MPI-3 Survey Data

Question 1

Did you attend the MPI Forum BOF at SC09?

No	1028
Yes	32

Question 2

Which of the following best describes you?

User of MPI applications	159	Show/Hide Open Answers
MPI application developer	303	
Library / middleware developer (that uses MPI)	104	
MPI implementer	54	
Academic educator researcher	295	
Student	103	
Project / program / general management	31	
Other	25	

Question 3

Rate your expertise with the MPI standard.

I am not familiar at all with the MPI standard	42
I am knowledgeable about basic MPI functionality	347
I have a good understanding of some parts of the MPI standard	492
I deeply understand most of the MPI standard	174
I am an expert on the entire MPI standard	17

Think of an MPI application that you run frequently. What is the typical number of MPI processes per job that you run? (Select all that apply)

1-16 MPI processes	472
17-64 MPI processes	495
65-512 MPI processes	466
513-2048 MPI processes	224
2049 MPI processes or more	174
I don't know	38

Question 5

Using the same MPI application from the previous question, what is the typical number of MPI processes that you run per node?(Select all that apply)

1 MPI process	358
2-3 MPI processes	323
4-57 MPI processes	476
8-15 MPI processes	322
16 MPI processes or more	133
I don't know	55

Question 6

Using the same MPI application from the previous question, what is the typical number of MPI processes that you run per node?(Select all that apply)

32 bit	361	Show/Hide Open Answers
64 bit	886	
I don't know	41	
Other	10	

I expect to be able to upgrade to an MPI-3 implementation and still be able to run my legacy MPI applications *without recompiling*.

Strongly Disagree	257	Show/Hide Open Answers
Disagree	372	
Undecided	198	
Agree	114	
Strongly Agree	59	

Question 8

I expect to be able to upgrade to an MPI-3 implementation and only need to recompile my legacy MPI applications *with no source code changes*.

Strongly Disagree	31
Disagree	76
Undecided	154
Agree	394
Strongly Agree	341

Show/Hide Open Answers

Question 9

What ONE THING would you like to see added or improved in the MPI standard?

Show/Hide Open Answers

Question 10

How much are each of the following sets of MPI functionality used in your MPI applications?

	Used moderately	Used	Comprises
	inoderatery	Osca	Comprises

		Not used at all	Trivially used in some places	in conjunction with other MPI functionality		the backbone of my application
	Point-to-point communications	27	57	159	339	214
	Collective communications	19	50	190	388	151
	Derived / complex datatypes	228	169	219	99	41
	Communicators other than MPI_COMM_WORLD	210	160	221	127	55
	Graph or Cartesian process topologies	363	139	146	62	42
	Error handlers other than the default MPI_ERRORS_ARE_FATAL	466	168	80	27	11
	Dynamic MPI processes (spawn, connect/accept, join)	530	107	73	30	16
	One-sided communication	376	154	158	39	19
	Generalized requests	474	106	83	23	7
	Parallel I/O	314	107	180	129	36
	"PMPI" profiling interface	440	82	118	53	31
	MPI_THREAD_MULTIPLE (multiple threads simultaneously using MPI)	474	77	92	65	36
	Multiple threads, but only one in MPI at a time	384	100	140	81	37
1	Show/Hide Open Answers					

Which of the following do any of your MPI applications use?(Select all that apply)

Threads	336
OpenMP	451
Shmem	117
Global Arrays	107

Show/Hide Open Answers

Co-processors / accelerators		
PGAS languages	45	
I don't know	82	
Other	18	

When answering the following question, please remember that that C++ MPI applications can use the C++ and/or C MPI bindings. Do you have any MPI applications that are both written in C++ and use the MPI C++ bindings?

No	551
Yes	165
I don't know	107

Question 13

The following question refers to the ability to use extremely large count values with MPI operations such as sending/receiving, file actions, and one-sided operations. It makes the assumption that the largest value that a signed C "int" and a default Fortran INTEGER can represent is 2 billion. My MPI application would benefit from being able to reference more than 2 billion items of data in a single MPI function invocation.

Strongly Disagree	53	Show/Hide Open Answers
Disagree	210	
Undecided	375	
Agree	102	
Strongly Agree	62	

Question 14

One-sided remote memory access (RMA) is an advanced MPI concept. The following question assumes familiarity with the complex issues involved and deliberately makes you choose between two options that may or may not be mutually exclusive. The goal is to find out which is more important to you, regardless of whether they are mutually exclusive

or not. If you are unsure how to answer and/or are unfamiliar with MPI RMA concepts, feel free to leave this question unanswered. MPI one-sided communication performance (e.g., message rate and latency) is more important to me than supporting a rich remote memory access (RMA) feature set (e.g., communicators, datatypes).

Strongly Disagree	13	Show/Hide Open Answers
Disagree	59	
Undecided	245	
Agree	160	
Strongly Agree	71	

Ouestion 15

The MPI standard provides certain semantic guarantees that may not be required by a particular application. It also provides functions that many applications never use. The MPI Forum is considering an "assertions" interface that would let an application identify specific functionality it does not depend on, such that an MPI library could improve performance or reduce memory usage by disabling that specific functionality. The described "assertions" interface would be valuable to my MPI applications.

Strongly Disagree	7
Disagree	23
Undecided	244
Agree	375
Strongly Agree	110

Question 16

The following is a broad list of topics that the MPI Forum is considering for MPI-3. Note that it is probably safe to assume that using any of the new functionality will involve at least some degree of change to your existing MPI application (e.g., it is unlikely that MPI-3 applications will automatically become fault tolerant; it is much more likely that you will need to add additional fault tolerant logic using new MPI-3 API functions). If you are unfamiliar with a given topic, feel free to leave its rating blank. Rank the following in order of importance to your MPI applications (1=most important, 6=least important):

	0	1 (most important)	2	3	4	5	6 (least important)
Non-blocking collective communications	181	243	135	120	86	45	28
Revamped one- sided communications (compared to MPI- 2.2)	267	50	76	115	90	145	95
MPI application control of fault tolerance	223	74	129	125	144	95	48
New Fortran bindings (type safety, etc.)	210	68	72	78	64	99	247
"Hybrid" programming (MPI in conjunction with threads, OpenMP,)	160	217	175	105	89	59	33
Standardized third-party MPI tool support	223	32	84	103	132	140	124

Rate the following in order of importance to your MPI applications (1=most important, 5=least important):

	0	1 (most important)	2	3	4	5 (least important)
Run-time performance (e.g., latency, bandwidth, resource consumption, etc.)	105	397	206	89	27	14
Feature-rich API	162	14	38	70	283	271
Run-time reliability	125	149	201	271	62	30
Scalability to large						

numbers of MPI processes	114	158	254	225	70	17
Integration with other middleware, communication protocols, etc.	170	17	31	55	234	331

Use the space below to provide any other information, suggestions, or comments to the MPI Forum.

Show/Hide Open Answers