, \\ & 696 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{247}, 248, 692 \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_SPLIT\_TYPE, \underline{258}, \underline{259} \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487 } \\ & \text{MPI\_COMM\_WORLD, 487 } \\ & \text{MPI\_COMVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671 \\ & \text{MPI\_CONVERSION\_FN\_NULL, \underline{539}, 671 \\ & \text{MPI\_CWIN\_GET\_ATTR, 608 } \\ & \text{MPI\_DIMS\_CREATE, 291, \underline{293}, 293 } \\ & \text{MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE, 248, 290, 291, \\ & 296, \underline{298}, 299, 301, 309, 310, 314, 696 \\ & \text{MPI\_DIST\_GRAPH\_CREATE\_ADJACENT, \\ & 248, 290, 291, \underline{296}, 296, 297, 301, 309, \\ & 314, 692, 696 \\ \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

MPI_DIST_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT,	1
291, 308, 308, 309, 690, 696	2
MPI_DUP_FN, 18, 269, <u>594</u> , 672	3
MPI_ERRHANDLER_C2F, 649	4
MPI_ERRHANDLER_CREATE, 18, 597, 690,	
<u>694</u>	5
MPI_ERRHANDLER_F2C, <u>649</u>	6
MPI_ERRHANDLER_FREE, 341, <u>346</u> , 357,	7
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MI 1} \underline{\text{ERTIIANDEER}} \\ 699 \end{array}$	8
	9
MPI_ERRHANDLER_GET, 18, 597, 690, 700	
MPI_ERRHANDLER_SET, 18, 597, 690	10
MPI_ERROR_CLASS, 347, <u>350</u> , 350, 590	11
MPI_ERROR_STRING, <u>347</u> , 347, 350, 352	12
MPI_EXSCAN, 142, 145, 176, 183, <u>194</u> , 194,	13
214,696	
MPI_F_SYNC_REG, 102, 600, <u>616</u> , 616, 617,	14
636-639,  641,  694	15
MPI_FETCH_AND_OP, 401, 417, 425, 427,	16
428, 428	17
$MPI_FILE_C2F, \underline{649}$	18
MPI_FILE_CALL_ERRHANDLER, <u>353</u> , 354	19
MPI_FILE_CLOSE, 398, 491, 492, <u>493</u> , 494	
MPI_FILE_CREATE_ERRHANDLER, 341,	20
	21
<u>345</u> , 346, 680, 682, 694	22
MPI_FILE_DELETE, 493, <u>494</u> , 494, 498, 501,	23
550	
MPI_FILE_F2C, <u>649</u>	24
$MPI\_FILE\_GET\_AMODE, \underline{497}, 497$	25
MPI_FILE_GET_ATOMICITY, <u>542</u> , 542	26
$MPI\_FILE\_GET\_BYTE\_OFFSET, 511, 517,$	27
517, 523	28
MPI_FILE_GET_ERRHANDLER, 341, <u>346</u> ,	
550, 699	29
MPI_FILE_GET_GROUP, <u>497</u> , 497	30
MPI_FILE_GET_INFO, <u>499</u> , 499, 501, 701	31
MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION, <u>516</u> , 517	32
MPI_FILE_GET_POSITION_SHARED, 522,	33
<u>523</u> , 523, 543	
MPI_FILE_GET_SIZE, <u>496</u> , 497, 545	34
MPI_FILE_GET_TYPE_EXTENT, 532, 533,	35
533, 539	36
	37
MPI_FILE_GET_VIEW, <u>504</u> , 504	38
MPI_FILE_IXXX, 506	39
MPI_FILE_IREAD, 505, <u>514</u> , 515, 523, 540	
MPI_FILE_IREAD_AT, 505, <u>510</u> , 510	40
MPI_FILE_IREAD_SHARED, 505, 519, 520	41
MPI_FILE_IWRITE, 505, 515, 516	42
MPI_FILE_IWRITE_AT, 505, <u>510</u> , 511	43
MPI_FILE_IWRITE_SHARED, 505, <u>520</u> , 520	44
MPI_FILE_OPEN, 349, 484, <u>491</u> , 491–493,	
498,500502,517,544,545,550,551	45
MPI_FILE_PREALLOCATE, 495, <u>496</u> , 496,	46
541, 545	47
	48

MPI\_FILE\_WRITE\_SHARED, 505, 519, 519,

1	MPI_FILE_READ, 504, 505, <u>511</u> , 512, 513,
2	515, 544, 545
3	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL, 505, <u>513</u> , 513, 524
4	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_BEGIN, 505, 524,
	<u>527</u> , 540, 640
5	MPI_FILE_READ_ALL_END, 505, 524, <u>527</u> ,
6	540, 640
7	MPI_FILE_READ_AT, 505, <u>507</u> , 508, 510
8	MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL, 505, <u>508</u> , 508
9	
	MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL_BEGIN, 505,
10	525, 640
11	MPI_FILE_READ_AT_ALL_END, 505, <u>525</u> ,
12	
13	MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED, 505, <u>521</u> , 521
14	MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_BEGIN, 505,
	528, 640
15	MPI_FILE_READ_ORDERED_END, 505,
16	529, 640
17	MPI_FILE_READ_SHARED, 505, <u>518</u> , 518,
18	520, 521
19	MPI_FILE_SEEK, <u>516</u> , 516, 517
20	MPI_FILE_SEEK_SHARED, <u>522</u> , 522, 523,
	543
21	MPI_FILE_SET_ATOMICITY, 493, <u>541</u> , 541,
22	
23	MPI_FILE_SET_ERRHANDLER, 341, 345,
24	550
25	MPI_FILE_SET_INFO, 498, <u>499</u> , 499–501, 700
26	MPI_FILE_SET_SIZE, <u>495</u> , 496, 541,
27	543, 545
	MPI_FILE_SET_VIEW, 96, 349, 492, 498,
28	500, 501, 501-503, 517, 523, 531, 536,
29	544, 551, 700, 701
30	MPI_FILE_SYNC, 494, 505, 540, 541, <u>542</u> ,
31	543, 548
32	MPI_FILE_WRITE, 504, 505, <u>513</u> , 514, 516,
33	544
	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL, 505, 514, 514
34	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_BEGIN, 505, 527,
35	628, 640
36	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_END, 505, <u>528</u> , 640
37	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ALL_END, 505, <u>528</u> , 640 MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT, 505, <u>509</u> , 509–511
38	
39	MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL, 505, 509, 510
	MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_BEGIN, 505,
40	<u>526,</u> 640
41	MPI_FILE_WRITE_AT_ALL_END, 505, <u>526</u> ,
42	640
43	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED, 505, 520,
44	<u>521, 522</u>
45	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_BEGIN,
	505, 529, 640
46	MPI_FILE_WRITE_ORDERED_END, 505,
47	530, 640
48	

520, 522
MPI_FINALIZE, 15, 21, 334, 335, <u>357</u> ,
357-362, 398, 483, 492, 561, 571, 584,
586, 648, 650, 651, 692, 700
MPI_FINALIZED, 356, 359, <u>361</u> , 361, 362,
488, 648
MPI_FREE_MEM, <u>338</u> , 338, 339, 348, 406,
407
MPI_GATHER, 141, 144–146, <u>149</u> , 151, 152,
159,160,165,175,201
MPI_GATHERV, 141, 145, 146, <u>151</u> , 151–153,
161,167,202
MPI_GET, 401, 417, <u>420</u> , 421, 427, 432, 437,
457,  460,  638,  700
MPI_GET_ACCUMULATE, 401, 417, 425,
$\underline{426}, 427, 428, 435, 461, 467$
MPI_GET_ADDRESS, 18, 85, <u>101</u> , 101, 102,
114, 411, 597, 611, 630, 635, 653, 654
MPI_GET_COUNT, <u>31</u> , 31, 32, 52, 113, 430,
481,507,691,698
MPI_GET_ELEMENTS, 52, <u>112</u> , 112, 113,
481, 482, 507, 691
MPI_GET_ELEMENTS_X, 52, <u>112</u> , 112, 113,
481, 507, 691
MPI_GET_LIBRARY_VERSION, <u>334</u> , 334,
356, 359, 690
MPI_GET_PROCESSOR_NAME, <u>336</u> , 336,
337, 699 MDI CET VEDCION 222 224 256 250 489
MPI_GET_VERSION, <u>333</u> , 334, 356, 359, 488, 615
MPI_GRAPH_CREATE, 290, 291, <u>294</u> , 294,
296, 300, 303, 306, 307, 313, 314, 698,
699
MPI_GRAPH_GET, 291, <u>303</u> , 303
MPI_GRAPH_MAP, 291, <u>313</u> , 314
MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS, 291, <u>306</u> , 306,
307, 314, 696
MPI_GRAPH_NEIGHBORS_COUNT, 291,
<u>306</u> , 306, 307, 696
MPI_GRAPHDIMS_GET, 291, <u>303</u> , 303
MPI_GREQUEST_COMPLETE, 474–476,
<u>477</u> , 477
MPI_GREQUEST_START, <u>474</u> , 474, 680, 682,
697
MPI_GROUP_C2F, <u>649</u>
MPI_GROUP_COMPARE, <u>229</u> , 232
MPI_GROUP_DIFFERENCE, <u>231</u>
MPI_GROUP_EXCL, <u>232</u> , 233, 234
MPI_GROUP_F2C, <u>649</u>
MPI_GROUP_FREE, <u>235</u> , 235, 236, 341, 357,
700

- MPI\_GROUP\_INCL, <u>232</u>, 232, 234
- MPI\_GROUP\_INTERSECTION,  $\underline{231}$

MPI_INTERCOMM_CREATE, 237, 243, 260,	1
$\underline{261}, 261, 262, 692$	2
MPI_INTERCOMM_MERGE, 237, 243, 258,	3
260, 261, <u>262</u> , 262, 694	4
MPI_IPROBE, 31, <u>64</u> , 64–69, 71, 484, 691	5
MPI_IRECV, <u>51</u> , 71, 629, 630, 632, 634	6
MPI_IREDUCE, 141, 145, 146, <u>209</u> , 209	7
MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER, 141, 145, 146,	8
$\frac{212}{\text{MDL IDEDUCE SCATTED DLOCK 141}}$	9
MPI_IREDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK, 141, 145, 146, 211	10
MPI_IRSEND, 51	
MPI_IS_THREAD_MAIN, 485, <u>487</u>	11
$\frac{MH1_1S_1H12AD_MARV, 465, 467}{MPI_ISCAN, 142, 145, 213}$	12
MPI_ISCATTER, 141, 145, 146, <u>202</u>	13
MPI_ISCATTERV, 141, 145, 146, <u>203</u>	14
MPI_ISEND, 11, 49, 77, 607, 608, 611, 628,	15
$\begin{array}{c} 629, 634 \end{array}$	16
MPI_ISSEND, <u>50</u>	17
MPI_KEYVAL_CREATE, 18, <u>593</u> , 595, 683	18
MPI_KEYVAL_FREE, 18, 279, <u>594</u>	
MPI_LOOKUP_NAME, 348, 386, 390, <u>392</u> ,	19
392	20
MPI_MESSAGE_C2F, <u>649</u> , 691	21
$MPI\_MESSAGE\_F2C, \underline{649}, 691$	22
MPI_MPROBE, 64, 67, 68, <u>69</u> , 69, 71, 484, 691	23
MPI_MRECV, 67–69, 70, 70, 71, 691	24
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHER, 291, <u>315</u> ,	25
316, 318, 324, 692	26
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLGATHERV, 291, <u>317</u> ,	27
325,692	28
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALL, 291, <u>318</u> , 319,	
326,692	29
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLV, 292, <u>320</u> ,	30
327,692	31
MPI_NEIGHBOR_ALLTOALLW, 292, 321,	32
$\underline{322}, 329, 692$	33
MPI_NULL_COPY_FN, 18, 19, 269, <u>594</u> , 672	34
MPI_NULL_DELETE_FN, 18, 269, <u>594</u> , 672	35
MPI_OP_C2F, <u>649</u>	36
MPI_OP_COMMUTATIVE, <u>189</u> , 696	37
MPI_OP_CREATE, <u>183</u> , 183, 185, 607, 678,	38
681, 694	
MPI_OP_F2C, <u>649</u>	39
MPI_OP_FREE, <u>185</u> , 357	40
MPI_OPEN_PORT, <u>386</u> , 386, 388, 389, 391,	41
392 MDI DACK 46 121 124 127 524 528	42
MPI_PACK, 46, <u>131</u> , 134, 137, 534, 538 MPI_PACK_EXTERNAL_7_137_138_621	43
MPI_PACK_EXTERNAL, 7, 137, <u>138</u> , 621, 698	44
MPI_PACK_EXTERNAL_SIZE, <u>139</u>	45
MPI_PACK_SIZE, 46, <u>134</u> , 134, 691	46
MPI_PCONTROL, 556, <u>557</u> , 557	47
1111_1_00001000, <u>001</u> , 001	48
	-10

1	MPI_PROBE, 29, 31, 32, 64, <u>65</u> , 65–67, 69, 71,
2	484, 691
3	MPI_PUBLISH_NAME, 386, <u>390</u> , 390–392
4	MPI_PUT, 401, 417, <u>418</u> , 420, 424, 425, 431,
	437, 442, 451, 454, 458, 459, 628, 638,
5	700
6	MPI_QUERY_THREAD, <u>487</u> , 488
7	MPI_RACCUMULATE, 401, 417, 425, 427,
8	432, 433
9	MPI_RECV, 24, <u>28</u> , 30–32, 65, 67, 68, 84, 111,
10	112, 132, 142, 150, 219, 482, 546, 584,
11	635, 638, 639
12	MPI_RECV_INIT, <u>76</u> , 76
	MPI_REDUCE, 141, 145, 146, <u>174</u> , 174–176,
13	183-185, 188, 191-194, 209, 424, 425,
14	427, 428, 697
15	MPI_REDUCE_LOCAL, 175, 176, 183, <u>189</u> ,
16	694, 696
17	MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER, 141, 145, 146,
18	176, 183, <u>191</u> , 191, 192, 212
19	MPI_REDUCE_SCATTER_BLOCK, 141,
	$145, 146, 176, 183, \underline{190}, 190, 191, 211,$
20	696
21	MPI_REGISTER_DATAREP, 349, <u>536</u> ,
22	536-539, 551, 680, 683
23	MPI_REQUEST_C2F, $\underline{649}$
24	MPI_REQUEST_F2C, $\underline{649}$
25	MPI_REQUEST_FREE, <u>55</u> , 55, 72, 77, 197,
26	357,  430,  476,  477,  695
27	$MPI\_REQUEST\_GET\_STATUS, 32, \underline{64}, 64,$
28	475,695
29	MPI_RGET, 401, 417, <u>431</u> , 432
30	MPI_RGET_ACCUMULATE, 401, 417, 425,
	427, <u>434</u> , 435
31	MPI_RPUT, 401, 417, <u>430</u> , 431
32	MPI_RSEND, <u>39</u>
33	MPI_RSEND_INIT, 75
34	MPI_SCAN, 142, 145, 176, 183, <u>193</u> , 193, 195,
35	213 MDI COATTEE 141 145 146 150 150 161
36	MPI_SCATTER, 141, 145, 146, <u>159</u> , 159, 161, 162, 191, 202
37	MPI_SCATTERV, 141, 145, 146, <u>161</u> , 161,
38	162, 192, 203
39	MPI_SEND, 23, <u>24</u> , 25, 32, 34, 84, 110, 111,
40	131, 219, 492, 546, 558, 635, 636, 638
41	MPI_SEND_INIT, <u>74</u> , 77
42	MPI_SENDRECV, 79, 310
	MPI_SENDRECV_REPLACE, 80
43	MPI_SIZEOF, 600, <u>622</u> , 623
44	MPI_SSEND, <u>39</u>
45	MPI_SSEND_INIT, $\underline{75}$
46	MPI_START, 76, <u>77</u> , 77, 78
47	MPI_STARTALL, <u>77</u> , 77
48	MPI_STATUS_C2F, $\underline{650}$

MPI_STATUS_C2F08, <u>651</u> , 693
MPI_STATUS_F082C, <u>651</u> , 693
MPI_STATUS_F082F, <u>652</u> , <u>693</u>
MPI_STATUS_F2C, <u>650</u>
MPI_STATUS_F2F08, <u>652</u> , 693
MPI_STATUS_SET_CANCELLED, <u>481</u>
MPI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS, <u>480</u> , 481
MPI_STATUS_SET_ELEMENTS_X, <u>480</u> ,
481, 691
MPI_T_CATEGORY_CHANGED, 589
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_CATEGORIES,
589, 589-591
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_CVARS, <u>588</u> , 588,
590, 591
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_INDEX, 588, 588,
591
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_INFO, <u>587</u> , 587,
590, 591
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_NUM, <u>587</u>
MPI_T_CATEGORY_GET_PVARS, <u>589</u> ,
589–591
MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>570</u> , 570, 591
MPI_T_CVAR_GET_INFO, 566, <u>568</u> , 568,
569, 571-573, 590, 591
MPI_T_CVAR_GET_NUM, <u>568</u> , 572
MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, 571,
, , ,,
572, 573, 591
572, 573, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, $572$ , 572, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u>
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u>
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> ,
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, <u>566</u> , <u>580</u> ,
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, <u>566</u> , <u>580</u> ,
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, <u>566</u> , <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, <u>566</u> , <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>580</u> , 581, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , <u>580</u> , 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>580</u> , 581, <u>591</u> MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, 572, 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, 572 MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, 573 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, 566, 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, 567, 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, 565, 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, 564, 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, 578, 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, 577, 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, 576, 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, 580, 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 582, 583, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, <u>566</u> , <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, <u>566</u> , <u>580</u> , <u>580</u> , <u>582</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 583, <u>591</u>
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, 572, 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, 572 MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, 573 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, 566, 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, 567, 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, 565, 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, 564, 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, 578, 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, 577, 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, 576, 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, 580, 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, 578, 583, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, 583, 583, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>583</u> , 583, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_FREE, <u>579</u> , 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, <u>591</u> MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , <u>577</u> , 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , <u>580</u> , 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_START, <u>581</u> , 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>578</u> , <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>578</u> , <u>583</u> , 583, 10 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_START, <u>581</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_STOP, <u>581</u> , 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>578</u> , <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_START, <u>581</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_WRITE, <u>582</u> , 582, 582, 591
572, 573, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_HANDLE_FREE, <u>572</u> , 572, 591 MPI_T_CVAR_READ, <u>572</u> MPI_T_CVAR_WRITE, <u>573</u> MPI_T_ENUM_GET_INFO, <u>566</u> , 566, 591 MPI_T_ENUM_GET_ITEM, 566, <u>567</u> , 591 MPI_T_FINALIZE, <u>565</u> , 565 MPI_T_INIT_THREAD, <u>564</u> , 564, 565 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INDEX, <u>578</u> , 578, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_INFO, 566, 576, <u>577</u> , 577, 580, 582, 583, 590, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_GET_NUM, <u>576</u> , 580 MPI_T_PVAR_HANDLE_ALLOC, 566, <u>580</u> , 580, 582, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READ, <u>582</u> , 582, 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>578</u> , <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_READRESET, <u>578</u> , <u>583</u> , 583, 10 MPI_T_PVAR_RESET, <u>583</u> , 583, 591 MPI_T_PVAR_SESSION_CREATE, <u>579</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_START, <u>581</u> , 591 MPI_T_PVAR_STOP, <u>581</u> , 591

MPI_TEST_CANCELLED, 52–54, <u>72</u> , 73, 475,
481, 507
MPI_TESTALL, 57, <u>60</u> , 60, 475, 476, 480, 483
MPI_TESTANY, 53, 57, <u>58</u> , 58, 62, 475, 476,
480, 483
MPI_TESTSOME, 57, <u>61</u> , 62, 475, 476, 480,
483
MPI_TOPO_TEST, 291, <u>302</u> , 302
MPI_TYPE_C2F, <u>648</u>
MPI_TYPE_COMMIT, <u>109</u> , 109, 649
MPI_TYPE_CONTIGUOUS, 12, <u>85</u> , 85, 87,
104, 116, 490, 533
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_DARRAY, 12, 31, <u>97</u> ,
97, 116
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_COMPLEX, 12,
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MI 1_1 II E_CREATE_F90_COMILEEX, 12,} \\ 116, 118, 177, 534, 600, \underline{619}, 621 \end{array}$
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_INTEGER, 12,
$116, 118, 176, 534, 600, \underline{619}, 621$
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_F90_REAL, 12, 116,
$118, 177, 534, 600, \underline{618}, 619-621, 695$
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED, 12, 18,
$85, \underline{90}, 90, 92, 94, 116, 597$
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HINDEXED_BLOCK,
$12, 85, \underline{92}, 92, 116, 691$
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_HVECTOR, 12, 18,
85, <u>87,</u> 87, 116, 597
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_INDEXED_BLOCK,
12, <u>91</u> , 92, 116
$MPI_TYPE_CREATE_KEYVAL, 266, 276,$
279, 655, 679, 682, 698
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_RESIZED, 18, 85, 104,
<u>106</u> , 107, 116, 533, 598, 693
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_STRUCT, 12, 18, 85,
92, <u>93</u> , 93, 94, 104, 116, 173, 597
MPI_TYPE_CREATE_SUBARRAY, 12, 14,
$\underline{94},  96,  98,  116$
MPI_TYPE_DELETE_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279,
MPI_TYPE_DELETE_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 694
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u>
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357 MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>277</u> , 279
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357 MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>277</u> , 279 MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 608,
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357 MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>277</u> , 279 MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 608, <u>655</u> , 694
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357 MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>277</u> , 279 MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 608, <u>655</u> , 694 MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116,
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, } \underline{110}, 110, 116, 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, } \underline{276}, 276, 671 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_F2C, } \underline{648} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, } \underline{109}, 118, 277, 357 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, } 266, \underline{277}, 279 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, } 266, \underline{278}, 279, 608, \\ \\ \underline{655}, 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, } \\ \\ \underline{117}, 118, 119 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, 110, 110, 116, 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, 276, 276, 671 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_F2C, 648 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, 277, 279 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, 278, 279, 608, \\ \\ & 655, 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, \\ \\ \\ & \underline{117}, 118, 119 \\ \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, \underline{115}, 115, \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, 110, 110, 116, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, 276, 276, 671} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_F2C, 648} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, 277, 279} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, 278, 279, 608, } \\ \\ \\ & 655, 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, } \\ \\ \\ \\ \underline{117, 118, 119} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, 115, 115, } \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ 117, 118, 620 \\ \end{array}$
694 MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, <u>110</u> , 110, 116, 694 MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671 MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690 MPI_TYPE_F2C, <u>648</u> MPI_TYPE_FREE, <u>109</u> , 118, 277, 357 MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>277</u> , 279 MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 608, <u>655, 694</u> MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, <u>117</u> , 118, 119 MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, <u>115</u> , 115, <u>117</u> , 118, 620 MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, 18, <u>105</u> , 108,
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, 110, 110, 116, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, 276, 276, 671} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_F2C, 648} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, 277, 279} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, 278, 279, 608, 655, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, 115, 115, 117, 118, 620} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, 18, 105, 108, 597, 623, 653} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, 110, 110, 116, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, 276, 276, 671} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, 277, 279} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, 278, 279, 608, 655, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, 115, 115, 117, 118, 620} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, 18, 105, 108, 597, 623, 653} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT_X, 106, 691} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 694 \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP, 12, 110, 110, 116, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_DUP_FN, 276, 276, 671} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_EXTENT, 18, 597, 690} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_F2C, 648} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE, 109, 118, 277, 357} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, 277, 279} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ATTR, 266, 278, 279, 608, 655, 694} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_CONTENTS, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_ENVELOPE, 115, 115, 117, 118, 620} \\ \\ \text{MPI_TYPE_GET_EXTENT, 18, 105, 108, 597, 623, 653} \\ \end{array}$

MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT, <u>107</u> , 107	1
MPI_TYPE_GET_TRUE_EXTENT_X, 107,	2
108, 691	3
MPI_TYPE_HINDEXED, 18, 597, 690	4
MPI_TYPE_HVECTOR, 18, 597, 690	5
MPI_TYPE_INDEXED, 12, 88, <u>89</u> , 89–91, 116	6
MPI_TYPE_LB, 18, 597, 690	7
MPI_TYPE_MATCH_SIZE, 600, <u>623</u> , 623, 694	8
MPI_TYPE_NULL_COPY_FN, <u>276</u> , 276, 671	
MPI_TYPE_NULL_DELETE_FN, <u>276</u> , 671, 694	9
MPI_TYPE_SET_ATTR, 266, <u>278</u> , 279, 608,	10
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MI1_III} \\ \underline{655}, 659, 694 \end{array}$	11
MPI_TYPE_SET_NAME, <u>284</u> , 694	12
MPI_TYPE_SIZE, <u>103</u> , 103, 558, 691	13
MPI_TYPE_SIZE_X, <u>103</u> , 103, 691	14
MPI_TYPE_STRUCT, 18, 597, 690	15
MPI_TYPE_UB, 18, 597, 690	16
MPI_TYPE_VECTOR, 12, <u>86</u> , 86, 87, 90, 116	17
MPI_UNPACK, <u>132</u> , 132, 133, 137, 538	18
MPI_UNPACK_EXTERNAL, 7, <u>138</u> , 621	19
MPI_UNPUBLISH_NAME, 348, 391, 391	
MPI_WAIT, 30, 32, 52, <u>53</u> , <u>53–56</u> , <u>58</u> , <u>59</u> , 72,	20
77, 197, 219, 357, 473, 477, 483, 506,	21
507, 523, 540, 542, 628, 634, 638	22
MPI_WAITALL, 57, <u>59</u> , 59, 60, 197, 220, 430,	23
475, 476, 480, 483	24
MPI_WAITANY, 41, 53, <u>57</u> , 57, 58, 62, 475,	25
476,  480,  483	26
MPI_WAITSOME, 57, <u>60</u> , 61–63, 475, 476,	27
480,  483	28
MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE, 402, <u>405</u> , 406, 408,	29
413, 414, 419, 447, 612, 614	30
MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_CPTR, 406	
MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED, 402, <u>407</u> ,	31
407, 409, 413, 415, 614	32
MPI_WIN_ALLOCATE_SHARED_CPTR,	33
408	34
MPI_WIN_ATTACH, 410, <u>411</u> , 411–413, 447	35
MPI_WIN_C2F, <u>649</u>	36
MPI_WIN_CALL_ERRHANDLER, <u>353</u> , 354	37
MPI_WIN_COMPLETE, 413, 437, <u>442</u> ,	38
442–445, 453, 459 MPI_WIN_CREATE, 402, <u>403</u> , 405, 406, 408,	39
$\begin{array}{c} \text{MF1}_\text{WIN}_\text{CREATE, 402, 403, 403, 400, 408,} \\ 411-414, 452, 484 \end{array}$	40
MPI_WIN_CREATE_DYNAMIC, 349, 402,	
410, 410–415, 452	41
MPI_WIN_CREATE_ERRHANDLER, 341,	42
343, 344, 680, 682, 694	43
MPI_WIN_CREATE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>272</u> , 279,	44
655, 679, 681, 698	45
MPI_WIN_DELETE_ATTR, 266, <u>275</u> , 279	46
MPI_WIN_DETACH, 410, <u>412</u> , 412, 413	47
MPI_WIN_DUP_FN, <u>273</u> , <u>273</u> , 671	48

1	MPI_WIN_F2C, 649
2	MPI_WIN_FENCE, 413, 421, 437, <u>440</u> , 441,
3	450, 451, 453, 454, 456, 461, 638
4	MPI_WIN_FLUSH, 408, 430, 431, 448, 448,
5	453, 456, 467, 468
	MPI_WIN_FLUSH_ALL, 430, 431, <u>449</u> , 453,
6	456
7	MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL, 430, <u>449</u> , 453
8	MPI_WIN_FLUSH_LOCAL_ALL, 430, 449,
9	450, 453
10	MPI_WIN_FREE, 274, 357, 398, <u>413</u> , 413
11	MPI_WIN_FREE_KEYVAL, 266, <u>274</u> , 279
12	MPI_WIN_GET_ATTR, 266, <u>275</u> , 279, 414,
13	655, 659 MDI WIN CET EDDUANDLED 241 244
14	MPI_WIN_GET_ERRHANDLER, 341, <u>344</u> , 699
15	MPI_WIN_GET_GROUP, <u>415</u> , 415
16	MPI_WIN_GET_INFO, 416, 416, 692
17	MPI_WIN_GET_NAME, <u>285</u>
18	MPI_WIN_LOCK, 404, 438, <u>445</u> , 446–448,
19	450, 451, 453, 457, 458
20	MPI_WIN_LOCK_ALL, 404, 438, 446, 446,
20	447, 450, 451, 453, 458, 467
21	MPI_WIN_NULL_COPY_FN, <u>273</u> , 273, 671
	MPI_WIN_NULL_DELETE_FN, <u>273</u> , 671
23	MPI_WIN_POST, 413, 437, 442, <u>443</u> , 443–445,
24	447, 450, 451, 453, 459, 461
25	MPI_WIN_SET_ATTR, 266, <u>274</u> , 279, 414,
26	608, 655, 659 MPI_WIN_SET_ERRHANDLER, 341, 344
27	MPI_WIN_SET_INFO, 415, <u>416</u> , 416, 692
28	MPI_WIN_SET_NAME, <u>284</u>
29	MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY, 407, 409, 614
30	MPI_WIN_SHARED_QUERY_CPTR, 410
31	MPI_WIN_START, 437, <u>441</u> , 442, 443, 445,
32	450,  451,  460,  466
33	MPI_WIN_SYNC, <u>450</u> , 450, 453, 455, 459,
34	467, 468
35	MPI_WIN_TEST, <u>444</u> , 444
36	MPI_WIN_UNLOCK, 431, 438, <u>446</u> , 448, 453,
37	456-458
38	MPI_WIN_UNLOCK_ALL, 431, 438, <u>447</u> ,
39	453, 456, 467 MPI_WIN_WAIT, 413, 437, <u>443</u> , 443–445, 447,
40	$\begin{array}{c} 11112 \\ 1233, 456, 459, 460 \end{array}$
41	MPI_WTICK, 19, <u>355</u> , 355
42	MPI_WTIME, 19, 336, <u>354</u> , 354, 355, 558, 576
	mpiexec, 356, 360, <u>362</u> , 362, 486
43	mpirun, 362
44	
45	PMPI_, 555, 608
46	PMPI_ISEND, 608, 611
47	PMPI_WTICK, 19 PMPI_WTIME_10
48	PMPI_WTIME, 19